

GENERALIZED RAMSEY NUMBERS FOR PATHS IN 2-CHROMATIC GRAPHS

R. MEENAKSHI

Mathematics Department
The University of Toledo
Toledo, Ohio 43606

and

P.S. SUNDARARAGHAVAN

Computer Systems Department
The University of Toledo
Toledo, Ohio 43606

(Received April 26, 1984 and in revised form January 6, 1985)

ABSTRACT. Chung and Liu have defined the d -chromatic Ramsey number as follows. Let $1 \leq d \leq c$ and let $t = \binom{c}{d}$. Let $1, 2, \dots, t$ be the ordered subsets of d colors chosen from c distinct colors. Let G_1, G_2, \dots, G_t be graphs. The d -chromatic Ramsey number denoted by $r_d^c(G_1, G_2, \dots, G_t)$ is defined as the least number p such that, if the edges of the complete graph K_p are colored in any fashion with c colors, then for some i , the subgraph whose edges are colored in the i th subset of colors contains a G_i . In this paper it is shown that $r_2^3(P_i, P_j, P_k) = [(4k+2j+i-2)/6]$ where $i \leq j \leq k < r(P_i, P_j)$, r_2^3 stands for a generalized Ramsey number on a 2-colored graph and P_i is a path of order i .

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Ramsey Number, Generalized Ramsey Number, d -Chromatic Ramsey number, Colored Graph.

1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES: 05C15, 05C10

1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATION.

Chung and Liu [1] have defined the d -chromatic Ramsey number as follows. Let $1 \leq d \leq c$ and let $t = \binom{c}{d}$. Let $1, 2, \dots, t$ be the ordered subsets of d colors chosen from c distinct colors. Let G_1, G_2, \dots, G_t be graphs. The d -chromatic Ramsey number denoted by $r_d^c(G_1, G_2, \dots, G_t)$ is defined as the least number p such that, if the edges of the complete graph K_p are colored in any fashion with c colors, then for some i , the subgraph whose edges are colored in the i th subset of colors contains a G_i . In this paper the value of $r_2^3(P_i, P_j, P_k)$ is found. Let $P_{i(r,s)}$ and $C_{i(r,s)}$ respectively denote a path or a cycle connecting i nodes whose edges are colored in color r or color s . Let $d_i(x)$ denote the degree of node x in color i . Let $|N_i(x) \cup N_i(y)|$ denote the number of vertices adjacent to x or y in color i , and $r(P_i, P_j)$ be the least number p such that when the edges of the full graph K_p are colored in colors 1 and 2 contains a $P_{i(1)}$ or $P_{j(2)}$. It is assumed throughout that $2 \leq i \leq j \leq k$. Let $[i]$ and $\{i\}$ respectively denote the largest integer less than or equal to i and the smallest integer greater than or equal to i . A colored graph G is a complete graph whose edges are colored in colors 1, 2, or 3. $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ denote the set of nodes and edges of G and E_i is the set of edges in color i .

2. MAIN RESULT.

First a series of Lemmas are presented which is followed by a bounding theorem for $r_2^3(P_i, P_j, P_k)$ and finally, an example shows that the bound is tight.

Lemma 1:

$$r_2^3(P_i, P_j, P_k) = r(P_i, P_j) \text{ when } i \leq 3. \quad (2.1)$$

Proof: It is well known that

$$r(P_i, P_j) = j + [i/2] \text{ when } j \geq i \geq 2. \quad (2.2)$$

In [1] it is shown that

$$r_2^3(G_i, G_j, G_k) \leq r(G_i, G_j) \text{ for } i \leq j \leq k, \quad (2.3)$$

and the equality holds if $k \geq r(G_i, G_j)$.

Lemma 2:

$$r_2^3(P_i, P_j, P_k) \leq [(4k+2j+i-2)/6] \quad (2.4)$$

when $i=4$ and $k < r(P_i, P_j)$.

Proof: From (2.2) and (2.3), $k=j$ and $[(4k+2j+i-2)/6] = j + [(i-2)/6]$. Let $j=4$ and G be a colored K_4 with no $P_4(1,2)$ as a subgraph which implies that \exists a $x \in V(G) \rightarrow d_3(x) \geq 2$.

If y and z are adjacent to x is color 3 then G has a $P_4(1,3)$ or $P_4(2,3)$. Assume that

$$r_2^3(P_4, P_{j-1}, P_{j-1}) = j-1 \quad \text{for all } j > 4. \quad (2.5)$$

Let G be a colored K_j with no $P_4(1,2)$ as a subgraph. If \exists a $x \in V(G) \rightarrow d_3(x) \geq j-3$, then by (2.5) $G-x$ contains a $P_{[j-1]}(1,3)$ or $P_{[j-1]}(2,3)$ and so G contains a $P_j(1,3)$ or $P_j(2,3)$. Hence, let $d(x) \geq 3 \forall x \in N(G)$, which implies that G contains a $P_4(1,2)$, a contradiction. (1,2)

Lemma 3: Let $k \geq 3$ and $j = k - 2[(k+4)/6]$. Then

$$r_2^3(P_j, P_j, P_k) \leq k-1.$$

Proof: Let s be the least non-negative integer $\rightarrow s \equiv k \pmod{6}$.

It is easily shown that

$$r(P_j, P_j) = j + [j/2] - 1 = k - 1 - [s/2]. \quad (2.6)$$

From (2.3) and (2.6) the lemma follows.

Lemma 4: Let $k \geq 3$ and $\ell = k + [(k-2)/6]$. Let G be a colored K_k . If G contains a $C_{[k-1]}(1,2)$, $C_{[k-1]}(1,3)$, or $C_{[k-1]}(2,3)$, then G contains a $P_k(1,2)$, $P_k(1,3)$, or $P_k(2,3)$, respectively.

Proof: Without loss of generality assume that G contains a $C_{[k-1]}(2,3)$ but not $P_k(2,3)$ which implies that the $[(k+4)/6]$ vertices of G not in $C_{[k-1]}(2,3)$ are adjacent in color 1 to C_{k-1} . By Lemma 3, the subgraph generated by nodes of C_{k-1} contains a $P_j(1,2)$ or $P_j(1,3)$ where $j = k - 2[(k+4)/6]$. Without loss of generality assume that $P_j(1,2)$ is present and let x be one of its end vertices. Consider the remaining $2[(k+4)/6] - 1$ vertices of C_{k-1} . Since there exists $[(k+4)/6]$ vertices not in C_{k-1} , but adjacent to every vertex of C_{k-1} in color 1, there exists a path P with $2[(k+4)/6]$ vertices in color 1, vertex disjoint from $P_j(1,2)$ referred above.

This path P has an end vertex adjacent to x in color 1 and hence G contains $P_{k(1,2)}$ as a subgraph.

Theorem 1:

$$r_2^3(P_i, P_j, P_k) \leq [(4k+2j+i-2)/6] \tag{2.7}$$

when $k < j + [i/2] - 1 = r(P_i, P_j)$.

Proof: If $i \leq 4$, the theorem follows from Lemma 2. The rest of the proof is divided into three main cases. Assume that the theorem holds when $i' < i, j' < j$ or $k' < k$ where $i \geq 5$. Define $\ell = [(4k+2j+i-2)/6]$. Let G be a colored K_ℓ .

Case 1: Let $i = j = k$. Without loss of generality let $x_1 \in V(G)$ be \rightarrow

$$n = d_1(x_1) \geq d_i(x) \tag{2.8}$$

For $i = 2, 3$ and $x \in V(G)$ and $2 \leq n$.

Consider $G-x_1$. By the induction hypothesis,

$$r_2^3(P_{i-2}, P_{i-2}, P_i) \leq i-2 + [(i+4)/6]$$

which implies that $G-x_1$ contains a $P_{[i-2](1,2)}$, $P_{[i-2](1,3)}$ or $P_{i(2,3)}$.

Without loss of generality assume that $G-x_1$ has $P_{[i-2](1,2)}$ and denote this path by $P = (y, \dots, z)$.

Case 1.1: Let $(x_1, y) \in E_1$ and $(x_1, z) \in E_3$, since otherwise the proof follows from Lemma 4. If $(x_1, u) \in E-E(P)$ and $(x_1, u) \in E_1$ then G has a $P_{i(1,2)}$. Thus x_1 is adjacent to n vertices of $V(P)$ in color 1. Let $v \neq y$ be $\rightarrow (x_1, v) \in E_1$ and $v \in V(P)$. Let u be adjacent to v in P on the segment from v to y . Let $(y, u) \notin E_3$. Then the existence of cycle $(x_1, y, \dots, u, z, \dots, v, x_1)$, by Lemma 4 implies the existence of $P_{i(1,2)}$ completing the proof.

Suppose we let $(z, u) \in E_3$. Let $f \in V(G)-V(P)$. If $(z, f) \in E_1 \cup E_2$, then G has a $P_{i(1,2)}$ and hence let $(z, f) \in E_3$ for all f . Since $V(G)-V(P) = [(i+4)/6]+1$, $d_3(z) \geq [(i+4)/6]+1+n-1$. Since $d_3(z) \leq n$, $[(i+4)/6] = 0$ contradicting $i \geq 5$.

Case 1.2: Let (x_1, y) and (x_1, z) be in E_3 . Let x_1 be adjacent to n_1 vertices of $V(P)$ and n_2 vertices of $V(G)-V(P)$ in color 1 where $n_1, n_2 \geq 0$. Let $v \in V(P)$ be such that $(x_1, v) \in E_1$. Let u be a vertex adjacent to v on the segment (y, \dots, v) . By an argument similar to that used in Case 1.1, it can be shown that if $(z, u) \notin E_3$ the proof follows from Lemma 3. For the other case, let z be adjacent to at least n_1 vertices of $V(P)$ in color 3. For $w \in V(G)-V(P)$ if $(x, w) \in E_1$ and $(z, w) \in E_1 \cup E_2$ the theorem follows. So z is adjacent to at least n_2 vertices of $V(G)-V(P)$ in color 3. So $d_3(z) \geq n_1 + n_2 + 1$, contradicting (2.8).

Case 2: Let $i = j < k$. If x_1 is $\rightarrow d_1(x_1) \geq d_i(x)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $x \in V(G)$ then $r_2^3(P_{i-2}, P_{i-2}, P_k) \leq \ell-1$ and Case 1 applies. Hence, without loss of generality assume that $d_2(x_2) \geq d_i(x)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $x \in V(G)$. Consider $G-x_2$. By induction hypothesis $r_2^3(P_{i-2}, P_j, P_{k-1}) \leq \ell-1$ and hence $G-x_2$ contains $P_{[i-2](1,2)}$, $P_j(1,3)$ or $P_{[k-1](2,3)}$. If $P_{[i-2](1,2)}$ is present the proof is similar to Case 1. Let $G-x_2$ contain $P_{[k-1](2,3)}$. If z is an end vertex of this path then by arguments similar to Case 1

a contradiction, $d_1(z) = d_2(x_2)$ is derived thus proving the theorem. The theorem again follows if $P_j(1,3)$ is a subgraph of $G-x_2$.

Case 3: Let $i < j \leq k < j+[i/2]-1$. Let $x \in V(G)$ be such that $d_1(x)+d_2(x) \leq d_1(y)+d_2(y)$ for $y \in V(G)$. By induction hypothesis $r_2^3(P_i, P_{j-1}, P_{k-1}) \leq \ell-1$, $G-x$ contains a $P_i(1,2)$, $P_{[j-1]}(1,3)$ or $P_{[k-1]}(2,3)$. The case is not obvious, if one of the latter two paths is present. If $d_1(x)+d_2(x) \leq [j/2]$, then $d_3(x) \geq \{k/2\}$ so that x is adjacent to more than half the vertices of the graph and hence of the path under consideration in color 3. Therefore, G contains a $P_j(1,3)$ or $P_k(2,3)$. If $d_1(x)+d_2(x) \geq \{j/2\}$ and if $\langle E_1 \cup E_2 \rangle$ is connected, it is a standard result that G contains a $P_\ell(1,2)$, $\ell \geq 2\{j/2\}$ and hence G has a $P_i(1,2)$. However, if $\langle E_1 \cup E_2 \rangle$ is disconnected, it contains at least two components, each of which is of order $\{j/2\}$ or greater and hence G contains a $P_j(3)$.

Theorem 2:

$$r_2^3(P_i, P_j, P_k) > [(4k+2j+i-2)/6]-1.$$

Proof: Let $G = K_{\ell-1}$, where $\ell = [(4k+2j+i-2)/6]$. Let X, Y, Z be pairwise disjoint subgraphs of G such that $|X| = \{(2k+j-i-1)/3\}$, $|Y| = \{(2j-2k+i-2)/6\}$ and $|Z| = \{(k-j+i-2)/3\}$. It can be verified that $V(G) = |X| + |Y| + |Z|$. Color the edges of G as follows. Color the edges of X using color 3, edges of Y using color 1, edges of Z using color 2, edges between X and Y using color 1, edges between X and Z using color 2, and edges between Y and Z using color 1. It can be shown that $|X| + |Z| = k-1$ which rules out the existence of $P_k(2,3)$. Similarly $2|Y| + |X| \leq j-1$ ruling out $P_j(1,3)$ and $2|Y|+2|Z|+1 \leq i-1$ ruling out $P_i(1,2)$.

Theorem 3:

$$r_2^3(P_i, P_j, P_k) = [(4k+2j+i-2)/6] \text{ when } k < r(P_i, P_j) = j + \lceil \frac{i}{2} \rceil - 1$$

and

$$r_2^3(P_i, P_j, P_k) = r(P_i, P_j) \text{ when } k \geq r(P_i, P_j) = j + \lceil \frac{i}{2} \rceil - 1.$$

Proof: Follows from (2.3) and Theorems 1 and 2.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. This work is based on the doctoral dissertation of the first author (R. Meenakshi) done at the Memphis State University under the guidance of Professor R. J. Faudree and Professor R. H. Schelp and many thanks are due to them.

REFERENCES

1. CHUNG, K. M. and LIU, C. L., A Generalization of Ramsey Theory for Graphs, Discrete Math., 2 (1978), 117-127.
2. GRENCSEK, L. and GYARFAS, A., On Ramsey Type Problems, Ann. University Sci., Budapest, Eötvös Sect. Math., 10 (1967), 167-170.
3. MEENAKSHI, R., Some Results on d-Chromatic Ramsey Numbers, Ph.D. Dissertation, Memphis State University, Memphis, Tennessee, 1981.

Special Issue on Singular Boundary Value Problems for Ordinary Differential Equations

Call for Papers

The purpose of this special issue is to study singular boundary value problems arising in differential equations and dynamical systems. Survey articles dealing with interactions between different fields, applications, and approaches of boundary value problems and singular problems are welcome.

This Special Issue will focus on any type of singularities that appear in the study of boundary value problems. It includes:

- Theory and methods
- Mathematical Models
- Engineering applications
- Biological applications
- Medical Applications
- Finance applications
- Numerical and simulation applications

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/bvp/guidelines.html>. Authors should follow the Boundary Value Problems manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/bvp/>. Articles published in this Special Issue shall be subject to a reduced Article Processing Charge of €200 per article. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	May 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	August 1, 2009
Publication Date	November 1, 2009

Lead Guest Editor

Juan J. Nieto, Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Facultad de Matemáticas, Universidad de Santiago de

Compostela, Santiago de Compostela 15782, Spain;
juanjose.nieto.roig@usc.es

Guest Editor

Donal O'Regan, Department of Mathematics, National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland;
donal.oregan@nuigalway.ie