## ON THE WEAK UNIFORM ROTUNDITY OF BANACH SPACES

## WEN D. CHANG and PING CHANG

Received 10 June 2002

We prove that if  $X_i$ ,  $i=1,2,\ldots$ , are Banach spaces that are weak\* uniformly rotund, then their  $l_p$  product space (p>1) is weak\* uniformly rotund, and for any weak or weak\* uniformly rotund Banach space, its quotient space is also weak or weak\* uniformly rotund, respectively.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46B20.

**1. Definitions and preliminaries.** In this note, X and Y denote Banach spaces and  $X^*$  and  $Y^*$  denote the conjugate spaces of X and Y, respectively. Let  $A \subset X$  be a closed subset and X/A denote the quotient space. We use S(X) for the unit sphere in X and  $P_{l_p}(X_i)$  for the  $l_p$  product space. We refer to [1,3] for the following definitions and notations. For more recent treatment, one may see, for example, [2].

**DEFINITION 1.1.** A Banach space X is  $UR^{A'}$ , where A' is a nonempty subset of  $X^*$ , if and only if for any pair of sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in S(X), if  $\|x_n + y_n\| \to 2$ , then  $f(x_n - y_n) \to 0$  for all f in A'.

**DEFINITION 1.2.** A Banach space X is WUR (weakly uniformly rotund) if and only if X is  $UR^{X^*}$ .

**DEFINITION 1.3.** The conjugate space  $X^*$  is W\*UR (weak\* uniformly rotund) if and only if X is UR $^{Q(X)}$ , where  $Q: X \to X^{**}$  is the canonical embedding.

- **2. Some results on the weak\* and weak uniform rotundity.** From the definition, we clearly have the following corollary.
- **LEMMA 2.1.** The Banach space X is  $W^*UR$  if and only if for any pair of sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in X, if  $\|x_n\| \|y_n\| \to 0$ ,  $\{\|y_n\|\}$  is bounded, and  $\|x_n\| + \|y_n\| \|x_n + y_n\| \to 0$ , then  $x_n y_n \xrightarrow{w^*} \theta$ .

**THEOREM 2.2.** Suppose that  $X_i$ , i = 1, 2, ..., are  $W^*$  UR, then for p > 1,  $P_{l_p}(X_i)$  is  $W^*$  UR.

**PROOF.** Let  $X_i = Y_i^*$ , then  $P_{l_p}(X_i) = [P_{l_q}(Y_i)]^*$  (where 1/p + 1/q = 1) (see [2]). Let  $\{x_n\} = \{(x_1^n, x_2^n, x_3^n, ..., x_m^n, ...)\} \in P_{l_p}(X_i)$ ,  $\{y_n\} = \{(y_1^n, y_2^n, y_3^n, ..., y_m^n, ...)\} \in P_{l_p}(X_i)$ ,  $\|x_n + y_n\| \to 2$ . Using the properties of  $l_p$  norm and Minkowski

inequality, one can see, for each i, that there exists a subsequence of  $\{n\}$ ,  $\{n_k^i\}$ , such that  $\lim_{k\to\infty}\|x_i^{n_k^i}\|=\lim_{k\to\infty}\|y_i^{n_k^i}\|$  and  $\lim_{k\to\infty}\|x_i^{n_k^i}+y_i^{n_k^i}\|=\lim_{k\to\infty}\|\|x_i^{n_k^i}\|+\|y_i^{n_k^i}\|$ . We now choose a subsequence with the diagonal method, without loss of generality, still use  $\{n\}$  as the index such that for each i, we have  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|x_i^n\|-\lim_{n\to\infty}\|y_i^n\|=0$  and  $\lim_{k\to\infty}\|\|x_i^n\|+\|y_i^n\|-\|x_i^n+y_i^n\|\|=0$ . Since  $X_i$  is W\*UR for each i, by the lemma, we have

$$x_i^n - y_i^n \xrightarrow{\mathbf{w}^*} \theta. \tag{2.1}$$

Suppose that  $P_{l_p}(X_i)$  is not W\*UR, then there exist sequences  $\{x_n\} \in S(P_{l_p}(X_i)), \{y_n\} \in S(P_{l_p}(X_i)), \|x_n+y_n\| \to 2$ , but  $x_n-y_n$  does not converge (w\*) to  $\theta$ . So, there must be an  $a=(a_1,a_2,...,a_i,...)$  in  $P_{l_q}(Y_i)$ , with  $a_i \in Y_i$ , such that  $|(x^n-y^n)(a)|$  does not converge to 0. Therefore, there exist  $\epsilon > 0$  and a subsequence of  $\{n\}$  (for simplicity, we still use  $\{n\}$ ) such that  $|(x^n-y^n)(a)| > \epsilon$ , which implies that one can find an integer m, sufficiently large, so that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} |(x_i^n - y_i^n)(a_i)| > \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$
 (2.2)

Let  $(n_k)$  be the subsequence of  $\{n\}$  such that (2.1) holds. By (2.2), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} |(x_i^{n_k} - y_i^{n_k})(a_i)| > \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$
 (2.3)

Let  $k \to \infty$  in (2.3), we have a contradiction  $0 > \epsilon/2$ .

The proof is complete.

**THEOREM 2.3.** Suppose that  $X = Y^*$  and A is any  $w^*$  closed subspace of X. If X is  $W^*UR$ , then X/A is  $W^*UR$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $D = \{ y \in Y \mid x(y) = 0 \text{ for any } x \in A \}$ , then

$$A = \{ x \in X \mid x(y) = 0 \text{ for any } y \in D \}, \tag{2.4}$$

see [4]. So, We have  $D^* \simeq X/A$ .

Suppose that X/A is not W\*UR, then there exist  $\{\tilde{x}_n\}$  and  $\{\tilde{y}_n\}$  in X/A such that  $\|\tilde{x}_n\| = \|\tilde{y}_n\| = 1$ ,  $\|\tilde{x}_n + \tilde{y}_n\| \to 2$ , but  $\tilde{x}_n - \tilde{y}_n$  does not converge (w\*) to  $\theta$ . Here,  $\tilde{x} = \pi(x)$ , where  $\pi : X \to X/A$ .

Now, for each n, take  $x_n \in \tilde{x}_n$  and  $y_n \in \tilde{y}_n$ ,  $1 \le \|x_n\| \le 1 + 1/n$ ,  $1 \le \|y_n\| \le 1 + 1/n$ , then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n + y_n\| = 2$ . Since X is  $W^*UR$ , we have  $x_n - y_n \xrightarrow{w^*} \theta$ ,  $\pi$  is  $w^*-w^*$  continuous. So, we must have  $\tilde{x}_n - \tilde{y}_n \xrightarrow{w^*} \theta$ . That contradicts the above, and the proof is complete.

**THEOREM 2.4.** Suppose that A is a closed subspace of X and X is WUR (Definition 1.2), then X/A is WUR.

**PROOF.** The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.3.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. M. Day, *Normed Linear Spaces*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, vol. 21, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.
- [2] R. Deville, G. Godefroy, and V. Zizler, Smoothness and Renormings in Banach Spaces, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 64, Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow, 1993, copublished in the United States with John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- [3] M. A. Smith, Banach spaces that are uniformly rotund in weakly compact sets of directions, Canad. J. Math. 29 (1977), no. 5, 963-970.
- [4] A. E. Taylor, *Introduction to Functional Analysis*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1958.

Wen D. Chang: Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Alabama State University, Montgomery, AL 36104, USA

E-mail address: wchang@asunet.alasu.edu

Ping Chang: Singapore Air Accounting Center in Beijing, Beijing, China

E-mail address: lovasun@charter.net