SUBCLASSES OF UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS SUBORDINATE TO CONVEX FUNCTIONS

YONG CHAN KIM

Department of Mathematics Yeungnam University Gyongsan 712-749, KOREA IL BONG JUNG Department of Mathematics Kyungpook National University Taegu 702-701, KOREA

(Received May 17, 1995 and in revised form August 30, 1995)

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we define a new subclass $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(A, B)$ of univalent functions and investigate several interesting characterization theorems involving a general class $\mathcal{S}^*[A, B]$ of starlike functions

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES: Univalent function, subordination, α -convex function **1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES:** 30C45, 30D30

1. INTRODUCTION AND DEFINITIONS

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions normalized by

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \tag{11}$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathcal{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$. Further, let S denote the class of all functions in \mathcal{U} which are univalent in \mathcal{U}

A function f(z) belonging to S is said to be starlike of order α ($0 \le \alpha < 1$) if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) > \alpha \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}; 0 \le \alpha < 1).$$
(12)

We denote by $S^*(\alpha)$ the subclass of S consisting of functions which are starlike of order α

A function f(z) belonging to S is said to be convex of order $\alpha (0 \le \alpha < 1)$ if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) > \alpha \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}; 0 \le \alpha < 1).$$
(13)

We denote by $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ the subclass of S consisting of functions which are convex of order α We note that

$$S^*(\alpha) \subseteq S^*(0) \equiv S^* \quad (0 \le \alpha < 1) \tag{14}$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}(\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(0) \equiv \mathcal{K} \quad (0 \le \alpha < 1).$$
 (15)

With a view to introducing an interesting family of analytic functions, we should recall the concept of subordination between analytic functions Given two functions f(z) and g(z), which are analytic in \mathcal{U} , the function f(z) is said to be *subordinate* to g(z) if there exists a function h(z), analytic in \mathcal{U} with

$$h(0) = 0$$
 and $|h(z)| < 1$, (16)

such that

$$f(z) = g(h(z)) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}). \tag{1.7}$$

We denote this subordination by

$$f(z) \prec g(z). \tag{18}$$

In particular, if g(z) is univalent in U, the subordination (18) is equivalent to

$$f(0) = g(0)$$
 and $f(\mathcal{U}) \subset g(\mathcal{U})$. (19)

Janowski [1] introduced the class $\mathcal{P}[A, B]$ For $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, a function p, analytic in \mathcal{U} with p(0) = 1, belongs to the class $\mathcal{P}[A, B]$ if p(z) is subordinate to (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz) Also $\mathcal{S}^{\bullet}[A, B]$ and $\mathcal{K}[A, B]$ denote the subclasses of \mathcal{S} consisting of all functions f(z) such that

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \in \mathcal{P}[A, B] \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} \in \mathcal{P}[A, B], \tag{110}$$

respectively. We note that $S^*[-1,1] = S^*$ and $\mathcal{K}[-1,1] = \mathcal{K}$

DEFINITION 1. Let α be a real number. A function f(z) belonging to the class \mathcal{A} with $(f(z)/z)f'(z) \neq 0$ is said to be α -convex in \mathcal{U} if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left[\left(1-\alpha\right)\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)\right]>0.$$
(111)

Also we denote the class of α -convex functions by \mathcal{M}_{α} . Then it is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{M}_{\alpha} = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S} : \operatorname{Re}\left[(1-\alpha) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right] > 0, \ z \in \mathcal{U} \right\}.$$
(112)

See Eenigenberg and Miller [5] for further information on them

We now define the class $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(A, B)$ as follows: If α is a real number, then

$$\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(A,B) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S} : \left[(1-\alpha) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right] \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}, -1 \le B < A \le 1, z \in \mathcal{U} \right\}.$$
(1.13)

Clearly, we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(1, -1) = \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}, \quad M_{1}(A, B) = \mathcal{K}[A, B], \tag{114}$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_0(A,B) = \mathcal{S}^*[A,B]. \tag{115}$$

2. MAIN RESULTS

Applying the method of the integral representation [2] for functions in $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(A, B)$ ($\alpha > 0$), it is not difficult to deduce

LEMMA 1. The function f(z) is in $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(A, B)$, $\alpha > 0$, if and only if there exists a function g(z) belonging to the class $\mathcal{S}^*[A, B]$ such that

$$f(z) = \left[\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^z \{g(t)\}^{1/\alpha} t^{-1} dt\right]^{\alpha}.$$
 (2 1)

PROOF. Setting $g(z) = f(z) \{ zf'(z)/f(z) \}^{\alpha}$, so that (2.1) is satisfied, we observe that

$$\frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} = (1-\alpha)\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right).$$

Hence $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(A, B)$ if and only if $g \in \mathcal{S}^{*}[A, B]$.

Before stating our first theorem, we need the following definition

DEFINITION 2. Let c be a complex number such that $\operatorname{Re} c > 0$, and let

$$N = N(c) = \left[|c| (1 + 2 \operatorname{Re} c)^{1/2} + \operatorname{Im} c \right] / \operatorname{Re} c.$$
 (2 2)

If h is the univalent function $h(z) = 2Nz/(1-z^2)$ and $b = h^{-1}(c)$, then we define the "open door" (cf [3]) function Q_c as

$$Q_c(z) = h\left[(z+b)/(1+\overline{b}z)\right], \quad z \in \mathcal{U}.$$
(2.3)

THEOREM 1. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(A, B)$ ($\alpha > 0$), and let

$$\left(\frac{1+Az}{1+bz}\right) \prec \alpha \, \mathcal{Q}_{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(z). \tag{24}$$

Then $f \in S^*$

PROOF. Since $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(A, B)$ $(\alpha > 0)$, it follows that there exists a function $g \in \mathcal{S}^{*}[A, B]$ such that

$$f(z) = \left[\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^z \{g(t)\}^{1/\alpha} t^{-1} dt\right]^{\alpha},$$
 (2.5)

by using Lemma 1. By the hypothesis, we also have

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} \right) \prec \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \right) \prec \mathcal{Q}_{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(z).$$
(2.6)

Thus, by a result of Miller and Mocanu ([3], Corollary 3.1), we have

$$f(z) = \left[\frac{1}{\alpha}\int_0^z \{g(t)\}^{1/\alpha}t^{-1}dt\right]^\alpha \in \mathcal{S}^*.$$

LEMMA 2. (Mocanu [4]) Let \mathcal{P} be an analytic function in \mathcal{U} satisfying $\mathcal{P} \prec \mathcal{Q}_c$ If p is analytic in \mathcal{U} , p(0) = 1/c, and

$$zp'(z) + \mathcal{P}(z)p(z) = 1, \qquad (27)$$

then Re p(z) > 0 in \mathcal{U}

Making use of Lemma 2, we now prove

THEOREM 2. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(A, B)$ ($\alpha > 0$), and let

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} - 1 \prec Q_1.$$
 (2.8)

Then $f \in \mathcal{S}^*[A, B]$.

PROOF. If we set p(z) = zf'(z)/f(z), then

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} = 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}.$$
(2.9)

Hence

$$(1-\alpha)\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) = p(z) + \alpha\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)}.$$
(2.10)

Since $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(A, B)$,

$$p(z) + \alpha \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}.$$
(2.11)

Setting $\mathcal{P}(z) = p(z) + 1/p(z) - 1$, we obtain

$$zp'(z) + \mathcal{P}(z)p(z) = 1$$
 (2.12)

and $\mathcal{P} \prec \mathcal{Q}_1$ by the hypothesis (2.8)

Thus, by Lemma 2, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}). \tag{2.13}$$

Since $\alpha > 0$,

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{1}{\alpha}\,p(z)\right\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}). \tag{2 14}$$

Also (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz) (with $-1 \le B < A \le 1$) is a convex univalent function Therefore, by appealing to a known result ([6], Theorem 7), we conclude from (2 11) and (2 14) that

$$p(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \,. \tag{2.15}$$

This evidently completes the proof of Theorem 2

As an example of ([7], Corollary 3.2, see also [9]), consider the case when $\alpha > 0$, $-1 \le B < A \le 1$, and $A \ne B$. Then the differential equation

$$q(z) + \alpha \, \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$$
(2.16)

has a univalent solution given by

$$q(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(1+Bz)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\left(\frac{A-B}{B}\right)}{\frac{1}{\alpha}\int_{0}^{z}t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}(1+Bt)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\left(\frac{A-B}{B}\right)dt} & \text{if } B \neq 0\\ \frac{z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}e^{\frac{A}{\alpha}z}}{\frac{1}{\alpha}\int_{0}^{z}t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}e^{\frac{A}{\alpha}}dt} & \text{if } B = 0. \end{cases}$$
(2.17)

If p(z) is analytic in \mathcal{U} and satisfies

$$p(z) + \alpha \, \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz},$$
 (2.18)

then

$$p(z) \prec q(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}.$$
(2.19)

Hence, by the equations (2.11) and (2.19), we obtain

THEOREM 3. Let $\alpha > 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(A, B)$. Then

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec q(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}, \qquad (2.20)$$

where q(z) is given by (2.17).

THEOREM 4. $\mathcal{K}(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(1-2\alpha, -1) \ (0 \leq \alpha < 1).$ **PROOF.** If we define

$$h_{\alpha}(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z}{1 - z} \quad (0 \le \alpha < 1),$$
(2.21)

then we can easily see that $f \in \mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ if and only if

$$1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \prec h_{\alpha}(z) \tag{2.22}$$

(cf [10], Equation (9)). Hence, by Theorem 1 of [10], we have

246

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec h_{\alpha}(z). \tag{2.23}$$

Therefore we conclude from [8, Lemma 2.2] that

$$\left[(1-\alpha)\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right] \prec h_{\alpha}(z).$$
(2 24)

This completes the proof of Theorem 4

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. This work was partially supported by KOSEF (project No 94-1400-02-01-3) and TGRC-KOSEF, and by the Basic Science Research Institute Program (BSRI-95-1401)

REFERENCES

- JANOWSKI, W, Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions I, Ann. Polon. Math. 28 (1973), 297-326
- [2] MOCANU, P T., Une propriété de convexité généraliseé dans la théorie de la représentation conforme, *Mathematica* (Cluy) 11 (34) (1969), 127-133.
- [3] MILLER, S.S. and MOCANU, P.T., Classes of univalent integral operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 157 (1991), 147-165
- [4] MOCANU, P.T., Some integral operators and starlike functions, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* 31 (1986), 231-235.
- [5] EENIGENBURG, P.J. and MILLER, S.S., The H^p classes for α-convex functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 38 (1973), 558-562.
- [6] MILLER, S.S and MOCANU, P.T., Differential subordinations and univalent functions, Michigan Math. J. 28 (1981), 157-171
- [7] MILLER, S.S. and MOCANU, P.T., Univalent solutions of Briot-Bouquet differential equations, J. Differential Equation 56 (1985), 297-309.
- [8] NOOR, K.I., On some univalent integral operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 128 (1987), 586-592
- [9] OWA, S. and SRIVASTAVA, H.M., Analytic solutions of a class of Briot-Bouquet differential equations, in *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory* (H.M Srivastava and S Owa, Editors), 252-259, World Science Publishing Company, Singapore, New Jersey, London, and Hong Kong, 1992.
- [10] SALAGEAN, G S., Subclasses of univalent functions, Complete Analysis: Fifth Romanian-Finish Seminer, Part 1 (C Andreian Cazacu, N. Boboc, M Jurchescu, and I Siciu, Editors), 362-372, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, and Tokyo, 1983