## RIESZ BASES AND POSITIVE OPERATORS ON HILBERT SPACE

## JAMES R. HOLUB

Received 2 February 2002

It is shown that a normalized Riesz basis for a Hilbert space H (i.e., the isomorphic image of an orthonormal basis in H) induces in a natural way a new, but equivalent, inner product on H in which it is an orthonormal basis, thereby extending the sense in which Riesz bases and orthonormal bases are thought of as being the *same*. A consequence of the method of proof of this result yields a series representation for all positive isomorphisms on a Hilbert space.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46B15, 46C05, 47B65.

**1. Introduction.** Let *H* denote a Hilbert space (assumed real, for notational convenience) with inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  and let  $\{x_i\}$  be a basis for *H* having coefficient functionals  $\{f_i\}$  denoted by  $\{x_i, f_i\}$ . We say that  $\{x_i, f_i\}$  is a *Riesz basis* for *H* if it has the property that  $\sum a_i x_i$  converges in *H* if and only if  $\{a_i\}$  is in the sequence space  $l^2$ . Equivalently,  $\{x_i, f_i\}$  is a Riesz basis for *H* if and only if there is an isomorphism *U* on *H* and some orthonormal basis  $\{\phi_i\}$  for *H* so that  $U\phi_i = x_i$  for all *i*, implying that Riesz bases and orthonormal bases are the "same" in linear-topological terms, but differ in geometrical ones due to the additional orthogonality relations between basis vectors in an orthonormal basis that is lacking in a Riesz basis. The result below (Theorem 2.1) shows that this is, in a sense, an artificial distinction by showing that every Riesz basis, in fact, is an orthonormal basis for *H* under a different, but equivalent, inner product.

## 2. Main results

**THEOREM 2.1.** Let  $\{x_i, f_i\}$  be a normalized Riesz basis for a Hilbert space H. Then there is an equivalent inner product on H in which  $\{x_i\}$  is an orthonormal basis for H under the norm induced by this inner product.

**PROOF.** If *x* and *y* are any two vectors in *H*, then the sequences  $\{(f_i, x)\}$  and  $\{(f_i, y)\}$  are in  $l^2$ , implying that  $\sum (f_i, x)(f_i, y)$  converges. Clearly, the bilinear form on  $H \times H$ , defined by  $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum (f_i, x)(f_i, y)$ , is then an inner product on *H* for which  $\langle x_i, x_j \rangle = d_{ij}$  for all *i* and *j*, in which  $\{x_i\}$  is an orthonormal set that is also complete, since if  $\langle x_n, x \rangle = 0$  for all *n*, then  $0 = \sum (f_i, x_n)(f_i, x) = (f_n, x)$  for all *n*; that is,  $0 = \sum (f_i, x_n)(f_i, x)$  by definition of the new inner product for all *n*, implying that  $(f_n, x) = 0$  for all *n*, and hence that x = 0.

As usual, the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  defines a norm  $\|\cdot\|_1$  on H by  $\|x\|_1^2 = \langle x, x \rangle = \sum |(f_i, x)|^2$ . Since  $\{x_i\}$  is a Riesz basis, there is an isomorphism U on H that maps each vector  $\phi_i$  in an orthonormal basis  $\{\phi_i\}$  for H to the vector  $x_i$ , implying that the isomorphism  $V = (U^*)^{-1}U^{-1}$  on H maps  $x_i$  to  $f_i$  for all i. Since, for any x in H,  $\langle x, x \rangle = \sum (f_i, x)(f_i, x) = (\sum (f_i, x)(Vx_i, x)) = \sum (f_i, x)(Vx_i, x) = (V[\sum (f_i, x)x_i], x) = (Vx, x)$ , we see that  $(Vx, x) = \sum |(f_i, x)|^2 = \|x\|_1^2$  for all X in H, so V is a positive operator. If we let W denote the positive square root of V, then W is also an isomorphism on H so that, for any x in H, we have  $\|x\|_1^2 = (Vx, x) = (Wx, Wx) = \|Wx\|^2 \le \|w\|^2 \|x\|^2$ . In the same way, we see that  $\|x\|_1^2 \le \|W^{-1}\|^2 \|x\|^2$ , and it follows that the new norm  $\|\cdot\|_1$  is equivalent to the original norm on H. In particular, H is then complete under the new norm, hence a Hilbert space, in which  $\{x_i\}$  is then an orthonormal basis, being an orthonormal set, that is complete in the new inner product.

**3. Positive operators.** In the proof above we used the fact that if  $\{x_i, f_i\}$  is a Riesz basis for a Hilbert space *H*, then the operator *U* on *H*, mapping  $x_i$  to  $f_i$ , is a positive isomorphism on *H*. It is interesting to note that, in fact, *every* positive isomorphism on *H* is such an operator for some Riesz basis in *H*, thereby providing a representation for all positive isomorphisms *U* on a Hilbert space.

**THEOREM 3.1.** An operator U on a Hilbert space on H is a positive isomorphism if and only if U is of the form  $U = \sum f_i \otimes f_i$  for some Riesz basis  $\{x_i, f_i\}$  for H (i.e.,  $Ux_i = f_i$  for all i).

**PROOF.** If  $U = \sum f_i \otimes f_i$  for some Riesz basis  $\{x_i, f_i\}$  for H,  $\{\phi_i\}$  is an orthonormal basis for H, and T is the isomorphism on H mapping  $\phi_i$  to  $f_i$  for all i, then  $U = \sum T \phi_i \otimes T \phi_i = TT^*$ , a positive isomorphism on H.

Conversely, if *U* is any positive isomorphism on *H*, then *W*, the positive square root of *U*, is also an isomorphism on *H*. If we set  $f_i = W\phi_i$  for some orthonormal basis  $\{\phi_i\}$ , then  $\{f_i\}$  is a Riesz basis for *H* so that, for any *x* in *H*, we have  $Ux = W^2x = W[\sum(\phi_i, Wx)\phi_i] = W[\sum(W\phi_i, x)\phi_i] = \sum(f_i, x)W\phi_i = \sum(f_i, x)f_i$ . That is,  $U = \sum_i f_i \otimes f_i$  and the proof is complete.

James R. Holub: Department of Mathematics, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA 24061-0123, USA

E-mail address: holubj@math.vt.edu