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We define the class of almost $\alpha$-hyponormal operators and prove that for an operator $T$ in this class, $\left(T^{*} T\right)^{\alpha}-\left(T T^{*}\right)^{\alpha}$ is trace-class and its trace is zero when $\alpha \in(0,1]$ and the area of the Weyl spectrum is zero.

This note is dedicated to Professor Carl M. Pearcy with the occasion of his 75th birthday.

Let $\mathscr{L}$ be a complex, separable, infinite-dimensional Hilbert space, and let $L(\mathscr{l})$ denote the algebra of all linear bounded operators on $\mathscr{\ell}$, and for $1 \leq p<\infty$, let $\mathcal{C}_{p}(\mathscr{H})$ denote the $p$-Schatten class on $\mathscr{H}$. For $K \in \mathcal{C}_{p}(\mathscr{H})$, the expression $\|K\|_{p}:=\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_{n}(K)^{p}\right)^{1 / p}$, where $\mu_{1}(K) \geq \mu_{2}(K) \geq \cdots$ are the singular values of $K$, is a norm for $p \geq 1$, and is only a quasinorm for $0<p<1$ (it does not satisfy the triangle inequality). Nevertheless, the latter case will be used in what follows.

For $T \in L(\mathscr{H}), \sigma(T)$ and $\sigma_{w}(T)$ will denote the spectrum and the Weyl spectrum, respectively. Recall that Weyl spectrum is the union of the essential spectrum, $\sigma_{e}(T)$, and all bounded components of $\mathbb{C} \backslash \sigma_{e}(T)$ associated with nonzero Fredholm index. An operator $T \in L(\mathscr{\ell})$ is called $\left(\mathcal{C}_{p}, \alpha\right)$-normal (notation: $T \in N_{p}^{\alpha}(\mathscr{H})$ ) if $C_{T}^{\alpha}:=\left(T^{*} T\right)^{\alpha}-\left(T T^{*}\right)^{\alpha}$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}_{p}(\mathscr{H})$, and $T$ is called ( $\left.\mathcal{C}_{p}, \alpha\right)$-hyponormal (notation: $T \in H_{p}^{\alpha}(\mathscr{L})$ ) if $C_{T}^{\alpha}$ is the sum of a positive definite operator and an operator in $\mathcal{C}_{p}(\mathscr{L})$, or equivalently, $\left(C_{T}^{\alpha}\right)_{-}$(the negative part of $C_{T}^{\alpha}$ ) belongs to $\mathcal{C}_{p}(\mathscr{L})$, where $\alpha$ is a positive number. This note will be concerned with the particular class $H_{1}^{\alpha}(\mathscr{l})$, which by some parallelism with some terminology used in [1], would be appropriate to be referred as almost $\alpha$-hyponormal operators.

Voiculescu's [1] generalization of Berger-Shaw inequality gives an estimate for the trace of $C_{T}^{1}$. The result was extended in [2]. The combination of these results will be stated after recalling some terminology and notation. The rational cyclic multiplicity of an operator
$T$ in $L(\mathscr{H})$, denoted by $m(T)$, is the smallest cardinal number $m$ with the property that there are $m$ vectors $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ in $\mathscr{H}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vee\left\{f(T) x_{j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq m, f \in \operatorname{Rat}(\sigma(T))\right\}=\mathscr{H} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Rat}(\sigma(T))$ is the algebra of complex-valued rational functions with poles off $\sigma(T)$.
For a Borel subset $E \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and $\alpha>0$, denote $\mu_{\alpha}(E)=(\alpha / 2) \iint_{E} \rho^{\alpha-1} d \rho d \theta$. In particular, $\mu_{2}$ is the planar Lebesgue measure.

Theorem A (see $[1,2])$. Suppose $T \in H_{1}^{1}(\mathscr{H})$. If there exists $K \in \mathcal{C}_{2}(\mathscr{H})$ such that either $m(T+$ $K)<\infty$ or $\mu_{2}(\sigma(T+K))=0$, then $T \in N_{1}^{1}(\mathscr{H})$. Moreover, when $m(T+K)<\infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}\left(C_{T}^{1}\right) \leq \frac{m(T+K)}{\pi} \cdot \mu_{2}(\sigma(T+K)) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and when $\mu_{2}(\sigma(T+K))=0, \operatorname{tr}\left(C_{T}^{1}\right) \leq 0$, and consequently, $\operatorname{tr}\left(C_{T}^{1}\right)=0$.
In fact, it was observed in [2] that the inequality can be improved by replacing $m(T+K)$ with $\tau(T+K)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(S):=\liminf [\operatorname{rank}(I-P) S P] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the liminf is taken over all sequences of finite-rank orthogonal projections such that $P \rightarrow I$ in the strong operator topology.

Corollary B (see [2]). Let $T \in H_{1}^{1}(\mathscr{H})$ such that $\mu_{2}\left(\sigma_{w}(T)\right)=0$. Then $T \in N_{1}^{1}(\mathscr{H})$ and $\operatorname{tr}\left(C_{T}^{1}\right)=0$.
On the other hand, Berger-Shaw inequality was extended to operators in $H_{1}^{\alpha}(\mathscr{H})$ using similar circle of ideas used in [1]. This was done in [3] for the case $\alpha \in[(1 / 2), 1]$ and later on in [4] for the case $\alpha \in(0,(1 / 2)]$.

Theorem C $($ see $[3,4])$. Let $0<\alpha \leq 1$, and let $T \in H_{1}^{\alpha}(\mathscr{H})$ and $K \in \mathcal{C}_{2 \alpha}(\mathscr{H})$ with $m(T+K)<\infty$. Then $T \in N_{1}^{\alpha}(\mathscr{H})$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}\left(C_{T}^{\alpha}\right) \leq \frac{m(T+K)}{\pi} \cdot \mu_{2 \alpha}(\sigma(T+K)) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The case in which $m(T+K)=\infty$ and $\mu_{2 \alpha}(\sigma(T+K))=0$ was not discussed in [4] or [3]. It is the goal of this note to make some progress towards this case. We have the following.

Theorem 1. Let $\alpha \in(0,1)$ and let $T \in H_{1}^{\alpha}(\mathscr{H})$ and $K \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}(\mathscr{H})$ with $\mu_{2 \alpha}(\sigma(T+K))=0$. Then $T \in N_{1}^{\alpha}(\mathscr{L})$ and $\operatorname{tr}\left(C_{T}^{\alpha}\right)=0$.

Remark. It would have been desirable that Theorem 1 be proved with the hypothesis that $K \in \mathcal{C}_{2 \alpha}(\mathscr{H})$.

Before we prove Theorem 1, we extract a similar consequence to Corollary B.

Corollary 2. Let $\alpha \in(0,1]$ and let $T \in H_{1}^{\alpha}(\mathscr{H})$ such that $\mu_{2}\left(\sigma_{w}(T)\right)=0$. Then $T \in N_{1}^{\alpha}(\mathscr{H})$ and $\operatorname{tr}\left(C_{T}^{\alpha}\right)=0$.

Proof. If $\alpha=1$, then conclusion holds according to Corollary B. Let $\alpha \in(0,1)$. First, a careful inspection of the proof of a result of Stampfli [5] leads to the following. For $T \in L(\mathscr{H})$ and $\alpha>0$, there exists $K_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}(\mathscr{H})$ such that $\sigma\left(T+K_{\alpha}\right) \backslash \sigma_{w}(T)$ consists of a countable set which clusters only on $\sigma_{w}(T)$. Therefore $\mu_{2}\left(\sigma\left(T+K_{\alpha}\right)\right)=0$ and thus Theorem 1 applies.

The proof of Theorem 1 makes use of the following three inequalities.
Proposition D (Hansen's inequality [6]). If $A, B \in L(\mathscr{H}), A \geq 0,\|B\| \leq 1$, and $\alpha \in(0,1]$, then $B^{*} A^{\alpha} B \leq\left(B^{*} A B\right)^{\alpha}$.

Proposition E (Lowner's inequality [7]). If $A, B \in L(\mathscr{H}), A \geq B \geq 0$, and $\alpha \in(0,1$ ], then $A^{\alpha} \geq B^{\alpha}$.

The following is a consequence of Theorem 3.4 of [8].
Proposition $\mathbf{F}$ (Jocic's inequality [8]). Let $A, B \in L(\mathscr{H}), A, B \geq 0, \alpha \in(0,1$ ], and $1 \leq p<\infty$. If $A-B \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha p}(\mathscr{H})$, then $A^{\alpha}-B^{\alpha} \in \mathcal{C}_{p}(\mathscr{H})$ and $\left\|B^{\alpha}-A^{\alpha}\right\|_{p} \leq\left\||B-A|^{\alpha}\right\|_{p}$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\alpha \in(0,1), T \in H_{1}^{\alpha}(\mathscr{H})$, and $K \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}(\mathscr{H})$ with $\mu_{2 \alpha}(\sigma(T+K))=0$, and assume $m(T+K)=\infty$, otherwise Theorem C implies $T \in N_{1}^{\alpha}(\mathscr{H})$.

Let $\left\{e_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathscr{H}$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{A}_{n}=\vee\left\{r(T+K) e_{j} \mid j=1, \ldots, n, r \in \operatorname{Rat}(\sigma(T+K))\right\} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that with respect to the decomposition $\mathscr{H}=\mathscr{H}_{n} \oplus \mathscr{H}_{n}^{\perp}$, operators $T$ and $K$ are written as

$$
T=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
T_{1 n} & T_{2 n}  \tag{6}\\
T_{3 n} & T_{4 n}
\end{array}\right), \quad K=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
K_{1 n} & K_{2 n} \\
K_{3 n} & K_{4 n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Since $\mathscr{H}_{n}$ is a rationally invariant subspace for $T+K$, we have $T_{3 n}+K_{3 n}=0$, and thus $T_{3 n}=$ $-K_{3 n} \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}\left(\mathscr{H}_{n}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{2 \alpha}\left(\mathscr{H}_{n}\right)$, and $\sigma\left(T_{1 n}+K_{1 n}\right) \subseteq \sigma(T+K)$, which implies $\mu_{2 \alpha}\left(\sigma\left(T_{1 n}+K_{1 n}\right)\right)=0$.

Let $P_{n}$ be the orthogonal projection onto $\mathscr{H}_{n}$, and thus $P_{n} \uparrow I$ strongly. We will prove next that $T_{1 n} \in H_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\mathscr{H}_{n}\right)$ by first establishing that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n} C_{T}^{\alpha} P_{n}-C_{T_{1 n}}^{\alpha}=-Q_{n}^{\prime}+K_{n}^{\prime} \tag{7a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{n}^{\prime} \in L\left(\mathscr{H}_{n}\right)$ is positive semidefinite and $K_{n}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{C}_{1}\left(\mathscr{H}_{n}\right)$.
Assuming that equality (7a) was already proved and writing $C_{T}^{\alpha}=Q+K$ with $Q \geq 0$ and $K \in \mathcal{C}_{1}(\mathscr{H})$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{T_{1 n}}^{\alpha}=P_{n} Q P_{n}+P_{n} K P_{n}+Q_{n}^{\prime}-K_{n}^{\prime}, \tag{7b}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, $C_{T_{1 n}}^{\alpha}$ is the sum of $P_{n} Q P_{n}+Q_{n}^{\prime}$, which is a positive semidefinite operator, and of $P_{n} K P_{n}-$ $K_{n}^{\prime}$, which is a trace-class operator.

Indeed, the expression $P_{n} C_{T}^{\alpha} P_{n}-C_{T_{1 n}}^{\alpha}$ can be written as $D_{1}-D_{2}$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{1}=P_{n}\left(T^{*} T\right)^{\alpha} P_{n}-\left(T_{1 n}^{*} T_{1 n}\right)^{\alpha},  \tag{8}\\
& D_{2}=P_{n}\left(T T^{*}\right)^{\alpha} P_{n}-\left(T_{1 n} T_{1 n}^{*}\right)^{\alpha} .
\end{align*}
$$

We can write $D_{1}=-Q_{n}^{\prime \prime}+K_{n}^{\prime \prime}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}^{\prime \prime}=\left[\left(P_{n} T^{*} T P_{n}\right)^{\alpha}-P_{n}\left(T^{*} T\right)^{\alpha} P_{n}\right], \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which according to Hansen's inequality is a positive semidefinite operator, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{n}^{\prime \prime}=\left[\left(P_{n} T^{*} T P_{n}\right)^{\alpha}-\left(P_{n} T^{*} P_{n} T P_{n}\right)^{\alpha}\right], \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which according to Jocic's inequality is a trace-class operator that satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|K_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{1} & \leq\left\|\left|\left(\left(P_{n} T^{*} T P_{n}-P_{n} T^{*} P_{n} T P_{n}\right)\right)\right|^{\alpha}\right\|_{1}=\left\|\left(T_{3 n}^{*} T_{3 n}\right)^{\alpha}\right\|_{1} \\
& =\left\|T_{3 n}^{*} T_{3 n}\right\|_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \leq\left\|T_{3 n}^{*}\right\|^{\alpha} \cdot\left\|T_{3 n}\right\|_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \leq\|T\|^{\alpha} \cdot\left\|T_{3 n}\right\|_{\alpha}^{\alpha} . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Concerning operator $D_{2}$, we can write $D_{2}=Q_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}+K_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}=P_{n}\left(T T^{*}\right)^{\alpha} P_{n}-P_{n}\left(T P_{n} T^{*}\right)^{\alpha} P_{n}, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which according to Lowner's inequality is a positive semidefinite operator, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}=P_{n}\left(T P_{n} T^{*}\right)^{\alpha} P_{n}-\left(P_{n} T P_{n} T^{*} P_{n}\right)^{\alpha}=P_{n}\left[\left(T P_{n} T^{*}\right)^{\alpha}-\left(P_{n} T P_{n} T^{*} P_{n}\right)^{\alpha}\right] P_{n}, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is also a trace-class operator since

$$
\begin{align*}
T P_{n} T^{*}-P_{n} T P_{n} T^{*} P_{n} & =\left(T P_{n} T^{*}-T P_{n} T^{*} P_{n}\right)+\left(T P_{n} T^{*} P_{n}-P_{n} T P_{n} T^{*} P_{n}\right) \\
& =T P_{n} T^{*}\left(I-P_{n}\right)+\left(I-P_{n}\right) T P_{n} T^{*} P_{n}  \tag{14}\\
& =T T_{3 n}^{*}+T_{3 n} T^{*} P_{n} \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}(\mathscr{H}),
\end{align*}
$$

and according to Jocic's inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|K_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\|_{1} & \leq\left\|\left(T P_{n} T^{*}\right)^{\alpha}-\left(P_{n} T P_{n} T^{*} P_{n}\right)^{\alpha}\right\|_{1} \leq\left\|\left|T T_{3 n}^{*}+T_{3 n} T^{*} P_{n}\right|^{\alpha}\right\|_{1} \\
& =\left\|T T_{3 n}^{*}+T_{3 n} T^{*} P_{n}\right\|_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \leq C\left(\left\|T T_{3 n}^{*}\right\|_{\alpha}^{\alpha}+\left\|T_{3 n}^{*} T_{n} P_{n}^{\alpha}\right\|_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\right)  \tag{15}\\
& \leq C\|T\|^{\alpha}\left(\left\|T_{3 n}^{*}\right\|_{\alpha}^{\alpha}+\left\|T_{3 n}\right\|_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\right)=2 C\|T\|^{\alpha}\left\|T_{3 n}\right\|_{\alpha}^{\alpha} .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{2}=Q_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}+K_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}, \quad \text { with } Q_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime} \geq 0, K_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime} \in C_{1}(H), \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and consequently, $D_{1}-D_{2}=\left(-Q_{n}^{\prime \prime}+K_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)-\left(Q_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}+K_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)=-\left(Q_{n}^{\prime \prime}+Q_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)+\left(K_{n}^{\prime \prime}-K_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$, where $Q_{n}^{\prime \prime}+Q_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}=: Q_{n}^{\prime}$ is positive semidefinite and $K_{n}^{\prime \prime}-K_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}=: K_{n}^{\prime}$ is trace-class, which establishes equality (7a).

According to (7b), $T_{1 n} \in H_{1}^{\alpha}\left(\mathscr{L}_{n}\right)$, and since $m\left(T_{1 n}+K_{1 n}\right) \leq n$ and $\sigma\left(T_{1 n}+K_{1 n}\right) \subseteq$ $\sigma(T+K)$, Theorem C implies that $\operatorname{tr}\left(C_{T_{1 n}}^{\alpha}\right) \leq 0$, and furthermore, by replacing $T_{1 n}$ with $T_{1 n^{\prime}}^{*}$ $\operatorname{tr}\left(C_{T_{1 n}}^{\alpha}\right)=0$. Furthermore, equality (7a) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n} C_{T}^{\alpha} P_{n} \leq C_{T_{1 n}}^{\alpha}+K_{n}^{\prime} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which further implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}\left(P_{n} C_{T}^{\alpha} P_{n}\right) \leq \operatorname{tr}\left(K_{n}^{\prime}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar utilization of Lowner's and Hansen's inequalities implies that $K_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ and $-K_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ are positive semidefinite, and thus so is $K_{n}^{\prime}=K_{n}^{\prime \prime}-K_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}\left(K_{n}^{\prime}\right) \leq\left\|\left(K_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\|_{1}+\left\|\left(K_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)\right\|_{1} \leq(1+2 C)\|T\|^{\alpha}\left\|T_{3 n}\right\|_{\alpha}^{\alpha} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $T_{3 n}=-K_{3 n} \in \mathcal{C}_{p}\left(\mathscr{H}_{n}\right)$ and $K_{3 n} \rightarrow 0$ weakly and both $\left|T_{3 n}\right|$ and $\left|T_{3 n}^{*}\right| \leq\|T\| I$, we have $\left\|T_{3 n}\right\|_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0$, and thus $\operatorname{tr}\left(C_{T}^{\alpha}\right) \leq 0$. Replacing $T$ with $T^{*}$ we conclude that $\operatorname{tr}\left(C_{T}^{\alpha}\right)=0$.
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