

## EXTENSIONS OF GROUP RETRACTIONS

RICHARD D. BYRD, JUSTIN T. LLOYD  
ROBERTO A. MENA, AND J. ROGER TELLER

Department of Mathematics  
University of Houston  
Houston, Texas 77004 U.S.A.

(Received June 18, 1979 and in revised form February 29, 1980)

ABSTRACT. In this paper a condition, which is necessary and sufficient, is determined when a retraction of a subgroup  $H$  of a torsion-free group  $G$  can be extended to a retraction of  $G$ . It is also shown that each retraction of a torsion-free abelian group can be uniquely extended to a retraction of its divisible closure.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Group retraction, extending group retractions, torsion-free abelian group, divisible closure.

1980 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES. Primary, 06A75, 20E99  
Secondary, 20K15, 20K20.

### 1. INTRODUCTION.

The concept of a retractable group was introduced in [2] and there it was shown that the class of lattice-ordered groups is a proper subclass of the class of retractable groups. It was shown in [4] that group retractions induce "non-standard" automorphisms of the semigroup of finite complexes of a torsion-free

abelian group. In this paper we consider the following question: if  $G$  is a torsion-free abelian group,  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , and  $\tau$  is a retraction of  $H$ , then when can  $\tau$  be extended to a retraction of  $G$ ? In Theorem 5.7 we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of such an extension. The key to proving this theorem is Theorem 3.2 where it is shown that if  $H$  is a normal subgroup of a group  $G$  such that  $G/H$  can be linearly ordered and  $\tau$  is a retraction of  $H$  such that for each  $g \in G$  and each finite nonempty subset  $A$  of  $H$ ,  $(g^{-1}Ag)\tau = g^{-1}(A\tau)g$ , then  $\tau$  can be extended to a retraction of  $G$ . This theorem is a generalization of a corresponding theorem in the theory of lattice-ordered groups (see [1],[7], or [10]).

If  $G$  is a torsion-free abelian group and  $D$  is a divisible closure of  $G$ , then, in Theorem 4.7, we show that each retraction of  $G$  has a unique extension to a retraction of  $D$ . Again this theorem generalizes a well-known result in the theory of lattice-ordered groups. The key in the proof of Theorem 4.7 is Corollary 4.4 where it is shown that each retraction of an abelian group satisfies condition  $(\delta)$ . (Definitions will be given in Section 2.) In Corollary 4.8, we obtain a partial converse of Theorem 4.7. An immediate consequence of Corollary 5.8 is that each torsion-free abelian group admits an infinite number of retractions.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES.

In this section we give some definitions and results from [2] that will be used in this paper. Throughout this paper,  $G$  will denote a group, written multiplicatively and with identity  $1$ , and  $F(G)$  will denote the collection of all finite, nonempty subsets of  $G$ . Then  $F(G)$  is a join monoid, that is,  $F(G)$  is a join semilattice in which  $A \vee B = A \cup B$ ,  $F(G)$  is a monoid in which  $AB = \{ab \mid a \in A \text{ and } b \in B\}$ ,  $A(B \vee C) = AB \vee AC$ , and  $(A \vee B)C = AC \vee BC$ . A homomorphism  $\sigma$  of  $F(G)$  into  $G$  such that  $\{g\}\sigma = g$  for every  $g \in G$  will be called a retraction of  $G$ . We will denote by  $\text{Ret } G$  the collection of all

retractions of  $G$ . If  $\text{Ret } G$  is nonempty, then  $G$  is said to be a retractable group. The class of retractable groups is a proper subclass of the class of torsion-free groups [2, Theorem 2.2 and Example 2.7].

If  $G$  is a lattice-ordered group and  $\sigma$  is given by  $A\sigma = \vee A$  for each  $A \in F(G)$ , then  $\sigma \in \text{Ret } G$  [2, Theorem 2.1] and  $\sigma$  is called the retraction of  $G$  induced by the lattice-ordering of  $G$ .

Let  $\sigma \in \text{Ret } G$ . Then the kernel of  $\sigma$ , denoted by  $\text{Ker } \sigma$ , is the set  $\{A \mid A \in F(G) \text{ and } A\sigma = 1\}$ . If  $\text{Ker } \sigma$  is a convex subset (resp., convex sub-semilattice) of  $F(G)$ , then  $\sigma$  is said to be a convex retraction (resp.,  $\ell$ -retraction) of  $G$ . There is a one-to-one correspondence between the lattice-orderings of  $G$  and the  $\ell$ -retractions of  $G$  [2, Corollary 3.3]. In [2, Theorem 3.2] six conditions are given, each of which is equivalent to  $\sigma$  being an  $\ell$ -retraction. A subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  is said to be a  $\sigma$ -subgroup if  $A\sigma \in H$  for every  $A \in F(H)$ . In this paper retractions that satisfy the following condition are of prime importance:

$$(\delta) \text{ if } \sigma \in \text{Ret } G, \{g_1, \dots, g_m\} \in F(G) \text{ and}$$

$n$  is a natural number, then

$$\{g_1^n, \dots, g_m^n\}\sigma = (\{g_1, \dots, g_m\}\sigma)^n.$$

If  $G$  is a lattice-ordered group and  $\sigma$  is the retraction induced by the lattice-ordering of  $G$ , then  $\sigma$  satisfies  $(\delta)$  if and only if the lattice-ordering is representable. (This can be proven by [5, Theorem 1.8].) In [3] examples were given of groups that admit retractions satisfying  $(\delta)$ , but do not admit lattice-orderings.

Let  $\sigma \in \text{Ret } G$  and  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $H$  is said to be a  $\rho$ - $\sigma$ -subgroup of  $G$  if  $A = \{g_1, \dots, g_n\} \in F(G)$  and  $h_1, \dots, h_n \in H$  implies that  $H(A\sigma) = H(\{h_1 g_1, \dots, h_n g_n\}\sigma)$ . Every  $\rho$ - $\sigma$ -subgroup of  $G$  is pure [2, Corollary 4.10] and is a  $\sigma$ -subgroup [2, Theorem 4.2].

The natural numbers will be denoted by  $N$ , the integers by  $Z$ , and the

rational numbers by  $\mathbb{Q}$ . If  $A \subseteq G$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$A^n = \{a_1 \dots a_n \mid a_1, \dots, a_n \in A\}$$

and

$$A^{(n)} = \{a^n \mid a \in A\}.$$

### 3. LEXICOGRAPHIC EXTENSIONS.

In 1942, F. W. Levi [10, p. 260] (or see [1, p. 289] or [7, p. 20]) gave a necessary and sufficient condition that a partial order of a subgroup of a group may be extended to a partial order of the group. The main result of this section gives a sufficient condition for the extension of a retraction of a subgroup to a retraction of the group. The proof of Theorem 3.1 is immediate and will be omitted.

**THEOREM 3.1:** Let  $\sigma \in \text{Ret } G$  and  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$ .

(i) If  $\tau \in \text{Ret } H$ , then  $\sigma$  extends  $\tau$  if and only if  $\text{Ker } \tau \subseteq \text{Ker } \sigma$ .

(ii)  $H$  is a  $\rho$ - $\sigma$ -subgroup of  $G$  if and only if  $\{g_1, \dots, g_n\} \in \text{Ker } \sigma$  and  $h_1, \dots, h_n \in H$  imply that  $\{h_1 g_1, \dots, h_n g_n\} \sigma \in H$ .

It was shown in [2, Theorem 4.3 (i)] that if  $\sigma \in \text{Ret } G$  and  $H$  is a normal  $\rho$ - $\sigma$ -subgroup of  $G$ , then the mapping  $\sigma^*$  given by

$$\{Hg_1, \dots, Hg_n\} \sigma^* = H(\{g_1, \dots, g_n\} \sigma)$$

is a retraction of  $G/H$  (called the retraction of  $G/H$  induced by  $\sigma$ ).

**THEOREM 3.2:** Let  $G$  be a group,  $H$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$ , and  $\tau \in \text{Ret } H$  such that for every  $g \in G$  and every  $A \in F(H)$ ,  $(g^{-1}Ag)\tau = g^{-1}(A\tau)g$ . Suppose further that  $(G/H, \leq)$  is a linearly ordered group. Then there is a retraction  $\sigma$  of  $G$  that extends  $\tau$ . Moreover,

(i)  $H$  is a  $\rho$ - $\sigma$ -subgroup of  $G$ ,  $\sigma^*$  is the retraction of  $G/H$  induced by the linear ordering  $\leq$  of  $G/H$ ; and hence,  $H$  is a convex  $\sigma$ -subgroup of  $G$ ;

(ii)  $\tau$  is convex if and only if  $\sigma$  is convex;

(iii)  $\tau$  is an  $\ell$ -retraction if and only if  $\sigma$  is an  $\ell$ -retraction.

**PROOF:** Let  $A = \{g_1, \dots, g_n\} \in F(G)$  where

$$Hg_1 \leq \dots \leq Hg_{p-1} < Hg_p = \dots = Hg_n.$$

Then for  $p \leq i \leq n$ ,  $g_i g_n^{-1} \in H$ . Let  $h = \{g_p g_n^{-1}, \dots, g_n g_n^{-1}\} \tau$  and define  $A\sigma = hg_n$ .

We must show that  $\sigma$  is well-defined. Suppose that  $d = \{g_p g_p^{-1}, \dots, g_n g_p^{-1}\} \tau$ .

Since  $g_p g_n^{-1} \in H$ ,

$$dg_p g_n^{-1} = (\{g_p g_p^{-1}, \dots, g_n g_p^{-1}\} \tau) g_p g_n^{-1} = \{g_p g_n^{-1}, \dots, g_n g_n^{-1}\} \tau = h.$$

Thus  $dg_p = hg_n$  and it follows that  $\sigma$  is a function. Clearly,  $\{g\}\sigma = g$  for

every  $g \in G$ . Let  $A$  be as above,  $B = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \in F(G)$ , where

$Hx_1 \leq \dots \leq Hx_{q-1} < Hx_q = \dots = Hx_m$ , and  $d = \{x_q x_m^{-1}, \dots, x_m x_m^{-1}\} \tau$ . Then  $B\sigma = dx_m$ ,

and if

$$\{g_p x_q x_m^{-1} g_n^{-1}, \dots, g_n x_m x_m^{-1} g_n^{-1}\} \tau = c, \quad (AB)\sigma = cg_n x_m.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} g_n^{-1} cg_n &= \{g_n^{-1} g_p x_q x_m^{-1}, \dots, g_n^{-1} g_n x_m x_m^{-1}\} \tau \\ &= \{g_n^{-1} g_p, \dots, g_n^{-1} g_n\} \tau \{x_q x_m^{-1}, \dots, x_m x_m^{-1}\} \tau \\ &= g_n^{-1} hg_n d. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $cg_n = hg_n d$  and so  $(AB)\sigma = cg_n x_m = hg_n dx_m = (A\sigma)(B\sigma)$ . Hence

$\sigma \in \text{Ret } G$ .

If  $\{h_1, \dots, h_n\} \in \text{Ker } \tau$ , then  $h_n^{-1} = \{h_1 h_n^{-1}, \dots, h_n h_n^{-1}\} \tau$  and so  $\{h_1, \dots, h_n\} \sigma = h_n h_n^{-1} = 1$ . Thus  $\text{Ker } \tau \subseteq \text{Ker } \sigma$  and, by Theorem 3.1,  $\sigma$  extends  $\tau$ .

The verification of (i) is immediate from Theorem 3.1 and [2, Theorem 4.3 (ii)], the verification of (ii) is straightforward, and (iii) is well-known from the theory of lattice-ordered groups.

**COROLLARY 3.3:** If  $G$  is a torsion-free abelian group,  $H$  is a proper pure subgroup of  $G$ , and  $\tau \in \text{Ret } H$ , then  $\tau$  can be extended to a retraction of  $G$  in at least two ways.

**PROOF:** If  $H$  is a pure subgroup of  $G$ , then  $G/H$  is torsion-free. Each torsion-free abelian group can be linearly ordered [5, p. 0.4]. Since  $H$  is a proper subgroup of  $G$ ,  $G/H$  admits at least two distinct linear orderings.

Hence  $\tau$  can be extended in at least two ways to a retraction of  $G$ .

4. DIVISIBLE EXTENTIONS.

The main result of this section is that each retraction of a torsion-free abelian group can be uniquely extended to a retraction of its divisible closure. Similar results for partially ordered groups may be found in [9],[6], or [5, Chap. 4].

If  $G$  is a lattice-ordered group,  $g_1, \dots, g_m \in G$  such that  $g_i g_j = g_j g_i$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ , and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $(g_1 \vee \dots \vee g_m)^n = g_1^n \vee \dots \vee g_m^n$  [5, p. 0.17]. In Theorem 4.3 we show that this identity generalizes to retractable groups. To this end, we state two lemmas. (A proof of Lemma 4.1 can be given by induction and Lemma 4.1 can be used to prove Lemma 4.2.)

LEMMA 4.1: If  $G$  is a group,  $A = \{g_1, \dots, g_m\} \in F(G)$  such that  $g_i g_j = g_j g_i$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ , and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$A^n = \{g_1^{k_1} \dots g_m^{k_m} \mid \text{if } 1 \leq r \leq m, \text{ then } k_r \geq 0 \text{ and } \sum_{r=1}^m k_r = n\}.$$

LEMMA 4.2: If  $G$  is a group,  $A = \{g_1, \dots, g_m\} \in F(G)$  such that  $g_i g_j = g_j g_i$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ , and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then for each  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $p \geq (m-1)(n-1)$ ,

$$A^p \{g_1^n, \dots, g_m^n\} = A^{p+n}.$$

THEOREM 4.3: If  $\sigma \in \text{Ret } G$ ,  $A = \{g_1, \dots, g_m\} \in F(G)$  such that  $g_i g_j = g_j g_i$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ , and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\{g_1^n, \dots, g_m^n\} \sigma = (A\sigma)^n$ .

PROOF: Since  $\sigma$  is a homomorphism,  $(A\sigma)^{n(m+1)} = (A^{n(m+1)})\sigma$ , and by Lemma 4.2,  $A^{n(m+1)} = A^{nm} \{g_1^n, \dots, g_m^n\}$ . Therefore,  $(A\sigma)^{n(m+1)} = (A^{nm} \{g_1^n, \dots, g_m^n\})\sigma = (A\sigma)^{nm} (\{g_1^n, \dots, g_m^n\})\sigma$ . Hence,  $\{g_1^n, \dots, g_m^n\} \sigma = (A\sigma)^n$ .

We state the following three corollaries which will be used numerous times in the sequel.

COROLLARY 4.4: If  $G$  is an abelian group and  $\sigma \in \text{Ret } G$ , then  $\sigma$  satisfies condition  $(\delta)$ .

COROLLARY 4.5: If  $G$  is an abelian group,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $G^{(n)} = \{g^n \mid g \in G\}$ , and  $\sigma \in \text{Ret } G$ , then  $G^{(n)}$  is a  $\sigma$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Thus, the largest divisible

subgroup of  $G$  is a  $\sigma$ -subgroup.

COROLLARY 4.6: Let  $G$  be an abelian group,  $H$  a subgroup of  $G$ , and

$$H_* = \{g \mid g \in G \text{ and } g^n \in H \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

be the pure closure of  $H$  in  $G$ . If  $\sigma \in \text{Ret } G$  and  $H$  is a  $\sigma$ -subgroup of  $G$ , then so is  $H_*$ .

Let  $G$  be a torsion-free abelian group and  $D$  be a divisible closure of  $G$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$D_n = \{d \mid d \in D \text{ and } d^n \in G\}.$$

Then  $D_n$  is a subgroup of  $D$ ,  $G = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_n$ ,  $D = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_n$ ,  $D_m \cap D_n = D_{\text{g.c.d.}(m,n)}$ , and  $D_m D_n = D_{\text{l.c.m.}(m,n)}$ . If  $g \in G$ , we write  $g^{1/n}$  for that unique element in  $D$  whose  $n$ -th power is  $g$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $\theta_n$  from  $G$  into  $D$  by  $g\theta_n = g^{1/n}$ . Then  $\theta_n$  is an isomorphism of  $G$  onto  $D_n$ .

THEOREM 4.7: If  $G$  is an abelian group,  $D$  is a divisible closure of  $G$ , and  $\sigma \in \text{Ret } G$ , then  $\sigma$  can be uniquely extended to a retraction  $\tau$  of  $D$ .

Moreover,

- (i) for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $D_n$  is a  $\tau$ -subgroup of  $D$ ;
- (ii)  $\text{Ker } \tau = \{\{g_1^{1/n}, \dots, g_m^{1/n}\} \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \{g_1, \dots, g_m\} \in \text{Ker } \sigma\}$  and

$\text{Ker } \sigma = F(G) \cap \text{Ker } \tau$ ;

(iii)  $\text{Ker } \sigma$  is a convex subset of  $F(G)$  if and only if  $\text{Ker } \tau$  is a convex subset of  $F(D)$ ;

(iv)  $\text{Ker } \sigma$  is a convex subsemilattice of  $F(G)$  if and only if  $\text{Ker } \tau$  is a convex subsemilattice of  $F(D)$ ; hence  $\sigma$  is an  $\ell$ -retraction of  $F$  if and only if  $\tau$  is an  $\ell$ -retraction of  $D$ .

PROOF: For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\sigma_n = \theta_n^{-1} \sigma \theta_n$ , where  $\theta_n$  is given above. That is, for  $A = \{g_1, \dots, g_m\} \in F(D_n)$ ,

$$A\sigma_n = (\{g_1 \theta_n^{-1}, \dots, g_m \theta_n^{-1}\} \sigma) \theta_n.$$

Then  $\sigma_n \in \text{Ret } D_n$ . Let  $\tau = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sigma_n$ . The verification that  $\tau$  is the unique

extension of  $\sigma$  to a retraction of  $D$ , and the remainder of the theorem is routine.

**COROLLARY 4.8:** Let  $G$  be a torsion-free abelian group and  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$ . If there exists  $\tau \in \text{Ret } H$  such that  $\tau$  has a unique extension to  $G$ , then  $G/H$  is torsion (or equivalently,  $G$  is contained in a divisible closure of  $H$ ).

**PROOF:** Let  $\sigma$  be the unique extension of  $\tau$  to a retraction of  $G$ . If  $H_*$  denotes the pure closure of  $H$  in  $G$ , then by Corollary 4.6,  $H_*$  is a  $\sigma$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Hence,  $\tau$  extends uniquely to a retraction of  $H_*$ . Thus, by Corollary 3.3,  $H_* = G$ .

If  $G$  is a torsion-free abelian group,  $D$  is a divisible closure of  $G$ ,  $\sigma \in \text{Ret } G$ , and  $\tau$  is the unique extension of  $\sigma$  to a retraction of  $D$ , then it is easy to show that the collection of  $\rho$ - $\sigma$ -subgroups of  $G$  is lattice isomorphic to the  $\rho$ - $\tau$ -subgroups of  $D$ .

## 5. EXTENDING RETRACTIONS.

In this section we prove the main result (Theorem 5.7) of this paper, namely, if  $G$  is a torsion-free abelian group and  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , then we give a necessary and sufficient condition that a retraction of  $H$  can be extended to a retraction of  $G$ .

**THEOREM 5.1:** Let  $G$  be an abelian group,  $D$  a divisible closure of  $G$ ,  $K$  be a subgroup of  $D$  that contains  $G$ , and  $\sigma \in \text{Ret } G$ . Then  $\sigma$  can be extended to a retraction of  $K$  if and only if for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $K^{(n)} \cap G$  is a  $\sigma$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Moreover, if  $\sigma$  extends to a retraction of  $K$ , then it is unique.

**PROOF:** Let  $\tau$  be the unique extension of  $\sigma$  to a retraction of  $D$ . If  $\sigma$  can be extended to a retraction  $\nu$  of  $K$ , then  $\tau$  is the unique extension of  $\nu$  to a retraction of  $D$ . If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then by Corollary 4.5,  $K^{(n)}$  is a  $\nu$ -subgroup of  $K$  and hence, a  $\tau$ -subgroup of  $D$ . Therefore,  $K^{(n)} \cap G$  is a



$\tau$ -subgroup of  $D$ . Since  $\tau|_{F(G)} = \sigma$ , it follows that  $K^{(n)} \cap G$  is a  $\sigma$ -subgroup of  $G$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $K^{(n)} \cap G$  is a  $\sigma$ -subgroup of  $G$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\{h_1, \dots, h_m\} \in F(K)$  and  $\{h_1, \dots, h_m\}\tau = a$ . Then there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\{h_1^n, \dots, h_m^n\} \in F(G)$ . Since  $\tau$  satisfies  $(\delta)$ ,

$$a^n = \{h_1^n, \dots, h_m^n\}\tau = \{h_1^n, \dots, h_m^n\}\sigma.$$

Now,  $K^{(n)} \cap G$  is a  $\sigma$ -subgroup of  $G$  and  $\{h_1^n, \dots, h_m^n\} \in F(K^{(n)} \cap G)$ . Hence,  $a^n = \{h_1^n, \dots, h_m^n\}\sigma \in K^{(n)} \cap G$ . Since  $D$  is torsion-free,  $a \in K$ . Therefore,  $K$  is a  $\tau$ -subgroup of  $D$  and hence,  $\sigma$  can be extended to a retraction of  $K$ .

The uniqueness is immediate from Theorem 4.7.

From the proof of Theorem 5.1, we have

**COROLLARY 5.2:** Let  $G$  be an abelian group,  $D$  be a divisible closure of  $G$ ,  $K$  be a subgroup of  $D$  that contains  $G$ , and  $\sigma \in \text{Ret } G$ . If there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $K^{(n)} \subseteq G$  and  $K^{(n)}$  is a  $\sigma$ -subgroup of  $G$ , then  $\sigma$  has a unique extension to a retraction of  $K$ .

In the following considerations (up to Corollary 5.6), for obvious reasons, we use additive notation for the binary operations on groups. Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $Q$  and define  $H^\perp = \{r \mid r \in Q \text{ and } rh \in H \text{ for every } h \in H\}$ . It is easily verified that  $H^\perp$  is a subring of  $Q$  containing  $Z$ . Moreover, it follows from the theory of abelian groups of rank 1 that if  $K$  is a subgroup of  $Q$  containing  $H$  and  $H \not\subseteq \{0\}$ , then  $H^\perp$  is a subring of  $K^\perp$ .

In [2, Example 5.7] all of the retractions of  $Q$  were exhibited, namely,  $\text{Ret } Q = \{\sigma_r \mid r \in Q\}$ , where  $\sigma_r$  is defined by  $A\sigma_r = (r+1)\max A - r \min A$ , for all  $A \in F(Q)$ .

**COROLLARY 5.3:** If  $H$  is a nonzero subgroup of  $Q$ , then  $\text{Ret } H = \{\sigma_r \mid r \in H^\perp\}$ .

**COROLLARY 5.4:** If  $H$  and  $K$  are subgroups of  $Q$  such that  $H \subseteq K$ ,  $H \not\subseteq \{0\}$ , and  $\sigma \in \text{Ret } H$ , then  $\sigma$  can be uniquely extended to a retraction of  $K$ .

The preceding corollary is not true for abelian groups of rank 2, as we

illustrate with the following example.

**EXAMPLE 5.5:** Let  $K = Z \times Z$  and let  $\sigma$  be the  $\ell$ -retraction of  $K$  induced by the cardinal ordering of  $K$ . Let  $H = K + \langle (\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}) \rangle$ , where  $\langle (\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}) \rangle$  denotes the cyclic subgroup of  $Q \times Q$  generated by  $(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$ . Then  $2H = 2K + \langle (1, -1) \rangle$  and  $(1, -1) \in 2H \cap K$ . Now  $\{(0, 0), (1, -1)\}\sigma = (0, 0) \vee (1, -1) = (1, 0) \in K$ . However,  $(1, 0) \notin 2H$ . Thus,  $2H \cap K$  is not a  $\sigma$ -subgroup of  $K$  and so by Theorem 5.1,  $\sigma$  can not be extended to a retraction of  $H$ .

The next corollary is an immediate consequence of Corollary 5.2.

**COROLLARY 5.6:** If  $G$  is a torsion-free abelian group,  $n \in N$ , and  $\sigma \in \text{Ret } G^{(n)}$ , then  $\sigma$  has a unique extension to a retraction on  $G$ .

We next turn our attention to the problem of extending a retraction of a subgroup  $H$  of a torsion-free abelian group  $G$  to a retraction of  $G$ .

**THEOREM 5.7:** Let  $G$  be a torsion-free abelian group,  $H$  a subgroup of  $G$ , and  $\tau \in \text{Ret } H$ . Then a necessary and sufficient condition that  $\tau$  can be extended to a retraction of  $G$  is that  $G^{(n)} \cap H$  is a  $\tau$ -subgroup of  $H$  for each  $n \in N$ .

**PROOF:** Suppose that  $G^{(n)} \cap H$  is a  $\tau$ -subgroup of  $H$  for each  $n \in N$ . We first show that there is a pure subgroup  $M$  of  $G$  containing  $H$  such that  $\tau$  can be extended to a retraction of  $M$ . Let  $\mathcal{M} = \{(M, \nu) \mid M \text{ is a subgroup of } G \text{ that contains } H, \nu \in \text{Ret } M, \nu|_{F(H)} = \tau, \text{ and } G^{(n)} \cap M \text{ is a } \nu\text{-subgroup of } M \text{ for each } n \in N\}$ . Then  $(H, \tau) \in \mathcal{M}$  and for  $(M_1, \nu_1), (M_2, \nu_2) \in \mathcal{M}$ , define  $(M_1, \nu_1) \leq (M_2, \nu_2)$  if and only if  $M_1 \subseteq M_2$  and  $\nu_2|_{F(M_1)} = \nu_1$ . By Zorn's Lemma, there exists a maximal element  $(M, \nu)$  of  $\mathcal{M}$ . We show that  $M$  is a pure subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $D$  be a divisible closure of  $G$  and let  $C$  be the divisible closure of  $M$  in  $D$ . Then, by Theorem 4.7,  $\nu$  can be extended to a retraction  $\mu$  of  $C$ . Let  $\chi = \mu|_{F(G \cap C)}$ . Then, we assert that  $(G \cap C, \chi) \in \mathcal{M}$ . If  $n \in N$  and  $\{c_1, \dots, c_m\} \in F(G^{(n)} \cap C)$ , then  $G^{(n)} \cap (G \cap C) = G^{(n)} \cap C$ , and there exists  $p \in N$  such that  $\{c_1^p, \dots, c_m^p\} \subseteq G^{(np)} \cap M$ . Since  $\mu$  satisfies  $(\delta)$  and

$(M, \nu) \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $(\{c_1, \dots, c_m\}\mu)^P = \{c_1^P, \dots, c_m^P\}\mu = \{c_1^P, \dots, c_m^P\}\chi = \{c_1^P, \dots, c_m^P\}\nu \in G^{(np)} \cap M$ . Hence,  $\{c_1, \dots, c_m\}\chi = \{c_1, \dots, c_m\}\mu \in G^{(n)} \cap C$ . In particular, when  $n = 1$ , we have shown that  $\chi \in \text{Ret}(G \cap C)$ , and for  $n$  arbitrary ( $n > 0$ ),  $G^{(n)} \cap C$  is a  $\chi$ -subgroup of  $G \cap C$ . Therefore,  $(G \cap C, \chi) \in \mathcal{M}$  and by the maximality of  $(M, \nu)$ ,  $G \cap C = M$ . Consequently,  $M$  is pure in  $G$ . By Corollary 3.3,  $\nu$  can be extended to a retraction of  $G$  and hence,  $\tau$  can be extended to a retraction of  $G$ .

The converse is immediate by Corollary 4.5.

By Corollary 5.3,  $\text{Ret } Z = \{\sigma_r | r \in Z^\perp\} = \{\sigma_r | r \in Z\}$ . Thus, for each subgroup  $H$  of  $Z$  and each  $r \in \text{Ret } Z$ ,  $H$  is a  $\sigma$ -subgroup of  $Z$ . It follows that if  $G$  is a torsion-free abelian group and  $g \in G$ , then each retraction of the cyclic subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $g$  can be extended to a retraction of  $G$ . (Hence, each torsion-free abelian group has at least a countably infinite number of retractions.) Combining Corollary 5.4 and Theorem 5.7, we have a stronger result than this.

**COROLLARY 5.8:** Let  $G$  be a torsion-free abelian group and  $H$  be a locally cyclic subgroup of  $G$ . Then every retraction of  $H$  can be extended to a retraction of  $G$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. G. Birkhoff, Lattice Theory, 3rd. ed., Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. vol. 25, Providence, 1967.
2. R. D. Byrd, J. T. Lloyd, R. A. Mena, and J. R. Teller, Retractable groups, Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 29(1977), 219-233.
3. R. D. Byrd, J. T. Lloyd, R. A. Mena, On the retractability of some one-relator groups, Pacific J. Math. 72(1977), 351-359.
4. R. D. Byrd, J. T. Lloyd, F. D. Pedersen, and J. W. Stepp, Automorphisms of the semigroup of finite complexes of a torsion-free abelian group, submitted.
5. P. Conrad, Lattice-ordered groups, Lecture notes, Tulane University, New Orleans, 1970.

6. P. Conrad, J. Harvey, and C. Holland, The Hahn embedding theorem for abelian lattice-ordered groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 108 (1963), 143-169.
7. L. Fuchs, Partially Ordered Algebraic Systems, Pergamon Press, New York, 1963.
8. L. Fuchs, Infinite Abelian Groups, Vol. I, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
9. J. Jakubik, Representation and extensions of  $\ell$ -groups (Russian, German summary), Czech. Math. J. 13 (1967), 267-283.
10. F. Levi, Ordered groups, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., Sect. A, 16(1942), 256-263.
11. J. Rotman, The Theory of Groups, 2nd ed., Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1973.