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We consider the Fekete-Szegö problem with complex parameter $\mu$ for the class $R_{\gamma}^{\tau}(\phi)$ of analytic functions.

## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U}=\{z: z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|z|<1\}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ denote the subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ that are univalent in $\mathbb{U}$. A function $f(z)$ in $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be in class $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ of starlike functions of order zero in $\mathbb{U}$, if $\mathfrak{R}\left(z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)\right)>0$ for $z \in \mathbb{U}$. Let $\nless<$ denote the class of all functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$ that are convex. Further, $f$ is convex if and only if $z f^{\prime}(z)$ is star-like. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be close-to-convex with respect to a fixed star-like function $g \in S^{*}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{R}\left(z f^{\prime}(z) / g(z)\right)>0$ for $z \in \mathbb{U}$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ denote of all such close-to-convex functions [1].

Fekete and Szegö proved a noticeable result that the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\lambda a_{2}^{2}\right| \leqq 1+2 \exp \left(\frac{-2 \lambda}{1-\lambda}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any normalized univalent function $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U}$ and for $0 \leqq \lambda \leqq 1$. This inequality is sharp for each $\lambda$ (see [2]). The coefficient functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\lambda}(f)=a_{3}-\lambda a_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{6}\left(f^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)-\frac{3 \lambda}{2}\left[f^{\prime \prime}(0)\right]^{2}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

on normalized analytic functions $f$ in the unit disk represents various geometric quantities, for example, when $\lambda=1, \phi_{\lambda}(f)=a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}$, becomes $S_{f}(0) / 6$, where $S_{f}$ denote the Schwarzian derivative $\left(f^{\prime \prime \prime} / f^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}-\left(f^{\prime \prime} / f^{\prime}\right)^{2} / 2$ of locally univalent functions $f$ in $\mathbb{U}$. In literature, there exists a large number of results about inequalities for $\phi_{\lambda}(f)$ corresponding to various subclasses of $\mathcal{S}$. The problem of maximising the absolute value of the functional $\phi_{\lambda}(f)$ is called the FeketeSzegö problem; see [2]. In [3], Koepf solved the Fekete-Szegö problem for close-to-convex functions and the largest real number $\lambda$ for which $\phi_{\lambda}(f)$ is maximised by the Koebe function $z /(1-z)^{2}$ is $\lambda=1 / 3$, and later in [4] (see also [5]), this result was generalized for functions that are close-to-convex of order $\beta$.

Let $\phi(z)$ be an analytic function with positive real part on $\mathbb{U}$ with $\phi(0)=1, \phi^{\prime}(0)>0$ which maps the unit disk $\mathbb{U}$ onto a star-like region with respect to 1 which is symmetric with respect to the real axis. Let $S^{*}(\phi)$ be the class of functions in $f \in \mathcal{S}$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec \phi(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathcal{C}(\phi)$ be the class of functions in $f \in \mathcal{S}$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}<\phi(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $<$ denotes the subordination between analytic functions. These classes were introduced and studied by Ma and Minda [6]. They have obtained the Fekete-Szegö inequality for the functions in the class $\mathcal{C}(\phi)$.

Motivated by the class $R_{\lambda}^{\tau}(\beta)$ in paper [7], we introduce the following class.
Definition 1.1. Let $0 \leqq r \leqq 1, \tau \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is in th class $R_{r}^{\tau}(\phi)$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left(f^{\prime}(z)+\gamma z f^{\prime \prime}(z)-1\right) \prec \phi(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi(z)$ is defined the same as above.
If we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(z)=\frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \quad(-1 \leqq B<A \leqq 1 ; z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

in (1.6), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{r}^{\tau}\left(\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}\right)=R_{r}^{\tau}(A, B)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}:\left|\frac{f^{\prime}(z)+\gamma z f^{\prime \prime}(z)-1}{\tau(A-B)-B\left(f^{\prime}(z)+\gamma z f^{\prime \prime}(z)-1\right)}\right|<1\right\}, \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is again a new class. We list few particular cases of this class discussed in the literature
(1) $R_{\gamma}^{\tau}(1-2 \beta,-1)=R_{\gamma}^{\tau}(\beta)$ for $0 \leqq \beta<1, \tau=\mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$ was discussed recently by Swaminathan [7].
(2) The class $R_{r}^{\tau}(1-2 \beta,-1)$ for $\tau=e^{i \eta} \cos \eta$, where $-\pi / 2<\eta<\pi / 2$ is considered in [8] (see also [9]).
(3) The class $R_{1}^{\tau}(0,-1)$ with $\tau=e^{i \eta} \cos \eta$ was considered in [10] with reference to the univalencey of partial sums.
(4) $f \in R_{\gamma}^{e^{i n} \cos \eta}(1-2 \beta,-1)$ whenever $z f^{\prime}(z) \in P_{r}^{\tau}(\beta)$, the class considered in [11].

For geometric aspects of these classes, see the corresponding references. The class $R_{r}^{\tau}(A, B)$ is new as the author Swaminathan [7] has introduced class $R_{r}^{\tau}(\beta)$ which is subclass of the class $R_{\gamma}^{\tau}(A, B)$, in his recent paper. To prove our main result, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 1.2 (see $[12,13])$. If $p(z)=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+c_{3} z^{3}+\cdots(z \in \mathbb{U})$ is a function with positive real part, then for any complex number $\mu$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{2}-\mu c_{1}^{2}\right| \leqq 2 \max \{1,|2 \mu-1|\}, \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the result is sharp for the functions given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\frac{1+z^{2}}{1-z^{2}}, \quad p(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) . \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. Fekete-Szegö Problem

Our main result is the following theorem.
Theorem 2.1. Let $\phi(z)=1+B_{1} z+B_{2} z^{2}+B_{3} z^{3}+\cdots$, where $\phi(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\phi^{\prime}(0)>0$. If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to $R_{\gamma}^{\tau}(\phi)(0 \leqq \gamma \leqq 1, \tau \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}, z \in \mathbb{U})$, then for any complex number $\mu$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leqq \frac{B_{1}|\tau|}{3(1+2 \gamma)} \max \left\{1,\left|\frac{B_{2}}{B_{1}}-\frac{3 \tau \mu B_{1}(1+2 \gamma)}{4(1+\gamma)^{2}}\right|\right\} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is sharp.
Proof. If $f(z) \in R_{r}^{\tau}(\phi)$, then there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$ analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$ in $\mathbb{U}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left(f^{\prime}(z)+\gamma z f^{\prime \prime}(z)-1\right)=\phi(w(z)) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the function $p_{1}(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}(z)=\frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)}=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\cdots . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $w(z)$ is a Schwarz function, we see that $\Re p_{1}(z)>0$ and $p_{1}(0)=1$. Define the function $p(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left(f^{\prime}(z)+\gamma z f^{\prime \prime}(z)-1\right)=1+b_{1} z+b_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (2.2), (2.3), (2.4), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
p(z) & =\phi\left(\frac{p_{1}(z)-1}{p_{1}(z)+1}\right)=\phi\left(\frac{c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\cdots}{2+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\cdots}\right) \\
& =\phi\left(\frac{1}{2} c_{1} z+\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) z^{2}+\cdots\right)  \tag{2.5}\\
& =1+B_{1} \frac{1}{2} c_{1} z+B_{1} \frac{1}{2}\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) z^{2}+B_{2} \frac{1}{4} c_{1}^{2} z^{2}+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1} c_{1} ; \quad b_{2}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1}\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} B_{2} c_{1}^{2} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}=\frac{B_{1} c_{1} \tau}{4(1+\gamma)} ; \quad a_{3}=\frac{\tau}{6(1+2 \gamma)}\left[B_{1}\left(c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} B_{2} c_{1}^{2}\right] \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}=\frac{B_{1} \tau}{6(1+2 \gamma)}\left(c_{2}-v c_{1}^{2}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{B_{2}}{B_{1}}+\frac{3 \tau \mu B_{1}(1+2 \gamma)}{4(1+\gamma)^{2}}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our result now is followed by an application of Lemma 1.2. Also, by the application of Lemma 1.2 equality in (2.1) is obtained when

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}(\mathrm{z})=\frac{1+z^{2}}{1-z^{2}} \quad \text { or } \quad p_{1}(\mathrm{z})=\frac{1+z}{1-z} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

but

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left(f^{\prime}(z)+\gamma z f^{\prime \prime}(z)-1\right)=\phi\left(\frac{p_{1(z)-1}}{p_{1(z)+1}}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting value of $p_{1}(z)$ we get the desired results.
For class $R_{r}^{\tau}(A, B)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi(z) & =\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}=(1+A z)(1+B z)^{-1} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})  \tag{2.12}\\
& =1+(A-B) z-\left(A B-B^{2}\right) z^{2}+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, putting $B_{1}=A-B$ and $B_{2}=-B(A-B)$ in Theorem 2.1, we get the following corollary.
Corollary 2.2. If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to $R_{r}^{\tau}(A, B)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leqq \frac{(A-B)|\tau|}{3(1+2 \gamma)} \max \left\{1,\left|B+\frac{3 \tau \mu(A-B)(1+2 \gamma)}{4(1+\gamma)^{2}}\right|\right\} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$
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