Hindawi Publishing Corporation International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences Volume 2010, Article ID 231326, 4 pages doi:10.1155/2010/231326

Research Article **Note on Colon-Multiplication Domains**

A. Mimouni

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, King Fahd University of Petroleum & Minerals, P.O. Box 278, Dhahran 31261, Saudi Arabia

Correspondence should be addressed to A. Mimouni, amimouni@kfupm.edu.sa

Received 8 April 2010; Accepted 25 August 2010

Academic Editor: David Dobbs

Copyright © 2010 A. Mimouni. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Let *R* be an integral domain with quotient field *L*. Call a nonzero (fractional) ideal *A* of *R* a colonmultiplication ideal any ideal *A*, such that B(A : B) = A for every nonzero (fractional) ideal *B* of *R*. In this note, we characterize integral domains for which every maximal ideal (resp., every nonzero ideal) is a colon-multiplication ideal. It turns that this notion unifies Dedekind and *MTP* domains.

1. Introduction

Let R be an integral domain which is not a field with quotient field L. For any nonzero (fractional) ideals A and B, $B(A : B) \subseteq A$ and the inclusion may be strict. We say that A is *B*-colon-multiplication if equality holds, that is, A = B(A : B). A nonzero (fractional) ideal A is said to be a colon-multiplication ideal if A is B-colon-multiplication for every nonzero (fractional) ideal B of R, and the domain R is called a colon-multiplication domain if all its nonzero (fractional) ideals are colon-multiplication ideals. The purpose of this note is to characterize integral domains R that are colon-multiplication domains. This notion unifies the notions of Dedekind domains and MTP domains (i.e., domains R such that for every nonzero (fractional) ideal I, either I is invertible or II^{-1} is a maximal ideal of R). Precisely we prove that for a domain *R*, every maximal ideal is a colon-multiplication ideal if and only if either *R* is a Dedekind domain or a local MTP domain (Theorem 2.2), and a domain *R* is a colonmultiplication domain if and only if *R* is a Dedekind domain (Theorem 2.4). We also provide an example showing that the notions of colon-multiplication ideals and multiplication ideals (i.e., ideals A such that for every ideal $B \subseteq A$, there exists an ideal C such that B = AC) do not imply each other; however, over Noetherian domains, multiplication domains and colon-multiplication domains collapse to Dedekind domains.

Throughout, *R* is an integral domain with quotient field *L*, Spec(*R*) denotes the set of all prime ideals of *R*, and *F*(*R*) denotes the set of all nonzero fractional ideals of *R*, that is, *R*-submodules of *L* such that $dA \subseteq R$ for some nonzero $d \in R$. For $A, B \in F(R)$, $(A : B) = \{x \in L \mid xB \subseteq A\}$ and $A^{-1} = (R : A)$. Unreferenced material is standard, typically as in [1] or [2].

2. Main Results

Definition 2.1. (1) Let *R* be a domain, and *A* and *B* two nonzero (fractional) ideals of *R*. We say that *A* is *B*-colon-multiplication if A = B(A : B).

(2) A nonzero (fractional) ideal *A* is said to be a colon-multiplication ideal if *A* is *B*-colon-multiplication for every nonzero (fractional) ideal *B* of *R*.

(3) A domain R is said to be a colon-multiplication domain if every nonzero (fractional) ideal A of R is colon-multiplication.

Our first main theorem characterizes integral domains for which every maximal ideal is colon-multiplication. Before stating the result, we recall that a domain *R* is said to be an MTP domain (MTP stands for maximal trace property) if for every nonzero (fractional) ideal *I* of *R* either $II^{-1} = R$ or $II^{-1} = M$ is a maximal ideal of *R* [3]. For more details on the trace properties see [4].

Theorem 2.2. Let *R* be an integral domain. The following statements are equivalent.

- (1) Every nonzero prime ideal of *R* is colon-multiplication;
- (2) Every maximal ideal of R is colon-multiplication;
- (3) Either R is a Dedekind domain or a local MTP domain.

We need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. Let *R* be an integral domain and I a nonzero invertible (fractional) ideal of *R*. Then every nonzero (fractional) ideal A of *R* is I-colon-multiplication.

Proof. This follows immediately from the (easily verified) fact that if *I* is invertible, then (*A* : I) = AI^{-1} for each nonzero ideal *A*.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ Trivial.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) First we claim that *R* is an MTP domain. Indeed, let *I* be a nonzero (fractional) ideal of *R*. Assume that $II^{-1} \subseteq R$ and let *M* be a maximal ideal such that $II^{-1} \subseteq M$. Then $I^{-1} \subseteq (M : I) \subseteq I^{-1}$ and so $I^{-1} = (M : I)$. Since *M* is *I*-colon-multiplication, $M = I(M : I) = II^{-1}$, and therefore *R* is an MTP domain. Now, if *R* is a Dedekind domain, we are done. Assume that *R* is not Dedekind. Then *R* is an MTP domain with a unique noninvertible maximal ideal *M* [4, Corollary 2.11]. Then $MM^{-1} = M$. Now if *N* is a maximal ideal of *R*, by (2) *N* is *M*-colon-multiplication. So $N = M(N : M) \subseteq MM^{-1} = M$ and, by maximality, N = M. It follows that *R* is a local MTP domain, as desired.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ If *R* is a Dedekind domain, then (1) it holds by Lemma 2.3. Assume that *R* is a local MTP domain. Then *R* is a one-dimensional domain [3, Proposition 2.10]. Hence Spec(*R*) = {(0) \subseteq *M*} and so *M* is the unique nonzero prime ideal of *R*. Now, let *A* be a nonzero (fractional) ideal of *R*. If *A* is invertible, by Lemma 2.3, *M* is *A*-colon-multiplication. Assume that $AA^{-1} \subseteq R$. Then necessarily $AA^{-1} = M$. Hence $A^{-1} = (M : A)$ and therefore $M = AA^{-1} = A(M : A)$, as desired.

The next result shows that colon-multiplication domains collapse to Dedekind domains.

Theorem 2.4. Let *R* be an integral domain. The following statements are equivalent.

- (1) *R* is a colon-multiplication domain;
- (2) Every nonzero principal (fractional) ideal of R is colon-multiplication;
- (3) *R* has a nonzero principal (fractional) ideal that is colon-multiplication;
- (4) *R* is a Dedekind domain.

Proof. $(1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3)$ are trivial.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$ Suppose that *R* has a nonzero principal (fractional) ideal I = aR that is colonmultiplication. Let *J* be any nonzero ideal of *R*. Then *I* is *J*-colon-multiplication. Hence $aR = I = J(I : J) = J(aR : J) = aJJ^{-1}$ and therefore $R = JJ^{-1}$, as desired.

 $(4) \Rightarrow (1)$. it Follows immediately from Lemma 2.3.

We recall that an ideal A of a commutative ring R is a multiplication ideal if for every ideal $B \subseteq A$ there exists an ideal C such that B = AC, and the ring R is a multiplication ring if each ideal of R is a multiplication ideal. Note that from the equation B = AC, we have $C \subseteq (B : A)$. Thus $B = AC \subseteq A(B : A)$, and so we have B = A(B : A). Hence if A is a multiplication ideal of an integral domain R, then every subideal B of A is A-colon-multiplication. According to [5], a multiplication ideal is locally principal, but not conversely. However, a finitely generated locally principal ideal is a multiplication ideal [6]. In particular, in Noetherian domain, multiplication domain and colon-multiplication domain collapse to Dedekind domain. However, the two notions (multiplication and colon-multiplication) do not imply each other as is shown by the following example.

Example 2.5. (1) It provides a maximal ideal *M* of a domain *R* which is colon-multiplication but not a multiplication ideal.

Let *k* be a field and *X* and *Y* indeterminates over *k*. Set R = k + Yk(X)[[Y]] = k + M. Clearly *R* is a one-dimensional PVD (pseudovaluation domain) and therefore a local MTP domain (here note that pseudovaluation domains have the trace property, [3, Example 2.12], and so the maximal trace property if dim R = 1). By Theorem 2.2, *M* is colon-multiplication. However, *M* is not a multiplication ideal since *M* is not "locally" principal [5].

(2) Let *R* be a non-Dedekind domain. By Theorem 2.4, not every nonzero principal ideal is colon-multiplication. However, every principal ideal is a multiplication ideal [6].

Given a nonzero (fractional) ideal *A* of an integral domain, we define the map φ_A : $F(R) \rightarrow F(R), B \mapsto A(B : A)$. The next proposition characterizes maps φ_A that are surjective.

Proposition 2.6. Let *R* be an integral domain and *A* a nonzero (fractional) ideal of *R*. The following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) $\varphi_A = id$ (*i.e.*, *B* is *A*-colon-multiplication for each $B \in F(R)$);
- (2) φ_A is surjective;
- (3) A is invertible.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Trivial.

International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ Assume that φ_A is surjective. Then there exists $B \in F(R)$ such that $A(B : A) = \varphi_A(B) = R$. Hence *A* is invertible.

(3)⇒(1) Assume that *A* is invertible. By Lemma 2.3, every *B* ∈ *F*(*R*) is *A*-colon-multiplication. Hence $\varphi_A(B) = A(B : A) = B$ and so $\varphi_A = id$.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by KFUPM.

References

- R. Gilmer, Multiplicative Ideal Theory, vol. 12 of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, USA, 1972.
- [2] I. Kaplansky, Commutative Rings, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Ill, USA, Revised edition, 1974.
- [3] W. J. Heinzer and I. J. Papick, "The radical trace property," *Journal of Algebra*, vol. 112, no. 1, pp. 110–121, 1988.
- [4] M. Fontana, J. A. Huckaba, and I. J. Papick, "Domains satisfying the trace property," *Journal of Algebra*, vol. 107, no. 1, pp. 169–182, 1987.
- [5] D. D. Anderson, "Multiplication ideals, multiplication rings, and the ring R(X)," Canadian Journal of Mathematics, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 760–768, 1976.
- [6] J. W. Brewer and E. A. Rutter, "A note on finitely generated ideals which are locally principal," Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 31, pp. 429–432, 1972.