#### THE PALEY-WIENER-LEVINSON THEOREM REVISITED

A.G. GARCÍA

Departamento de Matemáticas Escuela Politécnica Superior Universidad Carlos III de Madrid c/ Butarque, 15, 28911 – Leganés, Madrid, Spain

(Received August 4, 1995 and in revised form October 12, 1995)

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper a new proof of the Paley-Wiener-Levinson theorem is presented. This proof is based upon the isometry between the Paley-Wiener space and that of the square-integrable functions in  $[-\pi, \pi]$ , on one hand, and a Titchmarsh's theorem which allows recovering bandlimited, entire functions from their zeros, on the other hand.

**KEY WORDS AND PHRASES.** Nonuniform sampling, Lagrange type interpolation series, Riesz basis, entire functions of exponential type.

1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES. 30D10, 30D20, 41A05, 42A65, 94A12

## **1** Introduction

The aim of this paper is twofold: first, it provides a new — somehow simpler — proof of the Paley-Wiener-Levinson (PWL) theorem, and second, it makes clear the relationship between recovering finite-energy, bandlimited functions from an infinite set of samples or from its real zeros (zero crossings, in technical jargon), two well-known tools in signal processing [1, 2, 3].

If  $B_{\pi}$  denotes the space of  $[-\pi, \pi]$ -bandlimited  $L^2$ -functions, the classic Whittaker-Shannon-Kotel'nikov (WSK) theorem states that any  $f \in B_{\pi}$  can be written as

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n) \frac{\sin \pi (z-n)}{\pi (z-n)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$
(1.1)

which can also be written

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n) \frac{G(z)}{G'(n)(z-n)},$$
 (1.2)

if  $G(z) = \sin \pi z / \pi$ . The latter expression exhibits the Lagrange type interpolatory character of the WSK result. Equation (1.1) expresses the possibility of recovering a certain kind of signal from a sequence of *regularly spaced* samples.

From a practical point of view it is interesting to have a similar result, but for a sequence of samples taken with a *nonuniform* distribution along the real line (a straightforward application of this result would be the recovering of signals from samples affected by time-jitter error, i.e., taken at points  $t_n = n + \delta_n$ , with  $\delta_n$  some measurement uncertainty). An appropriate question to get such a result would be how close should the sample points be to the regular sample points so that a similar equation to (1.2) still holds. A first answer to this question was given by Paley and Wiener [4], who proved that if the sequence of sample points,  $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , satifies

$$D \equiv \sup_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} |t_n - n| < \tau , \qquad (1.3)$$

where  $\tau = 1/\pi^2$ , and the sequence is symmetric, i.e.,  $t_{-n} = t_n$   $(n \ge 1)$ , then any  $f \in B_{\pi}$  can be expressed as

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(t_n) \frac{G(z)}{G'(t_n)(z - t_n)},$$
(1.4)

where now

$$G(z) = (z - t_0) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{z^2}{t_n^2} \right) .$$
 (1.5)

Later on, Levinson [5] extended condition (1.3) to  $\tau = 1/4$  and nonsymmetric sequences. This result is related with the "maximum" perturbation of the Hilbert basis  $\{e^{-i\pi x}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$  of the square-integrable function space  $L^2[-\pi,\pi]$ , in such a way that the perturbed sequence  $\{e^{-i(\pi x)}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$  is a Riesz basis of the same space. Kadec proved that Levinson's result,  $\tau = 1/4$ , is the best possible, in the sense that if D = 1/4 counterexamples can be found. See [6] for details.

The problem of signal recovering has also been considered from a different point of view. It is well-known from the classic Paley-Wiener theorem that  $[-\pi,\pi]$ -bandlimited  $L^2$ -function space coincides with that of the entire functions of exponential type at most  $\pi$  whose restriction to  $\mathbb{R}$ belongs to  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Although entire functions are not completely determined by the location of their zeros, as can be seen from the Hadamard factorization theorem [6], bandlimited functions are, as can be deduced from a Titchmarsh's theorem [7, 8] to which I will refer later on. A [a, b]bandlimited function is uniquely determined by its zeros up to an exponential factor depending on the spectral interval. If the spectral interval is of the form [-a, a], this exponential factor reduces to a constant.

A good survey of all these results can be found in Ref. [9].

As explained in the beginning, the aim of this paper is to combine the ideas of perturbing the Hilbert basis  $\{e^{-inx}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$  to get a Riesz basis with those of recovering a bandlimited signal from its zero crossings, into a new proof of the PWL interpolation theorem.

# 2 Recovering bandlimited L<sup>2</sup>-functions

Let us consider the space of  $[-\pi,\pi]$ -bandlimited  $L^2$ -functions

$$B_{\pi} = \left\{ f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) / \|f\|_{2} \equiv \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^{2} dx \right)^{1/2} < \infty \text{ and } \operatorname{supp} \widehat{f} \subseteq [-\pi, \pi] \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ f \text{ entire of exponential type at most } \pi, \text{ with } \widehat{f}|_{\mathbb{R}} \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \right\},$$

where the last equality is the statement of the classic Paley-Wiener theorem. Provided with the inner product  $\langle f, g \rangle_{B_{\pi}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \bar{g}$ , the space  $B_{\pi}$  is a separable Hilbert space, isometrically isomorphic to  $L^2[-\pi,\pi]$ . The isomorphism is precisely the Fourier transform

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_{\pi} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} & L^{2}[-\pi,\pi] \\ f & \xrightarrow{} & \widehat{f} \end{array} , \qquad f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \widehat{f}(t) \, e^{\imath z t} dt \, .$$
 (2.1)

The following properties can be established:

(a) The energy of  $f \in B_{\pi}$  is contained in its samples  $\{f(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ :

$$\|f\|_{B_{\pi}}^{2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^{2} dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\hat{f}(t)|^{2} dt = \|\hat{f}\|_{L^{2}[-\pi,\pi]}^{2} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |f(n)|^{2},$$

since  $\{f(n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$  are the Fourier coefficients of the  $2\pi$ -periodic extension of  $\hat{f}$  in the exponential trigonometric basis.

(b) Since  $\{e^{-inx}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $L^2[-\pi,\pi]$ , so is

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\left\{e^{-inz}\right\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\right) = \left\{\frac{\sin\pi(z-n)}{\pi(z-n)}\right\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} = \{T_n \operatorname{sinc} z\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$$

where  $T_a f(x) \equiv f(x-a)$  is the translation operator. Therefore, any  $f \in B_{\pi}$  can be expanded as the cardinal series

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n \frac{\sin \pi (z-n)}{\pi (z-n)}, \qquad c_n = \langle f, T_n \text{sinc} \rangle_{B_{\pi}}$$

(c) Convergence in the norm of  $B_{\pi}$  implies uniform convergence in horizontal strips in  $\mathbb{C}$ , because

$$|f(z)| \le e^{\pi |y|} ||f||_{B_{\pi}}, \quad z = x + iy$$

This follows, in a straightforward way, from the isometry and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:

$$|f(x+iy)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\widehat{f}(t)| \, e^{-ty} dt \leq \frac{e^{\pi|y|}}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\widehat{f}(t)| \, dt \leq e^{\pi|y|} ||f||_{B_{\pi}}$$

(d) The sinc function is the reproducing kernel of  $B_{\pi}$ : for  $f \in B_{\pi}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{ix\xi} d\xi = \langle \widehat{f}, e^{-iz\xi} \rangle_{L^2[-\pi,\pi]} = \langle f, T_z \operatorname{sinc} \rangle_{B_{\pi}}$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \operatorname{sinc} (t-x) dt = (f * \operatorname{sinc})(x).$$

By taking  $z = n \in \mathbb{Z}$  in (b) and using (c), it follows that  $c_n = f(n)$ . This is a proof of the classic WSK theorem:

**THEOREM 2.1 (WKS theorem)** Every  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  bandlimited to  $[-\pi,\pi]$  can be reconstructed from its samples at the integers  $\{f(n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$  via the formula

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n) \frac{\sin \pi (z-n)}{\pi (z-n)} ,$$

where the convergence is uniform in horizontal strips of  $\mathbb{C}$  (in particular in  $\mathbb{R}$ ).

By means of this theorem we have a tool for recovering bandlimited signals from a sequence of samples; but, as commented in the Introduction, these signals can also be recovered from their zeros (zero crossings in the real case). The following Titchmarsh's theorem [7] provides the mathematical foundation for this:

**THEOREM 2.2 (Titchmarsh theorem)** Let  $F \in L^1[a, b]$  and define the entire function f to be

$$f(z) = \int_a^b F(w) e^{zw} dw \, .$$

Then f has infinitely many zeros,  $\{z_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ , with nondecreasing absolute values, such that

$$f(z) = f(0) e^{\frac{a+b}{2}z} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z}{z_n}\right) ,$$

where the infinite product is conditionally convergent.

In the above theorem, it is assumed that a and b are the effective lower and upper limits of the integral, in the sense that there are no numbers  $\alpha > a$  and  $\beta < b$  such that  $F(\omega) = 0$  (a.e.) in  $[a, \alpha]$  or  $[\beta, b]$ .

If f is bandlimited to [-a, a], then

$$f(z) = f(0) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{z}{z_n} \right) ,$$

provided  $f(0) \neq 0$ , or

$$f(z) = A z^m \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{z}{z_n} \right) \,,$$

if z = 0 is a zero of f of order m.

Notice that the zeros in Titchmarsh theorem may be complex. This poses a difficulty from a technical viewpoint, as complex zeros are harder to detect than real zeros; but whenever they are real, this theorem provides a useful tool for signal recovering, usually referred to as *real-zero* interpolation [2, 10].

# 3 The PWL interpolation theorem

In what follows  $\{t_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\subset\mathbb{R}$  will denote a sequence of real numbers such that

$$D = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |l_n - n| < \frac{1}{4}.$$

Let us define

$$G(z) = (z - t_0) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z}{t_n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{z}{t_{-n}}\right)$$

an entire, well-defined function (whose set of zeros is  $\{t_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ ) as it will be made clear along the proof of the following theorem.

**THEOREM 3.1 (PWL theorem)** Any  $f \in B_{\pi}$  can be recovered from its sample values  $\{f(t_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  by means of the Lagrange type interpolation series

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(t_n) \frac{G(z)}{G'(t_n)(z-t_n)},$$

which is uniformly convergent in horizontal strips of  $\mathbb{C}$  (in particular in  $\mathbb{R}$ ).

**PROOF:** By Kadec's  $\frac{1}{4}$ -theorem (p. 42 of Ref. [6]),  $\{e^{-it_n\xi}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$  is a Riesz basis of  $L^2[-\pi,\pi]$ . Consequently it will admit a unique biorthogonal basis  $\{h_n(\xi)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$  (p. 28 of Ref. [6]), i.e., for every  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

 $\langle h_n, e^{-\imath t_m \xi} \rangle_{L^2[-\pi,\pi]} = \delta_{nm} \quad (\text{Kronecker's symbol}) \,.$ 

Thus, every  $\widehat{f} \in L^2[-\pi,\pi]$  can be expressed as

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \widehat{f}, h_n \rangle_{L^2[-\pi,\pi]} e^{-it_n \xi} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \widehat{f}, e^{-it_n \xi} \rangle_{L^2[-\pi,\pi]} h_n(\xi) \, .$$

By using the isometry  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ , we have in  $B_{\pi}$ 

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \hat{f}, h_n \rangle_{L^2[-\pi,\pi]} \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(e^{-\imath t_n \xi}\right)(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \hat{f}, e^{-\imath t_n \xi} \rangle_{L^2[-\pi,\pi]} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_n)(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \hat{f}, e^{-\imath t_n \xi} \rangle_{L^2[-\pi,\pi]} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_n)(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \hat{f}, e^{-\imath t_n \xi} \rangle_{L^2[-\pi,\pi]} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_n)(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \hat{f}, e^{-\imath t_n \xi} \rangle_{L^2[-\pi,\pi]} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_n)(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \hat{f}, e^{-\imath t_n \xi} \rangle_{L^2[-\pi,\pi]} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_n)(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \hat{f}, e^{-\imath t_n \xi} \rangle_{L^2[-\pi,\pi]} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_n)(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \hat{f}, e^{-\imath t_n \xi} \rangle_{L^2[-\pi,\pi]} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_n)(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \hat{f}, e^{-\imath t_n \xi} \rangle_{L^2[-\pi,\pi]} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_n)(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \hat{f}, e^{-\imath t_n \xi} \rangle_{L^2[-\pi,\pi]} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_n)(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \hat{f}, e^{-\imath t_n \xi} \rangle_{L^2[-\pi,\pi]} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_n)(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \hat{f}, e^{-\imath t_n \xi} \rangle_{L^2[-\pi,\pi]} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_n)(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \hat{f}, e^{-\imath t_n \xi} \rangle_{L^2[-\pi,\pi]} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_n)(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \hat{f}, e^{-\imath t_n \xi} \rangle_{L^2[-\pi,\pi]} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_n)(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \hat{f}, e^{-\imath t_n \xi} \rangle_{L^2[-\pi,\pi]} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_n)(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \hat{f}, e^{-\imath t_n \xi} \rangle_{L^2[-\pi,\pi]} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_n)(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \hat{f}, e^{-\imath t_n \xi} \rangle_{L^2[-\pi,\pi]} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_n)(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \hat{f}, e^{-\imath t_n \xi} \rangle_{L^2[-\pi,\pi]} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_n)(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \hat{f}, e^{-\imath t_n \xi} \rangle_{L^2[-\pi,\pi]} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_n)(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \hat{f}, e^{-\imath t_n \xi} \rangle_{L^2[-\pi,\pi]} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_n)(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \hat{f}, e^{-\imath t_n \xi} \rangle_{L^2[-\pi,\pi]} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_n)(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \hat{f}, e^{-\imath t_n \xi} \rangle_{L^2[-\pi,\pi]} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_n)(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \hat{f}, e^{-\imath t_n \xi} \rangle_{L^2[-\pi,\pi]} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_n)(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \hat{f}, e^{-\imath t_n \xi} \rangle_{L^2[-\pi,\pi]} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_n)(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \hat{f}, e^{-\imath t_n \xi} \rangle_{L^2[-\pi,\pi]} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_n)(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \hat{f}, e^{-\imath t_n \xi} \rangle_{L^2[-\pi,\pi]} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_n)(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \hat{f}, e^{-\imath t_n \xi} \rangle_{L^2[-\pi,\pi]} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_n)(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \hat{f}, e^{-\imath t_n} \rangle_{L^2[-\pi,\pi]} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_n)(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \hat{f}, e^{-\imath t_n} \rangle_{L^2[-\pi,\pi]} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_n)(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \hat{f}, e^{-\imath t_n} \rangle_{L^2[-\pi,\pi]} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_n)(z) = \sum$$

By setting  $g_n \equiv \mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_n)$  and taking into acount that  $\langle \hat{f}, h_n \rangle_{L^2[-\pi,\pi]} = \langle f, g_n \rangle_{B_{\pi}}$  and that, by property (d) of section 2,  $\langle \hat{f}, e^{-it_n\xi} \rangle_{L^2[-\pi,\pi]} = \langle f, T_{t_n} \operatorname{sinc} \rangle_{B_{\pi}} = f(t_n)$ , we can rewrite

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle f, g_n \rangle_{B_{\pi}}(T_{t_n} \operatorname{sinc})(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(t_n)g_n(z) \, dz$$

Now,

$$g_n(z) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(h_n)(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} h_n(\xi) \, e^{i z \xi} d\xi$$

is an entire function, bandlimited to  $[-\pi, \pi]$  whose zeros are  $\{t_m\}_{m \neq n}$ , and therefore, by Titchmarsh theorem,

$$g_n(z) = A_n \frac{G(z)}{z - t_n} \, .$$

(Notice that by setting n = 0, for instance, the above formula shows that G(z) is an entire function, as stated at the beginning of this section.) Since  $g_n(t_n) = 1$ , then  $A_n = 1/G'(t_n)$ ; thus

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(t_n) \frac{G(z)}{G'(t_n)(z-t_n)} \,$$

which is convergent in the norm of  $B_{\pi}$ , and, by property (c) of section 2, uniformly in horizontal strips of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Although not important for the proof, we have obtained, as a byproduct, the interesting result that  $\{(T_{i_n} \operatorname{sinc})(z)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and  $\{g_n(z)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  are biorthogonal Riesz bases in  $B_{\pi}$ .

The irregular sampling problem has also been considered within more general contexts, as bandlimited  $L^p$ -functions [11], for instance, where there is a similar theorem which has been proved with complex variables techniques. One of the most striking differences is that the sampling is somewhat more sensitive to noise, in the sense that  $\{t_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$  must satisfy the stronger restriction D < 1/2p for  $2 \le p < \infty$ .

# Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the grant no. PB-93-0228-C02-01 from the Comisión Interministerial de Ciencia y Tecnología (CICyT) of Spain.

## References

- [1] SHANNON, C.E., "Communication in the presence of noise", Proc. IRE 137 (1949), 10-21.
- [2] MARVASTI, F., A Unified Approach to Zero Crossings and Nonuniform Sampling and Multidimensional Signals and Systems, 1st ed., Oak Park, IL, 1987.
- [3] REQUICHA, A.G., "The zeros of entire functions: Theory and Engineering Applications", Proc. IEEE 68 (1980), 308-328.
- [4] PALEY, R., and WIENER, N., Fourier Transforms in the Complex Domain, Amer Math. Soc. Collog. Pub. Series, Vol. 19, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1934.
- [5] LEVINSON, N., Gap and Density Theorems, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Pub. Series, Vol. 26, Amer. Math. Soc., New York, NY, 1940.
- [6] YOUNG, R.M., An Introduction to Nonharmonic Fourier Series, Academic Press, New York, 1980.

- [7] TITCHMARSH, E.C., "The zeros of certain integral functions", Proc. London Math. Soc. 25 (1926), 283-302.
- [8] ZAYED, A.I., "Sampling for functions bandlimited to a disc", Complex Variables 26 (1994), 245-254.
- [9] ZAYED, A.I., Advances in Shannon's Sampling Theory, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1993.
- [10] BOND, F.E., and CHAN, C.R., "On sampling the zeros of bandwidth limited signals", IRE Trans. Inform. Theory, IT 4 (1958), 110-113.
- [11] HINSEN, G., "Irregular sampling of bandlimited L<sup>p</sup>-functions", J. of Approx. Theory 72 (1993), 346-364.