

ON SOME COMPACT ALMOST KÄHLER LOCALLY SYMMETRIC SPACE

TAKASHI OGURO

Department of Mathematics and Information Science
Graduate School of Science and Technology
Niigata University
Niigata, 950-21, Japan

(Received April 8, 1996 and in revised form May 3, 1997)

ABSTRACT. In the framework of studying the integrability of almost Kähler manifolds, we prove that if a compact almost Kähler locally symmetric space M is a weakly $*$ -Einstein manifold with non-negative $*$ -scalar curvature, then M is a Kähler manifold.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES: Almost Kähler manifolds, locally symmetric spaces and weakly $*$ -Einstein manifolds.

1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES: 53C25, 53C35, 53C55.

1. INTRODUCTION

An almost Hermitian manifold $M = (M, J, g)$ is called an almost Kähler manifold if the corresponding Kähler form is closed, or equivalently $\sum_{X,Y,Z} g((\nabla_X J)Y, Z) = 0$ for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, where $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ denotes the Lie algebra of all smooth vector fields on M and $\sum_{X,Y,Z}$ denotes the cyclic sum with respect to X, Y, Z . By the definition, a Kähler manifold ($\nabla J = 0$) is necessarily an almost Kähler manifold. It is well-known that if the almost complex structure of an almost Kähler manifold M is integrable, then M is a Kähler manifold. A non-Kähler, almost Kähler manifold is called a strictly almost Kähler manifold. Several examples of strictly almost Kähler manifolds have been constructed ([1], [6], [9], [13] and so on).

Concerning the integrability of almost Kähler manifolds, the following conjecture by Goldberg is known ([4]).

CONJECTURE. The almost complex structure of a compact almost Kähler Einstein manifold is integrable.

About the above conjecture, some progress have been made under some additional curvature conditions. For example, Sekigawa proved that the conjecture is true if the scalar curvature is non-negative ([11]). Further result have been obtained ([2], [3], [7], [8], [10], [12] and so on).

On any almost Hermitian manifold, we can define Ricci $*$ -tensor, an analogue of the Ricci tensor, but involving the almost complex structure (see (2.1) below for the definition). On a Kähler manifold, the Ricci tensor and the Ricci $*$ -tensor coincide. Therefore, it is natural to consider star-version of the Goldberg conjecture. An almost Hermitian manifold is called weakly $*$ -Einstein if the Ricci $*$ -tensor is a (not necessarily constant) multiple of the metric

and $*$ -Einstein if the Ricci $*$ -tensor is a constant multiple of the metric.

In the present paper, concerning the star-version of the Goldberg conjecture, we shall prove the following.

THEOREM. Let $M = (M, J, g)$ be a compact almost Kähler locally symmetric space which is a weakly $*$ -Einstein manifold with non-negative $*$ -scalar curvature. Then, M is a Kähler manifold.

The author express his sincere thanks to Prof. K. Sekigawa for his many valuable advice.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $M = (M, J, g)$ be a $2n$ -dimensional almost Hermitian manifold with the almost Hermitian structure (J, g) and Ω the corresponding Kähler form of M defined by $\Omega(X, Y) = g(X, JY)$ for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. We assume that M is oriented by the volume form $dM = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \Omega^n$. Let ∇ be the Riemannian connection and R its curvature tensor given by $R(X, Y)Z = [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y]Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]}Z$ for $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. We denote by ρ and τ the associated Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature, respectively. Moreover, let ρ^* , respectively τ^* , denote the Ricci $*$ -tensor, respectively the $*$ -scalar curvature, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^*(x, y) &= g(Q^*x, y) = \text{trace} (z \mapsto R(x, Jz)Jy), \\ \tau^* &= \text{trace } Q, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

for $x, y, z \in T_pM$, the tangent space of M at $p \in M$. By using the first Bianchi identity, we have easily

$$\rho^*(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \text{trace} (z \mapsto R(x, Jy)Jz). \quad (2.2)$$

Thus, in general, ρ^* is neither symmetric nor skew-symmetric. But it satisfies the following identity.

$$\rho^*(X, Y) = \rho^*(JY, JX),$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2n}\}$ be an orthonormal basis of T_pM at any point $p \in M$. In the present paper, we shall adopt the following notational convention:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ijkl} &= g(R(e_i, e_j)e_k, e_l), \quad R_{i_jkl} = g(R(Je_i, e_j)e_k, e_l), \dots, \quad R_{i\bar{j}\bar{k}\bar{l}} = g(R(Je_i, Je_j)Je_k, Jel), \\ \rho_{ij} &= \rho(e_i, e_j), \dots, \quad \rho_{i\bar{j}} = \rho(Je_i, Je_j), \quad \rho_{i\bar{j}}^* = \rho^*(e_i, e_j), \dots, \quad \rho_{i\bar{j}}^* = \rho^*(Je_i, Je_j), \\ J_{ij} &= g(Je_i, e_j), \quad \nabla_i J_{jk} = g((\nabla_{e_i} J)e_j, e_k), \end{aligned}$$

and so on, where the latin indices run over the range $1, 2, \dots, 2n$. Then, we have easily

$$J_{ij} = -J_{ji}, \quad \nabla_i J_{jk} = -\nabla_i J_{kj}, \quad \nabla_i J_{\bar{j}\bar{k}} = -\nabla_i J_{\bar{k}\bar{j}}. \quad (2.3)$$

Now, we shall define smooth functions A, B on M respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \sum_{a,i,j,k,l=1}^{2n} (\nabla_a J_{ji})(\nabla_a J_{kl})R_{ijkl}, \\ B &= \sum_{a,b,i,j,k,l=1}^{2n} (\nabla_a J_{ij})(\nabla_a J_{kl})(\nabla_b J_{ij})\nabla_b J_{kl}, \end{aligned}$$

at any point $p \in M$.

Now, we assume that $M = (M, J, g)$ is an almost Kähler manifold. Then it is known that M is a quasi-Kähler manifold, namely, the equality

$$\nabla_i J_{jk} = -\nabla_{\bar{i}} J_{\bar{j}\bar{k}} \quad (2.4)$$

is valid. Thus, it follows immediately that M is a semi-Kähler manifold, namely, the equality

$$\sum_{a=1}^{2n} \nabla_a J_{ai} = 0 \quad (2.5)$$

is valid. We now recall the following curvature identity established by Gray ([5]):

$$R_{i\bar{j}kl} - R_{i\bar{j}\bar{k}\bar{l}} - R_{i\bar{j}kl} + R_{i\bar{j}\bar{k}\bar{l}} + R_{i\bar{j}kl} + R_{i\bar{j}kl} + R_{i\bar{j}kl} + R_{i\bar{j}kl} = 2 \sum_{a=1}^{2n} (\nabla_a J_{ij}) \nabla_a J_{kl}. \quad (2.6)$$

From (2.1) ~ (2.3) and (2.6), we have easily

$$\rho_{i\bar{j}}^* + \rho_{j\bar{i}}^* - \rho_{ij} - \rho_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} = \sum_{a,k=1}^{2n} (\nabla_a J_{ik}) \nabla_a J_{jk},$$

and further

$$\|\nabla J\|^2 = 2(\tau^* - \tau). \quad (2.7)$$

On one hand, transvecting $\sum_b (\nabla_b J_{ij}) \nabla_b J_{kl}$ with (2.6) and taking account of (2.4), we have easily

$$B = 4A. \quad (2.8)$$

Further, we may easily observe that M is Kähler if and only if $B = 0$ holds identically on M .

3. PROOF OF THEOREM

Let $M = (M, J, g)$ be a $2n$ -dimensional compact almost Kähler locally symmetric space which is weakly $*$ -Einstein with $\tau^* \geq 0$. We define a smooth vector field ξ on M by

$$\xi = \sum_{a=1}^{2n} \left(\sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{2n} R_{ijkl} J_{ij} \nabla_a J_{kl} \right) e_a$$

at each point $p \in M$. Then, taking account of $\nabla R = 0$, the Ricci identity and (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \xi &= \sum_{a,i,j,k,l=1}^{2n} \nabla_a (R_{ijkl} J_{ij} \nabla_a J_{kl}) \\ &= \sum R_{ijkl} (\nabla_a J_{ij}) \nabla_a J_{kl} + \sum R_{ijkl} J_{ij} \nabla_{aa}^2 J_{kl} \\ &= A - \sum R_{ijkl} J_{ij} (\nabla_{ak}^2 J_{la} + \nabla_{al}^2 J_{ak}) \\ &= A + \sum R_{ijkl} J_{ij} (R_{aklb} J_{ba} + R_{akab} J_{lb} + R_{alab} J_{bk} + R_{alkb} J_{ab}) \\ &= A - \sum R_{ijkl} J_{ij} R_{ablk} J_{ab} - 2 \sum R_{ijkl} J_{ij} J_{lb} \rho_{kb} \\ &= A + 4 \sum (\rho_{ij}^*)^2 - 4 \sum \rho_{ij}^* \rho_{ij}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Since M is weakly $*$ -Einstein, from (3.1), we have

$$\operatorname{div} \xi = A + \frac{2}{n} \tau^* (\tau^* - \tau).$$

Thus, from (2.7) and (2.8), we have finally

$$\operatorname{div} \xi = \frac{1}{4} B + \frac{1}{n} \tau^* \|\nabla J\|^2.$$

Therefore, by Green's Theorem, we obtain a following integral formula:

$$\int_M (nB + 4\tau^* \|\nabla J\|^2) dM = 0.$$

Since $B \geq 0$ and $\tau^* \geq 0$, it must follow that $B = 0$ holds identically on M , and hence M is a Kähler manifold. This completes the proof of Theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] CORDERO, L. A., FERNANDEZ, M. and GRAY, A., "Examples of compact non-Kähler almost Kähler manifolds," *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **95** (1985), 280–286.
- [2] DRAGHICI, T., "On the almost Kähler manifolds with Hermitian Ricci tensor," *Houston J. Math.* **20** (1994), 293–298.
- [3] ———, "On some 4-dimensional almost Kähler manifolds," *Kodai Math. J.* **18** (1995), 156–168.
- [4] GOLDBERG, S. I., "Integrability of almost Kähler manifolds," *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **21** (1969), 96–100.
- [5] GRAY, A., "Curvature identities for Hermitian and almost Hermitian manifolds," *Tôhoku Math. J.* **28** (1976) 601–612.
- [6] JELONEK, W., "Some simple examples of almost Kähler non-Kähler structures," to appear in *Math. Ann.*
- [7] MURAKOSHI, N., OGURO, T. and SEKIGAWA, K., "Four-dimensional almost Kähler locally symmetric spaces," to appear in *Differential Geometry and its applications.*
- [8] OGURO, T. and SEKIGAWA, K., "Non-existence of almost Kähler structure on hyperbolic spaces of dimension $2n(\geq 4)$," *Math. Ann.* **350** (1994), 317–329.
- [9] ———, "Almost Kähler structures on the Riemannian product of a 3-dimensional hyperbolic space and a real line," to appear in *Tsukuba J. Math.*
- [10] SEKIGAWA, K., "On some 4-dimensional compact almost Hermitian manifolds," *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* **2** (1987), 101–116.
- [11] ———, "On some compact Einstein almost Kähler manifolds," *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **39** (1987), 677–684.
- [12] SEKIGAWA, K. and VANHECKE, L., "Four-dimensional almost Kähler Einstein manifolds," *Ann. Math. Pura Appl.* **157** (1990), 149–160.
- [13] THURSTON, W. P., "Some simple examples of symplectic manifolds," *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **55** (1976), 467–468.

Special Issue on Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from "Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations," allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	February 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	May 1, 2009
Publication Date	August 1, 2009

Guest Editors

José Roberto Castilho Piqueira, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; piqueira@lac.usp.br

Elbert E. Neher Macau, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; elbert@lac.inpe.br

Celso Grebogi, Department of Physics, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; grebogi@abdn.ac.uk