

## A FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR GENERALIZED METRIC SPACES

B. E. RHOADES

Department of Mathematics  
Indiana University  
Bloomington, Indiana 47405, U.S.A.

(Received May 23, 1994)

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we prove two fixed point theorems for the generalized metric spaces introduced by Dhage.

In a recent paper, Dhage [1] defined a generalized metric space as follows: Let  $D : X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with the following properties:

- (i)  $D(x, y, z) \geq 0$  for each  $x, y, z \in X$ , with equality if and only if  $x = y = z$ ,
- (ii)  $D(x, y, z) = D(y, x, z) = D(x, z, y) = \dots$  (symmetry)
- (iii)  $D(x, y, z) \leq D(x, y, a) + D(x, a, z) + D(a, y, z)$ , for each  $x, y, z \in X$ .

2-metric spaces are defined by a function  $d : X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with properties (ii) and (iii) above, and (i) replaced by

- (i') For each distinct pair  $x, y \in X$ , there exists a  $z \in X$  such that  $d(x, y, z) \neq 0$ , and  $d(x, y, z) = 0$  if any two of the triplet  $x, y, z$  are equal.

A number of fixed point theorems have been proved for 2-metric spaces. However, Hsiao [2] showed that all such theorems are trivial in the sense that the iterations of  $f$  are all colinear. The situation for  $D$ -metric spaces is quite different. Some specific examples of  $D$ -metric spaces appear in [1].

The purpose of this paper to prove two general fixed point theorems for  $D$ -metric spaces.

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $X$  be a complete and bounded  $D$ -metric space,  $f$  a selfmap of  $X$  satisfying

$$D(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq q \max\{D(x, y, z), D(x, Tx, z), D(y, Ty, z), \\ D(x, Ty, z), D(y, Tx, z)\} \quad (1)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ,  $0 \leq q < 1$ . Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $p$  in  $X$ , and  $T$  is continuous at  $p$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $x_0 \in X$  and define  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ . If  $x_{n+1} = x_n$  for some  $n$ , then  $T$  has a fixed point. Assume that  $x_{n+1} \neq x_n$  for each  $n$ . In (1), setting  $x = x_{n-1}$ ,  $y = x_n$ ,  $z = x_{n+p}$ , we have

$$D(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+p}) \leq q \max\{D(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+p-1}), D(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+p-1}), \\ D(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+p-1}), D(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+p-1}), \\ D(x_n, x_n, x_{n+p-1})\}. \quad (2)$$

But

$$D(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+p-1}) \leq q \max\{D(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, x_{n+p-2}), D(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, x_{n+p-2}), \\ D(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+p-2}), D(x_{n-2}, x_n, x_{n+p-2}), \\ D(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, x_{n+p-2})\}, \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{x+p-1}) \leq q \max\{ & D(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+p-2}), D(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+p-2}), \\
 & D(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+p-2}), D(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+p-2}), \\
 & D(x_n, x_n, x_{n+p-2})\}, \tag{4}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+p-1}) \leq q \max\{ & D(x_{n-2}, x_n, x_{n+p-2}), D(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, x_{n+p-2}), \\
 & D(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+p-2}), D(x_{n-2}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+p-2}), \\
 & D(x_n, x_{n-1}, x_{n+p-1})\}, \tag{5}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$D(x_n, x_n, x_{n+p-1}) \leq q \max\{D(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, x_{n+p-2}), D(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+p-2})\}. \tag{6}$$

Substituting (3) - (6) into (2) gives

$$D(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+p}) \leq q^2 \max_{a,b,c} D(x_a, x_b, x_c),$$

where  $n - 2 \leq a \leq n$ ,  $n - 1 \leq b \leq n + 1$ , and  $c = n + p - 2$ . Continuing this process it follows that

$$D(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+p-1}) \leq q^n \max_{a,b,c} D(x_a, x_b, x_c), \tag{7}$$

where now  $0 \leq a \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq b \leq n + 1$ , and  $c = p$ . Let  $M := \sup_{x,y,z \in X} D(x, y, z)$ . Then, it follows from (7) that

$$D(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+p}) \leq q^n M. \tag{8}$$

Using (iii) and (8),

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(x_n, x_{n+p}, x_{n+p+t}) & \leq D(x_n, x_{n+p}, x_{n+1}) + D(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+p+t}) + D(x_{n+1}, x_{n+p}, x_{n+p+t}) \\
 & \leq 2Mq^n + D(x_{n+1}, x_{n+p}, x_{n+p+t}) \\
 & \leq 2Mq^n + D(x_{n+1}, x_{n+p}, x_{n+2}) + D(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+p+t}) \\
 & \quad + D(x_{n+2}, x_{n+p}, x_{n+p+t}) \\
 & \leq 2M(q^n + q^{n+1}) + D(x_{n+2}, x_{n+p}, x_{n+p+1}) \leq \dots \\
 & \leq 2M(q^n + q^{n+1} + \dots + q^{n+p-1}) + D(x_{n+p-1}, x_{n+p}, x_{n+p+t}) \\
 & \leq 2M \sum_{k=n}^{n+p} q^k \leq \frac{2Mq^n}{1 - q} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\{x_n\}$  is  $D$ -Cauchy. Since  $X$  is complete,  $\{x_n\}$  converges. Call the limit  $p$ .

From (1),

$$D(x_n, x_{n+1}, Tp) \leq q \max\{D(x_{n-1}, x_n, p), D(x_n, x_{n+1}, p), D(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, p), D(x_n, x_n, p)\}.$$

Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and using the fact that  $D$  is continuous, yields  $D(p, p, Tp) \leq 0$ , which implies that  $p = Tp$ .

To prove uniqueness, assume that  $w \neq p$  is also a fixed point of  $T$ . From (1),

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(p, w, p) & = D(Tp, Tw, Tp) \\
 & \leq q \max\{D(p, w, p), D(p, Tp, p), D(w, Tw, p), D(p, Tw, p), D(w, Tp, p)\} \\
 & = q \max\{D(p, w, p), D(w, w, p)\} = qD(w, w, p). \tag{9}
 \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(w, w, p) & = D(w, p, w) = D(Tw, Tp, Tw) \\
 & \leq q \max\{D(w, p, w), D(w, Tw, w), D(p, Tp, w), D(w, Tp, w), D(p, Tw, w)\} \\
 & = q \max\{D(w, p, w), D(p, p, w)\} = qD(p, p, w) \tag{10}
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (9) and (10) yields  $D(p, w, p) \leq q^2 D(p, w, p)$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $p = w$ .

To show that  $T$  is continuous at  $p$ , let  $\{y_n\} \subseteq X$  with  $\lim y_n = p$ . Then, substituting in (1), with  $x = z = p$ ,  $y = y_n$ , we obtain

$$D(Tp, Ty_n, Tp) \leq q \max\{D(p, y_n, p), D(p, Tp, p), D(y_n, Ty_n, p), D(p, Ty_n, p), D(y_n, Tp, p)\} \tag{11}$$

Taking the lim sup of (11), we obtain

$$\limsup D(p, Ty_n, p) \leq q \max\{0, 0, \limsup D(p, Ty_n, p), 0\},$$

which implies that  $\lim Ty_n = p = Tp$ , and  $T$  is continuous at  $p$ .

**COROLLARY 1.** Let  $X$  be a complete and bounded  $D$ -metric space,  $m$  a positive integer,  $T$  a selfmap of  $X$  satisfying

$$D(T^m x, T^m y, T^m z) \leq q \max\{D(x, y, z), D(x, T^m x, z), D(y, T^m y, z), D(x, T^m y, z), D(y, T^m x, z)\} \tag{1?}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ,  $0 \leq q < 1$ . Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $p$  in  $X$ , and  $T^m$  is continuous at  $p$ .

**PROOF.** From Theorem 1,  $T^m$  has a unique fixed point  $p$ , and  $T^m$  is continuous at  $p$ . But  $Tp = T(T^m p) = T^m(Tp)$ , and  $Tp$  is also a fixed point of  $T^m$ . Since the fixed point is unique,  $p = Tp$ .

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $X$  be a compact  $D$ -metric space,  $f$  a continuous selfmap of  $X$  satisfying

$$D(Tx, Ty, Tz) < \max\{D(x, y, z), D(x, Tx, z), D(y, Ty, z), D(x, Ty, z), D(y, Tx, z)\} \tag{12}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $p$  in  $X$ .

**PROOF.** Since  $X$  is compact, both sides of (12) are bounded.

**Case I.** Suppose that the right-hand-side of (12) is positive for all  $x, y, z$  in  $X$ . Define

$$f(x, y, z) := \frac{D(Tx, Ty, Tz)}{\max\{D(x, y, z), D(x, Tx, z), D(y, Ty, z), D(x, Ty, z), D(y, Tx, z)\}}$$

Since  $T$  and  $D$  are continuous, so is  $f$ . The compactness of  $X$  implies that  $f$  assumes its maximum at some point  $(u, v, w)$  in  $X$ . Call the value  $c$ . From (12), it follows that  $0 < c < 1$ . Thus  $T$  now satisfies (1) with  $q = c$ . By Theorem 1,  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $p$ .

**Case II.** Suppose there exists a point  $(x, y, z)$  such that the right-hand-side of (12) is zero. Then, in particular,  $x = Tx = z$ , and  $x$  is a fixed point of  $T$ . Suppose that  $w$  is also a fixed point of  $T$ . Then, using the same argument as in Theorem 1, it follows that  $x = w$ , and the fixed point is unique.

**COROLLARY 2.** Let  $X$  be a compact  $D$ -metric space,  $m$  a positive integer,  $T$  a continuous selfmap of  $X$  satisfying

$$D(T^m x, T^m y, T^m z) < \max\{D(x, y, z), D(x, T^m x, z), D(y, T^m y, z), D(x, T^m y, z), D(y, T^m x, z)\} \tag{12}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $p$  in  $X$ .

The proof of Corollary 2 parallels that of Corollary 1.

Theorem 2.1 and 2.2 of Dhage [1] are special cases of Theorems 1 and 2 of this paper.

There are two limitations involving fixed point theorems on  $D$ -metric spaces. The first is that the proof of the existence of a fixed point appears to require that  $X$  be bounded. The second is that there is apparently no reasonable contractive definition for a pair of maps on a  $D$ -metric space.

## REFERENCES

1. DHAGE, B. C. Generalized metric spaces and mappings with fixed point, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. **84** (1992), 329–336.
2. HSIAO, C.-R. A property of contractive type mappings in 2-metric spaces, Jnanabha **16** (1986), 223–239.