

## EXPONENTIAL STABILITY FOR ABSTRACT LINEAR AUTONOMOUS FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH INFINITE DELAY

JIN LIANG

Teaching and Research Section of Mathematics  
Kunming University of Science and Technology  
Kunming 650093, P.R. CHINA

FALUN HUANG

Department of Mathematics  
Sichuan University  
Chengdu 610064, P.R. CHINA

TIJUN XIAO

Department of Mathematics  
Yunnan Normal University  
Kunming 650092, P.R. CHINA

(Received May 5, 1994 and in revised form September 27, 1996)

**ABSTRACT.** Based on our preceding paper, this note is concerned with the exponential stability of the solution semigroup for the abstract linear autonomous functional differential equation

$$\dot{x}(t) = L(x_t) \quad (*)$$

where  $L$  is a continuous linear operator on some abstract phase space  $B$  into a Banach space  $E$ . We prove that the solution semigroup of  $(*)$  is exponentially stable if and only if the fundamental operator  $(*)$  is exponentially stable and the phase space  $B$  is an exponentially fading memory space.

**KEY WORDS AND PHRASES:** Exponentially stable, solution semigroup, abstract linear autonomous functional differential equation, fundamental operator, fading memory space.

**1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES:** Primary 34K20, 34G20.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $E$  be a Banach space. For an  $E$ -valued function  $x$  defined on  $(-\infty, a]$ , by  $x_t$  we denote the function  $x_t(\theta) = x(t + \theta)$ ,  $-\infty < \theta \leq 0$ . We consider the abstract linear autonomous functional differential equation with infinite delay

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = L(x_t), & t > 0, \\ x(\theta) = \phi(\theta), & \theta \in (-\infty, 0] \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $L$  is a continuous linear operator on an abstract phase space  $B$  into  $E$ .

In [1], we have discussed some problems on (1.1), and have given a definition of the fundamental operator for (1.1) corresponding to the fundamental matrix [2,3] of (1.1) in the case of finite-dimensional space, and some sufficient and necessary conditions of the fundamental operator of (1.1) being exponentially stable. In the present note, we investigate the exponential stability of the solution semigroup of (1.1) and set up a sufficient and necessary condition for it. It is shown that the solution semigroup of (1.1) is exponentially stable if and only if the fundamental operator of (1.1) is exponentially stable and the phase space  $B$  is an exponentially fading memory space.

**2. MAIN RESULTS**

Let  $U(Y)$  be the set of all bounded linear operators from space  $Y$  to  $Y$ .  $\chi_{[u,v]}(\cdot)$  denotes the characteristic function of interval  $[u, v]$ . Suppose  $B$  is a Banach space of  $E$ -valued functions on  $(-\infty, 0]$  with a norm  $\|\cdot\|_B$  having the following properties:

(H1). If  $x : (-\infty, \alpha] \rightarrow E$ ,  $a > \sigma$ , is continuous on  $[\sigma, a]$  and  $x_0 \in B$ , then  $x_t$  is continuous in  $t \in [\sigma, a]$ .

(H2).  $\|\phi(0)\| \leq K\|\phi\|_B$  for all  $\phi$  in  $B$  and some constant  $K$ .

(H3).  $\|\phi\|_B \leq \|\phi\|_\beta + \|\phi\|_{(\beta)}$  for any  $\beta > 0$ ,  $\phi \in B$ , where  $\|\phi\|_\beta = \inf\{\|\psi\|_B : \psi \in B \text{ and } \psi(\theta) = \phi(\theta) \text{ for } \theta \in (-\infty, -\beta]\}$ ,  $\|\phi\|_{(\beta)} = \inf\{\|\psi\|_B : \psi \in B \text{ and } \psi(\theta) = \phi(\theta) \text{ for } \theta \in [-\beta, 0]\}$ .

(H4).  $\|\phi\|_\beta \leq K_1 \sup\{\|\phi(\theta)\|, -\beta \leq \theta \leq 0\}$  for any  $\phi \in B$  and some constant  $K_1$ ;  $\sup_{\|\phi\|_B=1} \|\phi\|_\beta$  is a locally bounded function of  $\beta \geq 0$ .

(H5).  $\|x(\cdot)\|_B \leq M_1\|y(\cdot)\|_B$  for any  $x, y \in B$  with  $\|x(\theta)\| \leq \|y(\theta)\|$  for  $\theta \in (-\infty, 0]$  and some constant  $M_1$ .

(H6).  $\chi_{[-t,0]}(\cdot)b, \chi_{(-t,0]}(\cdot)b \in B$  for any  $t \geq 0$  and  $b \in E : \|\chi_{[0,0]}(\cdot)b\|_B \leq M\|b\|$  for  $b \in E$  and some constant  $M$ .

$B$  is called an *admissible phase space*. (H4) and (H5) stem from  $(\alpha_3)$ ,  $(\beta_1)$  and  $(\beta_2)$  in [4]. It is clear that [1, H(3)] follows from (H4) and (H5). A typical example of the space  $B$  could be found in [1]. It is known that for each  $\phi \in B$ , the solution  $x(t) = x(t, \phi)$  of (1.1) exists uniquely for  $t \in [0, \infty)$ . For every  $\phi \in B, t \in [0, \infty)$ , define

$$(T(t)\phi)(\theta) = x_t(\theta) = x_t(\theta, \phi) = \begin{cases} \phi(0) + \int_0^{t+\theta} L(x_s)ds, & t + \theta > 0, \\ \phi(t + \theta), & t + \theta \leq 0, \end{cases} \quad \theta \in (-\infty, 0].$$

$\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is called the solution semigroup of (1.1). If  $L = 0$ , we denote by  $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  the solution semigroup, i.e.,

$$(S(t)\phi)(0) = \begin{cases} \phi(0), & t + \theta > 0, \\ \phi(t + \theta), & t + \theta \leq 0, \end{cases} \quad t \in [0, \infty), \phi \in B, \theta \in (-\infty, 0].$$

It is also called a translation semigroup. By virtue of (H1),  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  and  $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  are  $C_0$  semigroups on  $B$ . Set

$$(S_0(t)\phi)(\theta) = \begin{cases} 0, & t + \theta > 0, \\ \phi(t + \theta), & t + \theta \leq 0, \end{cases} \quad t \in [0, \infty), \phi \in B, \theta \in (-\infty, 0].$$

An admissible phase space  $B$  is called to be an exponentially fading memory space, if there are constants  $C, \alpha > 0$  such that  $\|S_0(t)\phi\|_t \leq Ce^{-\alpha t}\|\phi\|_B$  for any  $\phi \in B, t > 0$ . By (H1) and (H6),  $S_0(t)\phi \in B(t > 0)$ . Therefore,  $B$  is an exponentially fading memory space if and only if  $\|S_0(t)\phi\|_B \leq Ce^{-\alpha t}\|\phi\|_B$  for any  $\phi \in B, t > 0$  and some positive constants  $C, \alpha$ , since by (H5),

$$\|S_0(t)\phi\|_t \leq \|S_0(t)\phi\|_B \leq M_1\|S_0(t)\phi\|_t \quad \text{for any } \phi \in B, t > 0. \tag{2.1}$$

**LEMMA 1.** Let  $x(t, \phi)$  be the solution of (1.1). Then

$$x(t, \phi) = \begin{cases} X(t)\phi(0) + \int_0^t X(t-\tau)L(S_0(\tau)\phi)d\tau, & t > 0, \\ \phi(t), & t \leq 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

or for  $\theta \in (-\infty, 0]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (T(t)\phi)(\theta) &= \begin{cases} X(t+\theta)\phi(0) + \int_0^{t+\theta} X(t+\theta-\tau)L(S_0(\tau)\phi)d\tau, & t+\theta > 0, \\ \phi(t+\theta), & t+\theta \leq 0, \end{cases} \\ &= (S_0(t)\phi)(\theta) + X(t+\theta)\phi(0) + \int_0^{t+\theta} X(t+\theta-\tau)L(S_0(\tau)\phi)d\tau, \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where  $X(t)$  is the fundamental operator of (1.1) (see [1]).

**PROOF.** Let

$$\|T(t)\phi\|_B, \|S(t)\phi\|_B \leq Me^{\eta t}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad \phi \in B, \tag{2.4}$$

where  $M > 0, \eta > \omega$  (the constant in [1, Lemma 2.2]) are constants. For  $\phi \in B$ , set

$$y(t) = y(t, \phi) = \begin{cases} 0, & t > 0 \\ \phi(t), & t \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

and  $z(t) = z(t, \phi) = x(t, \phi) - y(t, \phi)$ , where  $x(t, \phi)$  is the solution of (1.1). Then we have

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = L(z_t + S_0(t)\phi) = Lz_t + L(S_0(t)\phi), \quad t > 0. \tag{2.5}$$

By virtue of (H5) and (2.4), we obtain

$$\|z_t\|_B, \|S_0(t)\phi\|_B \leq M_1Me^{\eta t}, \quad t > 0, \quad \phi \in B. \tag{2.6}$$

Moreover, according to (H2) or (2.5),

$$\|z(t)\| < M_2e^{\eta t}, \quad t > 0, \tag{2.7}$$

where  $M_2 > 0$  is a constant. Thus, taking Laplace transform on two sides of the equality (2.5), we have that for  $\text{Re } \lambda > \eta$ ,

$$-\phi(0) + \lambda \hat{z}(\lambda) = L(e^{\lambda \cdot})z(\lambda) + \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t}L(S_0(t)\phi)dt,$$

that is

$$\hat{z}(\lambda) = (\lambda I - L(e^{\lambda \cdot}))^{-1}\phi(0) + \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda I - L(e^{\lambda \cdot}))^{-1}L(S_0(t)\phi)dt,$$

where  $\hat{z}(\lambda)$  is a Laplace transform of  $z$ . Accordingly, the formula (2.2) follows from the definition of  $X(t)$ , the convolution property and the uniqueness property of Laplace transform.

**THEOREM 1.** The solution semigroup  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  of (1.1) is exponentially stable if and only if the fundamental operator  $X(t)$  of (1.1) is exponentially stable and the phase space  $B$  is an exponentially fading memory phase.

**PROOF.** Necessity. Let

$$\|T(t)\phi\|_B \leq M_0e^{-\sigma t}\|\phi\|_B \quad \text{for } t \geq 0, \phi \in B, \tag{2.8}$$

where  $M_0, \sigma > 0$  are constants. Then, by (H5)

$$\|S_0(t)\phi\|_t = \|T_0(t)\phi\|_t \leq \|T(t)\phi\|_B \leq M_0e^{-\sigma t}\|\phi\|, \quad t \geq 0, \phi \in B, \tag{2.9}$$

that is,  $B$  is an exponentially fading memory space.

According to [1, Lemma 3.1], for every  $b \in E$ ,  $X(t)b$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \dot{X}(t)b = L(X_t b), & t > 0 \\ X(\theta)b = \chi_{[0,0]}(\theta)b, & \theta \in (-\infty, 0). \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$(T(t)\chi_{[0,0]}(\cdot)b)(\theta)|_{\theta=0} = b + \int_0^t L(X_\tau b) d\lambda = X(t)b, \quad b \in E.$$

Consequently, it follows from (H2), (2.8) and (H6) that for any  $b \in E$ ,

$$\|X(t)b\| \leq K \|T(t)\chi_{[0,0]}(\cdot)b\| \leq KM_0 M e^{-\sigma t} \|b\|, \quad t \geq 0.$$

This shows that  $X(t)$  is exponentially stable.

**Sufficiency.** Obviously, we can suppose

$$\begin{aligned} \|X(t)\| &\leq M_0 e^{-\sigma t} \quad (t \geq 0), \\ \|S_0(t)\phi\|_B &\leq M_0 e^{-\sigma t} \|\phi\|_B \quad (t > 0, \phi \in B). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (H2),

$$\sup_{-\frac{1}{2} \leq \theta < 0} \|X(t+\theta)\phi(0)\| \leq M_0 e^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}t} \|\phi(0)\| \leq M_0 e^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}t} \|\phi\|_B. \quad (2.10)$$

Moreover, for  $\theta \in [-\frac{1}{2}, 0]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^{t+\theta} X(t+\theta-\tau)L(S_0(\tau)\phi)d\tau \right\| &\leq \int_0^{t+\theta} M_0 e^{-\sigma(t+\theta-\tau)} \|L\| M_0 e^{-\sigma\tau} \|\phi\|_B d\tau \\ &\leq M_0^2 \|L\| (t+\theta) e^{-\sigma(t+\theta)} \|\phi\|_B. \end{aligned}$$

Accordingly,

$$\sup_{-\frac{1}{2} \leq \theta \leq 0} \left\| \int_0^{t+\theta} X(t+\theta-\tau)L(S_0(\tau)\phi)d\tau \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} M_0^2 \|L\| t e^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}t} \|\phi\|_B. \quad (2.11)$$

(H4), Lemma 1, (2.10) and (2.11) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(t)\phi\|_{(\frac{1}{2})} &\leq K_1 \sup_{-\frac{1}{2} \leq \theta \leq 0} \|(T(\tau)\phi)(\theta)\| \leq K_1 \sup_{-\frac{1}{2} \leq \theta \leq 0} \|X(t+\theta)\phi(0)\| + \\ &K_1 \sup_{-\frac{1}{2} \leq \theta \leq 0} \left\| \int_0^{t+\theta} X(t+\theta-\tau)L(S_0(\tau)\phi)d\tau \right\| \leq \left( K_1 M_0 + \frac{1}{2} M_0^2 \|L\| t \right) e^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}t} \|\phi\|_B. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, there is a constant  $K_2$  such that

$$\|T(t)\phi\|_{(\frac{1}{2})} \leq K_2 e^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}t} \|\phi\|_B, \quad (2.12)$$

taking into account  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t e^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}t} = 0$ .

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(t)\phi\|_{\frac{1}{2}} &= \left\| T\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \left( T\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \phi \right) \right\|_{\frac{1}{2}} = \left\| S_0\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \left( T\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \phi \right) \right\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left\| S_0\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \left( T\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \phi \right) \right\| \leq M_0 e^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}t} \left\| T\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \phi \right\|_B. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Therefore, it follows from (H4), (2.12) and (2.13) that

$$\|T(t)\phi\|_B \leq \|T(t)\phi\|_{(\frac{1}{2})} + \|T(t)\phi\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \leq K_2 e^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}t} \|\phi\|_B + M_0 e^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}t} \left\| T\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \phi \right\|_B.$$

Consequently,

$$\|T(t)\| \leq C e^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}t} \left( 1 + \left\| T\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \right\| \right), \quad (2.14)$$

where  $C = K_2 + M_0$ .

We say that  $\|T(t)\|$  is bounded on  $[0, \infty]$ . In fact, if it is false, then as shown in [5],

$$\omega_L = \inf_{t>0} \frac{\ln\|T(t)\|}{t} \geq 0, \tag{2.15}$$

that is,  $\|T(t)\| \geq 1$ . Hence, by (2.14),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\ln\|T(t)\|}{t} &\leq -\frac{\sigma}{4} + \frac{\ln C \left(1 + \|T(\frac{t}{2})\|^{-1}\right)}{t} + \frac{\ln\|T(\frac{t}{2})\|}{t} \\ &\leq -\frac{\sigma}{4} + \frac{\ln 2C}{t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\ln\|T(\frac{t}{2})\|}{\frac{t}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain

$$\omega_L \leq -\frac{\sigma}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \omega_L,$$

i.e.,  $\omega_L \leq -\frac{\sigma}{2}$ , which contradicts (2.15). So,  $\|T(t)\|$  is bounded on  $[0, \infty)$ . Accordingly, (2.14) implies that  $T(t)$  is exponentially stable.

**REMARK 1.** (i) If  $L(S_0(t)\phi)$  is well defined for each  $t > 0$ ,  $\phi \in B$ , and

$$L\left(\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S_0(t)\phi dt\right) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} L(S_0(t)\phi) dt$$

for  $Re \lambda > \omega$  ( $\omega$  is a constant), then Lemma 1 still holds when the hypothesis (H6) on  $B$  is taken off

(ii) If the assumptions of (i) hold,  $\|L(S_0(t)\phi)\| \leq M\|S_0(t)\phi\|_t$  for any  $t > 0$ ,  $\phi \in B$  and some constant  $M > 0$ , and  $E$  is a finite-dimensional space, then Theorem 1 is still true when the hypothesis (H6) on  $B$  is taken off.

**PROOF.** (i) and the "sufficiency" of (ii) are clearly true according to the proof of Lemma 1 and that of the "sufficiency" of Theorem 1 respectively.

Let us look at the "Necessity" of (ii) now. Obviously, by (2.9),  $B$  is an exponentially fading memory space. From (2.8),  $\omega_L = \inf_{t>0} \frac{\ln\|T(t)\|}{t} \leq -\sigma$ . Accordingly, for  $Re \lambda > -\sigma$ ,  $b \in E$ ,  $e^{\lambda\theta} b \in B$  and  $\det(\lambda - L(e^{\lambda\cdot})) \neq 0$ , as shown in [3]. Taking a  $\sigma_0 \in (0, \sigma)$ , we have by (H5) that  $\|e^{\lambda\cdot} b\|_B \leq M_1 \|e^{-\sigma_0\cdot} b\|_B$  and  $\|L(e^{\lambda\cdot} b)\| \leq \|L\| M_1 \|e^{-\sigma_0\cdot} b\|_B$  for  $Re \lambda \geq -\sigma_0$ . Thanks to the resonance theorem, we get  $\sup\{\|L(e^{\lambda\cdot})\| : Re \lambda \geq -\sigma_0\} < \infty$ . Therefore,  $X(t)$  is exponentially stable by virtue of [1, Theorem 3.5].

**ACKNOWLEDGMENT.** This work was supported by the National NSF of China and the ABSF of Yunnan Province. The authors are very grateful to the referee for his careful reading and valuable suggestions.

**REFERENCES**

[1] LIANG, J. and XIAO, T.J., Functional differential equations with infinite delay in Banach spaces, *Inter. J. Math. & Math. Sci.* **14** (1991), 497-508.  
 [2] CORDUNEANU, C. and LAKSHMIKANTHAM, V., Equations with unbounded delay: A survey, *Nonlinear Analysis Theory Method and Application* **4** (1980), 831-877.  
 [3] NAITO, T., On linear autonomous retarded equations with an abstract phase space for infinite delay, *J. Diff. Eqns.* **33** (1979), 74-91.  
 [4] HALE, J.K. and KATO, J., Phase space for retarded equations with infinite delay, *Funct. Ekvaciaj.* **21** (1978), 11-41.  
 [5] YOSIDA, K., *Functional Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1965.

## Special Issue on Boundary Value Problems on Time Scales

### Call for Papers

The study of dynamic equations on a time scale goes back to its founder Stefan Hilger (1988), and is a new area of still fairly theoretical exploration in mathematics. Motivating the subject is the notion that dynamic equations on time scales can build bridges between continuous and discrete mathematics; moreover, it often reveals the reasons for the discrepancies between two theories.

In recent years, the study of dynamic equations has led to several important applications, for example, in the study of insect population models, neural network, heat transfer, and epidemic models. This special issue will contain new researches and survey articles on Boundary Value Problems on Time Scales. In particular, it will focus on the following topics:

- Existence, uniqueness, and multiplicity of solutions
- Comparison principles
- Variational methods
- Mathematical models
- Biological and medical applications
- Numerical and simulation applications

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/ade/guidelines.html>. Authors should follow the Advances in Difference Equations manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/ade/>. Articles published in this Special Issue shall be subject to a reduced Article Processing Charge of €200 per article. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	April 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	July 1, 2009
Publication Date	October 1, 2009

### Lead Guest Editor

**Alberto Cabada**, Departamento de Análise Matemática, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, 15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain; [alberto.cabada@usc.es](mailto:alberto.cabada@usc.es)

### Guest Editor

**Victoria Otero-Espinar**, Departamento de Análise Matemática, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, 15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain; [mvictoria.otero@usc.es](mailto:mvictoria.otero@usc.es)