THE UNION PROBLEM ON COMPLEX MANIFOLDS

PATRICK W. DARKO

Received 14 May 2001

Let Ω be a relatively compact subdomain of a complex manifold, exhaustable by Stein open sets. We give a necessary and sufficient condition for Ω to be Stein, in terms of L^2 -estimates for the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator, equivalent to the condition of Markoe (1977) and Silva (1978).

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 32E10, 32C35, 35N15.

1. Introduction. As indicated in [7], from the beginning of the theory of Stein spaces, the following question has held great interest: is a complex space, which is exhaustable by a sequence $X_1 \in X_2 \in \cdots$ of Stein subspaces, itself Stein?

In [1], the following is proved: every domain in \mathbb{C}^m which is exhaustable by a sequence of Stein domains $B_1 \in B_2 \in \cdots$ is itself Stein, and this is shown to hold more generally for unramified Riemann domain \mathfrak{B} over \mathbb{C}^m in [6]. In [11], the following is proved: let *X* be a reduced complex space and $X_1 \in X_2 \in \cdots$ be an exhaustion of *X* by Stein domains, if every pair (X_j, X_{j+1}) is Runge then $X = UX_j$ is Stein. Recently, Markoe [9] and Silva [10] proved the following: let *X* be reduced and $X_1 \in X_2 \in \cdots$ be an exhaustion of *X* by Stein domains. Then *X* is Stein if and only if $H^1(X, \mathbb{O}) = 0$ (\mathbb{O} being the structure sheaf of *X*).

More recently the following has been proved in [12]: let $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2 \subset \cdots$ be a sequence of open Stein subsets of a Stein space X, $\Omega = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \Omega_j$, and dim $H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{O}) < \infty$. Then Ω is Stein.

Fornæss [4] produced an example to show that if $X_1 \in X_2 \in \cdots$ is a sequence of Stein manifolds, the limit manifold $X = \bigcup X_j$, in which each X_j is an open submanifold, need not be Stein. But it is known that if the limit manifold is itself an open submanifold of a Stein manifold then the limit manifold is necessarily Stein.

This led Fornæss and Narasimhan to pose the following problem [5]: let *X* be a Stein space and $\Omega_1 \Subset \Omega_2 \Subset \cdots$ an increasing sequence of Stein open sets in *X*. Is $\bigcup \Omega_j$ Stein? As indicated above this is the case when *X* is a Stein manifold, but this question remains open in the general case.

In this paper, we consider the case where *X* is a general complex manifold and $\Omega_1 \Subset \Omega_2 \Subset \cdots$ an increasing sequence of open Stein manifolds in *X* such that $\Omega = \bigcup \Omega_j$ is relatively compact in *X*. We give a condition for Ω to be Stein, equivalent to Markoe's and Silva's condition and involving L^2 -estimates for the $\tilde{\partial}$ operator.

2. Preliminaries. Let *X* be an *n*-dimensional complex manifold with a C^{∞} Hermitian metric. The space $L^2_{(n,q)}(X)$ of square integrable differential forms of type (p,q) on *X*

is a Hilbert space under the scalar product,

$$(f,g) = \int_X f_\wedge *\bar{g}, \qquad (2.1)$$

where * is the Hodge *-operator associated with the metric and orientation of X.

Let $\Omega_1 \in \Omega_2 \in \cdots$ be an increasing sequence of Stein open sets in *X* such that their union $\Omega = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \Omega_i$ is relatively compact in *X*.

The following theorem is our main result.

THEOREM 2.1. The union Ω is Stein if and only if given an $f \in L^2_{(p,q)}(\Omega)$, which is $\bar{\partial}$ -closed in the sense of distributions, there is a $u \in L^2_{(p,q-1)}(\Omega)$ such that $\bar{\partial}u = f$ in the sense of distributions and

$$\|u\|_{L^{2}(p,q-1)(\Omega)} \le K \|f\|_{L^{2}(p,q)(\Omega)}, \quad q > 0,$$
(2.2)

where *K* depends on Ω .

Let *U* be a bounded open set in \mathbb{C}^n , and \mathbb{O} the structure sheaf of \mathbb{C}^n . A section $f = (f_1, \dots, f_p) \in \Gamma(U, \mathbb{O}^p)$, where p > 0 is an integer, is L^2 -bounded if

$$\|f\|_{L^{2}(U)} = \|f_{1}\|_{L^{2}(U)} + \dots + b\|f_{p}\|_{L^{2}(U)} < \infty.$$
(2.3)

We then denote all sections of \mathbb{O}^p over *U* that are L^2 -bounded by $\Gamma_2(U, \mathbb{O}^p)$.

For the definition of L^2 -bounded sections of coherent analytic sheaves, we require the coherent analytic sheaf \mathcal{F} to be defined on a simply connected polycylinder neighborhood V of the closure of U. Then by [8, Theorem 5, Section F, Chapter VI], there is an \mathbb{O} -homographic in another simply connected polycylinder neighborhood V' of the closure of U,

$$\mathbb{O}^p \xrightarrow{\Lambda} \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0, \tag{2.4}$$

where p > 0 is some integer; and $f \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F})$ is L^2 -bounded if $f \in \Gamma_2(U, \mathcal{F}) := \lambda(\Gamma_2(U, \mathbb{O}^p))$. It can be shown that $\Gamma_2(U, \mathcal{F})$ is independent of λ and p, so that $\Gamma_2(U, \mathcal{F})$ is well defined.

Now let Ω be a relatively compact subdomain of an *n*-dimensional complex manifold *X*. An open subset *Y* of Ω is said to be admissible for the coherent analytic sheaf \mathcal{F} defined in the neighborhood of the closure of Ω in *X*, if *Y* is Stein. There is a coordinate neighborhood *V* in *X* of the closure, \bar{Y} of *Y* such that *V* is biholomorphic to a simply connected polycylinder *V'* in \mathbb{C}^n , and \bar{Y} is contained in the neighborhood of $\bar{\Omega}$ where \mathcal{F} is defined as $f \in \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{F})$ which is L^2 -bounded if

$$f \in \Gamma_2(Y, \mathcal{F}) := \{ g \in \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{F}) : \eta_*(g) \in \Gamma_2(\eta(Y), \eta_*(\mathcal{F})) \},$$

$$(2.5)$$

where η is the restriction of the biholomorphic map $V \to V^1$ to *Y*, and $\eta_*(\mathcal{F})$ is the zero direct image of \mathcal{F} on *Y*.

Let Ω be as in Theorem 2.1 (then clearly Ω is locally Stein). Let \mathcal{F} be a coherent analytic sheaf in a neighborhood of the closure of Ω . Then it is clear that Ω is a finite union, $\Omega = \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} U_j$, where each U_j is admissible for \mathcal{F} . If $\mathcal{V} = \{U_j\}_{j \in I}$, $I = \{1, ..., m\}$,

where the U_j 's are as above, we say that \mathscr{V} is a finite admissible cover of Ω for \mathscr{F} and we define the L^2 (alternate) *q*-cochains of \mathscr{V} with values in \mathscr{F} as those cochains,

$$c = (c_{\alpha}) \in C^{q}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F}) = \prod_{\alpha \in I^{q+1}} \Gamma(U_{\alpha}, \mathcal{F}),$$

$$U_{\alpha} = U_{i_{0}} \cap \cdots \cap U_{i_{q}}, \quad \alpha = (i_{0}, \dots, i_{q}),$$

(2.6)

which are alternate and satisfy $c_{\alpha} \in \Gamma_2(U_{\alpha}, \mathcal{F})$ for all $\alpha \in I^{q+1}$. We denote by $C_2^q(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})$ the space of L^2 -bounded cochains.

The coboundary operator,

$$\delta: C^{q}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow C^{q+1}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F}), \tag{2.7}$$

maps $C_2^q(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})$ into $C_2^{q+1}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})$. If $Z_2^q(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F}) = \{c \in C_2^q(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F}) : \delta c = 0\}$ and $B_2^q(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F}) = \delta C_2^{q-1}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})$, then as usual $B_2^q(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F}) \subseteq Z_2^q(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})$ and we define $H_2^q(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F}) := Z_2^q(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F}) / B_2^q(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})$ and call it the L^2 -bounded cohomology of \mathcal{V} with values in \mathcal{F} . We then have the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.2. For any q > 0, the natural map

$$H_2^q(\mathcal{V},\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow H^q(\Omega,\mathcal{F})$$
 (2.8)

is an isomorphism.

We use Theorem 2.2 as a pivot to prove Theorem 2.1, but the proof of Theorem 2.2 is not given here, since it is similar to that of [2, Theorem].

3. A triangle of isomorphisms. Let Ω be as in Theorem 2.1. By the end of the section Theorem 2.1 will be proved. If $U \neq \emptyset$ is an open set in $\overline{\Omega}$, then $\Re^p_{\Omega}(U)$ is the Hilbert space of holomorphic *p*-forms *h* on $\Omega \cap U$ such that

$$\|h\|_{L^{2}_{(\mu,0)}(\Omega \cap U)} < \infty.$$
(3.1)

If *V* is open in $\overline{\Omega}$ with $\emptyset \neq V \subset U$, the restriction map $\gamma_V^U : \mathfrak{B}^p_{\Omega}(U) \to \mathfrak{B}^p_{\Omega}(V)$ is defined. Then $\mathfrak{B}^p_0 = \{\mathfrak{B}^p_{\Omega}(U), \gamma_V^U\}$ is the canonical presheaf of L^2 -holomorphic *p*-forms on $\overline{\Omega}$. The associated sheaf \mathfrak{B}^p_2 is the sheaf of germs of L^2 -holomorphic *p*-forms on $\overline{\Omega}$. We then have the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.1. Let \mathfrak{D}^p be the sheaf of germs of holomorphic *p*-forms on *X*, and \mathfrak{V} a finite admissible cover of Ω for \mathfrak{D}^p . Then the following diagram is an isomorphism triangle of cohomology groups:



for $q \ge 1$ and $p \ge 0$.

PATRICK W. DARKO

PROOF. From Theorem 2.2 and the fact that any finite cover of $\overline{\Omega}$ has a refinement $\mathcal{U} = \{V_j\}_{j \in J}$ such that $\mathcal{U}_{\Omega} = \{V_j \cap \Omega\}_{j \in J}$ is a finite admissible cover of Ω for \mathfrak{D}^p , the lemma follows.

Now, using Hörmander's L^2 -estimates locally we get the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.2. The cohomology group $H^q(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^p_2)$ is isomorphic to the quotient space

$$\{g : g \in L^{2}_{(p,q)}(\Omega) \text{ and } \bar{\partial}g = 0\} / \{\bar{\partial}h : h \in L^{2}_{p,q-1}(\Omega) \text{ and } \bar{\partial}h \in L^{2}_{(p,q)}(\Omega)\},$$
(3.3)

where Ω is as in Theorem 2.1.

Also the following lemma is proved in [3].

LEMMA 3.3. If $\Omega \in X$ is Stein, where X is a complex manifold, then given $f \in L^2_{(p,q)}(\Omega)$ with $\bar{\partial} f = 0$, there is $u \in L^2_{(p,q-1)}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\bar{\partial}u = f, \qquad \|u\|_{L^2(p,q^{-1})(\Omega)} \le K \|f\|_{L^2(p,q)(\Omega)},$$
(3.4)

where K depends on Ω .

To finish with the proof of Theorem 2.1 we remark that $\mathfrak{D}^0 = \mathbb{O}$ is the structure sheaf of *X* (*X*, Ω as in Theorem 2.1), therefore Theorem 2.1 follows from Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3, and from Markoe's and Silva's condition.

REFERENCES

- H. Behnke and K. Stein, Konvergente folge von regularit ätsbereichen und die meromorphiekonvexität, Math. Ann. 116 (1938), 204–216 (German).
- P. W. Darko, On cohomology with bounds on complex spaces, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. (8) 60 (1976), no. 3, 189–194.
- [3] _____, L² estimates for the ∂ operator on Stein manifolds, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 129 (2000), no. 1, 73–76.
- [4] J. E. Fornæss, An increasing sequence of Stein manifolds whose limit is not Stein, Math. Ann. 223 (1976), no. 3, 275–277.
- [5] J. E. Fornæss and R. Narasimhan, *The Levi problem on complex spaces with singularities*, Math. Ann. 248 (1980), no. 1, 47–72.
- [6] H. Grauert and R. Remmert, Konvexität in der komplexen Analysis. Nicht-holomorphkonvexe Holomorphiegebiete und Anwendungen auf die Abbildungstheorie, Comment. Math. Helv. 31 (1956), 152–160, 161–183 (German).
- [7] _____, *Theory of Stein Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.
- [8] R. C. Gunning and H. Rossi, Analytic Functions of Several Complex Variables, Prentice-Hall, New Jersey, 1965.
- [9] A. Markoe, *Runge families and inductive limits of Stein spaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 27 (1977), no. 3, 117-127.
- [10] A. Silva, Rungescher Satz and a condition for Steinness for the limit of an increasing sequence of Stein spaces, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 28 (1978), no. 2, 187-200 (German).
- K. Stein, Überlagerungen holomorph-vollständiger komplexer Räume, Arch. Math. 7 (1956), 354–361 (German).

624

[12] L. M. Tovar, Open Stein subsets and domains of holomorphy in complex spaces, Topics in Several Complex Variables (Mexico, 1983), Pitman, Massachusetts, 1985, pp. 183– 189.

PATRICK W. DARKO: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, LINCOLN UNI-VERSITY, LINCOLN UNIVERSITY, PA 19352, USA *E-mail address*: pdarko@lu.lincoln.edu