

AN EXTENSION OF A RESULT OF CSISZAR

P. B. CERRITO

Department of Mathematics
University of South Florida
Tampa, Florida 33620

(Received July 22, 1985)

ABSTRACT. We extend the results of Csiszar (Z. Wahr. 5(1966) 279-295) to a topological semigroup S . Let μ be a measure defined on S . We consider the value of $\alpha = \sup_K \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in S} \mu^n(Kx^{-1})$. First, we show that the value of α is either zero or one. If $\alpha = 1$, we show that there exists a sequence of elements $\{a_n\}$ in S such that $\mu^n * \delta_{a_n}$ converges vaguely to a probability measure where δ denotes point mass. In particular, we apply the results to inverse and matrix semigroups.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Topological semigroup. Infinite convolutions.

PRIMARY CLASSIFICATION. 60F17

1. INTRODUCTION.

Csiszar [1] proved the following result concerning a regular probability measure μ on a locally compact, second countable, Hausdorff group G : Either $\sup_K \mu^n(Kx^{-1}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all compact sets K , where μ^n denotes the n -fold convolution of μ , or there exists a sequence of elements $\{a_n\}$ such that $\mu^n * \delta_{a_n}$ converges vaguely to a probability measure where δ_{a_n} denotes point mass at a_n .

We will extend this result to probability measures defined on certain types of locally compact, second countable, Hausdorff semigroups which satisfy condition (c): If A and B are compact then so are AB^{-1} and $A^{-1}B$ where

$$AB^{-1} = \{y: \text{there exists } z \in B \text{ such that } yz \in A\}.$$

We will also consider $\mu^n(Kx^{-1})$ when μ is defined on a semigroup S of $m \times m$ matrices. A matrix semigroup does not necessarily satisfy condition (c).

To each regular probability measure μ on a semigroup S we associate the value $\alpha_0 = \sup_K \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in S} \mu^n(Kx^{-1})$. We first show that $\alpha_0 = 0$ or $\alpha_0 = 1$. If

$\alpha_0 = 0$ then $\mu^n * \delta_{a_n} \rightarrow 0$ vaguely for any sequence of elements $\{a_n\}$ in S . If

$\alpha_0 = 1$ we find $\{a_n\}$ such that $\mu^n * \delta_{a_n}$ converges to a probability measure.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS.

In order to show the main results, we need the following lemma. We omit its proof since it is quite similar to an argument of Csiszar [1].

LEMMA 1. Assume S satisfies condition (c). Let μ_1 be a probability measure such that $\sup \mu_1(Kx^{-1}) \leq \alpha$ for a compact set $K \subset S$. Then there exists a compact set K_2 (depending on μ_1) such that for any other probability measure on S ,

$$\mu_1 * \mu_2(Kx^{-1}) \leq \alpha - \alpha/2(1 - \mu_2(K_2x^{-1})).$$

Define $\alpha_n(K) = \sup_x \mu^n(Kx^{-1})$. Then if $k < n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^n(Kx^{-1}) &= \int \mu^k(Kx^{-1}y^{-1})\mu^{n-k}(dy) \\ &\leq \alpha_k(K) \int \mu^{n-k}(dy) = \alpha_k(K). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\{\alpha_n(K)\}$ is a nonincreasing sequence. Define $\alpha(K) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n(K)$

and $\alpha_0 = \sup_K \alpha(K)$.

THEOREM 1. If S satisfies condition (c) then either $\alpha_0 = 0$ or $\alpha_0 = 1$.

PROOF. Suppose $0 < \alpha_0 < 1$. Then there exists an α such that $0 < \alpha(1+\alpha)/2 < \alpha_0 < \alpha < 1$. For any compact set K there exists a $k(K)$ such that $\sup \mu^k(Kx^{-1}) < \alpha$. Applying Lemma 1 to $\mu_1 = \mu^k$ and $\mu_2 = \mu^{n-k}$ yields the fact that for some K_2 ,

$$\mu^n(Kx^{-1}) \leq \alpha - \alpha/2(1 - \mu^{n-k}(K_2x^{-1})).$$

If n is sufficiently large, $\mu^{n-k}(K_2x^{-1}) < \alpha$ for all x since

$$\sup \mu^{n-k}(K_2x^{-1}) < \alpha(K_2) \leq \alpha_0 < \alpha.$$

But then $\mu^n(Kx^{-1}) \leq \alpha - \alpha/2(1-\alpha) = \alpha(1+\alpha)/2$.

Therefore $\alpha(K) \leq \alpha(1+\alpha)/2$. Since K is arbitrary we have a contradiction. We conclude that $\alpha_0 = 0$ or $\alpha_0 = 1$.

QED

Before proceeding we present an example. Let $S = [0, \infty)$ with the usual topology. Define multiplication by $r \cdot s = \max(r, s)$. Let $K = [0, n]$ be a compact subset of S . Then

$$Kx^{-1} = \begin{cases} 0 & x > n \\ K & x \leq n \end{cases} \text{ and } \mu^n(Kx^{-1}) = \begin{cases} 0 & x > n \\ \mu(K)^n & x \leq n. \end{cases}$$

Therefore if μ has compact support then $\alpha_0 = 1$. Otherwise, $\alpha_0 = 0$.

3. MATRIX SEMIGROUPS

Let S be the set of all $m \times m$ matrices with probability measure μ defined on S such that the support of μ generates a subsemigroup S_μ of S . We assume S has the usual topology. Define $G = \{X \in S : X \text{ is nonsingular}\}$. Then G forms a subgroup of S . We want to consider the subgroup G_μ of G generated by the set $S_\mu \cap G$. We consider the case where G_μ is locally compact. Then G_μ becomes a topological subgroup of S . If $\mu(G) = 1$ then we need only apply Csiszar [1] to show that $\alpha_0 = 0$ or $\alpha_0 = 1$. Therefore we assume $0 < \mu(G) < 1$. Define a measure μ' on G such that

$$\mu'(B) = \mu(B \cap G) / \mu(G) \text{ for } B \subset S.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } (\mu')^2(B) &= \int_S \mu'(Bx^{-1}) \mu'(dx) \\ &= \int_G \mu(Bx^{-1} \cap G) / \mu(G) \mu'(dx) \\ &= 1/\mu(G) \int_G \mu(Bx^{-1} \cap G) / \mu(G) \mu(dx) \end{aligned}$$

Now $Bx^{-1} \cap G = \{y \in G : yx \in B\} = \{y \in S : yx \in B \cap G\}$ if $x \in G$. Therefore,

$$(\mu')^2(B) = 1/\mu(G)^2 \int_G \mu((B \cap G)x^{-1}) \mu(dx).$$

If $x \notin G$ then $(B \cap G)x^{-1} = 0$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu')^2(B) &= 1/\mu(G)^2 \int_S \mu((B \cap G)x^{-1}) \mu(dx) \\ &= \mu^2(B \cap G) / \mu(G)^2. \end{aligned}$$

By an induction argument,

$$(\mu')^n(B) = \mu^n(B \cap G) / \mu(G)^n.$$

Define the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_g &= \sup_{K \subset G} \lim_n \sup_{x \in G} (\mu')^n(Kx^{-1}) \\ &= \sup_{K \subset G} \lim_n \sup_{x \in S} (\mu')^n(Kx^{-1}) \\ &= \sup_{K \subset G} \lim_n [\sup_{x \in S} \mu^n(Kx^{-1})] / \mu(G)^n.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mu(G) < 1$, $\mu(G)^n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By Csiszar's result [1] for groups, either $\alpha_g = 0$ or $\alpha_g = 1$. However,

$$\lim[\sup \mu^n(Kx^{-1})] / \mu(G)^n < \infty.$$

This is only possible if $\lim \sup \mu^n(Kx^{-1}) = 0$ for any $K \subset G$. Henceforth, we assume that K is a compact set consisting of singular matrices. We will also exclude the zero matrix from our discussion since $0^{-1}0 = S$ reduces the problem to a triviality and it is obvious that $\alpha_0 = 1$. That is, we define

$$\alpha_0 = \sup_{K \subset S} \lim_n \sup_{\substack{x \in S \\ x \neq 0}} \mu^n(Kx^{-1}).$$

We give an example. Suppose S_μ consists of matrices with nonnegative entries such that for any $X \in S_\mu$, every entry in X is contained in the set $[\delta, \infty)$ where $\delta > 1/m$. Then

$$\mu^{n+1}(Kx^{-1}) = \int \cdots \int \mu(K(y_n \cdots y_1 x)^{-1}) \mu(dy_1) \cdots \mu(dy_n)$$

where $K(y_n \cdots y_1 x)^{-1} = \{z \in S : zy_n \cdots y_1 x \in K\}$ and

$$y_n \cdots y_1 x = \begin{bmatrix} w_{11} & \cdots & w_{1m} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ w_{m1} & \cdots & w_{mm} \end{bmatrix}$$

where w_{ij} has minimal value $m^{-1}\delta^n$ for all i and j . Therefore for $Z = (z_{ij}) \in K(y_n \cdots y_1 x)^{-1}$, $m^{-1}\delta^n \sum z_{ij} \in K$ so that as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\sum z_{ij} \rightarrow 0$ for all i . Hence for any compact set K ,

$$\lim_n \mu^n(Kx^{-1}) = 0$$

and $\alpha_0 = 0$. By a similar argument, if every entry of $X \in S_\mu$ is contained in $[0, 1/m)$, then $\alpha_0 = 1$.

In order to state a more general result, it is necessary to define some notation. Let A_k be the diagonal idempotent matrix of rank k . Let $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in S_\mu$. Then

$$y_n \cdots y_1 A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_j w_{1jn} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ \sum_j w_{mjn} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ where } j \in \{1, \dots, m^{n-1}\} \text{ and}$$

w_{ijn} represents the product of n real numbers. We need to consider the distribution of $S_{ni} = \sum_j w_{ijn}$ where $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m^{n-1}\}$. Let F_{ijn} be the

distribution function of the random variable w_{ijn} , $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$;

$j = 1, 2, \dots, m^{n-1}$; $n = 1, 2, \dots$. If we assume independence between the entries in the matrices then we may apply the Lindberg-Feller Theorem [2] to the double array $\{w_{ijn}\}_{jn}$ for every i .

THEOREM 2. Suppose the $\{w_{ijn}\}_{jn}$ defined above satisfy the following conditions for each i :

1. $\sum_j \text{Var}(w_{ijn}) = 1$ for every n .
2. $E(w_{ijn}) = 0$ for every j, n .

If $\int y^2 dF_{ijn}(y) \rightarrow 0$ where the integral is taken over the set $|y^2| > \delta$ for each $\delta > 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ then $\alpha_0 = 1$.

PROOF: By the Lindberg-Feller Theorem, S_{ni} converges in distribution to the standard normal for every i . Therefore for n and N sufficiently large, $P(|S_{ni}| \leq N) = 1 - \epsilon$ for all i where $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(X = (x_{ij}): xy_1 \cdots y_n A_1 \in K_k = [-k, k]) \\ = \mu(X: \left| \sum_1 x_{ij} S_{ni} \right| \leq k \text{ for all } j) \\ \geq \mu(X: \left| \sum_1 x_{ij} \right| N \leq k \text{ for all } j) (1 - \epsilon)^m \\ \geq (1 - \epsilon)^m \mu(K_k). \end{aligned}$$

Note that k' depends only on the choice of N and K and not on the choice of n . Therefore as $K_k \uparrow S$ we may also let N increase so it becomes clear that $\alpha_0 = \sup \lim \mu^n(KA_1^{-1}) = 1$. QED

It is clear that conditions (1) and (2) may be relaxed so that $\sum_j \text{Var}(w_{ijn}) < M$ for some M and $E(w_{ijk}) < \infty$ for all j, k .

We present an example. Suppose the support of the measure μ .

$$S_\mu = \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{cccc} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] : x_1 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}. \text{ Then}$$

$$\text{for any } x = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \\ & & 0 & \end{array} \right] \in S_\mu, x \Delta_1 = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} x_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & & & \\ 0 & 0 & & \\ 0 & & & \end{array} \right].$$

Therefore we need only be concerned with the probability distribution of the corner element. Suppose X_{ij} is a random variable such that

$$P(X_{ij} = 1/2) = P(X_{ij} = -1/2) = 1/2 \text{ for all } i, j.$$

Then $E(X_{1j}) = 0$ and $\text{Var}(X_{1j}) = 1/4$. Also for any n , $E(X_{1j}X_{2j}\cdots X_{nj}) = 0$ and $\text{Var}(X_{1j}X_{2j}\cdots X_{nj}) = 1/4$. Define

$$W_{1j1} = 2X_{1j}, \quad j = 1$$

$$W_{1j2} = 2X_{1j}X_{2j}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4$$

$W_{1jn} = 2X_{1j}X_{2j}\cdots X_{nj}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, 4^{n-1}$. Then $\sum \text{Var}(W_{1jn}) = 1$ and $E(W_{1jn}) = 0$. Also $\int y^2 dF_{1jn}(y) = 0$ if n is sufficiently large. By the above theorem, $\alpha_0 = 1$.

4. The Case Where $\alpha_0 = 1$.

If $\alpha_0 = 0$ then for all compact sets K , $\limsup \mu^n(Kx^{-1}) = 0$ so that it is clear that for any sequence $\{a_n\}$, $\mu^n * \delta_{a_n}$ converges vaguely to the zero measure.

Therefore we concentrate on the case where $\alpha_0 = 1$. Let S be a locally compact, second countable, Hausdorff semigroup satisfying condition (c).

LEMMA 2. If $\alpha_0 = 1$ and S is abelian then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that for any $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ there exists a compact set K_α such that $\mu^n(K_\alpha x_n^{-1}) > \alpha$ for all n .

PROOF: For $\alpha = 1/2$ there exists a K_2 such that $\sup_{x \in S} \mu^n(K_2 x^{-1}) > 1/2$ for all n . Therefore there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that $\mu^n(K_2 x_n^{-1}) > 1/2$ for all n . Similarly, for each $\alpha > 1/2$ there exists a K_α and a sequence $\{x_{n\alpha}\}$ such that $\mu^n(K_\alpha x_{n\alpha}^{-1}) > \alpha$. Since $\alpha > 1/2$, the sets $K_2 x_n^{-1}$ and $K_\alpha x_{n\alpha}^{-1}$ cannot be disjoint so there must exist $w \in (K_2 x_n^{-1}) \cap (K_\alpha x_{n\alpha}^{-1})$. This implies that

$x_{na} \in K_\alpha w^{-1} \subset K_\alpha (K_2 x_n^{-1})^{-1}$. Therefore $K_\alpha x_{na}^{-1} \subset K_\alpha [K_\alpha (K_2 x_n^{-1})]^{-1}$. Suppose $y \in K_\alpha x_{na}^{-1}$. Then $y \in K_\alpha [K_\alpha (K_2 x_n^{-1})]^{-1}$ so there exists $z \in K_\alpha (K_2 x_n^{-1})^{-1}$ such that $yz \in K_\alpha$. Also $z \in K_\alpha (K_2 x_n^{-1})^{-1}$ implies there exists $z' \in K_2 x_n^{-1}$ such that $zz' \in K_\alpha$ and $z'x_n \in K_2$. Therefore $(yz)(zz')(z'x_n) \in K_\alpha^2 K_2$. Since S is abelian, $yx_n \in (K_\alpha^2)^{-1} K_\alpha^2 K_2$ and $y \in ((K_\alpha^2)^{-1} K_\alpha^2 K_2 x_n^{-1})$. By redefining K_α to be $(K_\alpha^2)^{-1} K_\alpha^2 K_2$, $\mu^n(K_\alpha x_n^{-1}) > \alpha$ for all n .

QED

If S is a group we can define $\nu_n = \delta_{x_{n-1}^{-1}} * \mu * \delta_{x_n}$ where the x_n 's are

defined in lemma 2. Then we can apply Csiszar [1] to

$$y_k^n = \nu_{k+1} * \nu_{k+2} * \dots * \nu_n = \delta_{x_k^{-1}} * \mu^{n-k} * \delta_{x_n}$$

meaning in a semigroup and the ν_n 's must be defined in some other way.

Suppose S is embeddable in an abelian group G . Then by Lemma 2 there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that for any α there exists a K_α such that $\mu^n(K_\alpha x_n^{-1}) > \alpha$.

We may assume that μ is a measure defined in G with support contained in S . Then $\nu_n = \delta_{x_n^{-1}} * \mu * \delta_{x_n}$ is well defined in G if we let x_0 be the identity element

of G . If we write $(Kx^{-1})_S$ and $(Kx^{-1})_G$ for the respective sets defined in S and G then $(Kx^{-1})_S \subset (Kx^{-1})_G$. However since the support of μ is contained in S ,

$$\mu^n((Kx^{-1})_S) = \mu^n((Kx^{-1})_G).$$

Therefore $\alpha_0 = 1$ with respect to G . Let

$$y_k^n = \nu_{k+1} * \dots * \nu_n. \text{ Then } y_0^n(K_\alpha) = \mu^n(K_\alpha x_n^{-1}) > \alpha \text{ for any } \alpha. \text{ Also, by lemma 1,}$$

$$y_k^n(K_\alpha^{-1} K_\alpha) \geq y_0^n(K_\alpha) + y_0^k(K_\alpha) - 1 \geq 2\alpha - 1. \text{ Therefore it is clear that any limit}$$

point of y_k^n must be a probability measure and Csiszar [1] can be applied to this

sequence. It is also clear that any limit point of y_k^n must have support contained in S and may therefore be considered a measure on S .

Next consider the case where S is an abelian inverse semigroup. S is a semigroup of this type provided for any $x \in S$ there exists a unique $x' \in S$ such that $xx'x = x$ and $x'xx' = x'$. A natural ordering can be defined on the idempotent elements of S : $e \leq f$ provided $ef = fe = e$. If S contains a minimal idempotent e then we can define $\nu_n = \delta_{x_{n-1}} * \mu * \delta_{x_n e}$ with $x_0 = e$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} y_0^n(K_\alpha \cup K_\alpha e) &= \nu_1 * \dots * \nu_n(K_\alpha \cup K_\alpha e) \\ &= \mu^n((K_\alpha \cup K_\alpha e)(x_n e)^{-1}) \\ &\geq \mu^n(K_\alpha x_n^{-1}) > \alpha \text{ for all } n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore all limit points of y_k^n are probability measures.

If S contains a finite number of idempotents, say e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n then the product $e_1 e_2 \dots e_n$ is minimal in S . Therefore Csizsar [1] can be applied to any abelian inverse semigroup with a finite number of idempotents.

Suppose instead that S is an inverse semigroup such that the set of idempotents can be ordered in the following manner: $f_0 > f_1 > f_2 > \dots$. That is, suppose S is an ω -semigroup. Let $x_0 = f_0$ and consider the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined in lemma 4. Given any x_n either

- a. the idempotent $x_j x_j' = e_j > x_n x_n' = e_n$ for all $j > n$ or
- b. there exists some $j > n$ such that $e_j < e_n$.

If there exists some n for which (a) is true then S has a minimal idempotent. If not, there exists a subsequence $x_0, x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots$ such that $e_{i_j} > e_{i_n}$ if $j > n$.

Define $\nu_n = \delta_{x_{i_{n-1}}} * \mu_{x_{i_{n-1}}^{-1} x_{i_n}} * \nu_{x_{i_n} e_{i_n}}$.

THEOREM 3. If $y_k^n = \nu_{k+1} * \dots * \nu_n$ is a sequence of probability measures on S satisfying the hypotheses of Csizsar [1] then there exists a sequence $\{w_n\}$ in S such that for each k , $y_k^n * \delta_{w_n}$ converges vaguely to a probability measure as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

PROOF. By Csizsar [1] there exists a sequence of integers $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_j < \dots$ such that

$$\lim_j y_k^{n_j} = \lambda_k \text{ and } \lim_j \lambda_{n_j} = \lambda_\infty$$

where the limits are defined with respect to the vague topology and λ_k is a probability measure for all $k \leq \infty$. Also λ_∞ is idempotent and $\lambda_k * \lambda_\infty = \lambda_k$ for all k .

The support of any idempotent probability measure is completely simple. Let H denote the support of λ_∞ . Since S is abelian, H is a group. Furthermore, λ_∞ is a Haar measure on H and H is a compact group.

The remainder of the proof, dealing with the choice of a suitable sequence $\{w_n\}$, is quite similar to the argument in Csizsar [4] and will be omitted.

QED

We define $a_n = x_n w_n$ where x_n is defined in lemma 2 and w_n is defined above.

If S is embeddable or an inverse semigroup with a minimal idempotent then $\lim_n y_0^n * \delta_{w_n} = \lim_n \mu^n * \delta_{a_n} = \lambda_0$ which is a probability measure. In the other two cases, the same argument can be applied to an infinite subsequence.

REFERENCES

1. CSISZAR, I. On Infinite Products of Random Elements and Infinite Convolutions of Probability Distributions on Locally Compact Groups, Z. Wahr. verw. Geb. 5, 1966, 279-295.
2. CHUNG, K. L. A Course in Probability Theory, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
3. CLIFFORD, A. H. and PRESTON, G. B. The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups, Vol. I, Mathematical Surveys 7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1961.
4. CENTER, B. and MUKHERJEA, A. More on Limit Theorems for Iterates of Probability Measures on Semigroups and Groups, Z. Wahr. verw. Geb. 46, 1979, 259-275.
5. MUKHERJEA, A. Limit Theorems for Convolution Iterates of a Probability Measure on Completely Simple or Compact Semigroups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 225, 1977, 355-370.
6. YEAGER, D. Imbedding Compact Semigroups in Compact Inverse Semigroups, Semigroup Forum 10, 1975, 76-83.

Special Issue on Space Dynamics

Call for Papers

Space dynamics is a very general title that can accommodate a long list of activities. This kind of research started with the study of the motion of the stars and the planets back to the origin of astronomy, and nowadays it has a large list of topics. It is possible to make a division in two main categories: astronomy and astrodynamics. By astronomy, we can relate topics that deal with the motion of the planets, natural satellites, comets, and so forth. Many important topics of research nowadays are related to those subjects. By astrodynamics, we mean topics related to spaceflight dynamics.

It means topics where a satellite, a rocket, or any kind of man-made object is travelling in space governed by the gravitational forces of celestial bodies and/or forces generated by propulsion systems that are available in those objects. Many topics are related to orbit determination, propagation, and orbital maneuvers related to those spacecrafts. Several other topics that are related to this subject are numerical methods, nonlinear dynamics, chaos, and control.

The main objective of this Special Issue is to publish topics that are under study in one of those lines. The idea is to get the most recent researches and published them in a very short time, so we can give a step in order to help scientists and engineers that work in this field to be aware of actual research. All the published papers have to be peer reviewed, but in a fast and accurate way so that the topics are not outdated by the large speed that the information flows nowadays.

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/guidelines.html>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	July 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	October 1, 2009
Publication Date	January 1, 2010

Lead Guest Editor

Antonio F. Bertachini A. Prado, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil; prado@dem.inpe.br

Guest Editors

Maria Cecilia Zanardi, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Guaratinguetá, 12516-410 São Paulo, Brazil; cecilia@feg.unesp.br

Tadashi Yokoyama, Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP), Rio Claro, 13506-900 São Paulo, Brazil; tadashi@rc.unesp.br

Silvia Maria Giuliatti Winter, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Guaratinguetá, 12516-410 São Paulo, Brazil; silvia@feg.unesp.br