

SUBCLASSES OF CLOSE-TO-CONVEX FUNCTIONS

E.M. SILVIA

Department of Mathematics
University of California, Davis
Davis, California 95616

(Received January 24, 1983)

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathcal{K}[C,D]$, $-1 \leq D < C \leq 1$, denote the class of functions $g(z)$, $g(0) = g'(0) - 1 = 0$, analytic in the unit disk $U = \{z: |z| < 1\}$ such that $1 + (zg''(z)/g'(z))$ is subordinate to $(1+Cz)/(1+Dz)$, $z \in U$. We investigate the subclasses of close-to-convex functions $f(z)$, $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$, for which there exists $g \in \mathcal{K}[C,D]$ such that f'/g' is subordinate to $(1+Az)/(1+Bz)$, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$. Distortion and rotation theorems and coefficient bounds are obtained. It is also shown that these classes are preserved under certain integral operators.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Univalent, convex, starlike, subordination, convolution.

AMS (MOS) SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION (1980) CODES: 30C45, 30C55.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Let S denote the class of functions $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ analytic and univalent in the unit disk $U = \{z: |z| < 1\}$. For functions g and G analytic in U we say that g is subordinate to G , denoted $g < G$, if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$, $w(z)$ analytic in U with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ in U , such that $g(z) = G(w(z))$. If G is univalent in U then $g < G$ if and only if $g(0) = G(0)$ and $g(U) \subset$

$G(U)$. For A and B , $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, a function p analytic in U with $p(0) = 1$ is in the class $\mathcal{P}[A,B]$ if $p(z) < (1+Az)/(1+Bz)$. This class was introduced by Janowski [4]. Given C and D , $-1 \leq D < C \leq 1$, $\mathcal{K}[C,D]$ and $\mathcal{S}^*[C,D]$ denote the classes of functions f analytic in U with $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$ such that $1 + zf''(z)/f'(z) \in \mathcal{P}[C,D]$ and $zf'(z)/f(z) \in \mathcal{P}[C,D]$, respectively. The classes $\mathcal{S}^*[C,D]$ were introduced by Janowski [4] and studied further by Goel and Mehrotra ([1] and [3]). For $C = 1$ and $D = -1$, $\mathcal{K}[1,-1] = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{S}^*[1,-1] = \mathcal{S}^*)$, the well-known subclass of convex (starlike) functions.

A function $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ analytic in U is said to be in the class $C[A,B;C,D]$, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $-1 \leq D < C \leq 1$, if there exists $g \in \mathcal{K}[C,D]$ such that $f'/g' \in \mathcal{P}[A,B]$. The well-known (Kaplan [5]) class of close-to-convex functions is $C[1,-1;1,-1] = C$ while $\mathcal{K}[C,D] \subset \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{P}[A,B] \subset \mathcal{P}[1,-1]$ shows $C[A,B;C,D] \subset C \subset S$. Since $g \in \mathcal{S}^*[C,D]$ if and only if $\int_0^z g(\zeta) \zeta^{-1} d\zeta \in \mathcal{K}[C,D]$, we also note that $C[1,-1;C,D]$ was studied by Goel and Mehrotra ([2] and [3]).

In Section 2 of this paper we obtain distortion and rotation theorems for $f'(z)$ whenever $f \in C[A,B;C,D]$ and a subordination result relating $C[A,B;C,D]$ and $\mathcal{P}[A,B]$. In Section 3, it is shown that the class $C[A,B;C,D]$ is preserved under certain integral operators. We conclude with coefficient inequalities.

2. DISTORTION AND ROTATION THEOREMS.

Unless otherwise mentioned in the sequel, the only restrictions on the real constants A , B , C and D are that $-1 \leq D < C \leq 1$ and $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$.

THEOREM 1. For $f \in C[A,B;C,D]$, $|z| \leq r < 1$,

$$\frac{(1-Ar)(1-Dr)^{(C-D)/D}}{1-Br} \leq |f'(z)| \leq \frac{(1+Ar)(1+Dr)^{(C-D)/D}}{1+Br}, \quad D \neq 0$$

and

$$\frac{(1-Ar)\exp(-Cr)}{1-Br} \leq |f'(z)| \leq \frac{(1+Ar)\exp(Cr)}{1+Br}, \quad D = 0.$$

The bounds are sharp.

PROOF. For $f \in C[A,B;C,D]$, there exists a $g \in \mathcal{N}[C,D]$ and $p \in \mathcal{P}[A,B]$ such that

$$f'(z) = g'(z)p(z). \quad (2.1)$$

Since $g \in \mathcal{N}[C,D]$ if and only if $zg' \in \mathcal{N}^*[C,D]$, for $|z| \leq r < 1$ [4]

$$(1-Dr)^{(C-D)/D} \leq |g'(z)| \leq (1+Dr)^{(C-D)/D}, \quad D \neq 0, \text{ and} \quad (2.2)$$

$$\exp(-Cr) \leq |g'(z)| \leq \exp(Cr), \quad D = 0.$$

For $p \in \mathcal{P}[A,B]$, $|z| \leq r$, the univalence of $(1+Az)/(1+Bz)$ gives

$$\frac{1-Ar}{1-Br} \leq |p(z)| \leq \frac{1+Ar}{1+Br}. \quad (2.3)$$

The result follows immediately upon applying (2.3) and (2.2) to (2.1).

Equality is obtained for $f \in C[A,B;C,D]$ satisfying

$$f'(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{(1+Az)(1+Dz)^{(C-D)/D}}{1+Bz}, & D \neq 0 \\ \frac{(1+Az)\exp(Cz)}{1+Bz}, & D = 0 \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

and $z = \pm r$.

REMARK. For $A = 1$ and $B = -1$, Theorem 1 agrees with Theorem 3 of Goel and Mehrook [2].

THEOREM 2. For $f \in C[A, B; C, D]$, $|z| \leq r < 1$,

$$|\arg f'(z)| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{C-D}{D} \arcsin(Dr) + \arcsin \frac{(A-B)r}{1-ABr^2} & , D \neq 0 \\ \arcsin(Cr) + \arcsin \frac{(A-B)r}{1-ABr^2} & , D = 0. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. From (2.1) we have

$$|\arg f'(z)| \leq |\arg g'(z)| + |\arg p(z)|. \quad (2.5)$$

Since $zg' \in \mathcal{A}^*[C, D]$, we know [2] that for $|z| \leq r < 1$

$$|\arg g'(z)| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{C-D}{D} \arcsin(Dr) & , D \neq 0 \\ Cr & , D = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

For $p \in \mathcal{P}[A, B]$, $p(|z| < r)$ is contained in the disk

$$\left| w - \frac{1-ABr^2}{1-Br^2} \right| < \frac{(A-B)r}{1-B^2r^2} \text{ from which it follows that}$$

$$|\arg p(z)| \leq \arcsin \frac{(A-B)r}{1-ABr^2}. \quad (2.7)$$

Substituting (2.6) and (2.7) into (2.5) gives the result.

REMARKS 1. For $A = 1$, $B = -1$, Theorem 2 agrees with Theorem 4 of Goel and Mehrotra [2].

2. For $A = C = 1$, $B = D = -1$, Theorem 2 reduces to the result of Krzyz [7] that

$$|\arg f'(z)| \leq 2(\arcsin r + \arctan r), \quad |z| \leq r < 1.$$

The convolution or Hadamard product of two power series

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \text{ and } g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n \text{ is defined as the power series}$$

$$(f * g)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n. \text{ In order to obtain a subordination result}$$

linking $C[A, B; C, D]$ and $\mathcal{P}[A, B]$ we need the following

LEMMA A (Ruscheweyh and Sheil-Small, [11]). Let

ϕ and ψ be convex in U and suppose $f < \psi$. Then $\phi * f < \phi * \psi$.

THEOREM 3. If $f \in C[A, B; C, D]$ then there exists $p \in \mathcal{P}[A, B]$ such that for all s and t with $|s| \leq 1$, $|t| \leq 1$,

$$\frac{f'(sz)p(tz)}{f'(tz)p(sz)} < \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1+Dsz}{1+Dtz}\right)^{(C-D)/D} & , D \neq 0 \\ \exp[C(s-t)z] & , D = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

PROOF. We will use an approach due to Ruscheweyh [10]. From (2.1)

$$\text{we have } \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} = \frac{zg''(z)}{g'(z)} + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \text{ for } g \in \mathcal{K}[C, D] \text{ and } p \in \mathcal{P}[A, B].$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} = \left(1 + \frac{zg''(z)}{g'(z)}\right) - 1 < \frac{(C-D)z}{1+Dz}. \quad (2.9)$$

For s and t such that $|s| \leq 1$, $|t| \leq 1$, the function

$$h(z) = \int_0^z \left(\frac{s}{1-su} - \frac{t}{1-tu}\right) du \text{ is convex in } U. \text{ Applying Lemma A to (2.9)}$$

with this h , we have

$$\left(\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)}\right) * h(z) < \frac{(C-D)z}{1+Dz} * h(z). \quad (2.10)$$

Given any function $\ell(z)$ analytic in U with $\ell(0) = 0$, we have

$(\ell * h)(z) = \int_{tz}^{sz} \ell(u) \frac{du}{u}$, $z \in U$, so that (2.10) reduces to

$$\log \left(\frac{f'(sz)p(tz)}{p(sz)f'(tz)} \right) < (C-D) \int_{tz}^{sz} \frac{du}{1 + Du} \tag{2.11}$$

Integrating the righthand side of (2.11) and exponentiating both sides leads to (2.8).

COROLLARY 1. If $f \in C[A,B;C,D]$ then there exists a $p \in \mathcal{P}[A,B]$ and a Schwarz function $w(z)$ such that

$$f'(z) = \begin{cases} p(z)(1 + Dw(z))^{(C-D)/D} & , D \neq 0 \\ p(z)\exp(Cw(z)) & , D = 0. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. The result follows directly upon substituting $s = 1$ and $t = 0$ into Theorem 3.

COROLLARY 2. If $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in C[A,B;C,D]$ then
 $|a_2| \leq \frac{(C-D)+(A-B)}{2}$.

PROOF. If $g < F$ then $|g'(0)| \leq |F'(0)|$ [8]. From Corollary 1, we take $g(z) = f'(z)/p(z)$ and

$$F(z) = \begin{cases} (1+Dz)^{(C-D)/D} & , D \neq 0 \\ \exp(Cz) & , D = 0 \end{cases} .$$

Then $g'(0) = 2a_2 - c_1$ for $p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ and $F'(0) = C - D$.

Therefore $2|a_2| - |c_1| \leq |C-D|$ and $|a_2| \leq \frac{(C-D)+|c_1|}{2} \leq \frac{(C-D)+(A-B)}{2}$ as claimed.

3. INVARIANCE PROPERTIES.

We will need the following lemmas.

LEMMA B (Ruscheweyh and Sheil-Small, [11]). Let φ be convex and g starlike in U . Then for F analytic in U with $F(0) = 1$, $\frac{\varphi * Fg}{\varphi * g}(U)$ is contained in the convex hull of $F(U)$.

LEMMA C (Silverman and Silvia, [12]). If $g \in \mathcal{S}^*[C,D]$ then for $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}$, $\varphi * g \in \mathcal{S}^*[C,D]$.

THEOREM 4. If $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}$, and $f \in C[A,B;C,D]$ then $\varphi * f \in C[A,B;C,D]$.

PROOF. For $f \in C[A,B;C,D]$ there exists $g \in \mathcal{S}^*[C,D]$ and $F \in \mathcal{P}[A,B]$ such that $zf'(z) = g(z)F(z)$. Since $(1+Az)/(1+Bz)$ is convex in U , by Lemma B,

$$\frac{z(\varphi * f)'}{\varphi * g} = \frac{\varphi * Fg}{\varphi * g} < \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \quad (z \in U) \quad (3.1)$$

for $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}$. From Lemma C, $\varphi * g \in \mathcal{S}^*[C,D]$ so that (3.1) is equivalent to $\varphi * f \in C[A,B;C,D]$.

REMARK. For $A = C = 1$, $B = D = -1$, Theorem 4 was proved by Ruscheweyh and Sheil-Small [11].

COROLLARY. If $f \in C[A,B;C,D]$ then so are

$$(i) \quad F_1(z) = \frac{1+\gamma}{z^\gamma} \int_0^z t^{\gamma-1} f(t) dt \quad , \quad \operatorname{Re} \gamma > 0$$

and

$$(ii) \quad F_2(z) = \int_0^z \frac{f(\zeta) - f(x\zeta)}{\zeta - x\zeta} d\zeta, \quad |x| \leq 1, \quad x \neq 1.$$

PROOF. Observe that $F_j(z) = (h_j * f)(z)$, $j = 1, 2$, where $h_1(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+\gamma}{n+\gamma} z^n$, $\text{Re } \gamma > 0$, and $h_2(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1-x^n}{(1-x)^n} z^n = \frac{1}{1-x} \log \left[\frac{1-xz}{1-z} \right]$, $|x| \leq 1$, $x \neq 1$. Since h_1 was shown to be convex, by Ruscheweyh [9] and h_2 is clearly convex, the result follows immediately from Theorem 4.

REMARK. Goel and Mehrok [3] showed that $C[1, -1; C, D]$ was preserved under $F_1(z)$ when $\gamma = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and under $F_2(z)$ when $x = -1$ by a different method.

4. COEFFICIENT INEQUALITIES.

We begin with coefficient inequalities for $\mathcal{K}[C, D]$.

LEMMA. For $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \in \mathcal{K}[C, D]$ and μ complex

$$|b_2| \leq \frac{C-D}{2}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$|b_3 - \mu b_2^2| \leq \frac{C-D}{6} \max\{1, \left| \frac{3}{2} \mu(C-D) - (C-2D) \right|\}.$$

PROOF. For $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \in \mathcal{K}[C, D]$, there exists a Schwarz function $w(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n z^n$ such that $1 + (zg''(z)/g'(z)) = (1+Cw(z))/(1+Dw(z))$ or $zg''(z)/g'(z) = (C-D)w(z)/(1+Dw(z))$. Substitution of the series expansions and comparison of the coefficients leads to

$$b_2 = \frac{C-D}{2} \gamma_1 \quad \text{and} \quad b_3 = \frac{C-D}{6} \{\gamma_2 + (C-2D)\gamma_1^2\}.$$

Therefore, $|b_2| \leq \frac{C-D}{2}$ and

$$b_3 - \mu b_2^2 = \frac{C-D}{6} \{\gamma_2 + [(C-2D) - \frac{3}{2} \mu(C-D)]\gamma_1^2\}. \tag{4.1}$$

We know [6] that for s complex

$$|\gamma_2 - s\gamma_1|^2 \leq \max\{1, |s|\}. \tag{4.2}$$

Combining (4.2) and (4.1) yields the result.

REMARK. If we apply the inequality $|\gamma_2| \leq 1 - |\gamma_1|^2$ [8] to (4.1), the same proof shows that

$$|b_3 - \mu b_2|^2 \leq \frac{C-D}{6} + \frac{2}{3(C-D)} \{ |(C-2D) - \frac{3}{2} \mu(C-D)| - 1 \} |b_2|^2.$$

THEOREM 5. For $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in C[A, B; C, D]$

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{(C-D)+(A-B)}{2} \quad \text{and}$$

$$|a_3| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{C-D}{6} + \frac{(A-B)(C-D+1)}{3} & , \quad |C-2D| \leq 1 \\ \frac{(C-D)(C-2D)}{6} + \frac{(A-B)(C-D+1)}{3} & , \quad |C-2D| > 1. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. There exists a $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \in \mathcal{K}[C, D]$ and a Schwarz function $w(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n z^n$ such that $f'(z)/g'(z) = (1+Aw(z))/(1+Bw(z))$, $z \in U$. Comparing series expansions, we see $a_2 = b_2 + \frac{A-B}{2} \gamma_1$ and

$$a_3 = b_3 + \frac{2}{3} (A-B)b_2\gamma_1 + \frac{(A-B)}{3} (\gamma_2 - B\gamma_1)^2. \tag{4.3}$$

The bound for $|a_2|$ follows from the Lemma. Applying (4.2) and the Lemma ($\mu = 0$) to (4.3), we have

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{C-D}{6} \max\{1, |C-2D|\} + \frac{(A-B)(C-D)}{3} + \frac{(A-B)}{3} \max\{1, |B|\}$$

$$= \frac{C-D}{6} \max\{1, |C-2D|\} + \frac{(A-B)(C-D+1)}{3} .$$

REFERENCES

1. Goel, R. M. and Mehrok, B. S., On the coefficients of a subclass of starlike functions. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 12(1981), 634-647.
2. Goel, R. M. and Mehrok, B. S., On a class of close-to-convex functions. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 12(1981), 648-658.
3. Goel, R. M. and Mehrok, B. S., Some invariance properties of a subclass of close-to-convex functions. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 12(1981), 1240-1249.
4. Janowski, W., Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions. Ann. Polon. Math., 28(1973), 297-326.
5. Kaplan, W., Close-to-convex schlicht functions. Mich. Math. J., 1(1952), 169-185.
6. Keogh, F. R. and Merkes, E. P., A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 20(1969), 8-12.
7. Krzyz, J., On the derivative of close-to-convex functions. Colloq. Math., 10(1963), 143-146.
8. Nehari, Z., Conformal Mapping. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1952.
9. Ruscheweyh, St., New criteria for univalent functions. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 49(1975), 109-115.
10. Ruscheweyh, St., A subordination theorem for ξ -like functions. J. London Math. Soc., 13(1976), 275-280.
11. Ruscheweyh, St. and Sheil-Small, T., Hadamard products of schlicht functions and the Polyà-Schoenberg conjecture. Comm. Math. Helv. 48(1973), 119-135.
12. Silverman, H. and Silvia, E. M., Subclasses of starlike functions subordinate to convex functions (submitted).

Special Issue on Time-Dependent Billiards

Call for Papers

This subject has been extensively studied in the past years for one-, two-, and three-dimensional space. Additionally, such dynamical systems can exhibit a very important and still unexplained phenomenon, called as the Fermi acceleration phenomenon. Basically, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration (FA) is a process in which a classical particle can acquire unbounded energy from collisions with a heavy moving wall. This phenomenon was originally proposed by Enrico Fermi in 1949 as a possible explanation of the origin of the large energies of the cosmic particles. His original model was then modified and considered under different approaches and using many versions. Moreover, applications of FA have been of a large broad interest in many different fields of science including plasma physics, astrophysics, atomic physics, optics, and time-dependent billiard problems and they are useful for controlling chaos in Engineering and dynamical systems exhibiting chaos (both conservative and dissipative chaos).

We intend to publish in this special issue papers reporting research on time-dependent billiards. The topic includes both conservative and dissipative dynamics. Papers discussing dynamical properties, statistical and mathematical results, stability investigation of the phase space structure, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration, conditions for having suppression of Fermi acceleration, and computational and numerical methods for exploring these structures and applications are welcome.

To be acceptable for publication in the special issue of Mathematical Problems in Engineering, papers must make significant, original, and correct contributions to one or more of the topics above mentioned. Mathematical papers regarding the topics above are also welcome.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	March 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	June 1, 2009
Publication Date	September 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Edson Denis Leonel, Department of Statistics, Applied Mathematics and Computing, Institute of Geosciences and Exact Sciences, State University of São Paulo at Rio Claro, Avenida 24A, 1515 Bela Vista, 13506-700 Rio Claro, SP, Brazil; edleonel@rc.unesp.br

Alexander Loskutov, Physics Faculty, Moscow State University, Vorob'evy Gory, Moscow 119992, Russia; loskutov@chaos.phys.msu.ru