

# MATRIX TRANSFORMATIONS BETWEEN THE SPACES OF CESÀRO SEQUENCES AND INVARIANT MEANS

M. MURSALEEN, E. SAVAŞ, M. AIYUB, AND S. A. MOHIUDDINE

Received 6 March 2005; Revised 16 October 2005; Accepted 18 December 2005

The main purpose of this paper is to characterize the classes of matrices  $(ces[(p),(q)], c^\sigma)$  and  $(ces[(p),(q)], l_\infty^\sigma)$ , where  $c^\sigma$  is the space of all bounded sequences all of whose  $\sigma$ -means are equal,  $l_\infty^\sigma$  is the space of  $\sigma$ -bounded sequences, and  $ces[(p),(q)]$  is the generalized Cesàro sequence space.

Copyright © 2006 Hindawi Publishing Corporation. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\omega$  be the space of all sequences, real or complex, and let  $l_\infty$  and  $c$ , respectively, be the Banach spaces of bounded and convergent sequences  $x = (x_n)$  with norm  $\|x\| = \sup_{k \geq 0} |x_k|$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a mapping of the set of positive integers into itself. A continuous linear functional  $\phi$  on  $l_\infty$  is said to be an invariant mean or a  $\sigma$ -mean if and only if (i)  $\phi(x) \geq 0$ , when the sequence  $x = (x_n)$  has  $x_n \geq 0$  for each  $n$ ; (ii)  $\phi(e) = 1$ , where  $e = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$ ; and (iii)  $\phi((x_{\sigma(n)})) = \phi(x)$ ,  $x \in l_\infty$ .

For certain kinds of mappings, every  $\sigma$ -mean extends the limit functional  $\phi$  on  $c$  in the sense that  $\phi(x) = \lim x$  for  $x \in c$  (see [2, 15]). Consequently,  $c \subset c^\sigma$ , where  $c^\sigma$  is the set of bounded sequences, all of whose invariant means are equal (see [1, 9, 10]). When  $\sigma$  is translation, the  $\sigma$ -means are classical Banach limits on  $l_\infty$  (see [2]) and  $c^\sigma$  is the set of almost convergent sequences  $\hat{c}$  (see [7]). Almost convergence for double sequences was introduced and studied by Móricz and Rhoades [8] and further by Mursaleen and Savaş [13], Mursaleen and Edely [12], and Mursaleen [11].

If  $x = (x_n)$ , write  $Tx = (Tx_n) = (x_{\sigma(n)})$ , then

$$c^\sigma = \left\{ x \in l_\infty : \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} t_{m,n}(x) = L, \text{ uniformly in } n, L = \sigma - \lim x \right\}, \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$t_{m,n}(x) = \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{j=0}^m T^j x_n \text{ with } T^j x_n = x_{\sigma^j(n)}, t_{-1,n}(x) = 0. \quad (1.2)$$

We define  $l_\infty^\sigma$  the space of  $\sigma$ -bounded sequences (Ahmad et al. [2]) in the following way.

## 2 Matrix transformations

Let  $x_n = z_0 + z_1 + z_2 + \cdots + z_n$  and

$$l_\infty^\sigma = \left\{ z \in \omega : \sup_{m,n} |\psi_{m,n}(z)| < \infty \right\}, \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{m,n}(z) &= t_{m,n}(x) - t_{m-1,n}(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{m(m+1)} \sum_{j=1}^m j \sum_{i=h_{j-1}+1}^{h_j} z_i, \quad h_j = \sigma^j(n). \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

If  $\sigma(n) = (n+1)$ , then  $l_\infty^\sigma$  is the set of almost bounded sequences  $\widehat{l}_\infty$  (see [14]).

Let  $A = (a_{nk})$  be an infinite matrix of complex numbers  $a_{nk}$  ( $n, k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and  $X, Y$  two subsets of  $\omega$ . We say that the matrix  $A$  defines a matrix transformation from  $X$  into  $Y$  if for every sequence  $x = (x_k) \in X$  the sequence  $A(x) = (A_n(x)) \in Y$ , where  $A_n(x) = \sum_k a_{nk} x_k$  converges for each  $n$ . We denote the class of matrix transformations from  $X$  into  $Y$  by  $(X, Y)$ .

The main purpose of this paper is to characterize the classes  $(\text{ces}[(p), (q)], c^\sigma)$  and  $(\text{ces}[(p), (q)], l_\infty^\sigma)$  and deduce some known and unknown interesting results as corollaries.

The classes  $(\text{ces}[(p), (q)], c^\sigma)$  and  $(\text{ces}[(p), (q)], l_\infty^\sigma)$  are due to Khan and Rahman [4].

If  $\{q_n\}$  is a sequence of positive real numbers, then for  $p = (p_r)$  with  $\inf p_r > 0$ , we define the space  $\text{ces}[(p), (q)]$  by

$$\text{ces}[(p), (q)] = \left\{ x \in \omega : \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{Q_{2^r}} \sum_r q_k |x_k| \right)^{p_r} < \infty \right\}, \quad (1.5)$$

where  $Q_{2^r} = q_{2^r} + q_{2^r+1} + \cdots + q_{2^{r+1}-1}$  and  $\sum_r$  denotes a sum over the range  $2^r \leq k < 2^{r+1}$ .

*Remark 1.1.* If  $q_n = 1$  for all  $n$ , then  $\text{ces}[(p), (q)]$  reduces to  $\text{ces}(p)$  studied by Lim [6]. Also, if  $p_n = p$  for all  $n$  and  $q_n = 1$  for all  $n$ , then  $\text{ces}[(p), (q)]$  reduces to  $\text{ces}_p$  studied by Lim [5].

For any bounded sequence  $p$ , the space  $\text{ces}[(p), (q)]$  is a paranormed space with the paranorm given by (see [4])

$$g(x) = \left( \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{Q_{2^r}} \sum_r q_k |x_k| \right)^{p_r} \right)^{1/M} \quad (1.6)$$

if  $H = \sup_r p_r < \infty$  and  $M = \max(1, H)$ .

## 2. Sequence-to-sequence transformations

In this section, we characterize the classes  $(\text{ces}[(p), (q)], c^\sigma)$  and  $(\text{ces}[(p), (q)], l_\infty^\sigma)$ .

We write  $a(n, k)$  to denote the elements  $a_{nk}$  of the matrix  $A$ , and for all integers  $n, m \geq 1$ , we write

$$\begin{aligned} t_{mn}(Ax) &= \frac{Ax_n + TAx_n + \cdots + T^m Ax_n}{m+1} \\ &= \sum_k t(n, k, m)x_k, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where  $t(n, k, m) = 1/(m+1) \sum_{j=0}^m a(\sigma^j(n), k)$ .

We also define the spaces of  $\sigma$ -convergent series and  $\sigma$ -bounded series, respectively, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} c_s^\sigma &= \left\{ x : \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \frac{1}{i+1} \sum_{j=0}^i x_{\sigma^j(n)} \right) \text{ is convergent uniformly in } n, \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty \right\}, \\ b_s^\sigma &= \left\{ x : \sup_{n, m} \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \frac{1}{i+1} \sum_{j=0}^i x_{\sigma^j(n)} \right) < \infty \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

If we take  $\sigma(n) = n+1$ ,  $c_s^\sigma$  and  $b_s^\sigma$  reduce to  $\hat{c}_s$  and  $\hat{b}_s$ , as defined below:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{c}_s &= \left\{ x : \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \frac{1}{i+1} \sum_{j=0}^i x_{j+n} \right) \text{ is convergent uniformly in } n, \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty \right\}, \\ \hat{b}_s &= \left\{ x : \sup_{n, m} \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \frac{1}{i+1} \sum_{j=0}^i x_{j+n} \right) < \infty \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Now we prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $1 < p_r \leq \sup_r p_r < \infty$ . Then  $A \in (\text{ces}[(p), (q)], c^\sigma)$  if and only if*

(i) *there exists an integer  $E > 1$  such that for all  $n$ ,*

$$U(E) = \sup_m \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left( Q_{2^r} \max_r \left( \frac{|t(n, k, m)|}{q_k} \right) \right)^{t_r} E^{-t_r} < \infty, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $1/p_r + 1/t_r = 1$ ,  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $\max_r$  means maximum over  $2^r \leq k < 2^{r+1}$ ;

(ii)  $a^{(k)} = (a_{nk})_{n=1}^{\infty} \in c^\sigma$  for each  $k$ , that is,  $\lim_m t(n, k, m) = u_k$  uniformly in  $n$ , for each  $k$ .

In this case,  $\sigma$ -limit of  $Ax$  is  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k x_k$ .

*Proof*

*Necessity.* Suppose that  $A \in (\text{ces}[(p), (q)], c^\sigma)$ . Now  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t(n, k, m)x_k$  exists for each  $m$  and  $n$  and  $x \in \text{ces}[(p), (q)]$ , whence  $\{t(n, k, m)\}_k \in \text{ces}^*[(p), (q)]$  for each  $m$  and  $n$ , (see F. M. Khan and M. A. Khan [3] for Köthe-Toeplitz and continuous duals of  $\text{ces}[(p), (q)]$ ).

#### 4 Matrix transformations

Therefore, it follows that each  $\{f_{m,n}\}_m$  defined by

$$f_{m,n}(x) = t_{m,n}(Ax) \quad (2.5)$$

is an element of  $\text{ces}^*[(p), (q)]$ . Since  $\text{ces}[(p), (q)]$  is complete and further for each  $n$ ,  $\sup_m |t_{m,n}(Ax)| < \infty$  on  $\text{ces}[(p), (q)]$ . Now arguing with the uniform boundedness principle, we have condition (i). Since  $e_k \in \text{ces}[(p), (q)]$ , condition (ii) follows.

*Sufficiency.* Suppose that the conditions hold. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For every integer  $s \geq 1$ , from (i) we have

$$\sum_{r=0}^s \left( Q_{2^r} \max_r (q_k^{-1} |t(n, k, m)|) \right)^{t_r} E^{-t_r} \leq \sup_m \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left( Q_{2^r} \max_r (q_k^{-1} |t(n, k, m)|) \right)^{t_r} E^{-t_r}. \quad (2.6)$$

Now letting  $s \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left( Q_{2^r} \max_r (q_k^{-1} |t(n, k, m)|) \right)^{t_r} E^{-t_r} \leq \sup_m \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left( Q_{2^r} \max_r (q_k^{-1} |t(n, k, m)|) \right)^{t_r} E^{-t_r}. \quad (2.7)$$

Therefore, from (ii) we have

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left( Q_{2^r} \max_r (q_k^{-1} |u_k|) \right)^{t_r} E^{-t_r} \leq \sup_m \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left( Q_{2^r} \max_r (q_k^{-1} |t(n, k, m)|) \right)^{t_r} E^{-t_r} < \infty. \quad (2.8)$$

Hence  $(u_k)_k$  and  $\{t(n, k, m)\}_k \in \text{ces}^*[(p), (q)]$ , therefore the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t(n, k, m)x_k$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k x_k$  converge for each  $m$  and  $n$  and  $x \in \text{ces}[(p), (q)]$ . For given  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $x \in \text{ces}[(p), (q)]$ , choose  $s$  such that

$$\left( \sum_{r=s+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{Q_{2^r}} \sum_r q_k |x_k| \right)^{p_r} \right)^{1/M} < \epsilon. \quad (2.9)$$

Since (ii) holds, there exists  $m_0$  such that

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^s t(n, k, m) - u_k \right| < \epsilon \quad \forall m > m_0. \quad (2.10)$$

Since (i) holds, it follows that

$$\left| \sum_{k=s+1}^{\infty} t(n, k, m) - u_k \right| \text{ is arbitrary small.} \quad (2.11)$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_m \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t(n, k, m)x_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k x_k, \quad \text{uniformly in } n. \quad (2.12)$$

This completes the proof. □

*Remark 2.1.* For different choices of  $p, q$ , and  $\sigma$ , we can deduce many corollaries from the above theorem to characterize the matrix classes, for example,  $(ces(p), c^\sigma)$ ,  $(ces_p, c^\sigma)$ ,  $(ces_p(q), c^\sigma)$ ,  $(ces[(p), (q)], \hat{c})$ , and so forth. The class  $(ces(p), \hat{c})$  was characterized by F. M. Khan and M. A. Khan [3] which we can obtain directly from our theorem by taking  $q_n = 1$  for all  $n$  and  $\sigma(n) = n + 1$ .

We write (see [2])

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &= z_0 + z_1 + \dots + z_n, \\ \psi_{m,n}(Az) &= \sum_k \alpha(n, k, m)z_k, \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

where

$$\alpha(n, k, m) = \frac{1}{m(m+1)} \sum_{j=1}^m j \left[ \sum_{i=h_{j-1}+1}^{h_j} a_{ik} \right], \quad h_j = \sigma^j(n). \quad (2.14)$$

Now we prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $1 < p_r \leq \sup_r p_r < \infty$ . Then  $A \in (ces[(p), (q)], l_\infty^\sigma)$  if and only if*

$$\sup_{m,n} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left( Q_{2^r} \max_r (q_k^{-1} |\alpha(n, k, m)|) \right)^{t_r} E^{-t_r} < \infty, \quad (2.15)$$

where  $E$  is an integer greater than 1 and  $1/p_r + 1/t_r = 1, r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

*Proof*

*Necessity.* Suppose that  $A \in (ces[(p), (q)], l_\infty^\sigma)$ . Now  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha(n, k, m)z_k$  exists for each  $m$  and  $n$  and  $z \in ces[(p), (q)]$ , whence  $\{\alpha(n, k, m)\}_k \in ces^*[(p), (q)]$  for each  $m$  and  $n$ . Therefore, it follows that  $\{f_{m,n}\}$  defined by

$$f_{m,n}(x) = \psi_{m,n}(Az) \quad (2.16)$$

is an element of  $ces^*[(p), (q)]$ . Since  $ces[(p), (q)]$  is complete and further  $\sup_{m,n} |\psi_{m,n}(Az)| < \infty$  on  $ces[(p), (q)]$ , so by arguing with uniform boundedness principle, we have the condition.

## 6 Matrix transformations

*Sufficiency.* Suppose that condition (2.15) holds. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For every integer  $s \geq 1$  we have

$$\sum_{r=0}^s \left( Q_{2^r} \max_r (q_k^{-1} |\alpha(n, k, m)|) \right)^{t_r} E^{-t_r} \leq \sup_{m, n} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left( Q_{2^r} \max_r (q_k^{-1} |\alpha(n, k, m)|) \right)^{t_r} E^{-t_r}. \quad (2.17)$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{r=0}^s \left( Q_{2^r} \max_r (q_k^{-1} |\alpha(n, k, m)|) \right)^{t_r} E^{-t_r} \\ \leq \sup_{m, n} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left( Q_{2^r} \max_r (q_k^{-1} |\alpha(n, k, m)|) \right)^{t_r} E^{-t_r} < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

Hence  $\{\alpha(n, k, m)\} \in \text{ces}^*[(p), (q)]$ . Therefore, the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha(n, k, m) z_k$  converges for each  $m$  and  $n$  and  $z \in \text{ces}[(p), (q)]$ .

This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 2.2.* The matrix class  $(\text{ces}(p), \widehat{l}_{\infty})$ , was characterized by F. M. Khan and M. A. Khan [3] which we can obtain directly from the above theorem by letting  $q_n = 1$  for all  $n$  and  $\sigma(n) = n + 1$ . Besides, we can further deduce many corollaries for different choices of  $p$ ,  $q$ , and  $\sigma$ .

## 3. Sequence-to-series transformations

For all integers  $m, n \geq 1$ , we write

$$t_{mn}^*(Ax) = \sum_{i=1}^m t_{in}(Ax) = \sum_k \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{i+1} \sum_{j=0}^i a(\sigma^j(n), k) x_k = \sum_k t^*(m, n, k) x_k, \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$t^*(m, n, k) = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{i+1} \sum_{j=0}^i a(\sigma^j(n), k). \quad (3.2)$$

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $1 < p_r \leq \sup_r p_r < \infty$ . Then  $A \in (\text{ces}[(p), (q)], c_s^{\sigma})$  if and only if*

(i) *there exists an integer  $E > 1$  such that for all  $n$ ,*

$$U(E) = \sup_m \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left( Q_{2^r} \max_r \left( \frac{|t^*(n, k, m)|}{q_k} \right) \right)^{t_r} E^{-t_r} < \infty, \quad (3.3)$$

where  $1/p_r + 1/t_r = 1$ ,  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $\max_r$  means maximum over  $2^r \leq k \leq 2^{r+1}$ ;

(ii)  $a_{(k)} = \{a_{nk}\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in c_s^{\sigma}$  for each  $k$ , that is,  $\lim_m t^*(n, k, m) = u_k$  uniformly in  $n$ , for each  $k$ .

In this case, the  $\sigma$ -limit of  $Ax$  is  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k x_k$ .

**THEOREM 3.2.** Let  $1 < p_r \leq \sup_r p_r < \infty$ . Then  $A \in (\text{ces}[(p), (q)], b_{\infty}^{\sigma})$  if and only if

$$\sup_{m,n} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left( Q_{2^r} \max_r (q_k^{-1} |t^*(n, k, m)|) \right)^{t_r} E^{-t_r} < \infty, \quad (3.4)$$

where  $E$  is an integer greater than 1 and  $1/p_r + 1/t_r = 1$ ,  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

Proofs of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 are similar to those of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, respectively.

*Remark 3.1.* If  $\sigma$  is translation, then Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 give the characterization for the classes  $(\text{ces}[(p), (q)], \hat{c}_s)$  and  $(\text{ces}[(p), (q)], \hat{b}_s)$ . As Remarks 2.1 and 2.2, for different choices of  $p$ ,  $q$ , and  $\sigma$ , we can deduce many corollaries.

## References

- [1] Z. U. Ahmad and M. Mursaleen, *An application of Banach limits*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **103** (1988), no. 1, 244–246.
- [2] Z. U. Ahmad, M. Mursaleen, and Q. A. Khan, *Invariant means and some matrix transformations*, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics **23** (1999), no. 4, 533–539.
- [3] F. M. Khan and M. A. Khan, *Matrix transformation on certain sequence spaces*, Tamkang Journal of Mathematics **19** (1988), no. 4, 25–30.
- [4] F. M. Khan and M. F. Rahman, *Infinite matrices and Cesàro sequence spaces*, Analysis Mathematica **23** (1997), no. 1, 3–11.
- [5] K. P. Lim, *Matrix transformation in the Cesàro sequence spaces*, Kyungpook Mathematical Journal **14** (1994), no. 2, 221–227.
- [6] ———, *Matrix transformation on certain sequence spaces*, Tamkang Journal of Mathematics **8** (1997), no. 2, 213–220.
- [7] G. G. Lorentz, *A contribution to the theory of divergent sequences*, Acta Mathematica **80** (1948), 167–190.
- [8] F. Móricz and B. E. Rhoades, *Almost convergence of double sequences and strong regularity of summability matrices*, Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society **104** (1988), no. 2, 283–294.
- [9] M. Mursaleen, *Matrix transformations between some new sequence spaces*, Houston Journal of Mathematics **9** (1983), no. 4, 505–509.
- [10] ———, *On some new invariant matrix methods of summability*, The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics. Oxford. Second Series **34** (1983), no. 133, 77–86.
- [11] ———, *Almost strongly regular matrices and a core theorem for double sequences*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **293** (2004), no. 2, 523–531.
- [12] M. Mursaleen and O. H. H. Edely, *Almost convergence and a core theorem for double sequences*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **293** (2004), no. 2, 532–540.
- [13] M. Mursaleen and E. Savaş, *Almost regular matrices for double sequences*, Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica **40** (2003), no. 1-2, 205–212.
- [14] S. Nanda, *Some sequence spaces and almost boundedness*, Matematički Vesnik **13(28)** (1976), no. 2, 179–186.

## 8 Matrix transformations

- [15] R. A. Raimi, *Invariant means and invariant matrix methods of summability*, Duke Mathematical Journal **30** (1963), no. 1, 81–94.

M. Mursaleen: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, King Abdul-Aziz University,  
P.O. Box 80203, Jeddah, The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

*E-mail address:* mursaleen@math.com

E. Savaş: Department of Mathematics, Y. Y. University, 65080 Van, Turkey

*E-mail address:* ekremsavas@yahoo.com

M. Aiyub: Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University (AMU),  
Aligarh 202002, India

*E-mail address:* mohdaiyub@rediffmail.com

S. A. Mohiuddine: Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University (AMU),  
Aligarh 202002, India

*E-mail address:* mohiuddine@math.com