

OSCILLATION CRITERIA FOR CERTAIN NONLINEAR FOURTH ORDER EQUATIONS

W.E. TAYLOR, JR.

Department of Mathematics
Texas Southern University
Houston, Texas 77004

(Received August 30, 1982)

ABSTRACT. This work investigates the behavior of solutions of certain nonlinear fourth order differential equations. An example is given showing that these equations can have both oscillatory and nonoscillatory solutions simultaneously. Finally, several criteria for the existence of oscillatory solutions are established.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. *Nonlinear fourth order equations, oscillatory and nonoscillatory solutions, asymptotic behavior.*

1980 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. 34C10.

1. INTRODUCTION.

This paper is concerned with the differential equations

$$y'''' + p(t)y' + q(t)f(y) = 0 \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$y'''' + p(t)y' + q(t)f(y) = r(t) \quad (1.2)$$

where

- (i) $p(t)$, $p'(t)$, $q(t)$, $r(t)$ are continuous on $[0, \infty)$ and satisfy $p(t) > 0$, $q(t) > 0$ for all $t \geq 0$.
- (ii) f is continuous on $(-\infty, \infty)$, and satisfy $\frac{f(y)}{y} \geq m > 0$ for all $y \neq 0$.
- (iii) $mq(t) - p'(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$.

We will confine ourselves to those solutions y of (1) or (2) which are defined on some half-line $[t_0, \infty)$, $t_0 \geq 0$, and are not identically zero on any subinterval of $[t_0, \infty)$.

Such a solution is termed oscillatory if it has a zero on every half-line $[t_1, \infty)$, $t_1 \geq t_0$ and nonoscillatory otherwise.

The main objective of this work is to investigate the solutions of (1.1) and (1.2) relative to their asymptotic behavior and oscillation properties. An example showing that (1.1) can have both oscillatory and nonoscillatory solutions is given after which the nonoscillatory solutions of (1.2) are examined and several oscillation criteria are derived for (1.2). The techniques used herein are similar to those used by Heidel [1] and Waltman [2] in their investigations of nonlinear third order equations, and also has points of contact with articles by this author [3] and the recent work of Lovelady [2] on nonlinear fourth order equations.

2. MAIN RESULTS.

Consider the functional

$$F[y(t)] = p(t)y^2(t) + 2y(t)y'''(t) - 2y'(t)y''(t)$$

where $y + y(t)$ is a solution of (1.1). Computing $F'[y(t)]$ and making the appropriate substitutions we find that

$$\begin{aligned} F'[y(t)] &= -2y''^2(t) - 2q(t)y(t) f(y(t)) + p'(t)y^2(t) \\ &\leq -2y''^2(t) - (2mq(t) - p'(t))y^2(t) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $F[y(t)]$ is decreasing on $[0, \infty)$ since y is not identically zero on any half-line.

No solution of (1.1) can have more than one multiple zero since this would imply F could be zero at two points, contradicting the decreasing nature of F .

THEOREM 1. Let $y(t)$ be a solution of (1.1). If $F[y(t)] > 0$ on $[0, \infty)$, then

$$(1) \quad \int_a^{\infty} y''^2(t) dt < \infty$$

and

$$(11) \quad \int_a^{\infty} (2mq(t) - p'(t))y^2(t) dt < \infty.$$

PROOF. Differentiating $F[y(t)]$ and integrating from a to t , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < F[y(t)] &= F[y(t)] - \int_a^t 2y''^2(s) ds - \int_a^t 2q(s)y(s)f(y(s)) ds + \int_a^t p'(s)y^2(s) ds \\ &\leq F[y(a)] - 2 \int_a^t y''^2(s) ds - \int_a^t (2mq(s) - p'(s))y^2(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

But this implies

$$2 \int_a^t y''^2(s) ds + \int_a^t (2mq(s) - p'(s))y^2(s) ds < F[y(a)]$$

from which the result follows.

COROLLARY. Let $y(t)$ be a solution of (1.1). If $p'(t) \leq 0$ and $F[y(t)] > 0$ for all $t \geq 0$ then

$$(i) \int_a^\infty y''^2(t) dt < \infty, \quad (ii) \int_a^\infty q(t)y^2(t) dt < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad (iii) \int_a^\infty -p'(t)y^2(t) dt < \infty .$$

COROLLARY. Let $y(t)$ be a solution of (1.1). If $F[y(t)] > 0$ and $\liminf [2mp(t) - p'(t)] > 0$. then

$$(i) \int_a^\infty y''^2(t) dt < \infty, \quad (ii) \int_a^\infty y'^2(t) dt < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad (iii) \int_a^\infty y^2(t) dt < \infty .$$

It should be noted that Theorem 1 and its corollaries remain equally valid if it is assumed only that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} F[y(t)] > -\infty$.

THEOREM 2. Suppose $\int_0^\infty p(t) dt = \infty$. If $y(t)$ is a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1),

$F[y(t)] > 0$ on $[0, \infty)$.

PROOF. Suppose without loss of generality that $y(t) > 0$ on $[a, \infty)$ for some $a > 0$ and assume $F[y(t)] < 0$ on $[a, \infty)$. We will show that the latter assumption leads to a contradiction.

Consider the function

$$H(t) = 2 \frac{y''(t)}{y(t)} + \int_a^t p(s) ds .$$

Then

$$H'(t) = \frac{F[y(t)]}{y^2(t)} .$$

Thus it follows that $H(t)$ is decreasing on $[a, \infty)$ and the ratio

$$Q(t) = \frac{y''(t)}{y(t)}$$

must be negative for large t . In fact $Q(t) \rightarrow -\infty$. Thus $y'(t) > 0$ on some half-line $[b, \infty)$,

where $b \geq a$ and $y(t)$ is increasing on $[b, \infty)$.

Let $\beta < 0$ be a number satisfying $Q(t) < \beta$ on $[b, \infty)$. Then

$$y''(t) < \beta y(t) < \gamma < 0 \tag{2.1}$$

for some $\gamma < 0$. Such a γ exists because $y(t)$ is increasing. But (2.1) implies $y'(t) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ which is clearly impossible and the result follows.

COROLLARY. Suppose $y(t)$ is a solution of (1.1) for which $F[y(t)] < 0$ on some half-line $[a, \infty)$. Then $y(t)$ is oscillatory. In particular, any solution having a multiple zero is oscillatory.

EXAMPLE. Consider the equation

$$y'''' + (2 + e^{-2t})y' + y^3 + y = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

The function $y(t) = e^{-t}$ is a solution of (2.2). It follows from the corollary that (2.2) also has oscillatory solutions, e.g., and nontrivial solution satisfying $y(a) = y'(a) = 0$ at some $a > 0$.

THEOREM 3. Suppose $y(t)$ is an oscillatory solution of (1.1) satisfying $F[y(c)] < 0$ for some $c > 0$. Then $y'(t)$ is unbounded.

PROOF. Consider the function

$$N[y(t)] = 2y(t)y''(t) = 2y'^2(t), \quad t \geq c.$$

An easy computation shows that $N'[y(t)] = F[y(t)] - p(t)y^2(t) \leq F[y(t)] \leq F[y(c)] < 0$. Thus $N[y(t)] \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. The result follows by examining $N[y(t)]$ along the zeros of $y''(t)$ which are the extrema of $y'(t)$.

We now turn our attention to the forced nonlinear equation

$$y^{(4)} + p(t)y' + q(t)f(y) = r(t) \tag{2.3}$$

where we assume that p, q, r , and f satisfy conditions (i) and (ii) listed above and the additional hypothesis:

$$(iv) \quad p'(t) < 0 \text{ for all } t \text{ on } [0, \infty) \text{ and } \int_0^\infty \frac{r^2(t)}{p'(t)} dt > -\infty.$$

LEMMA. Suppose y is a solution of (2.3). Then the functional $H[y(t)] = p(t)y^2(t) +$

$$2y(t)y'''(t) - 2y'(t)y''(t) - \int_t^\infty \frac{r^2(s)}{p'(s)} ds \text{ is nonincreasing.}$$

PROOF. Taking the derivative and making the appropriate substitution for $y^{(4)}(t)$ we find that

$$H'[y(t)] = -2q(t)y(t)f(y(t)) - 2y''^2(t) + p'(t)[y(t) + \frac{r(t)}{p'(t)}]^2 \leq 0$$

Using the functional $H[y(t)]$ we can now examine the behavior of certain nonoscillatory solutions of (2.3).

THEOREM 4. Suppose $y(t)$ is a nonoscillatory solution of (2.3) such that $H_0 = H[y(t_0)] < 0$ for some t_0 . Then

$$\text{sgn } y(t) = \text{sgn } y'(t) - \text{sgn } y''(t)$$

for all $t \geq t_1 \geq t_0$.

PROOF. Let $y(t)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (2.3) such that $H[y(t_0)] < 0$.

Then it follows from the Lemma that $H[y(t)] < 0$ for all $t \geq t_0$ and

$$p(t)y^2(t) + 2y(t)y'''(t) - 2y'(t)y''(t) < 0, \tag{2.4}$$

for $t \geq t_0$. But (2.4) implies that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{y''(t)}{y(t)} \right) \leq -\frac{1}{2} p(t) < 0,$$

hence $\frac{y''(t)}{y(t)}$ is decreasing and eventually of one sign. Thus $y(t)y''(t)y'(t) \neq 0$ for all t on some half-line $[t_1, \infty)$. Assuming without loss of generality that $y(t) > 0$ on $[t_1, \infty)$, the following cases must be considered:

- (a) $y(t) > 0, y'(t) > 0, y''(t) > 0$
- (b) $y(t) > 0, y'(t) > 0, y''(t) < 0$
- (c) $y(t) > 0, y'(t) < 0, y''(t) > 0$
- (d) $y(t) > 0, y'(t) < 0, y''(t) < 0$

Case (d) is clearly impossible. So let us suppose that (c) holds. Then

$$p(t)y^2(t) + 2y(t)y'''(t) - 2y'(t) < H_0$$

for all $t \geq t_1$ and we conclude that $y(t)y'''(t) < \frac{1}{2} H_0$ on $[t_1, \infty)$.

Since $y(t)$ is decreasing it is easy to see that $y'''(t) < \frac{H_0}{2y(t_1)}$, which implies that

$y''(t) \rightarrow -\infty$, a contradiction. So (c) is impossible. Similarly, (b) is impossible and the result follows.

Our oscillation criteria is based on theorem 4.

THEOREM 5. Suppose $\int_0^{\infty} q(t)dt = \infty$, $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_1}^t r(s)ds < \infty$ and $f(y)$ is nondecreasing.

Then any solution $y(t)$ of (1.2) satisfying $H[y(t_0)] < 0$, for some t_0 is oscillatory.

PROOF. Let $y(t)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (1.2) which satisfies $H[y(t_0)] < 0$, for some t_0 . Then according to our Theorem, there exists $t_1 \geq t_0$ such that $\text{sgn } y(t) = \text{sgn } y'(t) = \text{sgn } y''(t)$ for all $t \geq t_1$. Assume without loss of generality that $y(t) > 0$ on $[t_1, \infty]$. Then from (1.2) we have

$$y^{(4)}(t) \leq r(t) - f(y(t))q(t).$$

Integrating from t_1 to t we get

$$y'''(t) \leq \int_{t_1}^t r(s)ds - f(y(t_1)) \int_{t_1}^t q(s)ds + y'''(t_1). \quad (2.5)$$

But based on the boundedness of $\int_{t_1}^t r(s)ds$, (2.5) implies $y'''(t) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ but this

would force $y(t)$ to eventually become negative, a contradiction. Hence (1.2) cannot have a nonoscillatory solution $y(t)$ satisfying $H[y(t_0)] < 0$, for some t_0 , and the proof is complete.

THEOREM 6. Suppose $\int_0^{\infty} tq(t)dt = \infty$ and $\int_0^{\infty} |r(t)|dt < \infty$. Then any solution of (1.2)

satisfying $H[y(t_0)] < 0$, for some t_0 is oscillatory.

PROOF. Suppose that $y(t)$ is a positive nonoscillatory solution of (1.2) with $H[y(t_0)] < 0$, for some t_0 . Since $\frac{f(y)}{y} \geq m$ and $y'(t) > 0$ on some half-line $[t_1, \infty)$ it follows that

$$y'''(t) \leq y'''(t_1) + \int_{t_1}^t r(s)ds - m \int_{t_1}^t q(s)y(s)ds. \quad (2.6)$$

Since $y'(t)$ is increasing it is easily verified that

$$y(t) > y'(t_1)(t - t_1) \quad \text{for all } t \geq t_1. \tag{2.7}$$

Inequality (2.7) together with (2.6) shows that

$$y'''(t) \leq y'''(t_1) + \left| \int_{t_1}^t r(s)ds \right| - my'(t_1) \int_{t_1}^t (s - t_1)q(s)ds$$

which implies $y'''(t) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, a contradiction.

This completes the proof of our Theorem.

Finally we have

THEOREM 7. Suppose $\int_0^\infty p(t)dt = \infty$ and $y(t)$ is a solution of (1.2) such that $H[y(t_0)] < 0$,

for some t_0 , then $y(t)$ is oscillatory.

We omit the proof of Theorem 7 because of its similarity to the above proofs.

It appears from the above Theorems that any condition that implies oscillation in (1.2) also implies oscillation in (1.1), thus it is natural to ask whether the oscillation of (1.2) implies the oscillation of (1.1). We shall leave this as an open question although the "feeling" that one gets from linear examples is that the answer is probably a negative one.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. This research was partially supported by Texas Southern University Faculty Research grant #16578.

REFERENCES

1. HEIDEL, J.W. Qualitative Behavior of Solutions of a Third Order Nonlinear Differential Equation, Pacific J. Math. 27 (1968), 507-526.
2. WALTMAN, P. Oscillation Criteria for Third Order Nonlinear Differential Equations, Pacific J. Math. 18 (1966), 385-388.
3. TAYLOR, W.E., JR. Asymptotic Behavior of Solutions of Fourth Order Nonlinear Differential Equations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 1 (1977), 70-72.
4. LOVELADY, D.L. An Oscillation Criterion for a Fourth Order Integrally Superlinear Differential Equation, Atti. Acad. Naz. Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Natur. 58 (1975), 532-536.

Special Issue on Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from “Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations,” allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	February 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	May 1, 2009
Publication Date	August 1, 2009

Guest Editors

José Roberto Castilho Piqueira, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; piqueira@lac.usp.br

Elbert E. Neher Macau, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; elbert@lac.inpe.br

Celso Grebogi, Department of Physics, King’s College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; grebogi@abdn.ac.uk