

**ON COUNTABLE CONNECTED HAUSDORFF SPACES IN WHICH  
THE INTERSECTION OF EVERY PAIR OF CONNECTED  
SUBSETS IS CONNECTED**

**V. TZANNES**

Department of Mathematics  
University of Patras  
Patras 26110 Greece

(Received July 2, 1996 and in revised form December 28, 1996)

**ABSTRACT.** We prove that a countable connected Hausdorff space in which the intersection of every pair of connected subsets is connected, cannot be locally connected, and also that every continuous function from a countable connected, locally connected Hausdorff space, to a countable connected Hausdorff space in which the intersection of every pair of connected subsets is connected, is constant.

**KEY WORDS AND PHRASES.** Countable connected, locally connected.

**1992 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE.** 54G15, 54F55, 54D10, 54D05.

**1. INTRODUCTION.**

The problem of existence of countable connected Hausdorff space in which the intersection of every pair of connected subsets is connected was posed by Čvid in [1], and was answered in [2]. Recently, Gruenhage [3] assuming the continuum hypothesis constructed a perfectly normal space in which the only non-degenerate connected subsets of it, are the cofinite sets. Also assuming Martin's Axiom he constructed a completely regular and a countable Hausdorff space with this property. Obviously, in these spaces the intersection of every pair of connected subsets is connected. None of the spaces in [2] and [3] is locally connected, or has a dispersion point.

We prove that a countable connected Hausdorff space in which the intersection of every pair of connected subsets is connected, cannot be locally connected, and also that every continuous function from a countable connected, locally connected Hausdorff space, to a countable connected Hausdorff space in which the intersection of every pair of connected subsets is connected, is con-

stant. Both these results hold in a Hausdorff connected space with a dispersion point: The first is obvious and the second, for not necessarily countable spaces, was proved by Coppin in [4]. Improvements of Coppin's result, as well as results concerning the constancy of functions between two spaces, can be found in the papers by Chew and Doyle [5], and by Sanderson [6].

Let  $X$  be a connected topological space. A point  $t$  is called a cut point of  $X$  if the space  $X \setminus \{t\}$  is not connected. Thus, if  $t$  is a cut point of  $X$ , then the subspace  $X \setminus \{t\}$  is the union of two mutually separated sets  $A(t), B(t)$ . (Two sets  $A, B$  are called separated if  $A \cap \bar{B} = \emptyset$  and  $\bar{A} \cap B = \emptyset$ .) Obviously, if  $A(t), B(t)$  are connected, the separation is unique. Let  $x, y \in X$ . A cut point  $t$  of  $X$  is said to separate the points  $x, y$  if the above sets  $A(t), B(t)$  can be chosen so that  $x \in A(t)$  and  $y \in B(t)$ . The set of cut points of  $X$  separating the points  $x, y$  will be denoted by  $E(x, y)$ . The empty set and the singletons are considered to be connected. All spaces are assumed to have more than one point.

2. RESULTS.

PROPOSITION 1. Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff connected space such that  $E(a, b) \neq \emptyset$ , for every  $a, b \in X$ . Then there exists a continuous non-constant real valued function on  $X$ , separating the points  $a$  and  $b$ .

PROOF. The proof is reduced to the Urysohn's Lemma in the following manner: For every point  $t \in E(a, b)$  there exist two sets  $M_a(t), M_b(t)$  such that  $a \in M_a(t), b \in M_b(t), \overline{M_a(t)} = M_a(t) \cup \{t\}, \overline{M_b(t)} = M_b(t) \cup \{t\}$  and  $X \setminus \{t\} = M_a(t) \cup M_b(t)$ . Hence the sets

$$F_1 = \bigcap_{t \in E(a, b)} (M_a(t) \cup \{t\}) \text{ and } F_2 = \bigcap_{t \in E(a, b)} (M_b(t) \cup \{t\})$$

are both closed disjoint containing the points  $a, b$  respectively, and not containing any cut point of  $X$  separating the points  $a, b$ . Consequently, for every point  $d$  of the set of positive dyadic rational numbers we can define an open set  $(M_a(t))(d)$  such that if  $d < r$ , then  $\overline{(M_a(t))(d)} \subseteq (M_a(t))(r)$ . But then the function  $f(x) = \inf\{d : x \in (M_a(t))(d)\}$ , if  $x \notin F_2$ , and  $f(x) = 1$ , if  $x \in F_2$  is continuous separating the points  $a, b$ .

PROPOSITION 2. Does not exist a countable connected, locally connected Hausdorff space in which the intersection of every pair of connected subsets is connected.

PROOF. As it is proved in [7, Theorem 9.1] a connected locally connected space  $X$  is a Hausdorff space in which the intersection of every pair (indeed every collection) of connected sets is connected, if and only if no two point of  $X$  are conjugate. That is,  $E(x, y) \neq \emptyset$ , for every  $x, y \in X$ . But then, Proposition 1 implies that there exists a non-constant continuous real valued function on  $X$ , which is impossible for countable connected spaces.

PROPOSITION 3. Let  $X$  be a countable connected Hausdorff space in which the intersection of every pair of connected subsets is connected. Then

- (1) The subset  $D$  of  $X$  at every point of which  $X$  is not locally connected, is dense.

(2) The subset  $L$  at every point of which  $X$  is locally connected is totally disconnected or empty.

PROOF (1). By Proposition 2,  $D \neq \emptyset$ . Hence at every point  $x \in X \setminus \overline{D}$ , the space  $X$  is locally connected and therefore if  $U_x$  is an open connected neighbourhood of  $x$  for which  $U_x \cap \overline{D} \neq \emptyset$ , then  $U_x$  is also a locally connected space in which the intersection of every pair of connected subsets is connected, which is impossible, by Proposition 2.

(2). Obvious.

**THEOREM.** Every continuous function from a countable connected, locally connected Hausdorff space, to a connected Hausdorff space in which the intersection of every pair of connected subsets is connected, is constant.

PROOF. Let  $f$  be a continuous non-constant function from  $X$  to  $Y$ . Obviously the space  $Z = f(X)$  is countable connected Hausdorff in which the intersection of every pair of connected subsets is connected. Let  $x, y$  be distinct points of  $X$  such that  $f(x) \neq f(y)$  and let  $U_{f(x)}, U_{f(y)}$  be disjoint open neighbourhoods of  $f(x), f(y)$ , respectively. Since  $X$  is locally connected there exists an open connected neighbourhood  $U_x$  of  $x$  such that  $f(U_x) \subseteq U_{f(x)}$ . If  $f(U_x) = \{f(x)\}$  then we consider the set  $A = \{a \in X : f(a) = f(x)\}$ . Since the set  $\overline{A} \setminus \overset{\circ}{A}$  is not empty, it follows that there exist a point  $a \in \overline{A} \setminus \overset{\circ}{A}$  and a connected open neighbourhood  $U_a$  of  $a$ , such that  $f(U_a) \subseteq U_{f(x)}$  and  $f(U_a) \neq \{f(x)\}$ . Therefore the component  $C_{f(x)}$  of  $f(x)$  in  $\overline{U_{f(x)}}$  is not a singleton.

Consider the component  $K$  of  $f(y)$  in  $Z \setminus C_{f(x)}$ . If  $K = \{f(y)\}$  then for the component  $M$  of  $Y$  in  $X \setminus f^{-1}(C_{f(x)})$  it holds that  $f(M) = \{f(y)\}$  and  $f(\overline{M}) = \{f(y)\}$ . Since the subspace  $X \setminus f^{-1}(C_{f(x)})$  is locally connected it follows that  $M$  is open-and-closed (in  $X \setminus f^{-1}(C_{f(x)})$ ), and hence  $\overline{M} \cap f^{-1}(C_{f(x)}) \neq \emptyset$  which is impossible. Therefore the component  $K$  of  $y$  in  $Z \setminus C_{f(x)}$  is not a singleton.

Thus, by [8, Vol. II, Ch. V, Theorem 5, III], for the connected subsets  $C_{f(x)}$  and  $K$  it follows that the set  $Z \setminus K$  is connected and hence either (1)  $\overline{(Z \setminus K)} \cap K \neq \emptyset$ , or (2)  $(Z \setminus K) \cap \overline{K} \neq \emptyset$  or (3)  $\overline{(Z \setminus K)} \cap \overline{K} \neq \emptyset$ .

In case (1), let  $p, q \in \overline{(Z \setminus K)} \cap K$ , and  $p \neq q$ . Then for the connected subsets  $(Z \setminus K) \cup \{p, q\}$  and  $K$  it holds that  $((Z \setminus K) \cup \{p, q\}) \cap K = \{p, q\}$  which is impossible because by assumption the intersection of every pair of connected subsets of  $Z$  must be connected. Therefore  $\overline{(Z \setminus K)} \cap K$  is a singleton. We set  $\overline{(Z \setminus K)} \cap K = \{p\}$ . The set  $K$  is closed because if  $a$  is a limit point of  $K$  and  $a \notin K$  then for the connected subsets  $K \cup \{a\}$  and  $\overline{(Z \setminus K)}$  the subset  $\overline{(Z \setminus K)} \cap (K \cup \{a\}) = \{a, p\}$  must be connected, which is impossible. Hence if we consider the component  $M$  of  $y$  in  $X \setminus f^{-1}(C_{f(x)})$  then  $f(\overline{M}) \subseteq K$  which is also impossible because  $\overline{M} \cap f^{-1}(C_{f(x)}) \neq \emptyset$ .

In case (2) it can be proved in the same manner as in case (1) that  $(Z \setminus K) \cap \overline{K}$  is a singleton and that  $Z \setminus K$  is closed. We set  $(Z \setminus K) \cap \overline{K} = \{q\}$ . Since  $\overline{K} = K \cup \{q\}$  it follows that  $(Z \setminus K) \setminus \{q\}$  is open which implies that  $q$  is a cut point of the space  $Z$ . Since  $q \in Z \setminus K$  it follows that either

$q = f(x)$  or  $q \neq f(x)$ . If  $q = f(x)$  we consider again the component  $M$  of  $y$  in  $X \setminus f^{-1}(C_{f(x)})$ , and let  $a \in \overline{M} \cap f^{-1}(C_{f(x)})$ . Then  $f(M) \subseteq K$ , the point  $f(a)$  is a limit point of  $K$  and  $f(a) \in C_{f(x)}$ . That is  $f(a) = q$ . But then there exists an open connected neighbourhood  $U_a$  of  $a$  such that  $f(U_a) \subseteq U_{f(x)}$  which implies that  $f(U_a) \subseteq C_{f(x)}$ . Hence  $U_a \subseteq f^{-1}(C_{f(x)})$  which is impossible because  $U_a \cap M \neq \emptyset$ . If  $q \neq f(x)$  then obviously  $q \in E(f(x), f(y))$ .

Finally, observing that case (3) is reduced to case (1) or (2) we conclude that  $E(f(x), f(y)) \neq \emptyset$ , which is impossible by Proposition 1.

#### REFERENCES

1. Čvid, S.F. A countable strongly unicoherent space (Russian), Mat. Zametki **24** (1978), no 2, 289-294, 303. (Engl. translation: Math. Notes **24** (1978) no. 1-2, 655-657).
2. Tzannes, V. Three countable connected spaces, Colloq. Math. **2** (1988) 267-279.
3. Gruenhage, G. Spaces in which the non-degenerate connected subsets are the cofinite sets (to appear).
4. Coppin, C.A. Continuous functions from a connected locally connected space into a connected space with a dispersion point, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **32**(2), (1972), 625-626.
5. Chew G.F and Doyle, P.H. On the potency of spaces with generalized dispersion points under maps and functions, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar., **29** (1977), 51-53.
6. Sanderson, D.E. Criteria for constancy of functions with almost totally disconnected range or domain, Acta Math. Acad.Sci. Hungar. **35** (1980), 33-36.
7. Whyburn, G.T. Cut points in general topological spaces, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **61** (1968), 380-387.
8. Kuratowski, K. Topology, Academic Press, 1968.