ON THE BASIS OF THE DIRECT PRODUCT OF PATHS AND WHEELS

A. A. AL-RHAYYEL Department of Mathematics Yarmouk University Irbid, JORDAN

(Received February 24, 1994 and in final form March 22, 1995)

ABSTRACT. The basis number, b(G), of a graph G is defined to be the least integer k such that G has a k-fold basis for its cycle space In this paper we determine the basis number of the direct product of paths and wheels. It is proved that $P_2 \wedge W_n$ is planar, and $b(P_m \wedge W_n) = 3$, for all $m \ge 3$ and $n \ge 4$.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Basis number, cycle space, paths, and wheels. **1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE.** 05C99.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Throughout this paper, we consider only finite, undirected, simple graphs. Our notations and terminology will be standard except as indicated For undefined terms, see [3].

Let G be a graph, and let $e_1, ..., e_q$ be an ordering of its edges. Then any subset H of edges in G corresponds to a (0,1)-vector $(a_1, ..., a_q)$ in the usual way, with $a_1 = 1$ if $e_1 \in H$ and $a_1 = 0$ if $e_1 \notin H$. These vectors form a q-dimensional vector space, denoted by $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^q$ over the field of two elements \mathbb{Z}_2 .

The vectors in $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^q$ which corresponds to the cycles in G generate a subspace called the cycle space of G, denoted by C(G). We shall say, however, that the cycles themselves, rather than the vectors corresponding to the cycles, generate C(G). It is well known that (see [3], p. 39)

$$\dim C(G) = \gamma(G) = q - p + k, \qquad (1.1)$$

where q is the number of edges, p is the number of vertices, k is the number of connected components, and $\gamma(G)$ is the cyclomatic number of G. A basis for C(G) is called k-fold, if each edge of G occurs in at most k of the cycles in the basis. The basis number of G (denoted by b(G)) is the smallest integer k such that C(G) has a k-fold basis. The fold of an edge e in a basis B for C(G) is defined to be the number of cycles in B containing e, and denoted by $f_B(e)$

The direct product [5] (or conjunction [3]) of two graphs $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ is the graph denoted by $G_1 \wedge G_2$ with vertex set $V_1 \times V_2$, in which (v_1, u_1) is joined to (v_2, u_2) whenever $v_1v_2 \in E_1$ and $u_1u_2 \in E_2$. It is clear that $d_{G_1 \wedge G_2}(v_i, u_j) = d_{G_1}(v_i) d_{G_2}(u_j)$, where $d_H(v)$ is the degree of vertex v in the graph H. Thus the number of edges in $G_1 \wedge G_2$ is $2|E_1||E_2|$.

Let P_m denote a path with *m*-vertices, and let W_n denote a wheel with *n* vertices.

The first important result about the basis number was given by MacLane in 1937 (see [4]), when he proved that a graph G is planar if and only if $b(G) \le 2$ In 1981, Schmeichel [6] proved that $b(k_n) = 3$ for $n \ge 5$, and for $m, n \ge 5$, $b(k_{m,n}) = 4$. In 1982 Banks and Schmeichel [2] proved that $b(Q_n) = 4$, for $n \ge 7$, where Q_n is the *n*-cube In 1989, Ali [1] proved that $b(C_m \land P_n) \le 2$, and for all $m, n \ge 3$, $b(C_m \land C_n) = 3$, where C_m is a cycle with *m* edges. Next we restate Theorem 1 of [2]:

THEOREM 1.2. For any connected graph G,

$$\sum_{v \in V(G)} \left[\frac{b(G) \, d(v)}{2} \right] \geq (\operatorname{girth} G) \dim(C(G))$$

where d(v) denotes the degree of a vertex v.

The purpose of this paper is to determine the basis number of $P_m \wedge W_n$. In fact it is proved that $b(P_m \wedge W_n) = 3$, for all $m \ge 3$. It is also proved that $P_2 \wedge W_n$ is planar.

2. MAIN RESULTS

In what follows let $\{1, 2, ..., m\}$ be the vertices of P_m and let $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ be the vertices of W_n , with the vertex 1 of W_n of degree n - 1, and all other vertices of degree 3.

LEMMA 2.1. If $G = P_2 \wedge W_n$, then G is connected.

PROOF. This is clear since W_n has an odd cycle, namely a 3-cycle (see [3], p. 25). QED **COROLLARY 2.2.** If $G = P_2 \wedge W_n$, then dim C(G) = 2n - 3.

QED

PROOF. Just apply (1.1) and Lemma 2.1.

THEOREM 2.3. If $G = P_2 \wedge W_n$, then b(G) = 2 and hence G is planar.

PROOF. Consider the following sets of 4-cycles in G:

$$\begin{split} E_1 &= \{(1,1)(2,i+1)(1,i+2)(2,i+3)(1,1):i=1,2,3,...,n-3\}\\ E_2 &= \{(2,1)(1,i+1)(2,i+2)(1,i+3)(2,1):i=1,2,3,...,n-3\}\\ E_3 &= \{(1,1)(2,n-1)(1,n)(2,2)(1,1)\}\\ E_4 &= \{(1,1)(2,n)(1,2)(2,3)(1,1)\}\\ E_5 &= \{(2,1)(1,n-1)(2,n)(1,2)(2,1)\} \end{split}$$

Let $B = \bigcup_{j=1}^{5} E_j$, then $|B| = 2n - 3 = \dim C(G)$. Next we show that B is an independent set of

cycles in C(G).

It is clear that E_1 consists of n-3 independent cycles, in fact if C is a cycle in E_1 , then C contains the edge (1, i+2)(2, i+3), which is not an edge of any other cycle in E_1 , hence C cannot be written as a linear combination of the rest of the cycles in E_1 . A similar argument shows that E_2 consists of n-3independent cycles, and clearly each of E_3 , E_4 and E_5 consists of exactly one cycle; thus the cycles in each $E_j(j=1,...,5)$ are independent.

Each cycle of E_2 contains the dege (2,1)(2, i+1) which is not in E_1 , hence $E_1 \cup E_2$ is an independent set of cycles. The cycle E_3 contains the dege (1,n)(2,2), which is not in $E_1 \cup E_2$, hence $E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_3$ is an independent set of cycles. The cycle E_4 contains the dege (2,n)(1,2), which is not in $E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_3$, hence $E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_3 \cup E_4$ is an independent set of cycles. Finally it is clear that the cycle E_5 cannot be written as a linear combination of the cycles in $\bigcup_{j=1}^4 E_j$. Hence $B = \bigcup_{j=1}^5 E_j$ is an independent set of cycles in G, and, since $|B| = \dim C(G)$, B is a basis for C(G).

Next, we show that B is a 2-fold basis of C(G). Notice that if e is an edge of $E = E_1 \cup E_3 \cup E_4$ of the form $\{(1,1)(2,i+3): i=1,...,n-3\}$ then $f_E(e) = 2$, and if e_i is an edge of E, which is not of the given form, then $f_E(e_i) = 1$. Moreover, if e is an edge of $E_i = E_2 \cup E_5$ of the form $\{(2,1)(1,i+1): i=1,...,n-2\}$, then $f_{E_i}(e) \leq 2$, and if e_i is an edge of E_i , which is not of the given form then $f_{E_i}(e_i) = 1$. Moreover, if e is an edge of E_i , which is not of the given form then $f_{E_i}(e_i) = 1$, now clearly the edges of the above two forms are disjoint, hence $f_B(e) \leq 2$ for any $e \in G$; thus $b(G) \leq 2$. Now b(G) > 1 because each cycle must have at least 3 edges, which is more than the number of edges in G. Thus b(G) = 2, and hence G is planar.

REMARK 2.4. If $G = P_m \wedge W_n$, then for all $m \ge 3$, $n \ge 4$, we have:

$$\dim C(G) = 3m - 4(m+n) + 5$$

THEOREM 2.5. If $G = P_m \wedge W_n$, then for all $m \ge 3$, $b(G) \ge 3$, and hence G is nonplanar.

PROOF. If $b(G) \leq 2$, then by Theorem 1 2, we have

$$\sum_{v \in V(G)} d(v) \ge \sum_{v \in V(G)} \left[\frac{b(G) d(v)}{2} \right] \ge (\operatorname{girth} G) \dim (C(G)) ,$$

where, d(v) is the degree of the vertex v, hence

$$2|E(G)| \ge 4[|E(G)| - nm + 1]$$
, (girth $G = 4$)

ie,

$$0 \geq 2|E(G)| - 4nm + 4$$
,

Now if we evaluate and divide the inequality by four we get:

$$0 \ge mn - 2m - 2n + 3 = (m - 2)(n - 2) - 1$$
,

and since $n \ge 4$, we have

$$1 \geq (m-1)(n-2) \geq 2(m-2)$$

Hence $m \le 2.5 < 3$, thus we conclude that if $m \ge 3$, then $b(G) \ge 3$, hence G is non planar. QED **THEOREM 2.6.** If $G = P_m \land W_n$, then for all $m \ge 3$, b(G) = 3.

PROOF. The plan here is to give an independent set of cycles B in C(G), such that $|B| = \dim C(G)$, and to show that B is a 3-fold basis for C(G). To this end consider the following sets of 4-cycles in C(G) for k = 1, ..., m - 1, let

$$\begin{split} E_k &= \{(k,1)(k+1,i+1)(k,i+2)(k+1,i+3)(k,1):i=1,...,n-3\}\,,\\ E_{kl} &= \{(k+1,1)(k,i+1)(k+1,i+2)(k,i+3)(k+1,1):i=1,...,n-3\}\,,\\ A_k &= \{(k,1)(k+1,n-1)(k,n)(k+1,2)(k,1)\}\,,\\ A_{kl} &= \{(k,1)(k+1,n)(k,2)(k+1,3)(k,1)\}\,, \text{ and }\\ A_{kll} &= \{(k+1,1)(k,n-1)(k+1,n)(k,2)(k+1,1)\}\,. \end{split}$$

And for k = 1, ..., m - 2, let

$$D_k = \{(k+1,1)(k+2,i+1)(k+1,i+2)(k,i+1)(k+1,1): i = 1,...,n-2\},\$$

and

$$D_{kl} = \{(k+1,1)(k+2,n)(k+1,n-1)(k,n)(k+1,1)\}$$

Let

$$F_{k} = E_{k} \cup E_{ki} \cup A_{k} \cup A_{ki} \cup A_{kij} \cup A_{kij} (k = 1, ..., m - 1).$$

$$F = \bigcup_{k=1}^{m-1} F_{k}, H_{k} = D_{k} \cup D_{ki} (k = 1, ..., m - 2), \quad H = \bigcup_{k=1}^{m-2} H_{k}, \text{ and let } B = F \cup H. \text{ Then}$$

$$|B| = |F| + |G| = (m - 1)(2n - 3) + (m - 2)(n - 1) = 3m - 4n - 4m + 5 = \dim C(G)$$

For each k = 1, ..., m - 1, notice that F_k is just a copy of the cycle basis of $P_2 \wedge W_n$ (with $\{k, k+1\}$ as vertices of P_2), hence the cycles in each F_k are independent, and since F_ℓ is just a copy of the cycle basis of $b_2 \wedge W_n$ (with $\{\ell, \ell+1\}$ as vertices of P_2), then it follows that If $k \neq \ell$ in $\{1, ..., m-1\}$, then the cycles in F_k are edge disjoint from the cycles in F_ℓ , hence F is an independent set of cycles.

Consider H_k , for each k = 1, ..., m - 2, it is clear that the cycles in H_k are edge disjoint, hence H_k is an independent set of cycles. Moreover, if $k \neq \ell$ in $\{1, ..., m - 2\}$, then the cycles in H_k are edge disjoint from the cycles in H_ℓ , hence $H = \bigcup_{k=1}^{m-2} H_k$ is an independent set of cycles. Now if C is any 4cycle in H, then C belongs to H_k for some k, and clearly C consists of two edges in F_k and two edges in F_{k+1} , hence C cannot be written as a linear combination of cycles in F, hence $B = F \cup H$ is an independent set of cycles with $|B| = \dim C(G)$. Thus B is a basis for C(G).

It remains to show that B is a 3-fold basis for C(G), but this is clear since if e is an edge of G, then it follows from the result when m = 2 that $f_F(e) \le 2$, and $f_H(e) \le 1$, hence $f_B(e) \le 3$ (i.e., $b(G) \le 3$). Now combining this with Theorem 2.5, we see that B is a 3-fold basis for C(G) QED

REFERENCES

- [1] ALI, A. ALI, The basis numbers of the direct products of paths and cycles, Ars Combinatoria, 27 (1989), 155-164.
- [2] BANKS, J. A. and SCHMEICHEL, E. F, The basis number of the n-cube, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B 33 2 (1982), 95-100.
- [3] HARRAY, F., Graph Theory, 2nd ed., Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1971.
- [4] MacLANE, S., A combinatorial condition for planar graphs, Fund. Math. 28 (1937), 22-32.
- [5] NESETRIL, J. and PULTER, A., Products and other representation of graphs and related characteristics, in *Algebraic Methods in Graph Theory*, Colloquia Mathematica Societais Jainos Bolyai, Szeged Hungary, 25 (1978), 571-598.
- [6] SCHMEICHEL, E. F., The basis number of a graph, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B 30 2 (1981), 123-129.