

**ADAMS AND STEENROD OPERATORS IN DIHEDRAL HOMOLOGY**

**Y. GH. GOUDA**

Department of Mathematics  
 Faculty of Science  
 South Valley University  
 Aswan, EGYPT

(Received January 30, 1996 and in revised form August 12, 1996)

**ABSTRACT.** In this article, we define the Adam's and Steenrod's operators in the dihedral homology

**KEY WORDS AND PHRASES:** Adam's and Steenrod's operators, dihedral homology.

**1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES:** Primary 55N91, Secondary 55P91, 55Q91.

**1. INTRODUCTION**

The dihedral (co)homology of unital algebra with an involution, symmetry, bisymmetry and Weile has been studied by Looder [1], Krasauskas, Lapin and Solovev [2], Kolosov [3] and others 1987-89 In the present work we are concerned with Adam's and Steenrod's operators in the dihedral homology.

**1. THE ADAM'S OPERATOR IN THE DIHEDRAL HOMOLOGY**

We recall the Adam's operator  $\psi^k$  in the cyclic homology from [4] and [5]. Let  $A$  be a commutative, associative, and unital  $K$ -algebra with an involution  $*$  ( $*$  :  $A \rightarrow A$  is an automorphism of degree zero,  $*^2 = id$ ,  $(a + b)^* = a^* + b^*$ ,  $(ab)^* = b^*a^*$ ,  $a, b \in A$ ), and  $K$  is a field with characteristic zero. Let  $\lambda^k = \wedge^k(1_n - n)$  be the  $k^{th}$  exterior dimension representation of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(k)$  and  $n$  is the direct sum of the one dimensional representation ( $n$ -argument). Following [6], the ring  $R(\mathfrak{gl}_n(k))$  is isometric to the ring of polynomial  $K[\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^n]$ . Let  $R(\mathfrak{gl}(k)) = \varinjlim R(\mathfrak{gl}_n(k))$ . Consider, for an arbitrary representation  $\rho$  of an algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}_n(k)$ , the following sequence:

$$\begin{array}{c} CC_\infty(A) \xrightarrow{S} \wedge^n(\mathfrak{gl}(k))_{\mathfrak{gl}(k)} \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}} \wedge^n(\mathfrak{gl}(k))_{\mathfrak{gl}(k)} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \\ \xrightarrow{\varphi} CC_n(M_\infty(A)) \xrightarrow{Tr} CC_\infty(A), \end{array} \tag{1.1}$$

where  $\wedge^n(\mathfrak{gl}(k))_{\mathfrak{gl}(k)}$  is the coinvariant complex of Cherilley-Eilenberg Complex  $\wedge(\mathfrak{gl}(k))$  (see [4]),  $M_\infty(A) = \varinjlim M_n(A)$ ,  $M_n(A)$  is the  $(n \times n)$  matrix with coefficients in  $A$ . The composition maps in (1.1) are denoted by  $\alpha_n$  where  $\alpha = \varinjlim \alpha_n$ . The morphism  $S$  is given by:

$$S(a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) = E_{12}a_1 \wedge E_{23}a_2 \wedge \dots \wedge E_{n-1,n}a_{n-1} \wedge E_{n,1} \cdot a_n,$$

where  $E_{ij}$  is the matrix, whose only non zero elements are the identity element  $1 \in k$ . The map  $\hat{\rho}$  is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\rho}(X_1 a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge X_n a_n) &= \rho(x_1)a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \rho(x_n)a_n, \quad x_i \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(k), \\ \varphi(Z_0 \wedge \dots \wedge Z_n) &= \sum_{\sigma} \text{sgn}(\sigma) (-1)^n Z_0 \otimes Z_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes Z_{\sigma(n)}, \quad Z_i \in \mathfrak{gl}_N(k), \end{aligned}$$

$\rho : \mathfrak{gl}_n(k) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_N(k)$ , and  $Tr$  is the trace map defined by:

$$Tr(X_1 a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes X_n a_n) = tr(X_1 \dots X_n) a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n.$$

We can easily check ([4]) that,  $\alpha(\rho + \tau) = \alpha(\rho) + \alpha(\tau)$ , where  $\rho$  and  $\tau$  are representations of  $\mathfrak{gl}(k)$

From the above discussion we have the homomorphism  $\alpha : R(\mathfrak{gl}(k)) \rightarrow \text{End}(CC.(A))$ . Clearly, for any  $f \in K[\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^n, \dots]$ , the homomorphism  $\alpha(f)$  coincides with the homomorphism  $\alpha$  [5]. Suppose that  $Q_k, k \geq 1$  is the Newton Polynomial, which is given by the symmetric function  $\sum_{i=1}^k (u_i)^k$ , such that  $\sigma_r = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r} u_{i_1} \dots u_{i_r}, 1 \leq r \leq k$ . By acting with the morphism  $\alpha$  on the Newton Polynomial, we get the Adams operators  $\psi^k = \alpha(Q_k) = \alpha((-1)^k .k \lambda^k)$ , since  $(-1)^k .k \lambda^k$  is the linear part of  $K$ -Newton Polynomial. Consider the chain complex  $(C\mathcal{H}.(A), b.')$  and the Connes-Tsygan bicomplex (see [1])

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 b. \downarrow & & b'. \downarrow & & b. \downarrow & & b'. \downarrow & & b. \downarrow \\
 C\mathcal{H}_2(A) & \xleftarrow{1-t.} & C\mathcal{H}_2(A) & \xleftarrow{N} & C\mathcal{H}_2(A) & \xleftarrow{1-t.} & C\mathcal{H}_2(A) & \xleftarrow{N} & C\mathcal{H}_2(A) \leftarrow \dots \\
 b. \downarrow & & b'. \downarrow & & b. \downarrow & & b'. \downarrow & & b. \downarrow \\
 C\mathcal{H}_1(A) & \xleftarrow{1-t.} & C\mathcal{H}_1(A) & \xleftarrow{N} & C\mathcal{H}_1(A) & \xleftarrow{1-t.} & C\mathcal{H}_1(A) & \xleftarrow{N} & C\mathcal{H}_1(A) \leftarrow \dots \\
 b. \downarrow & & b'. \downarrow & & b. \downarrow & & b'. \downarrow & & b. \downarrow \\
 C\mathcal{H}_0(A) & \xleftarrow{1-t.} & C\mathcal{H}_0(A) & \xleftarrow{N} & C\mathcal{H}_0(A) & \xleftarrow{1-t.} & C\mathcal{H}_0(A) & \xleftarrow{N} & C\mathcal{H}_0(A) \leftarrow \dots,
 \end{array} \tag{1.1}$$

then, the subcomplex  $(\ker(1-t.), b.')$   $\subset$   $(C\mathcal{H}.(A), b.')$  has the same homology as the complex  $(CC.(A), b.)$ , that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{H}.(CC.(A)) &= \mathcal{H}((C\mathcal{H}.(A), b.)/\text{Im}(1-t.)) = \mathcal{H}((C\mathcal{H}.(A), b.)/\text{Ker } N) \\
 &= \mathcal{H}(\text{Im } N, b.') = \mathcal{H}(\text{Ker}(1-t.), b.'),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $C\mathcal{H}_n(A) = A^{\otimes n+1} = A \otimes \dots \otimes A$  ( $n+1$  times),  $b_n, b'_n : C\mathcal{H}_n(A) \rightarrow C\mathcal{H}_{n-1}(A)$ , such that  $b'_n(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i (a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_i a_{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a_n)$ ,  $b_n(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) = b'_n + (-1)^n (a_n a \otimes \dots \otimes a_{n-1})$ ,  $t_n : C\mathcal{H}_n(A) \rightarrow C\mathcal{H}_n(A)$ , such that  $t_n(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) = (-1)^n (a_n \otimes a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{n-1})$  and  $N_n = 1 + t_n^1 + \dots + t_n^n$ . Therefore, the complex  $(\text{Ker}(1-t.), b.')$  is isomorphic to the complex  $(CC.(A), b.)$ . The isomorphism between them is given by the operator  $N : CC.(A) \rightarrow (\text{ker}(1-t.), b.')$ . Consequently, the action of the group  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  on the complex  $CC.(A)$ , by means of the operator  $\epsilon_r$ , is equal to the action of  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  on the complex  $(\text{Ker}(1-t.), b.')$ , by means of the operator

$$\epsilon^h : a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n \rightarrow (-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \epsilon a_n^* \otimes a_{n-1}^* \otimes \dots \otimes a_0^*,$$

where  $a^*$  is the image of element  $a \in A$  under involution  $*$  :  $A \rightarrow A, \epsilon = \pm 1$ . Since  $\epsilon^h.t. = t.^{-1}\epsilon^h..$  Hence,  $N.(\epsilon^h.) = (\epsilon^h.)N..$  On the other hand, since  $\epsilon_r. = t.\epsilon^h..$ , then  $\epsilon^h.N. = N.\epsilon^h. = (N.t.)\epsilon^h. = N.(t.\epsilon^h.) = N.\epsilon_r..$  So, the dihedral homology of  $A$  is given by the formula

$$\epsilon^h D.(A) = \mathcal{H}((\ker(1-t.)/(\text{Im}(1-t.) \cap \ker(1-t.))).$$

Assume that the complex  $CC.(A)$  is a subcomplex of  $(C\mathcal{H}.(A), b.')$ , then the direct calculation of homomorphism  $\alpha((-1)^k k \lambda^k)$  gives the Adam's operator  $\Psi^k$  in additive algebraic  $K$ -theory (see [4]), that is,  $\Psi(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) = \sum_I \text{sgn}(\sigma_I) a_{\sigma_I(0)} \otimes \dots \otimes a_{\sigma_I(n)}$ , where  $I$  is the division of the set  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  into non-empty intersected subsets, that is,  $I = I_0 \cup \dots \cup I_{k-1}$ , and  $\sigma_I \in \sum_{n+1}$  is the permutation of the set  $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ , such that:

- (i) If  $i_1 \in I_{p_1}, i_2 \in I_{p_2}, p_1 < p_2$ , then  $\sigma_I(i_1) > \sigma_I(i_2)$ ,
- (ii) For any  $P, I_P = \{i_0, \dots, i_q\}, (i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_q)$ .

The permutation  $\sigma_I$  satisfies the following condition:

$$\sigma_I(i_q) = \sigma_I(i_{q-1}) + 1 = \dots = \sigma_I(i_0) + q.$$

**LEMMA 1.1.** The following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 CC.(A) & \xrightarrow{\psi^k} & CC.(A) \\
 \epsilon_r \downarrow & & \downarrow \epsilon_r \\
 CC.(A) & \xrightarrow{\psi^k} & CC.(A)
 \end{array} \tag{1.2}$$

**PROOF.** Assume that the complex  $CC.(A)$  is a subcomplex of the complex  $(CH.(A), b.)$  and the element  $a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n \in \ker(1 - t_n)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \epsilon_h \psi^k(a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) &= \epsilon_h \sum_I sgn(\sigma_I) a_{\sigma_I(0)} \otimes \dots \otimes a_{\sigma_I(n)} \\
 &= (-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \epsilon \sum_I sgn(\sigma_I) a_{\sigma_I(n)}^* \otimes \dots \otimes a_{\sigma_I(0)}^*.
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi^k(\epsilon_h)(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) &= (-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \epsilon \psi^k(a_n^* \otimes \dots \otimes a_0^*) \\
 &= (-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \epsilon \sum_J sgn(g_J) a_{g_J(n)}^* \otimes \dots \otimes a_{g_J(0)}^*,
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

where  $g_J$  is the permutation of the ordered set  $\{n, n - 1, \dots, 0\}$  satisfies the conditions (i), (ii) and  $J$  is the division of the ordered set  $\{n, n - 1, \dots, 0\}$ . Note that, in general, the permutation  $g_J$  of the ordered set  $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ , satisfies the following conditions:

- i)' If  $i_1 \in J_{p_1}, i_2 \in J_{p_2}, p_1 < p_2$ , then  $g_J(i_1) > g_J(i_2)$ ,
- ii)' For any  $p, g_J = \{i_1, \dots, i_0\}, i_q > \dots > i_0$ , we have

$$g_J(i_0) = g_J(i_1) - 1 = \dots = g_J(i_q) - q.$$

Note that the decreasing (by one) of the elements in the set  $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  met the increasing of elements (also by one) in the set  $\{n, n - 1, \dots, 0\}$ . Suppose that the arguments of the summation in (1.2) correspond to the permutation  $\sigma_I$ . The permutation  $g_J$  of the set  $\{n, n - 1, \dots, 0\}$ , where  $g_J(i) = \sigma_I(i)$  will correspond to the division  $J = I_{k-1}^* \cup \dots \cup I_0^*$ , where

$$I_i^* = \{P_q^i, \dots, P_0^i\} (I = \{P_0^i, \dots, P_q^i\}, P_0^i < \dots < P_q^i).$$

We can easily check, for any  $P$  and  $I_p^* = \{i_q^p, \dots, i_0^p\}, i_q^p < \dots < i_0^p$ , that  $g_J(i_q^p) = g_J(i_0^p) - 1 = \dots = g_J(i_q^p) - q_p$ . If  $i_1 \in I_{p_1}^*, i_2 \in I_{p_2}^*, p_1 < p_2$ , then  $g_J(i_1) > g_J(i_2)$ . From the definition of  $\sigma_I$  and  $g_J$  we have  $\epsilon_h \psi^k = \psi^k(\epsilon_h)$  in  $\ker((1 - t), b.)$  and, hence  $\epsilon_r \psi^k = \psi^k(\epsilon_r)$  in  $(CC.(A), b.)$ . Clearly the inverse of the isomorphism  $(CC.(A)) \rightarrow \ker(1 - t)$  is  $\frac{1}{n} id : (\ker(1 - t), b.) \rightarrow (CC.(A), b.)$ . The operator  $\psi^k$  in  $CC.(A)$  is given by  $\frac{1}{n} \psi^k N$ , where  $\psi^k$  is an operator in  $(\ker(1 - t), b.)$ . Since the operator  $\psi^k$ , on  $CC.(A)$  commutes with the operator  $\epsilon_r$ , then we have the Adam's operator  $\epsilon \psi^k$  in the dihedral homology. Following [6] the multiplication in the cyclic homology of the algebra  $A$  is given as follows

$$\cup : \mathcal{HC}_p(A) \otimes \mathcal{HC}_q(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{HC}_{p+q+1}(A),$$

such that

$$\cup : TotB(A) \otimes TotB(A) \rightarrow TotB(A),$$

$$xy = \left[ \begin{array}{l} (x)T(\beta y), r = 0 \\ \phantom{(x)T(\beta y)}, r \neq 0 \end{array} \right] \in B(A)_{\ell+r, m+s+1}, x \in B(A)_{\ell, m} = A \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes(m-\ell)},$$

$y \in B(A)_{r, s} = A \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes(s-r)}$ , where  $T$  is a product map [7],  $TotB(A)$  is the total complex of the bicomplex  $B(A)$ ,  $\beta$  is the Connes's operator. The group  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  acts on the column of the bicomplex  $B(A)$  with the numbers  $2\ell (n > 0)$  by means of the operator  $\epsilon_r$ , on the column with the numbers  $(2\ell + 1)$  by

means of the operator  $(-1)^{\epsilon}r$ , and on the complex  $Tot^{\epsilon}B(A) \otimes Tot^{\delta}B(A)$  by means of  ${}^{\epsilon}\hat{\tau} \otimes {}^{\delta}\hat{\tau}$ , where  ${}^{\epsilon}\hat{\tau}$  is the action of  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  on  $Tot^{\epsilon}B(A)$  induced by the action  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  on  ${}^{\epsilon}B(A)$ . Since the action of the group  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  on the complex  $Tot^{\epsilon}B(A) \otimes Tot^{\delta}B(A)$  commutes with the multiplication in the cyclic homology, then

$${}^{\epsilon}\hat{\tau} \otimes {}^{\delta}\hat{\tau}(a \otimes b) = {}^E\hat{\tau}(a) \otimes {}^{\delta}\hat{\tau}(b) \xrightarrow{\cup} {}^{\epsilon}\hat{\tau}(a)T\beta({}^{\delta}\hat{\tau}(b)),$$

$a \in Tot^{\epsilon}B(A), b \in Tot^{\delta}B(A)$ . On the other hand

$$(-({}^{\epsilon}\hat{\tau}(a)T\beta({}^{\delta}\hat{\tau}(b))) = {}^{\epsilon}\hat{\tau}(a)T\beta(-{}^{\delta}\hat{\tau}(b)) = -{}^{\epsilon}\hat{\tau}(a)T({}^{\delta}\hat{\tau}(\beta(b))) = ({}^{\epsilon\delta})\hat{\tau}(a \cup b).$$

Therefore  ${}^{\epsilon}r(a) \cup {}^{\delta}r(b) = ({}^{\epsilon\delta})r(a \cup b)$ . From the above we have the multiplication in the dihedral homology

$$\cup : {}^{\epsilon}\mathcal{HD}_p(A) \otimes {}^{\delta}\mathcal{HD}_q(A) \longrightarrow ({}^{\epsilon\delta})\mathcal{HD}_{p+q+1}(A).$$

It is well known that (see [1], [2]), the dihedral homology can be considered as the hyperhomology of the group  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  with the coefficient in  $Tot^{\epsilon}B(A)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{Z}/2, Tot^{\epsilon}B(A)) \otimes \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{Z}/2, Tot^{\delta}B(A)) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{Z}/2, Tot^{\epsilon}B(A) \otimes Tot^{\delta}B(A)) \\ &\longrightarrow \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{Z}/2, Tot^{-(\epsilon\delta)}B(A)). \end{aligned}$$

Consider the Adam's operator properties in the cyclic homology [4]. Since the Adam's operator  $\psi^k$  commutes with the action of the group  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  and the multiplication  $\cup$  in the cyclic homology anti-commutes with the action of group  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ , we get the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.2.** Assume that  $A$  is a commutative  $K$ -algebra, where  $K$  is a field of characteristic zero. The Adam's operator  $\psi^k$  has the following properties:

- 1)  ${}^{\epsilon}\psi^k \circ {}^{\epsilon}\psi^k = {}^{\epsilon}\psi^{k\ell}$ ,
- 2)  ${}^{\epsilon}\psi^k(\alpha) \cup {}^{\delta}\psi^k(\beta) = ({}^{\epsilon\delta})\psi^k(\alpha \cup \beta)$ , where  $\alpha \in \mathcal{HD}_p(A), \beta \in \mathcal{HD}_q(A)$ .

**2. THE STEENROD'S OPERATOR IN THE DIHEDRAL HOMOLOGY**

In this part we define the Steenrod's operator in the dihedral homology. Let  $A$  be a commutative  $K$ -Hopf algebra, where  $K$  is a field with characteristic (not essential) zero. Let  $\Xi$  be the dihedral category and  $K[\Xi]$  be an algebra associated with  $[\Xi]$  over  $K$  (see [1], [2]). We can define on the  $K[\Xi]$ -module  ${}^{\epsilon}A^D$ , the structure of a co-commutative  $K[\Xi]$ -co-algebra by the formula

$${}^{\epsilon}A^D \xrightarrow{\nabla} ({}^{\epsilon}(A \otimes A)) \xrightarrow{f} {}^{\epsilon}A^D \otimes {}^{\epsilon}A^D,$$

where  $\nabla$  is the  $K[\Xi]$  homomorphism, and  $f$  is given by

$$f((a_0 \otimes b_0) \otimes (a_1 \otimes b_1) \otimes \dots \otimes (a_n \otimes b_n)) = (a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) \otimes (b_0 \otimes b_1 \otimes \dots \otimes b_n).$$

Suppose that  $f \circ \nabla = ({}^{\epsilon}\nabla^D)$  gives the co-commutative co-multiplication in  ${}^{\epsilon}A^D$ . We show that  $({}^{\epsilon}\nabla^D)$  is a  $K[\Xi]$ -homomorphism. Define on the algebra  $K[\Xi]$  the co-multiplication

$$K[\Xi] \longrightarrow K[\Xi] \otimes_k K[\Xi]; \text{ such that } x \longrightarrow x \otimes x, \quad x \in K[\Xi].$$

Since  ${}^{\epsilon}A^D \otimes_k {}^{\epsilon}A^D$  is  $K[\Xi] \otimes_k K[\Xi]$  module, then by using the multiplication on  ${}^{\epsilon}A^D \otimes_k {}^{\epsilon}A^D$ , one can define the  $K[\Xi]$ -module structure and the  $K[\Xi]$ -module homomorphism  $f$ , since

$$\begin{aligned} f(x((a_0 \otimes b_0) \otimes (a_1 \otimes b_1) \otimes \dots \otimes (a_n \otimes b_n))) &= x(a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) \otimes x(b_0 \otimes b_1 \otimes \dots \otimes b_n) \\ &= x((a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) \otimes (b_0 \otimes b_1 \otimes \dots \otimes b_n)) \\ &= x f((a_0 \otimes b_0) \otimes (a_1 \otimes b_1) \otimes \dots \otimes (a_n \otimes b_n)), \end{aligned}$$

$x \in K[\Xi]$ . Hence the morphism  $({}^{\epsilon}\nabla^D)$  is a  $K[\Xi]$ -module homomorphism.

The dihedral cohomology  $Ext_{K[\Xi]}^n({}^{\epsilon}A^D; (K^D)^*)$  can be calculated by using the normalized bar construction  $\beta(\mathcal{L})$  (see [6]). Assume that  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathfrak{F}$  be the triples  $({}^{\epsilon}A^D, K[\Xi], K^D), (K[\Xi], K[\Xi], K^D)$ ,

and  $JK[\Xi]$  be the cokernel identity:  $k \longrightarrow K[\Xi]$ . The normalized bar construction  $\beta(\mathcal{L})$  is defined to be a  $k$  module  $\beta(\mathcal{L}) = {}^e A^D \otimes_{K[\Xi]} T(JK[\Theta]) \otimes_{K[\Xi]} K^D$ , where  $T(JK[\Xi])$  is the tensor algebra of  $JK[\Xi]$ . Clearly the  $K$  module  $\beta(\mathcal{L})$  is graded. The elements of the  $K$ -module  $\beta(\mathcal{L})$  can be written as follows:  $a[g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s]k \in \beta(\mathcal{L})_s$ ,  $a \in {}^e A$ ,  $g_i \in k[\Xi]$  and  $k \in K^D$ . The differential  $d : \beta(\mathcal{L})_s \longrightarrow \beta(\mathcal{L})_{s-1}$  and the argument  $f : \beta(\mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow {}^e A^D \otimes_{K[\Xi]} K^D$  can be written as follows

$$d[a[g_1|g_2 \cdots |g_s]k] = ag_1[g_2|g_3 \cdots |g_s]k + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} (-1)^i a[g_1|\cdots|g_{i-1}|g_i g_{i+1}|g_{i+2}|\cdots|g_s]k + (-1)^s a[g_1|\cdots|g_{s-1}g_s]k, \text{ and } f[g_1|\cdots|g_s]k = 0, \text{ } f(a|k) = 0.$$

We can define also, for  $\mathfrak{F}$ , the maps  $d$  and  $f$  in the same manner. Note that for  $\mathcal{L}$ , the differential  $d$  is a left  $K[\Xi]$ -module homomorphism, and  $dS + Sd = 1 - \sigma f$ , where the homomorphism  $\sigma$

$$\sigma : K^D \longrightarrow \beta(\mathfrak{F}), \text{ and } S : \beta(\mathfrak{F})_s \longrightarrow \beta(\mathfrak{F})_{s+1}$$

is given by the formulas

$$\sigma(k) = [ ]k \otimes [ ], S(g_1|g_2 \cdots |g_s)k = [g_1|g_2 \cdots |g_s]k.$$

Clearly, that the differential  $d$  in the complex  $\beta(\mathcal{L}) = {}^e A^D \otimes_{K[\Xi]} \beta(\mathfrak{F})$  is equal to  $1 \otimes_{K[\Xi]} d$ . From [6], we have the following

$$Hom_{K[\Xi]}(\beta(\mathfrak{F}); ({}^e A^D)^*) = (\beta(\mathfrak{F}))^* = Hom_{K[\Xi]}(\beta({}^e A^D), K[\Xi], K[\Xi], (k^D)^*).$$

Then

$${}^e \mathcal{H}D^n(A) = Ext_{K[\Xi]}^n({}^e A^D; (K^D)^*) = \mathcal{H}^n(\beta(\mathcal{L})^*).$$

Suppose the triples  $\mathcal{L}({}^e A^D, k[\Xi], K^D)$  and  $\mathfrak{F} = (({}^e \widehat{A}^D), \widehat{k}[\Xi], \widehat{K}^D)$  and consider the product  $\perp : (\beta(\mathcal{L}) \otimes \widehat{\mathfrak{F}}) \longrightarrow \beta(\mathcal{L}) \otimes \beta(\widehat{\mathfrak{F}})$ . Define on  $\beta(\mathcal{L})$  the structure of co-associative co-algebra by means of co-multiplication  $\widehat{\nabla} = \perp \beta({}^e \nabla^D, \nabla_{k[\Xi]}, \nabla_{K^D}) : \beta(\mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow \beta(\mathcal{L}) \otimes \beta(\mathcal{L})$  and on the complex  $\beta(\mathcal{L})^*$  the following multiplication

$$\beta(\mathcal{L})^* \otimes \beta(\mathcal{L})^* \longrightarrow (\beta(\mathcal{L}) \otimes \beta(\mathcal{L}))^* \xrightarrow{(\widehat{\nabla})^*} \beta(\mathcal{L})^*.$$

The following lemma can easily be proved by using the ordinary techniques of homological algebra (see [8]).

**LEMMA 2.1.** Let  $\mu$  be an arbitrary subgroup of the symmetry group  $\Sigma_r$ ,  $W$  is the  $K[\mu]$ -free resolution  $K[\mu]$ -module  $K$  that  $W_0 = K[\mu]$  with the  $K[n]$  generator  $e_0$  and the module  $W \otimes \beta(\mathcal{L})$  is a graded module, since:  $[W \otimes \beta(\mathcal{L})]_s = \sum_{i+j=s} W_i \otimes \beta_j(\mathcal{L})$ , then there exist graded  $K[n]$  complexes, with

the following conditions of the homomorphism  $\Delta : W \otimes \beta(\mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow \beta(\mathcal{L})^{\otimes r}$ :

- 1)  $\Delta(W \otimes b) = 0$ ,  $b \in \beta(\mathcal{L})_0$  and  $w \in W_i$ ,  $i > 0$ .
- 2)  $\Delta(e_0 \otimes b) = \widehat{\nabla}^{\otimes r}(b)$ , if  $b \in \beta(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $\widehat{\nabla}^{\otimes r} : \beta(\mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow \beta(\mathcal{L})^{\otimes r}$ .
- 3) For  $\beta(\mathcal{L})$  the map  $\Delta$  is a left  $K[\Xi]$ -module homomorphism, where  $K[\Xi]$  acts on  $W \otimes \beta(\mathcal{L})$  by the relation  $K(w \otimes b) = w \otimes kb$ .

4)  $\Delta(w_i \otimes \beta(\mathcal{L})_s) = 0$ , when  $i > (r - 1)_s$ . Furthermore, there exists a  $k[\mu]$ -homotopy between any two homomorphisms  $\Delta$  with the same properties. Now, define the  $K[\mu]$ -homomorphism  $\Theta$  as follows:  $\Theta : W \otimes (\beta(\mathcal{L})^*)^{\otimes r} \longrightarrow \beta(\mathcal{L})^*$ , since  $\Theta(w \otimes x)(m) = \mathcal{B}(x)\Delta(w \otimes m)$ ,  $w \in W$ ,  $x \in (\beta(\mathcal{L})^*)^{\otimes r}$ , and  $m \in \beta(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $\mathcal{B} : (\beta(\mathcal{L})^*)^{\otimes r} \longrightarrow (\beta(\mathcal{L})^{\otimes r})^*$  is a trivial homomorphism. Now we shall define the operator in  $H(\beta(\mathcal{L})^*)$ . In the above lemma, let  $\mu = Z/p$ ,  $K = Z/p$ . Consider the standard  $K[Z/p]$ -free resolution  $W$ . In this case  $W_i$ ,  $i \geq 0$ , is a free  $K[Z/p]$ -module with the generator  $e_i$ . By considering the graded  $W_i = W^{-i}$ , which is a free  $K[Z/p]$ -module with the generator  $e^{-i}$ , let  $x \in H^q(\beta(\mathcal{L})^*)$ , and define the following homomorphism:  $R_i : H^q(\beta(\mathcal{L})^*) \longrightarrow H^{p^q-1}(\beta(\mathcal{L})^*)$ , since  $R_i(x) = \Theta^*(e^{-i} \otimes x^p)$ ,  $i \geq 0$ . Now we can define the Steenrod operator  $P^i$ , by using the operator  $R_i$ , as follows:

1. If  $p = 2$  then,  $p^s(x) = R_{q-s}(x) \in H^{q+s}(\beta(\mathcal{L})^*)$ , where  $R_i = 0$  if  $i < 0$ ;

2. If  $P > 2$ , then

$$P^s(x) = (-1)^s \gamma(-q) R_{(q-2s)(p-1)}(x) \in H^{q+2s(p-1)}(\beta(\mathcal{L})^*),$$

$$BP^s(x) = (-1)^s \gamma(-q) R_{(q-2s)(p-1)-1}(x) \in H^{q+2s(p-1)+1}(\beta(\mathcal{L})^*),$$

where  $R_i = 0$  if  $i < 0$ , and if  $q = 2j - \ell$ , where  $\ell = 0$  or  $1$ , then  $\gamma(-q) = (-1)^j (mI)^{\ell}$ ,  $m = \frac{p-1}{2}$ .

Now we prove the main second theorem in this work.

**THEOREM 2.2.** Let  $A$  be a commutative  $K$ -Hopf algebra, where  $K = Z/p$ , then on the dihedral cohomology group  ${}_{\epsilon}\mathcal{H}D(A)$ , we can define the following homomorphisms (Steenrod map):

- a)  $P^i : {}_{\epsilon}\mathcal{H}D^s(A) \rightarrow {}_{\epsilon}\mathcal{H}D^{s+i}(A)$ , if  $p = 2$ ,
- b)  $P^i : {}_{\epsilon}\mathcal{H}D^s(A) \rightarrow {}_{\epsilon}\mathcal{H}D^{s+2i(p-1)}(A)$ , and  $BP^i : {}_{\epsilon}\mathcal{H}D^s(A) \rightarrow {}_{\epsilon}\mathcal{H}D^{s+1+2i(p-1)}(A)$ , if  $p > 2$ .

The operators  $P^i, \beta P^i$  have the following properties:

- 1)  $P^i|_{{}_{\epsilon}\mathcal{H}D^s(A)} = 0$ , if  $p = 2, i > s$ ,  
 $P^i|_{{}_{\epsilon}\mathcal{H}D^s(A)} = 0$ , if  $p > 2, 2i > s$ ,  
 $BP^i|_{{}_{\epsilon}\mathcal{H}D^s(A)} = 0$ , if  $p > 2, 2i \geq s$
- 2)  $P^i(x) = x^p$ , if  $p = 2$  and  $i = s$ , or  $p > 2$  and  $2i = s$
- 3)  $P_j = \sum P^i \otimes P^{j-i}$  and  $BP^j = \sum BP^i \otimes P^{j-i} + P^i \otimes BP^{j-i}$
- 4) The operators  $P^i$  and  $BP^i$  satisfy the following Adam's relations:
  - i) if  $p \geq 2$  and  $a < pb$ , then

$$B^{\gamma} P^a P^b \sum_i (-1)^{a+i} (a - pi, (p-1)b - a + i - 1) B^{\gamma} P^{a+b-i} P^i,$$

where  $\gamma = 0$  or  $1$  for  $p = 2, \gamma = 1$  for  $p > 2$ , and for any two integers  $i$  and  $j$  let

$$(i, j) = \begin{cases} \frac{(i+j)!}{i!j!}, & \text{if } i \geq 0, j \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } i < 0, j < 0, \end{cases}$$

- ii) if  $p > 2, a \leq Pb$ , and  $\gamma = 0$  or  $1$ , then

$$B^{\gamma} P^a P^b = (1 - \gamma) \sum_i (-1)^{a+i} (a - pi, (p-1)(b - a + i - 1) \cdot B^{\gamma} P^{a+b-i} P^i - \sum_i (-1)^{a+1} \cdot (a - pi - 1, (p-1)b - a + i) B^{\gamma} P^{a+b-i} BP^i.$$

Note that the operators  $B^0 P^s$  and  $B^1 P^s$  are  $P^s$  and  $BP^s$ , respectively.

**PROOF.** Suppose the triple  $C = (E, \mathcal{A}, F)$  where  $\mathcal{A}$  is a co-commutative Hopf algebra over  $K = Z/p$ ,  $E$  and  $F$  are respectively the right and left co-commutative  $\mathcal{A}$ -co-algebra. From the above discussion and considering the triple  $\mathcal{L} = ({}^{\epsilon}A^D, K[\Xi], k^D)$ , then  $K[\Xi]$  is a co-commutative Hopf algebra over  $K = Z/p, {}^{\epsilon}A^D$  and  $K^D$  are the left and right co-commutative  $K[\Xi]$ -co-algebra and hence  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L})^*) = {}_{\epsilon}\mathcal{H}D(A)$ .

**REFERENCES**

- [1] LOODER, G.M., Dihedral homology and homotopy fixed point sets, *Contemporary Mathematics*, 146 (1993), 215-224.
- [2] KRASAUSKAS, R.L., LAPIN, S.V. and SOLOVEV, Yu. P., Dihedral homology and cohomology, Basic notions and constructions, *Math. USSR Spornic*, 133 (175) (1987), 25-48.
- [3] KOLOSOV, V.A., The symmetry homology and cohomology, *Vest. Moscow Uni. Ser. Math.-Mech.* 4 (1989), 81-83 (in Russian).
- [4] TSYGAN, B. and FEIGIN, B., Additive K-theory, *Lect. Notes Math., USSR Subserie, W1* (1987), 93-151.
- [5] LODAY, J-L. and PROCESI, C., Cyclic homology and  $\lambda$ -operations, in *Alg. K-theory. Connections with Geom. and Topol., NATO ASI Series C*, 279 (1989), 209-224.
- [6] LODAY, J. and QUILLEN, D., Cyclic homology and Lie algebra of matrices, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 59 (1984), 565-591.
- [7] CARTAN, H. and ELLENBERG, S., *Homological Algebra*, Princeton University Press, 1956.
- [8] STEENROD, N.E. and EPSTEIN, D.B., *Cohomology Operations*, Princeton University Press, 1962.

## Special Issue on Decision Support for Intermodal Transport

### Call for Papers

Intermodal transport refers to the movement of goods in a single loading unit which uses successive various modes of transport (road, rail, water) without handling the goods during mode transfers. Intermodal transport has become an important policy issue, mainly because it is considered to be one of the means to lower the congestion caused by single-mode road transport and to be more environmentally friendly than the single-mode road transport. Both considerations have been followed by an increase in attention toward intermodal freight transportation research.

Various intermodal freight transport decision problems are in demand of mathematical models of supporting them. As the intermodal transport system is more complex than a single-mode system, this fact offers interesting and challenging opportunities to modelers in applied mathematics. This special issue aims to fill in some gaps in the research agenda of decision-making in intermodal transport.

The mathematical models may be of the optimization type or of the evaluation type to gain an insight in intermodal operations. The mathematical models aim to support decisions on the strategic, tactical, and operational levels. The decision-makers belong to the various players in the intermodal transport world, namely, drayage operators, terminal operators, network operators, or intermodal operators.

Topics of relevance to this type of decision-making both in time horizon as in terms of operators are:

- Intermodal terminal design
- Infrastructure network configuration
- Location of terminals
- Cooperation between drayage companies
- Allocation of shippers/receivers to a terminal
- Pricing strategies
- Capacity levels of equipment and labour
- Operational routines and lay-out structure
- Redistribution of load units, railcars, barges, and so forth
- Scheduling of trips or jobs
- Allocation of capacity to jobs
- Loading orders
- Selection of routing and service

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/guidelines.html>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	June 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	September 1, 2009
Publication Date	December 1, 2009

### Lead Guest Editor

**Gerrit K. Janssens**, Transportation Research Institute (IMOB), Hasselt University, Agoralaan, Building D, 3590 Diepenbeek (Hasselt), Belgium; [Gerrit.Janssens@uhasselt.be](mailto:Gerrit.Janssens@uhasselt.be)

### Guest Editor

**Cathy Macharis**, Department of Mathematics, Operational Research, Statistics and Information for Systems (MOSI), Transport and Logistics Research Group, Management School, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Pleinlaan 2, 1050 Brussel, Belgium; [Cathy.Macharis@vub.ac.be](mailto:Cathy.Macharis@vub.ac.be)