

## COMMUTATIVITY AND STRUCTURE OF RINGS WITH COMMUTING NILOPENTS

**HAZAR ABU-KHUZAM**

Department of Mathematics  
University of Petroleum and Minerals  
Dahran, Saudi Arabia

**ADIL YAQUB**

Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Santa Barbara, California 93106

(Received September 28, 1981)

ABSTRACT. Let  $R$  be a ring and let  $N$  denote the set of nilpotent elements of  $R$ . Let  $Z$  denote the center of  $R$ . Suppose that (i)  $N$  is commutative, (ii) for every  $x$  in  $R$  there exists  $x' \in \langle x \rangle$  such that  $x - x^2x' \in N$ , where  $\langle x \rangle$  denotes the subring generated by  $x$ , (iii) for every  $x, y$  in  $R$ , there exists an integer  $n = n(x, y) \geq 1$  such that both  $(xy)^n - (yx)^n$  and  $(xy)^{n+1} - (yx)^{n+1}$  belong to  $Z$ . Then  $R$  is commutative and, in fact,  $R$  is isomorphic to a subdirect sum of nil commutative rings and local commutative rings. It is further shown that both conditions in hypothesis (iii) are essential. The proof uses the structure theory of rings along with some earlier results of the authors.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Nil ring, local ring, subdirect sum, subdirectly irreducible, semisimple ring.

1980 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. Primary 16A70.

### 1. INTRODUCTION.

Recently [1], the authors proved that if  $R$  is a semisimple ring with the property that, for all  $x, y$  in  $R$  there exists an integer  $n = n(x, y) \geq 1$  such that  $(xy)^n - (yx)^n$  is in the center of  $R$ , then  $R$  is commutative. This naturally gives rise to the following question: what additional conditions are needed to force the commutativity of

R when R is an arbitrary ring? In this paper, we establish a theorem which gives some conditions.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS.

In preparation for the proof of the main theorem, we first state the following result which was proved in [2] (also see [3], [4], [5]):

LEMMA 1. Let R be a ring and let N be the set of nilpotent elements of R. Suppose that (i) N is commutative, (ii) for every x in R there exists an element x' in the subring  $\langle x \rangle$  generated by x such that  $x - x^2x' \in N$ , (iii) for all  $a \in N$  and  $b \in R$ ,  $ba - ab$  commutes with b. Then R is commutative.

With the aid of the above lemma, we are able to prove the following.

MAIN THEOREM. Let R be a ring, N the set of nilpotent elements of R, and Z the center of R. Suppose that (i) N is commutative, (ii) for every x in R there exists an element x' in the subring  $\langle x \rangle$  generated by x such that  $x - x^2x' \in N$ , (iii) for every x, y in R, there exists an integer  $n = n(x, y) \geq 1$  such that both  $(xy)^n - (yx)^n$  and  $(xy)^{n+1} - (yx)^{n+1}$  belong to Z. Then R is a subdirect sum of local commutative rings and nil commutative rings.

PROOF. The proof will be broken into several claims.

CLAIM 1. The idempotents of R are all in the center Z of R.

For, suppose  $e^2 = e \in R$ ,  $x \in R$ . By hypothesis (iii), there exists a positive integer n such that

$$\{e(ex - exe + e)\}^n - \{(ex - exe + e)e\}^n \in Z.$$

This reduces to

$$(ex - exe + e) - e \in Z$$

and hence  $ex - exe$  commutes with  $e$ . Therefore,  $ex - exe = e(ex - exe)$

$= (ex - exe)e = 0$ ; that is,  $ex = exe$ . Replacing  $ex - exe + e$  by  $xe - exe + e$  in the above argument, we obtain  $xe = exe$ , and Claim 1 is proved.

CLAIM 2. The set N is a commutative ideal in R and hence  $N^2 \subseteq Z$ .

This was essentially proved in [4]. However, for convenience, we re-produce the proof. Let  $a \in N$ ,  $b \in R$  and let  $a^k = 0$ .

By hypothesis (ii),

$$(ab)^m = (ab)^{m+1}c$$

for some element  $c \in \langle ab \rangle$  and some positive integer  $m$ .

Let

$$e = (ab)^m c^m.$$

Then, as is readily verified,

$$(ab)^m = (ab)^m e, \quad e^2 = e \in Z \quad (\text{by Claim 1}). \quad (2.1)$$

Therefore, since  $e \in Z$ ,

$$e = e^2 = e(ab)^m c^m = aeb(ab)^{m-1}c^m = a^k e \{ (ab)^{m-1} c^m \}^k = 0,$$

since  $a^k = 0$ . Thus,  $e = 0$  and hence by (2.1),  $(ab)^m = 0$ . Therefore,  $ab \in N$ .

Similarly,  $ba \in N$ . Thus  $ab$  and  $ba$  are in  $N$  for all  $a \in N$ ,  $b \in R$ . Combining this with hypothesis (i), we conclude that  $N$  is a commutative ideal of  $R$ , and hence  $N^2 \subseteq Z$ .

CLAIM 3. If  $f: R \rightarrow R^*$  is an onto homomorphism, then  $f(N)$  coincides with the set of all nilpotent elements of  $R^*$ .

Again, this was proved in [4], but for convenience we re-produce the proof.

Let  $d^*$  be an arbitrary nilpotent element of  $R^*$  with  $(d^*)^k = 0$ . Choose  $d$  in  $R$  such that  $f(d) = d^*$ . By hypothesis (ii),

$$d - d^2 d' \in N \text{ for some } d' \in \langle d \rangle. \quad (2.2)$$

Observe that

$$d - d^{k+1} (d')^k = (d - d^2 d') + dd'(d - d^2 d') + \dots + (dd')^{k-1} (d - d^2 d'). \quad (2.3)$$

Since, by Claim 2,  $N$  is an ideal in  $R$ , the right side of (2.3) is in  $N$  (see (2.2)) and hence

$$d - d^{k+1} (d')^k \in N. \quad (2.4)$$

Recalling that  $f(d) = d^*$ ,  $(d^*)^k = 0$ , (2.4) now implies that

$$d^* = f(d) = f(d - d^{k+1} (d')^k) \in f(N),$$

and thus  $d^* \in f(N)$ , which proves Claim 3.

CLAIM 4. Any homomorphic image of  $R$  satisfies all the hypotheses (i), (ii), (iii).

This follows at once in view of Claim 3.

To complete the proof of the Main Theorem, first recall that

$$R \cong \text{a subdirect sum of rings } R_i (i \in \Gamma); \quad (2.5)$$

each  $R_i$  is subdirectly irreducible.

Furthermore, by Claim 4,

$$\text{each } R_i \text{ satisfies hypotheses (i), (ii), (iii).} \quad (2.6)$$

We now distinguish two cases.

Case 1:  $R_i$  does not have an identity. Let  $x \in R_i$ . By hypothesis (ii), there exists an element  $y \in \langle x \rangle$  and a positive integer  $m$  such that

$$x^m = x^{m+1}y, \quad (y \in \langle x \rangle, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+).$$

Let

$$e = x^m y^m, \quad (y \in \langle x \rangle). \quad (2.7)$$

Then, as in the proof of Claim 2 ( see (2.1)),

$$x^m = x^m e, \quad e^2 = e \in Z_i \quad (= \text{center of } R_i; \text{ see (2.6) and Claim 1}). \quad (2.8)$$

Since  $e$  is a central idempotent in the subdirectly irreducible ring  $R_i$ , and since  $R_i$  has no identity,  $e = 0$  and, hence by (2.8),  $x^m = 0$ . We have thus shown that  $x^m = 0$  for all  $x$  in  $R_i$ ; that is,  $R_i$  is nil.

Hence,  $R_i$  is a nil commutative ring (see (2.6) and hypothesis (i)).

Case 2:  $R_i$  has an identity 1.

Let  $x \in R_i$ . Arguing as in Case 1, the central idempotent element  $e$  in (2.7) and (2.8) satisfies

$$e = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad e = 1 \quad (2.9)$$

If  $e = 0$ , then  $x^m = 0$  (see (2.8)). On the other hand, if  $e = 1$ , then by (2.7),

$$x^{-1} = x^{m-1}y^m \in R_i.$$

We have thus shown that

$$R_i \text{ is a local ring (in Case 2).} \quad (2.10)$$

Now, let  $u$  be a unit in  $R_i$  and let  $y \in R_i$ . By (2.6) and hypothesis (iii), there exists an integer  $n = n(u, y) \geq 1$  such that

$$((uy)u^{-1})^n - (u^{-1}(uy))^n \in Z_i \quad [= \text{center of } R_i],$$

and hence

$$uy^n u^{-1} - y^n \text{ commutes with } u.$$

Therefore,

$$(uy^n u^{-1} - y^n)u = u(uy^n u^{-1} - y^n), \quad \text{and thus}$$

$$uy^n - y^n u = u(uy^n u^{-1} - y^n).$$

Multiplying by  $u$  on the right side of the above equation, we get

$$(uy^n - y^n u)u = u(uy^n - y^n u); \quad (u = \text{unit in } R_1, y \in R_1). \quad (2.11)$$

Setting  $y = 1 + a$ , where  $a$  is an arbitrary but fixed nilpotent element of  $R_1$ , in

(2.11) and recalling that  $a^k \in Z_1$  for all  $k \geq 2$  (see Claim 4 and Claim 2), we see that

$$(u(na) - (na)u)u = u(u(na) - (na)u), \text{ and thus}$$

$$n(ua - au) \text{ commutes with } u. \quad (2.12)$$

But, by (2.6) and hypothesis (iii), the above argument can be repeated with  $n + 1$  replacing  $n$ , to get (see (2.12))

$$(n + 1)(ua - au) \text{ commutes with } u. \quad (2.13)$$

By (2.12) and (2.13), we conclude that

$$ua - au \text{ commutes with } u, \quad (u \text{ a unit in } R_1, a \in N_1). \quad (2.14)$$

Moreover, since  $N_1$  is commutative (2.14) holds trivially if  $u$  is any nilpotent element of  $R_1$ , and hence by (2.10) and (2.14),

$$ba - ab \text{ commutes with } b \text{ for all } b \in R_1, a \in N_1. \quad (2.15)$$

Therefore, by Lemma 1,  $R_1$  is commutative, and thus by (2.10),  $R_1$  is a local commutative ring. This completes the proof of the Main Theorem.

We conclude with the following.

REMARK 1. Our Main Theorem need not be true if we delete one of the two conditions in hypothesis (iii), as a consideration of the following ring shows:

$$R = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{ccc} a & b & c \\ 0 & a^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a \end{array} \right) \mid a, b, c \in \text{GF}(4) \right\}$$

In this ring, we readily verify that (i)  $N^2 = \{0\}$ , (ii)  $x - x^4 \in N$  for all  $x \in R$ , (iii)  $(xy)^6 = (yx)^6$  for all  $x, y$  in  $R$  (but  $(xy)^7 - (yx)^7$  is not in the center of  $R$ ).

Note that  $R$  is not commutative.

We further remark that we can delete one of the conditions in hypothesis (iii) by fixing  $n$  and assuming, in addition, that  $R$  is  $n$ -torsion free. Indeed, the above proof also shows the following.

THEOREM. Let  $R$  be a ring and let  $n$  be a fixed positive integer such that  $(xy)^n - (yx)^n \in Z$  and  $R$  is  $n$ -torsion free. Suppose, further, that the set  $N$  of nilpotents of  $R$  is commutative and for every  $x$  in  $R$ , there exists  $x'$  in  $\langle x \rangle$  such that  $x - x'^2 \in N$ . Then  $R$  is a subdirect sum of local commutative rings and nil commutative rings.

REMARK 2. Our Main Theorem remains valid if we replace the exponent  $(n + 1)$  in hypothesis (iii) by  $m$ , where  $m$  is any positive integer relatively prime to  $n$ . Indeed, the only change in the above proof takes place in (2.13), where  $(n + 1)$  now gets replaced by  $m$ , but this does not affect the conclusion in (2.14) or the rest of the proof.

#### REFERENCES

1. ABU-KHUZAM, H. and YAQUB, A. A commutativity theorem for division rings, Bull. Australian Math. Soc., 21 (1980), 43-46.
2. ABU-KHUZAM, H. and YAQUB, A. Some conditions for commutativity of rings with constraints on nilpotent elements, Math. Japonica, 24 (1980), 549-551.
3. HIRANO, Y., IKEHATA, S. and TOMINAGA, H. Commutativity theorems of Outcalt-Yaqub type, Math. J. Okayama Univ., 21 (1979), 21-24.
4. IKEHATA, S. and TOMINAGA, H. A commutativity theorem, Math. Japonica, 24 (1979), 29-30.
5. PUTCHA, M. and YAQUB, A. Rings with constraints on nilpotent elements and commutators, Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica, 12 (1977), 193-197.

## Special Issue on Decision Support for Intermodal Transport

### Call for Papers

Intermodal transport refers to the movement of goods in a single loading unit which uses successive various modes of transport (road, rail, water) without handling the goods during mode transfers. Intermodal transport has become an important policy issue, mainly because it is considered to be one of the means to lower the congestion caused by single-mode road transport and to be more environmentally friendly than the single-mode road transport. Both considerations have been followed by an increase in attention toward intermodal freight transportation research.

Various intermodal freight transport decision problems are in demand of mathematical models of supporting them. As the intermodal transport system is more complex than a single-mode system, this fact offers interesting and challenging opportunities to modelers in applied mathematics. This special issue aims to fill in some gaps in the research agenda of decision-making in intermodal transport.

The mathematical models may be of the optimization type or of the evaluation type to gain an insight in intermodal operations. The mathematical models aim to support decisions on the strategic, tactical, and operational levels. The decision-makers belong to the various players in the intermodal transport world, namely, drayage operators, terminal operators, network operators, or intermodal operators.

Topics of relevance to this type of decision-making both in time horizon as in terms of operators are:

- Intermodal terminal design
- Infrastructure network configuration
- Location of terminals
- Cooperation between drayage companies
- Allocation of shippers/receivers to a terminal
- Pricing strategies
- Capacity levels of equipment and labour
- Operational routines and lay-out structure
- Redistribution of load units, railcars, barges, and so forth
- Scheduling of trips or jobs
- Allocation of capacity to jobs
- Loading orders
- Selection of routing and service

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/guidelines.html>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	June 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	September 1, 2009
Publication Date	December 1, 2009

### Lead Guest Editor

**Gerrit K. Janssens**, Transportation Research Institute (IMOB), Hasselt University, Agoralaan, Building D, 3590 Diepenbeek (Hasselt), Belgium; [Gerrit.Janssens@uhasselt.be](mailto:Gerrit.Janssens@uhasselt.be)

### Guest Editor

**Cathy Macharis**, Department of Mathematics, Operational Research, Statistics and Information for Systems (MOSI), Transport and Logistics Research Group, Management School, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Pleinlaan 2, 1050 Brussel, Belgium; [Cathy.Macharis@vub.ac.be](mailto:Cathy.Macharis@vub.ac.be)