A COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR A SEQUENCE OF FUZZY MAPPINGS

B. E. RHOADES

Department of Mathematics Indiana University Bloomington, Indiana 47405, U.S.A.

(Received September 29, 1994)

ABSTRACT. We obtain a common fixed point theorem for a sequence of fuzzy mappings, satisfying a contractive definition more general than that of Lee, Lee, Cho and Kim [2].

Let (X,d) be a complete linear metric space. A fuzzy set A in X is a function from X into [0,1]. If $x \in X$, the function value A(x) is called the grade of membership of X in A. The α -level set of $A, A_{\alpha} := \{x : A(x) \ge \alpha, \text{ if } \alpha \in (0,1]\}$, and $A_0 := \overline{\{x : A(x) > 0\}}$. W(X) denotes the collection of all the fuzzy sets A in X such that A_{α} is compact and convex for each $\alpha \in [0,1]$ and $\sup_{x \in X} A(x) = 1$. For $A, B \in W(X), A \subset B$ means $A(x) \le B(x)$ for each $x \in X$. For $A, B \in W(X), \alpha \in [0,1]$, define

$$P_{\alpha}(A,B) = \inf_{x \in A_{\alpha}, y \in B_{\alpha}} d(x,y), P(A,B) = \sup_{\alpha} P_{\alpha}(A,B), D(A,B) = \sup_{\alpha} d_{H}(A_{\alpha},B_{\alpha}),$$

where d_H is the Hausdorff metric induced by the metric d. We note that P_{α} is a nondecreasing function of α and D is a metric on W(X).

Let X be an arbitrary set, Y any linear metric space. F is called a fuzzy mapping if F is a mapping from the set X into W(Y).

In earlier papers the author and Bruce Watson, [3] and [4], proved some fixed point theorems for some mappings satisfying a very general contractive condition. In this paper we prove a fixed point theorem for a sequence of fuzzy mappings satisfying a special case of this general contractive condition. We shall first prove the theorem, and then demonstrate that our definition is more general than that appearing in [2].

Let D denote the closure of the range of d. We shall be concerned with a function Q, defined on d and satisfying the following conditions:

(a) 0 < Q(s) < s for each $s \in D \setminus \{0\}$ and Q(0) = 0,

- (b) Q is nondecreasing on D, and
- (c) g(s) := s/(s Q(s)) is nonincreasing on $D \setminus \{0\}$.

LEMMA 1. [1] Let (X,d) be a complete linear metric space, F a fuzzy mapping from X into W(X) and $x_0 \in X$. Then there exists an $x_1 \in X$ such that $\{x_1\} \subset F(x_0)$.

THEOREM 1. Let g be a nonexpansive selfmap of X, (X,d) a complete linear metric space. Let $\{F_i\}$ be a sequence of fuzzy mappings from X into W(X) satisfying: For each pair of fuzzy mappings F_i, F_j and for any $x \in X, \{u_x\} \subset F_i(x)$, there exists a $\{v_y\} \subset F_j(y)$ for all $y \in X$ such that

$$D\left(\left\{u_x, v_y\right\}\right) \le Q\left(m(x, y)\right),\tag{1}$$

where

$$m(x,y) := \max\{d(g(x),g(u_x)), d(g(y),g(v_y)), \left\lfloor d(g(y),g(u_x)) + d(g(x),g(v_y)) \right\rfloor / 2, d(g(x),g(y))\}$$
(2)

and Q satisfies (a) - (c). Then there exists a $p \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i(p)$.

PROOF. Let $x_0 \in X$. Then we can choose $x_1 \in X$ such that $\{x_1\} \subset F_1(x_0)$ by Lemma 1. From the hypothesis, there exists an $x_2 \in X$ such that $\{x_2\} \subset F_2(x_1)$ and, from (1),

$$\begin{split} D\left(\{x_1\},\{x_2\}\right) &\leq Q\left(m(x_1,x_2)\right) \\ &< \max\left\{d\left(g(x_0),g(x_1)\right), d\left(g(x_1,g(x_2))\right), \\ & \left[d\left(g(x_1),g(x_1)\right) + d\left(g(x_0),g(x_2)\right)\right]/2, d\left(g(x_0),g(x_1)\right)\right\} \\ &\leq \max\left\{d(x_0,x_1), d(x_1,x_2), \left[d(x_1,x_1) + d(x_0,x_2)\right]/2, d(x_0,x_1)\right\}, \end{split}$$

since g is nonexpansive.

Inductively, we obtain a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_{n+1} \in F_{n+1}(x_n)$ and

$$D(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+1}\}) \leq Q(m(x_n, x_{n+1}))$$

$$< \max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), [d(x_n x_n) + dx_{n-1}, x_{n+1})]/2,$$

$$d(x_{n-1}, x_n)\}.$$
(3)

Since $D({x_n}, {x_{n+1}}) = d(x_n, x_{n+1})$, it follows from (3) that $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) < d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$. Using this fact back in (2), we obtain that $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$. Substituting into (3) we obtain

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) < Q(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)) < Q^2(d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) < \cdots < Q^n(d(x_0, x_1)).$$

From Lemma 2 of [3], $\lim Q^n (d(x_0,x_1)) = 0$. To show that $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy, choose N so large that $Q^n (d(x_0,x_1)) \leq 1/2$ for all n > N. Then, for m > n > N,

$$d(x_n,x_m) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} d(x_i,x_{i+1}) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} Q^i \left(d(x_0,x_1) \right) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^i < \frac{1}{2^n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \right),$$

and $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy, hence convergent. Call the limit p.

Let F_m be an arbitrary member of the sequence $\{F_i\}$. Since $\{x_n\} \subset F_n(x_{n-1})$, there exists a $v_n \in X$ such that $\{v_n\} \subset F_m(p)$ for all n and

$$D(\{x_n\},\{v_n\}) \le Q(m(x_n,v_n))$$

= $Q(\max\{d(g(x_{n-1}),g(x_n)), d(g(p),g(v_n)), [d(g(x_{n-1}),g(v_n)) + d(g(p),g(x_n))]/2, d(g(x_{n-1}),g(p))\})$
 $\le Q(\max\{d(x_{n-1},x_n), d(p,v_n), [d(x_{n-1},v_n) + d(p,x_n)]/2, d(x_{n-1},p)\}).$

Suppose that $\lim v_n \neq p$. Taking the limit as $n \to \infty$ yields, since Q is continuous (Lemma 1 of [3]),

 $\limsup d(p,v_n) \le Q(\limsup d(p,v_n) < \limsup d(p,v_n),$

a contradiction. Therefore $\lim v_n = p$. Since $F_m(p) \in W(X)$, $F_m(p)$ is upper semicontinuous and therefore $\limsup [F_m(p)](v_n) \leq [F_m(p)](p)$. Since $\{v_n\} \subset F_m(p)$ for all $n, [F_m(p)](p) = 1$. Hence $\{p\} \subset F_m(p)$. Since F_m is arbitrary, $\{p\} \subset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n(p)$.

The contractive definition of [2] is the following :

$$D\left(\{u_x\},\{v_y\}\right) \le a_1 d\left(g(x),g(u_x)\right) + a_2 d\left(g(y),g(v_y)\right) + a_3 d\left(g(y),g(u_x)\right) + a_4 d\left(g(x),g(v_y)\right) + d\left(g(x),g(y)\right)$$

$$(4)$$

where each a_i is nonnegative, $\sum_{i=1}^5 a_i < 1$, and $a_3 \ge a_4$.

In (4), if one interchanges the roles of x and y one obtains

$$D\left(\{v_y\},\{u_x\}\right) \le a_1 d\left(g(y), v_y\right) + a_2 d\left(g(x), g(u_x)\right) + a_3 d\left(g(x), g(v_y)\right) + a_4 d\left(g(y), g(u_x)\right) + a_5 d\left(g(y), g(x)\right).$$
(5)

Adding (4) and (5) yields

$$D\left(\{u_x\},\{v_y\}\right) \le \alpha_1 d\left(g(x),g(u_x)\right) + \alpha_2 d\left(g(y),g(v_y)\right) + \alpha_3 d\left(g(y),g(u_x)\right) + \alpha_4 d\left(g(x),g(v_y)\right) + \alpha_5 d\left(g(x),g(y)\right),$$
(6)

where $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = (a_1 + a_2)/2$, $\alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = (a_3 + a_4)/2$, and $\alpha_5 = a_5$. In turn, (6) implies that

$$D(\{u_x\},\{v_y\}) \le (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \max \{d(g(x),g(u_x)), d(g(y),g(v_y))\} + \alpha_3 [d(g(y),g(u_x)) + d(g(x),g(v_y))]/2 + \alpha_5 d(g(x),g(y)) \\ \le (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)m(x,y) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^5 a_i\right)m(x,y) = hm(x,y),$$
(7)

say.

(7) is the special case of (1) with Q(s) = hs. Consequently Theorem 3.1 of [2], as well as the corollaries, are special cases of the theorem of this paper.

REFERENCES

- 1. LEE, B. S. and CHO, S. J., A fixed point theorem for contractive-type fuzzy mappings, *Fuzzy* Sets and Systems (submitted).
- LEE, B. S., LEE, G. M., CHO, S. J. and KIM, D. S., Generalized common fixed point theorems for a sequence of fuzzy mappings, Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci. 17(1994), 437–440.
- 3. RHOADES, B. E. and WATSON, B., Generalized contractions and fixed points in metric spaces, Math. Japonica 34(1989), 975–982.
- RHOADES, B. E. and WATSON, B., Fixed points for set-valued mappings in metric spaces, Math. Japonica 35(1990), 735-743.