

A REMARK ON Θ -REGULAR SPACES

MARTIN M. KOVÁŘ

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science
Technical University of Brno
Technická 8, 616 00 Brno, Czech Republic

(Received February 22, 1996)

ABSTRACT. In this paper we give an embedding characterization of θ -regularity using the Wallman-type compactification. The productivity of θ -regularity and a slight generalization of Nagami's Product Theorem to non-Hausdorff paracompact Σ -spaces we obtain as a corollary.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. θ -regularity. Wallman-type compactification, paracompact Σ -space

AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES: Primary 54A20, 54D35. Secondary 54B10, 54D20.

1. PRELIMINARIES

A filter base Φ in a topological space X has a θ -cluster point $x \in X$ if every closed neighborhood H of x and every $F \in \Phi$ have a nonempty intersection. The filter base Φ θ -converges to its θ -limit x if for every closed neighborhood H of x there is $F \in \Phi$ such that $F \subseteq H$. Recall that a topological space X is said to be θ -regular [3] if every filter base in X with a θ -cluster point has a cluster point. A topological space is said to be a Σ -space [1] if there exist locally finite closed collections Φ_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots$ and a cover Γ which consists of closed countably compact sets such that if $C \in \Gamma$ and $C \subseteq U$, where U is open in X , then $C \subseteq F \subseteq U$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $F \in \Phi_i$. A topological space is called (semi-) paracompact, if every its open cover has an open (σ -) locally finite refinement. Paracompact spaces are θ -regular [4].

Let X be a topological space with \mathfrak{C} its closed base which is a lattice (that means $\emptyset, X \in \mathfrak{C}$ and \mathfrak{C} contains all its finite unions and intersections). Recall that the Wallman-type [2] or Šanin [6] compactification is defined as the set $\omega(X, \mathfrak{C}) = X \cup \{y \mid y \text{ is an ultra-}\mathfrak{C} \text{ filter in } X \text{ with no cluster point}\}$, where the term "ultra- \mathfrak{C} " means maximal among all filters with a base consisting of elements from \mathfrak{C} . The set $\omega(X, \mathfrak{C})$ can be topologized by the open base consisting of the sets $S(U) = U \cup \{y \mid y \in \omega(X, \mathfrak{C}) \setminus X, U \in y\}$ where $X \setminus U \in \mathfrak{C}$. If \mathfrak{C} is the collection of all closed sets in X then $\omega(X, \mathfrak{C}) = \omega X$ is the Wallman compactification of X .

2. MAIN RESULTS

Let X be a topological space with a closed base \mathfrak{C} . We say that \mathfrak{C} is balanced if \mathfrak{C} is a lattice and every $x \in X$ has a neighborhood base, say δ_x , such that $\text{cl} U \in \mathfrak{C}$ for every $U \in \delta_x$. Trivially, the collection \mathfrak{C} of all closed sets of X is balanced. Two disjoint sets $A, B \subseteq X$ are said to be

point-wise separated in X if every $x \in A$, $y \in B$ have open disjoint neighborhoods. Now, we can state the theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let X be a topological space with a balanced closed base \mathfrak{B} . The following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) X is θ -regular
- (ii) The sets X , $\omega(X, \mathfrak{B}) \setminus X$ are point-wise separated in $\omega(X, \mathfrak{B})$.
- (iii) There exists a compact space K containing X as a subspace such that the sets X , $K \setminus X$ are point-wise separated in K .

Proof. Suppose (i). Let $x \in X$ and $y \in \omega(X, \mathfrak{B}) \setminus X$. Since X is θ -regular the filter γ has no θ -cluster point. It follows that x has an open neighborhood U with $\text{cl}U \in \mathfrak{B}$ such that $F \cap \text{cl}U = \emptyset$ for some $F \in \gamma$. Then $V = X \setminus \text{cl}U \in \gamma$ and, consequently, $y \in S(V)$. Now, let $W \subseteq U$ be an open neighborhood of x with $X \setminus W \in \mathfrak{B}$. One can easily check that $S(W)$, $S(V)$ are disjoint neighborhoods of the points x, y . It follows (ii).

(ii) \implies (iii) is trivial. Suppose (iii). Let Φ be a filter base with a θ -cluster point $x \in X$. There exists a filter base Φ' finer than Φ which θ -converges to x . Since K is compact, Φ' has some cluster point $y \in K$. But a θ -limit and a cluster point of the same filter base cannot have disjoint neighborhoods; hence $y \in X$. Finally, y is a cluster point of Φ which implies (i).

Corollary 1. *The product of θ -regular topological spaces is θ -regular.*

Proof. Let X_α , $\alpha \in A$ be θ -regular topological spaces. It follows from the Theorem 1 that there are compact spaces $K_\alpha \supseteq X_\alpha$ such that for every $\alpha \in A$ the sets X_α , $K_\alpha \setminus X_\alpha$ are point-wise separated. Let $K = \prod_{\alpha \in A} K_\alpha$, $X = \prod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha$. Then K is compact and, evidently, the sets X , $K \setminus X$ are point-wise separated. Hence, the space X is θ -regular.

K. Nagami in [5] proved that a countable product of paracompact Hausdorff Σ -spaces is paracompact. Nagami uses Hausdorff separation axiom for upgrading semiparacompactness to paracompactness. However, Nagami's proof essentially contains the result that a countable product of paracompact Σ -spaces is semiparacompact which needs no separation axioms. The following result now follows from the fact that θ -regular semiparacompact spaces are paracompact ([4], Theorem 6).

Corollary 2. *A countable product of paracompact (not necessarily regular or Hausdorff) Σ -spaces is paracompact.*

It is easy to check that a second countable space has a countable balanced closed base. Theorem 1 (with Theorem 6, [4]) also yields the following.

Corollary 3. *A topological space X is paracompact second countable if and only if there exists a compact second countable space K containing X as a subspace such that the sets X , $K \setminus X$ are point-wise separated in K .*

REFERENCES

1. Burke D. K., *Covering properties, Handbook of Set-theoretic Topology*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.
2. Császár A., *General Topology*, Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1978.
3. Janković D. S., θ -regular spaces, preprint. *published: Internat. J. Math. Sci.* **8** (1985), no.3, 615-619.
4. Kovár M. M., *On θ -regular spaces*, *Internat. J. Math. Sci.* **17** (1994), no.4, 687-692.
5. Nagami K., Σ -spaces, *Fund. Math.* **65** (1969), 169-192.
6. Nagata J., *Modern General Topology*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1974.

Special Issue on Decision Support for Intermodal Transport

Call for Papers

Intermodal transport refers to the movement of goods in a single loading unit which uses successive various modes of transport (road, rail, water) without handling the goods during mode transfers. Intermodal transport has become an important policy issue, mainly because it is considered to be one of the means to lower the congestion caused by single-mode road transport and to be more environmentally friendly than the single-mode road transport. Both considerations have been followed by an increase in attention toward intermodal freight transportation research.

Various intermodal freight transport decision problems are in demand of mathematical models of supporting them. As the intermodal transport system is more complex than a single-mode system, this fact offers interesting and challenging opportunities to modelers in applied mathematics. This special issue aims to fill in some gaps in the research agenda of decision-making in intermodal transport.

The mathematical models may be of the optimization type or of the evaluation type to gain an insight in intermodal operations. The mathematical models aim to support decisions on the strategic, tactical, and operational levels. The decision-makers belong to the various players in the intermodal transport world, namely, drayage operators, terminal operators, network operators, or intermodal operators.

Topics of relevance to this type of decision-making both in time horizon as in terms of operators are:

- Intermodal terminal design
- Infrastructure network configuration
- Location of terminals
- Cooperation between drayage companies
- Allocation of shippers/receivers to a terminal
- Pricing strategies
- Capacity levels of equipment and labour
- Operational routines and lay-out structure
- Redistribution of load units, railcars, barges, and so forth
- Scheduling of trips or jobs
- Allocation of capacity to jobs
- Loading orders
- Selection of routing and service

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/guidelines.html>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	June 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	September 1, 2009
Publication Date	December 1, 2009

Lead Guest Editor

Gerrit K. Janssens, Transportation Research Institute (IMOB), Hasselt University, Agoralaan, Building D, 3590 Diepenbeek (Hasselt), Belgium; Gerrit.Janssens@uhasselt.be

Guest Editor

Cathy Macharis, Department of Mathematics, Operational Research, Statistics and Information for Systems (MOSI), Transport and Logistics Research Group, Management School, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Pleinlaan 2, 1050 Brussel, Belgium; Cathy.Macharis@vub.ac.be