Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci.
VOL. 21 NO. 2 (1998) 217-220

SOME RESULTS ON DOMINANT OPERATORS

YOUNGOH YANG
Department of Mathematics
Cheju National University
Cheju, 690-756, KOREA

(Received September 25, 1995 and in revised form January 30, 1997)

ABSTRACT. We show that the Weyl spectrum of a dominant operator satisfies the spectral mapping theorem for analytic functions and then answer a question of Oberai.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES: Fredholm, Weyl, dominant, M-power class (N)
1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES: 47A10, 47A53, 47B20,

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper H will denote an infinite dimensional Hilbert space and $B(H)$ the space of all bounded linear operators on H. If $T \in B(H)$, we write $\sigma(T)$ for the spectrum of T, $\pi_0(T)$ for the set of eigenvalues of T, and $\pi_{00}(T)$ for the isolated points of $\sigma(T)$ that are eigenvalues of finite multiplicity. If K is a subset of $\mathbb{C}$, we write iso K for the set of isolated points of K. An operator $T \in B(H)$ is said to *Fredholm* if its range ran T is closed and both the null space ker T and ker T^* are finite dimensional. The *index* of a Fredholm operator T, denoted by $i(T)$, is defined by

$$i(T) = \dim\ker T - \dim\ker T^*.$$

The *essential spectrum* of T, denoted by $\sigma_e(T)$, is defined by

$$\sigma_e(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ is not Fredholm}\}.,$$

A Fredholm operator of index zero is called a *Weyl* operator. The *Weyl spectrum* of T, denoted by $\omega(T)$, is defined by

$$\omega(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ is not Weyl}\}.$$

It was shown by Berberian [2] that $w(T)$ is a nonempty compact subset of $\sigma(T)$.

An operator $T \in B(H)$ is said to be *dominant* if for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ there exists a real number $M_z > 0$ such that

$$(T - z)(T - z)^* \leq M_z(T - z)^*(T - z) \tag{1.1}$$

In this case, if $\sup_{z\in\mathbb{C}} M_z = M < \infty$, T is said to be $M-$hyponormal, and if $M = 1$, T is hyponormal. Evidently,

$$T \text{ is hyponormal} \Longrightarrow T \text{ is } M\text{-hyponormal} \Longrightarrow T \text{ is dominant}$$

We also note that an operator T need not be hyponormal even though T and T^* are both $M-$hyponormal. To see this, consider the operator

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} U & K \\ 0 & U^* \end{bmatrix} : l_2 \oplus l_2 \to l_2 \oplus l_2,$$

where U is the unilateral shift on l_2 and $K : l_2 \to l_2$ is given by

$$K(x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots) = (2x_1, 0, 0, \cdots).$$

Then a direct calculation shows that

$$\frac{1}{2}\|(T-z)x\| \le \|(T-z)^* x\| \le 2\|(T-z)x\|$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and for all $x \in l_2 \oplus l_2$, which says that T and T^* are both dominant(even M−hyponormal). But since

$$\begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I+\frac{3}{2}K \end{bmatrix} = T^*T \neq TT^* = \begin{bmatrix} I+\frac{3}{2}K & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix},$$

T is not normal(even hyponormal).

If T is Fredholm then by (1.1)

$$T \text{ dominant} \Longrightarrow i(T) \le 0. \tag{1.2}$$

It was known by Oberai [8] that the mapping $T \to \omega(T)$ is upper semi-continuous, but not continuous at T. However if $T_n \to T$ with $T_nT = TT_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then

$$\lim \omega(T_n) = \omega(T). \tag{1.3}$$

It was known that $\omega(T)$ satisfies the one-way spectral mapping theorem for analytic functions: if f is analytic on a neighborhood of $\sigma(T)$ then

$$\omega(f(T)) \subset f(\omega(T)). \tag{1.4}$$

The inclusion (1.4) may be proper(see Berberian [2, Example 3.3]). If T is normal then $\sigma_e(T)$ and $\omega(T)$ coincide. Thus if T is normal since $f(T)$ is also normal, it follows that $\omega(T)$ satisfies the spectral mapping theorem for analytic functions. We say that *Weyl's theorem holds for* T if

$$\omega(T) = \sigma(T) - \pi_{00}(T).$$

It was known (Berberian [1]) that Weyl's theorem holds for any hyponormal operator − indeed, for any seminormal operator and for any Toeplitz operator. Oberai [9] has raised the following question: Does there exist a hyponormal operator T such that Weyl's theorem does not hold for T^2 ? Note that T^2 may not be hyponormal even if T is hyponormal(Halmos [5, Problem 209]).

In this paper we show that the Weyl spectrum of a dominant operator satisfies the spectral mapping theorem for analytic functions, and that Weyl's theorem holds for $p(T)$ when T is hyponormal and p is any polynomial. The latter result answers the question of Oberai.

2. SPECTRAL MAPPING THEOREM

THEOREM 2.1. If S and T are dominant operators, then

$$S, T \text{ Weyl} \iff ST \text{ Weyl}. \tag{2.1}$$

PROOF. If S, T are Weyl, then S, T are Fredholm and $i(S) = i(T) = 0$. By Conway [3], ST is Fredholm and by the index product theorem, $i(ST) = i(S) + i(T) = 0$. Hence ST is Weyl.

Conversely if ST is Weyl, then ST is Fredholm and $i(ST) = 0$. Since S and T are dominant, $\ker S \subset \ker S^*$ and $\ker T \subset \ker T^*$. Since $\ker S^* \subseteq \ker(ST)^*$, $\dim\ker S \le \dim\ker S^* \le$

$\dim\ker(ST)^* < \infty$. Thus $\ker S$ and $\ker S^*$ are finite dimensional. By Schechter [10, Chap. 5 Theorem 3.5], S and T are Fredholm. Since $0 = i(ST) = i(S) + i(T)$ by the index product theorem, by (1.2) $i(S) = i(T) = 0$. Hence S and T are Weyl.

If the "dominant" condition is dropped in the above theorem, then the backward implication may fail even though T_1 and T_2 commute: For example, if U is the unilateral shift on l_2, consider the following operators on $l_2 \oplus l_2 : T_1 = U \oplus I$ and $T_2 = I \oplus U^*$.

THEOREM 2.2. If T is dominant and f is analytic on a neighborhood of $\sigma(T)$, then $\omega(f(T)) = f(\omega(T))$.

PROOF. Suppose that p is any polynomial. Let

$$P(T) - \lambda I = a_0(T - \mu_1 I)\cdots(T - \mu_n I).$$

Since T is dominant, $T - \mu_i I$ are dominant operators for each $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. It thus follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda \notin \omega(p(T)) &\Longleftrightarrow p(T) - \lambda I = \text{Weyl} \\
&\Longleftrightarrow a_0(T - \mu_1 I)\cdots(T - \mu_n I) = \text{Weyl} \\
&\Longleftrightarrow T - \mu_i I = \text{Weyl for each } i = 1, 2, \cdots, n \\
&\Longleftrightarrow \mu_i \notin \omega(T) \text{ for each } i = 1, 2, \cdots, n \\
&\Longleftrightarrow \lambda \notin p(\omega(T))
\end{aligned}$$

which says that $\omega(p(T)) = p(\omega(T))$. If f is analytic on a neighborhood of $\sigma(T)$, then there is a sequence (p_n) of polynomials such that $f_n \to f$ uniformly on $\sigma(T)$. Since $p_n(T)$ commutes with $f(T)$, by Oberai [8]

$$f(\omega(T)) = \lim p_n(\omega(T)) = \lim \omega(p_n(T)) = \omega(f(T)).$$

Recall that $T \in B(H)$ is said to be *isoloid* if iso $\sigma(T) \subset \pi_0(T)$ (Oberai [9]).

LEMMA 2.3. (Oberai [9]) Let $T \in B(H)$ be isoloid. Then for any polynomial $p(t)$, $p(\sigma(T) - \pi_{00}(T)) = \sigma(p(T)) - \pi_{00}(p(T))$.

Let T be an $M-$hyponormal operator which satisfies the additional property that for all z in the complex plane, all integers n and all x in H,

$$\|(T - z)^n x\|^2 < M\|(T - z)^{2n} x\| \cdot \|x\|.$$

T is said to be an operator of *$M-$power class* (N) (Istrătescu [7]). The following $M-$ hyponormal operator T which is not hyponormal is of $M-$power class (N) (Istrătescu [7]): Let $\{e_i\}$ be an orthonormal basis for H, and define

$$Te_i = \begin{cases} e_2, & \text{if } i = 1 \\ 2e_3, & \text{if } i = 2 \\ e_{i+1}, & \text{if } i \geq 3 \end{cases}$$

i.e., T is a weighted shift. From the definition of T we see that T is similar to the unilateral shift U(Halmos [5], Problem 90). Thus there exists an S such that $T = SUS^{-1}$. In our case $\|S\| = 2$, $\|S^{-1}\| = 1$. Since U is the unilateral shift, U is a hyponormal operator, and thus for every n and $z \in \mathbb{C}$ the operator $(U - z)^n$ is of class (N). It follows that

$$\|(U - z)^n x\|^2 \leq \|(U - z)^{2n} x\|$$

for all $x \in H$ with $\|x\| = 1$, and hence T is of $M-$power class with $M = 4$. Thus our class is strictly larger than the class of hyponormal operators. Since $w(T) = w(U) = D$(the closed unit disc) and $\pi_0(T) = \emptyset$, $\sigma(T) = w(T)$ and so Weyl's theorem holds for T.

THEOREM 2.4. If $T \in B(H)$ is an operator of $M-$power class (N), then for any polynomial p on a neighborhood of $\sigma(T)$ Weyl's theorem holds for $p(T)$.

PROOF. By Istrătescu [7], T is isoloid and Weyl's theorem holds for any operator of $M-$power class (N). Hence by Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 2.3,

$$w(p(T)) = p(w(T)) = p(\sigma(T) - \pi_{00}(T)) = \sigma(p(T)) - \pi_{00}(p(T))$$

Therefore Weyl's theorem holds for $p(T)$.

Since every hyponormal operator is of 1-power class (N), we obtain the following result which answers the question of Oberai.

COROLLARY 2.5. If $T \in B(H)$ is hyponormal, then for any polynomial p on a neighborhood of $\sigma(T)$ Weyl's theorem holds for $p(T)$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. I wish to express my appreciation to the referee whose remarks and observations lead to an improvement of the paper. This paper was partially supported by Cheju National University Research Fund, 1996

REFERENCES

[1]. BERBERIAN, S.K., An extension of Weyl's theorem to a class of not necessary normal operators, *Michigan Math. J.,* **16** (1969), 273-279.

[2]. BERBERIAN, S.K., The Weyl's spectrum of an operator, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.,* **20(6)** (1970), 529-544..

[3]. CONWAY, J.B., Subnormal operators, *Pitman*, Boston, 1981.

[4]. GRAMSCH, B. and LAY, D., *Spectral mapping theorems for essential spectra*, *Math. Ann.,* **192** (1971), 17-32.

[5]. HALMOS, P.R., Hilbert space problem book, *Springer-Verlag*, New York, 1984.

[6]. HARTE, R.E., Invertibility and singularity for bounded linear operators, *Marcel Dekker*, New York, 1988.

[7]. ISTRĂTESCU, V.I., Some results on $M-$hyponormal operators, *Mathematics Seminar Notes,* **6** (1978).

[8]. OBERAI, K.K., On the Weyl spectrum, *Illinois J. Math.,* **18** (1974), 208-212.

[9]. OBERAI, K.K., On the Weyl spectrum II, *Illinois J. Math.,* **21** (1977), 84-90.

[10].Schechter, M., Principles of functional analysis, *Academic Press Inc.*, New York, 1971.

[11].WADHWA, B.I., M-hyponormal operators, *Duke Math. J.,* **41(3)** (1974), 655-660.

Mathematical Problems in Engineering

Special Issue on Space Dynamics

Call for Papers

Space dynamics is a very general title that can accommodate a long list of activities. This kind of research started with the study of the motion of the stars and the planets back to the origin of astronomy, and nowadays it has a large list of topics. It is possible to make a division in two main categories: astronomy and astrodynamics. By astronomy, we can relate topics that deal with the motion of the planets, natural satellites, comets, and so forth. Many important topics of research nowadays are related to those subjects. By astrodynamics, we mean topics related to spaceflight dynamics.

It means topics where a satellite, a rocket, or any kind of man-made object is travelling in space governed by the gravitational forces of celestial bodies and/or forces generated by propulsion systems that are available in those objects. Many topics are related to orbit determination, propagation, and orbital maneuvers related to those spacecrafts. Several other topics that are related to this subject are numerical methods, nonlinear dynamics, chaos, and control.

The main objective of this Special Issue is to publish topics that are under study in one of those lines. The idea is to get the most recent researches and published them in a very short time, so we can give a step in order to help scientists and engineers that work in this field to be aware of actual research. All the published papers have to be peer reviewed, but in a fast and accurate way so that the topics are not outdated by the large speed that the information flows nowadays.

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/guidelines.html. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at http://mts.hindawi.com/ according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	July 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	October 1, 2009
Publication Date	January 1, 2010

Lead Guest Editor

Antonio F. Bertachini A. Prado, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil; prado@dem.inpe.br

Guest Editors

Maria Cecilia Zanardi, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Guaratinguetá, 12516-410 São Paulo, Brazil; cecilia@feg.unesp.br

Tadashi Yokoyama, Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP), Rio Claro, 13506-900 São Paulo, Brazil; tadashi@rc.unesp.br

Silvia Maria Giuliatti Winter, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Guaratinguetá, 12516-410 São Paulo, Brazil; silvia@feg.unesp.br