### TRANSFORMATIONS WHICH PRESERVE CONVEXITY

### **ROBERT A. FONTENOT**

Department of Mathematics Whitman College Walla Walla, Washington 99362

### FRANK PROSCHAN

Department of Statistics Florida State University Tallahassee, Florida 32306

(Received January 7, 1983)

ABSTRACT. Let C be the class of convex nondecreasing functions  $f\colon [0,\infty)\to [0,\infty)$  which satisfy f(0)=0. Marshall and Proschan [1] determine the one-to-one and onto functions  $\psi\colon [0,\infty)\to [0,\infty)$  such that  $g=\psi\circ f\circ \psi^{-1}$  belongs to C whenever f belongs to C. We study several natural models for multivariate extension of the Marshall-Proschan result. We show that these result in essentially a restatement of the original Marshall-Proschan characterization.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Convexity, preservation of convexity, transformations multivariate, permutation matrices.

1980 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. AMS Subject classification: 26B25

### 1. INTRODUCTION.

Let C denote the class of convex, nondecreasing functions  $f: [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$  which satisfy f(0) = 0. A known and useful result is that if  $p \ge 1$ , then

$$g(x) = f^{p}(x^{\frac{1}{p}})$$

belongs to  $\,^{\rm C}$  whenever  $\,^{\rm f}$  belongs to  $\,^{\rm C}$ . There is an interesting geometrical interpretation of the relationship between  $\,^{\rm f}$  and  $\,^{\rm g}$ . Beginning with the equation of the graph of  $\,^{\rm g}$ ,

$$y = f^p(x^{\frac{1}{p}}),$$

one obtains that

$$y^{\frac{1}{p}} = f(x^{\frac{1}{p}})$$

and, thus, that the graph of f is obtained from the graph of g (and vice versa) by applying the <u>same</u> transformation to both of the coordinate axes.

In [1], A. W. Marshall and F. Proschan, motivated by the special case  $\psi(x) = x^p$ , pose and solve the following problem: Determine those one-to-one and onto functions

 $\psi\colon [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$  such that  $g=\psi\circ f\circ \psi^{-1}$  belongs to C whenever f belongs to C. The answer to this question is of interest in several applications. Karlin ([2], pp. 368, 369) uses the answer to the question in obtaining bounds on the survival function  $\overline{F}(x)$  in terms of an exponential survival function. Barlow and Proschan ([3] pp. 110, 111) also use the result in obtaining bounds on the survival function  $\overline{F}$  in terms of  $\overline{G}$ , where  $\overline{G}^{-1}\overline{F}$  is convex. Marshall and Proschan show that if  $\psi$  is continuous at some point, then g belongs to C whenever f belongs to C if and only if  $\psi(x) = cx^p$  for some c > 0 and  $p \ge 1$ .

In this note we study several natural models for multivariate extension of the Marshall-Proschan result. We show that these result in essentially a restatement of the original Marshall-Proschan characterization.

# 2. EXTENSIONS OF MARSHALL-PROSCHAN RESULT

We begin by establishing some notation. Let  $R_n^+$  denote the nonnegative orthant of n-dimensional Euclidean space equipped with coordinatewise ordering, multiplication, and addition. If  $\underline{x}$  and  $\underline{y}$   $R_n^+$ , let  $\underline{x} + \underline{y}$  and  $\underline{x}\underline{y}$  denote the sum and the product, computed coordinatewise, of  $\underline{x}$  and  $\underline{y}$ . Let  $\underline{0}$  and  $\underline{e}$  denote the vectors all of whose entries are 0 and 1, respectively. If  $x > \underline{0}$ , let  $\underline{x}^{-1}$  denote the multiplicative inverse of x. Finally, let  $x^n$  denote the nth power of  $\underline{x}$ .

We now examine several possible extensions of the Marshall-Proschan result. Let C denote the set of those convex functions  $f\colon R_n^+ \to [0,\infty)$  such that  $f(\underline{0})=0$  and f is nondecreasing in each argument. The following question is natural: If  $p\ge 1$ , f belongs to C, and

$$g(\underline{x}) = f^{p}(x_{1}^{\frac{1}{p}}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\frac{1}{p}})$$

for  $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  in  $\mathbb{R}_n^+$ , does g belong to C? The following example shows that the answer is "No".

EXAMPLE 1. Let 
$$n \ge 2$$
 and  $p > 1$ . For  $\underline{x}$  in  $R_n^+$ , let  $f(\underline{x}) = x_1 + x_2$ . Then 
$$g(\underline{x}) = (x_1^{\frac{1}{p}} + x_2^{\frac{1}{p}})^p$$

is not convex since the partial derivative of g with respect to  $x_2$  is decreasing as a function of  $x_2$ , for fixed  $x_1$ . Thus, for fixed  $x_1$ , g is not a convex function of  $x_2$  ([4],Thm.12B). Hence g does not belong to C.

Example 1, the theorem of Marshall and Proschan, and the fact that the present class C contains a copy of the class C of interest to Marshall and Proschan show that, given the present choice of C, there is no point in considering real-valued functions  $\psi(x)$  which operate on each coordinate separately. If we wish to look at such functions  $\psi(x)$ , we must choose C differently. For example, we might let C be the class of functions  $f\colon R_n^+ \to [0,\infty)$  which are convex and nondecreasing in each variable separately and which satisfy  $f(\underline{0}) = 0$ ; however, Example 1 shows that this choice is not suitable. Alternatively, we might let C consist of those functions  $f\colon R_n^+ \to [0,\infty)$  which satisfy  $f(\underline{0}) = 0$ , are nondecreasing in each argument, and whose restrictions to rays through the origin are convex functions of one variable. In this case, the C-preserving functions are the same as those in the one-dimensional case; the proof is a trivial and, hence, uninteresting application of the theorem of Marshall and Proschan.

In one way, the result of Example 1 is not surprising: there is an obvious difference between the dimension of the transforming function  $\mathbf{x}^p$  and the argument  $\mathbf{x}$  of the functions to be transformed. Thus we now consider the case where both the convex functions and the transformation function  $\psi$  map  $\mathbf{R}_n^+$  into  $\mathbf{R}_n^+$ . We say that  $\mathbf{f} \colon \mathbf{R}_n^+ \to \mathbf{R}_n^+$  is convex if the inequality

$$\underline{f}(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)\underline{y}) = \lambda \underline{f}(\underline{x}) + (1 - \lambda)\underline{f}(\underline{y})$$

holds for all  $\underline{x}$ ,  $\underline{y} \in R_n^+$  and all  $\lambda \in [0,1]$ . It is immediate that  $\underline{f}$  is convex if and only if each of the n coordinate functions of  $\underline{f}$  is convex in the usual sense. Let C denote the set of convex functions  $\underline{f} \colon R_n^+ \to R_n^+$  which are nondecreasing in each coordinate and satisfy  $\underline{f}(\underline{0}) = \underline{0}$ . We now pose the following problem: Determine those one-to-one and onto functions  $\underline{\psi} \colon R_n^+ \to R_n^+$  which are continuous at some point (or bounded in a neighborhood of some point; the answer is the same) and have the property that

$$f \in C$$
 implies that  $\psi \circ f \circ \psi^{-1} \in C$ . (2.1)

In Example 2 (below) we shall find a necessary condition that (2.1) is satisfied by a function  $\underline{\psi}$  of a certain type. To aid us, we will use the following remark: REMARK 1. Let  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$  be real numbers. Let

$$h(\underline{x}) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} x_{j}^{a_{j}}, \underline{x} > \underline{0}.$$

Suppose that  $a_j > 0$  and  $a_k > 0$  for some integers j and k such that  $j \neq k$ . We claim that h is <u>not</u> convex. To see this, suppose, without loss of generality, that j = 1, k = 2. The first two principal minors of the Hessian matrix, the matrix of second order partial derivatives of h, must be nonnegative if h is convex ([4],Thm. 42F). Thus the inequalities

$$a_1(1 - a_1) \le 0$$

and

$$a_1 a_2 (1 - a_1 - a_2) \ge 0$$

must simultaneously hold if  $\,h\,$  is convex. These inequalities cannot both hold; thus  $\,h\,$  is not convex.

EXAMPLE 2. Let A be a real n × n matrix. Let  $\underline{f}$  be the  $R_n^+$ -valued function with domain  $\underline{x} > \underline{0}$ , each of whose component functions is of the type given in Remark 1 such that the exponents in the kth component function are, in order, the elements of the kth row of A, k = 1,...,n. Represent  $\underline{f}$  as follows:

$$\underline{f}(\underline{x}) = \underline{x}^{A}$$
 for  $x > 0$ .

It is easy and interesting to see that if

$$\underline{g}(\underline{x}) = \underline{x}^{B}$$

for x > 0 and for some real  $n \times n$  matrix B, then

$$\underline{g} \cdot \underline{f}(\underline{x}) = \underline{x}^{BA},$$

where BA is the usual matrix product of B and A. Also  $\underline{f}$  is invertible if and only if A is invertible and, in this case,

$$\underline{\mathbf{f}}^{-1}(\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \underline{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{A}^{-1}}.$$

Let us call a matrix <u>simple</u> if it is invertible and each row contains exactly one nonzero entry. A <u>permutation</u> matrix is a simple matrix such that the nonzero entry in each row is 1.

We will now present a result about non-preservation of convexity. Let A be an  $n \times n$  non-simple invertible matrix and let  $\underline{\psi} \colon \overset{}{R}^+_n \to \overset{}{R}^+_n$  be one-to-one and onto and also satisfy

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{A}}, \ \mathbf{x} > \mathbf{0}. \tag{2.2}$$

An easy argument, which we omit, shows that there exists an  $n \times n$  diagonal matrix P, all of whose diagonal entries are greater than or equal to one, such that the matrix  $Q = APA^{-1}$  has a row with two (strictly) positive entries. Choose such a P and let

$$\underline{f}(\underline{x}) = \underline{x}^{P}, \ \underline{x} \in R_{n}^{+}.$$

It is clear that  $\underline{f}$  belongs to C. On the other hand, by Remark 1, the function  $\underline{\psi} \circ \underline{f} \circ \underline{\psi}^{-1}$  does not belong to C. Thus  $\underline{\psi}$  does not satisfy (2.1).

Suppose that we now consider an arbitrary simple matrix  $\,$  A. Using "test" functions in  $\,$  C of the form

$$\underline{\mathbf{f}}(\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = (\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_1), \ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_2), \ \dots, \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_n)),$$

where g:  $[0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is convex, nondecreasing, and satisfies g(0) = 0 and using the Marshall-Proschan result, it is easy to see that if  $\underline{\psi}$  satisfies (2.1) and (2.2), then A must be a permutation matrix.

## 3. MAIN RESULTS.

THEOREM. Suppose that  $n \ge 2$  and  $\underline{\psi} \colon R_n^+ \to R_n^+$  is one-to-one, onto, and continuous at some point. Then  $\psi$  satisfies (2.1), if and only if

$$\underline{\psi}(x) = \underline{c}\underline{x}^{B} \tag{3.1}$$

for  $\underline{x}$  in  $R_n^+$ , some vector  $\underline{c} > \underline{0}$ , and some permutation matrix B. PROOF. If  $\underline{\psi}$  satisfies (3.1) for some vector  $\underline{c} > \underline{0}$  and some permutation matrix A, then  $\underline{\psi}$  clearly satisfies (2.1).

Suppose that  $\underline{\psi}$  satisfies (2.1). We shall derive a functional equation which is satisfied by  $\underline{\psi}$ . Motivated by the proof in [1] and consideration of invertible linear functions in C, we ask the following question: If  $\underline{g}$  is one-to-one, onto, and  $\underline{g}$  and  $\underline{g}^{-1}$  both belong to C, what must be true of  $\underline{g}$ ? It is easy to see that the equations

$$\underline{g}(\lambda \underline{x} + \underline{y}) = \lambda \underline{g}(\underline{x}) + \underline{g}(\underline{y})$$

$$\underline{g}^{-1}(\lambda \underline{x} + \underline{y}) = \lambda \underline{g}^{-1}(x) + g(y)$$

must hold for all  $\lambda > 0$  and all  $\underline{x}, \underline{y} \in R_n^+$ . It then follows that  $\underline{g}(\underline{x}) = \underline{x}\Lambda$ ,  $\underline{x}$  in  $R_n^+$ , for some nonnegative simple matrix A.

For any  $\underline{a} > \underline{0}$ , let  $\underline{f}(\underline{x}) = \underline{a}\underline{x}$  and let  $\underline{g} = \underline{\psi} \circ \underline{f} \circ \underline{\psi}^{-1}$ . Since  $\underline{\psi}$  satisfies (2.1) and both  $\underline{f}$  and  $\underline{f}^{-1}$  belong to C, both  $\underline{g}$  and  $\underline{g}^{-1}$  also belong to C. Thus there is a nonnegative simple matrix A such that

$$\underline{\psi} \circ \underline{f} \circ \underline{\psi}^{-1}(\underline{z}) = \underline{z}A, \underline{z} \in R_n^+.$$

Substituting  $\underline{z} = \underline{\psi}(\underline{x})$  and  $\underline{f}(\underline{x}) = \underline{ax}$ , we obtain

$$\underline{\psi}(\underline{ax}) = \underline{\psi}(\underline{x})A, \ \underline{x} \in R_n^+,$$

where A depends on a.

We will now show that A is a diagonal matrix. First, note that since A is simple, there is some vector  $\underline{b} > \underline{0}$  and a linear transformation  $\mathbb{R}$ , depending on  $\underline{a}$ , which permutes coordinates, such that

$$\underline{\psi}(\underline{ax}) = \underline{b}\Pi(\underline{\psi}(\underline{x})), \ \underline{x} \in R_n^+. \tag{3.2}$$

Note that II is multiplicative, that is,

$$\Pi(\underline{xy}) = \Pi(\underline{x})\Pi(\underline{y}), \ \underline{x}, \ \underline{y} \in \mathbb{R}_{n}^{+}. \tag{3.3}$$

Using (3.2) and (3.3), for any positive integer m, we obtain that

$$\underline{\psi}(\underline{a}^{m}\underline{x}) = \underline{c}\Pi^{m}(\underline{\psi}(\underline{x})), \underline{x} \in R_{n}^{+},$$

for some  $\underline{c} > \underline{0}$  which depends on  $\underline{a}$ . Take m = n!. Using elementary group theory and the fact that the linear transformations on  $R_n$  of permutation type form a group of order m, we have that  $\Pi^m$  is the identity transformation. Thus

$$\underline{\psi}(\underline{a}^{m}\underline{x}) = \underline{c}\underline{\psi}(\underline{x}), \underline{x} \in R_{n}^{+}.$$

Replacing  $\underline{a}^{m}$  by  $\underline{a}$ , we may write

$$\underline{\psi}(\underline{ax}) = \underline{c\psi}(\underline{x}), \ \underline{x} \in R_n^+, \tag{3.4}$$

for all  $\underline{a} > \underline{0}$  and some  $\underline{c} > \underline{0}$  which depends on  $\underline{a}$ .

Our next step is to express  $\underline{c}$  in (3.4) in terms of  $\underline{\psi}$ . We claim that if  $\underline{z} \nmid \underline{0}$ , then  $\underline{\psi}(\underline{z}) \nmid \underline{0}$ . Suppose that  $z_i = 0$  for some  $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $\underline{a} > \underline{0}$  and b > 0 be such that  $a_i \neq b_i$  and  $a_j = b_j$ ,  $j \neq i$ . By (3.4) there exist  $\underline{c} > \underline{0}$  and  $\underline{d} > \underline{0}$  such that

$$\psi(\underline{ax}) = \underline{c}\psi(\underline{x})$$

and

$$\underline{\psi}(\underline{b}\underline{x}) = \underline{d}\underline{\psi}(\underline{x}) \tag{3.5}$$

for all  $\underline{x}$  in  $R_n^+$ . Suppose that  $\underline{\psi}(\underline{z}) > \underline{0}$ . Since  $\underline{az} = \underline{bz}$  and  $\underline{\psi}(\underline{z})$  has a multiplicative inverse, it follows from (3.5) that  $\underline{c} = \underline{d}$ . Using (3.5) again with  $\underline{x} = \underline{e}$ , we obtain  $\underline{\psi}(\underline{a}) = \underline{\psi}(\underline{b})$ , which contradicts the fact that  $\underline{\psi}$  is one-to-one. Thus our claim is established. Furthermore, since  $\underline{\psi}^{-1}$  also satisfies (3.4) with

 $\underline{a}$  and  $\underline{c}$  interchanged, it follows that if  $\underline{z} > \underline{0}$ , then  $\underline{\psi}(\underline{z}) > \underline{0}$ . In particular,  $\underline{\psi}(\underline{e}) > 0$  and  $(\underline{\psi}(\underline{e}))^{-1}$  exists.

Let  $\underline{\phi}(\underline{x}) = \underline{\psi}(\underline{x})(\underline{\psi}(\underline{e}))^{-1}$ . It is clear that (3.4) is equivalent to the functional equation

$$\underline{\phi(\underline{a}\underline{x})} = \underline{\phi(\underline{a})}\underline{\phi(\underline{x})}, \ \underline{a} > \underline{0}, \ \underline{x} \in R_n^+.$$
 (3.6)

Using (3.6) and the result in the previous paragraph, we obtain  $\phi(\underline{0}) = \underline{0}$ . Considering (3.6) for  $\underline{a} > \underline{0}$  and  $\underline{x} > \underline{0}$  and using exponential and logarithmic functions coordinatewise as appropriate, we transform (3.6) into a functional equation of the type

$$\underline{\beta}(\underline{y} + \underline{z}) = \underline{\beta}(\underline{y}) + \underline{\beta}(\underline{z}), \ \underline{y}, \ \underline{z} \in R_n.$$

Note that  $\underline{\beta}$  is bounded on some open set in  $R_n$ . The solution of this equation [5] is

$$\underline{\beta}(\underline{z}) = \underline{z}C, \ \underline{z} \in R_n,$$

for some real matrix  $\,$  C. Transforming and letting  $\,$  A  $\,$  denote the transpose of  $\,$  C, we get

$$\underline{\phi(\underline{x})} = \underline{x}^{A}, \ \underline{x} > 0. \tag{3.7}$$

Using Example 2 and the fact that  $\phi$  satisfies (2.1), we have that A is a permutation matrix.

To finish the proof, we must show that (3.7) holds for all  $\underline{x}$  in  $R_n^+$ . Let

$$\Pi(\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \underline{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{A}^{-1}}, \ \underline{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{n}}$$

Note that  $\Pi$  is a linear transformation, that  $\underline{\alpha}=\Pi\circ\underline{\phi}$  satisfies (2.1), that  $\alpha(\underline{0})=\underline{0}$ , and that

$$\underline{\alpha}(\underline{x}) = \underline{x}, \ \underline{x} > \underline{0}. \tag{3.8}$$

To complete the argument, we require the following result, whose proof is left to the reader: If  $g: R_n^+ \to R_n^+$  is convex and nondecreasing then g is <u>continuous from above</u> at every point  $\underline{x}$ , that is, for every sequence  $(\underline{x}_n)$  of points such that  $\underline{x}_n \ge \underline{x}$ , for all n, and  $\underline{x}_n \to \underline{x}$ ,  $g(\underline{x}_n) \to \underline{g}(\underline{x})$ . Choose  $\underline{f}$  in C such that  $\underline{f}$  is one-to-one and  $\underline{x} \ne \underline{0}$  implies  $\underline{f}(x) > \underline{0}$ ; for example, take  $\underline{f}(\underline{x}) = \underline{x}\underline{B}$  where  $\underline{B}$  is an invertible  $\underline{n} \times \underline{n}$  matrix all of whose entries are positive.

Using (3.8) and the result about continuity from above, we obtain that

$$\underline{\alpha} \circ \underline{f} \circ \underline{\alpha}^{-1}(\underline{x}) = \underline{x} \text{ for all } \underline{x} \in R_n^+.$$

By the choice of  $\underline{f}$ ,  $\underline{f}(\underline{\alpha}^{-1}(\underline{x})) = \underline{f}(\underline{x})$  holds for  $\underline{x} \neq \underline{0}$ . Thus  $\underline{\alpha}^{-1}(\underline{x}) = \underline{x}$  and hence  $\underline{\alpha}(\underline{x}) = \underline{x}$  holds for all  $\underline{x}$  in  $R_n^+$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. Research was performed while the first author was visiting the Department of Statistics, Florida State University during the academic year 1981-82.

Resear h was performed under the support of the Air Force Office of Scientilia Research under contract AFOSR-82-K-0007.

### REFERENCES

- MARSHALL, A. W., and PROSCHAN, F., Convexity preserving scale transformations, <u>Inequalities</u>, Vol. III, Academic Press, New York, 1972, pp. 225-234.
- KARLIN, S., <u>Total Positivity</u>, Vol. I., Stanford University Press, Stanford, Calif., 1968.
- 3. BARLOW, R. E., and PROSCHAN, F., Statistical Theory of Reliability and Life

  Testing: Probability Problems, Second Printing, To Begin With, Silver Spring,
  MD, 1981.
- 4. ROBERTS, A. W., and VARBERG, D. E., Convex Functions, Academic Press, New York, 1973.
- 5. ACZEL, J., <u>Lectures on Functional Equations and their Applications</u>, Academic Press, New York, 1966.