# A SUBORDINATION THEOREM FOR SPIRALLIKE FUNCTIONS

## SUKHJIT SINGH

(Received 24 November 1999)

ABSTRACT. We prove a subordination relation for a subclass of the class of  $\lambda$ -spirallike functions.

Keywords and phrases. Convex function, spirallike function, subordinating factor sequence.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30C45; Secondary 30C50.

**1. Introduction.** Let *K* denote the usual class of convex functions. Denote by  $S_p(\lambda)$ ,  $-\pi/2 < \lambda < \pi/2$ , the class of functions  $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + \cdots$  which are analytic in *E* and satisfy therein the condition

$$\operatorname{Re}\left[e^{i\lambda}\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right] > 0. \tag{1.1}$$

Spacek [3] proved that members of  $S_p(\lambda)$ , known as  $\lambda$  spirallike functions, are univalent in *E*. In 1989, Silverman [2] proved that if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[ 1 + (n-1) \sec \lambda \right] \left| a_n \right| \le 1 \quad \left( |\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2} \right), \tag{1.2}$$

then the function  $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  belongs to  $S_p(\lambda)$ . Let us denote by  $G(\lambda)$ , the class of function  $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  whose coefficients satisfy the condition (1.2). Note that G(0) is a subclass of the class of starlike functions (with respect to the origin) (see Silverman [1]).

In this paper, we prove a subordination theorem for the class  $G(\lambda)$ . To state and prove our main result we need the following definitions and lemma.

**DEFINITION 1.1.** If  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  and  $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$  are analytic in |z| < r, then their Hadamard product/convolution, f \* g is the function defined by the power series

$$(f * g)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n.$$
 (1.3)

The function f \* g is also analytic in |z| < r.

**DEFINITION 1.2.** Let *f* be analytic in *E*, *g* analytic and univalent in *E* and f(0) = g(0). Then by the symbol  $f(z) \prec g(z)$  (*f* subordinate to *g*) in *E*, we shall mean that  $f(E) \subset g(E)$ .

#### SUKHJIT SINGH

**DEFINITION 1.3.** A sequence  $\{b_n\}_1^\infty$  of complex numbers is said to be a subordinating factor sequence if whenever  $f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k z^k$ ,  $a_1 = 1$  is regular, univalent and convex in *E*, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k a_k z^k \prec f(z) \quad \text{in } E.$$
(1.4)

**LEMMA 1.4.** The sequence  $\{b_n\}_1^\infty$  is a subordinating factor sequence if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left[1+2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}b_{n}z^{n}\right] > 0, \quad (z \in E).$$

$$(1.5)$$

This lemma which gives a beautiful characterisation of a subordinating factor sequence is due to Wilf [4].

## 2. Main theorem

**THEOREM 2.1.** Let  $f \in G(\lambda)$ . Then

$$\frac{1+\sec\lambda}{2(2+\sec\lambda)}(f*g)(z)\prec g(z),\quad (z\in E)$$
(2.1)

for every function g in the class K.

In particular

$$\operatorname{Re} f(z) > -\frac{2 + \sec \lambda}{(1 + \sec \lambda)}, \quad (z \in E).$$

$$(2.2)$$

*The constant*  $(1 + \sec \lambda)/2(2 + \sec \lambda)$  *cannot be replaced by any larger one.* 

Taking  $\lambda = 0$ , we obtain the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 2.2.** If  $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + \cdots$  is regular in *E* and satisfies therein the condition

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n \left| a_n \right| \le 1, \tag{2.3}$$

then for every function g in K, we have

$$\frac{1}{3}(f*g)(z) \prec g(z), \quad (|z|<1).$$
(2.4)

In particular,  $\operatorname{Re} f(z) > -3/2$ ,  $z \in E$ . The constant 1/3 is best possible.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1.** Let  $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  be any member of the class  $G(\lambda)$  and let  $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n z^n$  be any function in the class *K*. Then

$$\frac{1+\sec\lambda}{2(2+\sec\lambda)}(f*g)(z) = \frac{1+\sec\lambda}{2(2+\sec\lambda)}\left(z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}a_nc_nz^n\right).$$
(2.5)

Thus, by Definition 1.3, the assertion of our theorem will hold if the sequence

$$\left(\frac{(1+\sec\lambda)a_n}{2(2+\sec\lambda)}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$$
(2.6)

is a subordinating factor sequence, with  $a_1 = 1$ . In view of the lemma, this will be the

case if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left[1+2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1+\sec\lambda}{2(2+\sec\lambda)}a_{n}z^{n}\right] > 0, \quad (z\in E).$$

$$(2.7)$$

Now

$$\operatorname{Re}\left[1 + \frac{1 + \sec\lambda}{2 + \sec\lambda} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n\right]$$

$$= \operatorname{Re}\left[1 + \frac{1 + \sec\lambda}{2 + \sec\lambda} z + \frac{1}{2 + \sec\lambda} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1 + \sec\lambda) a_n z^n\right]$$

$$> \left[1 - \frac{1 + \sec\lambda}{2 + \sec\lambda} r - \frac{1}{2 + \sec\lambda} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1 + (n-1) \sec\lambda) |a_n| r^n\right] \qquad (2.8)$$

$$(\operatorname{because} 1 + \sec\lambda \le 1 + (n-1) \sec\lambda \text{ for all } n \ge 2, |\lambda| < \pi/2)$$

$$> \left[1 - \frac{1 + \sec\lambda}{2 + \sec\lambda} r - \frac{1}{2 + \sec\lambda} r\right] \quad (|z| = r)$$

$$> 0.$$

Thus (2.7) holds true in *E*. This proves the first assertion. That  $\operatorname{Re} f(z) > -(2 + \operatorname{sec} \lambda)/(1 + \operatorname{sec} \lambda)$  for  $f \in G(\lambda)$  follows by taking g(z) = z/(1-z) in (2.1). To prove the sharpness of the constant  $(1 + \operatorname{sec} \lambda)/2(2 + \operatorname{sec} \lambda)$ , we consider the function  $f_0$  defined by  $f_0(z) = z - (1/(1 + \operatorname{sec} \lambda))z^2(|\lambda| < \pi/2)$ , which is a member of the class  $G(\lambda)$ . Thus from the relation (2.1) we obtain

$$\frac{1+\sec\lambda}{2(2+\sec\lambda)}f_0(z)\prec\frac{z}{1-z}.$$
(2.9)

It can be easily verified that

$$\min_{|z| \le 1} \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{1 + \sec\lambda}{2(2 + \sec\lambda)} f_0(z)\right] = -\frac{1}{2}.$$
(2.10)

This shows that the constant  $(1 + \sec \lambda)/2(2 + \sec \lambda)$  is best possible.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.** This paper was presented at the 62nd annual conference of the Indian Mathematical Society held at IIT, Kanpur from December 22–25, 1996.

The author is thankful to Prof. Ram Singh, Department of Mathematics, Punjabi University, Patiala, for his help and encouragement during this work.

### References

- H. Silverman, Univalent functions with negative coefficients, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (1975), 109-116. MR 51#5910. Zbl 311.30007.
- [2] \_\_\_\_\_, Sufficient conditions for spiral-likeness, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 12 (1989), no. 4, 641–644. MR 90k:30024. Zbl 688.30009.
- [3] L. Spacek, Contribution à la theorie des fonctions univalents, Cas. Mat. Fys. 62 (1932), no. 2, 12-19 (Czech). Zbl 006.06403.
- H. S. Wilf, Subordinating factor sequences for convex maps of the unit circle, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 12 (1961), 689-693. MR 23#A2519. Zbl 100.07201.

SUKHJIT SINGH: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SANT LONGOWAL INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY, LONGOWAL-148 106 (PUNJAB), INDIA

435