## **CERTAIN NEAR-RINGS ARE RINGS, II**

## HOWARD E. BELL

Department of Mathematics Brock University St. Catharines, Ontario, Canada L2S 3A1

(Received August 20, 1984)

ABSTRACT. We investigate distributively-generated near-rings R which satisfy one of the following conditions: (i) for each x, y  $\varepsilon$  R, there exist positive integers m, n for which xy =  $y^m x^n$ ; (ii) for each x, y  $\varepsilon$  R, there exists a positive integer n such that xy =  $(yx)^n$ . Under appropriate additional hypotheses, we prove that R must be a commutative ring.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Commutativity, Distributively-generated near-rings. 1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. 16A76.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND TERMINOLOGY.

Consider the following two properties, either of which is known to imply commutativity for rings R [3, 4]:

- (C<sub>1</sub>) For each x, y  $\in$  R, there exist positive integers n = n(x,y) and m = m(x,y) for which xy = y<sup>m</sup>x<sup>n</sup>.
- (C<sub>2</sub>) For each x, y  $\epsilon$  R, there exists a positive integer n = n(x,y) such that  $xy = (yx)^n$ .

The main purpose of this note is to show that certain distributively-generated (d-g) near-rings R with these properties must be rings. The work may be regarded as a continuation of that in [2], to which paper the reader is referred for basic definitions.

Throughout the paper, the symbols Z and  $Z_+$  will denote the integers and the positive integers respectively. The additive group of the ring R will be denoted by  $R^+$ , its derived subgroup by R', and its center by  $\xi(R)$ . The two-sided annihilator of the subset S of R will be denoted by A(S), and the ideal generated by multiplicative commutators by C(R). Such terms as <u>center</u>, <u>central</u>, <u>commute</u>, and <u>commutator</u>, unless specifically stated to refer to addition, may be assumed to refer to multiplication. The near-ring R will be called <u>strongly-distributively-generated</u> (s-d-g) if it contains a set of distributive elements whose squares generate R<sup>+</sup>.

- The principal results (Theorems 2 and 4) are the following:
- (a) Any s-d-g near-ring with 1 which satisfies  $(C_1)$  is a commutative ring.
- (b) Any d-g near-ring R which satisfies  $(C_2)$  and has  $R^2 = R$  is a commutative ring.

For the proofs, we shall require the classical theorem of Fröhlich [5, p. 93] which asserts that a d-g near-ring is distributive if and only if  $R^2$  is additively commutative. Moreover, we shall assume the easy result that in any d-g near-ring R, the derived subgroup R' of  $R^+$  is an ideal.

2. A COMMUTATIVITY RESULT FOR ARBITRARY d-g (C1)-NEAR-RINGS.

THEOREM 1. Let R be any d-g near-ring satisfying  $(C_1)$ . Then both C(R) and R' are nil ideals. In particular, if R has no non-trivial nil ideals, then R is a commutative ring.

The proof follows from two lemmas.

LEMMA 1. If R is any d-g near-ring satisfying ( $C_1$ ), then R has each of the following properties:

- (a) If a, b  $\varepsilon$  R and ab = 0, then ba = 0 = axb for all x  $\varepsilon$  R.
- (b) All one-sided annihilators are two-sided and are ideals of R.
- (c) Idempotent elements of R are central.
- (d) The set N of nilpotent elements of R forms an ideal.

PROOF. Property (a) follows at once from (C<sub>1</sub>), and (b) follows easily from (a). To establish (c), let e be an idempotent and x  $\varepsilon$  R. Then for some p, q  $\ge$  1, xe =  $e^{p_x q}$  =  $ex^q$  and hence exe =  $ex^q$  = xe; similarly, ex =  $x^r e$  for some r  $\ge$  1, so that exe =  $x^r e$  = ex. Thus ex = xe.

To establish (d), it will suffice to show that N forms an additive subgroup [2, Lemma 1]; and this may be done by proving that for each a, b  $\varepsilon$  N and each positive integer j,  $(a-b)^{j}$  is a finite sum  $\Sigma \pm p_{i}$ , where each  $p_{i}$  is a finite product of elements of R, of which at least j belong to T = {a,b}. To see that this is enough, note that if  $a^{n} = b^{m} = 0$  and if j = n + m - 1, then each of the summands  $p_{i}$  will be zero by (a).

We proceed by induction on j, the case j = l being trivial. Suppose the result holds for j and write

 $(a-b)^{j+1} = (a-b)(a-b)^{j} = (a-b)(\Sigma \pm p_{i}) = \Sigma \pm (a-b)p_{i},$ 

where each  $p_i$  is a product having at least j factors from T; and for each  $p = p_i$  write  $(a-b)p = p^k(a-b)^m = (p^ka-p^kb)(a-b)^{m-1}$ . If m = 1, we are finished; otherwise, express  $(a-b)^{m-1}$  in the form  $\Sigma \pm d_s$  where the  $d_s$  are distributive. Since  $(a-b)p = \Sigma \pm (p^kad_s - p^kbd_s)$  and since each of the products  $p^kad_s$  and  $p^kbd_s$  has at least j + 1 factors from T, the inductive step, and hence the proof of (d), is complete.

In view of (d), the proof of Theorem 1 will be complete once we establish the following lemma.

LEMMA 2. Let R be a d-g near-ring satisfying  $(C_1)$  and having no non-zero nilpotent elements. Then R is a commutative ring.

PROOF. By Lemma 3 of [1], R is a subdirect product of homomorphic images having no zero divisors; thus we assume that R has no zero divisors. Note that if R is multiplicatively commutative, hence distributive, then  $R^2$  is additively commutative; therefore, for all a, b  $\in$  R

 $0 = a^{2} + ab - a^{2} - ab = a(a+b-a-b) = a + b - a - b,$ 

so that  $R^+$  is abelian. Observe also that if e is any non-zero idempotent, the fact that e(x - ex) = (x - xe)e = 0 for all  $x \in R$  shows that e must be a multiplicative identity element.

268

Assume, then, that  $R^+$  is not abelian; hence R is not commutative. Let a and b be elements of R which do not commute; and let m, n, s, t be positive integers, at least one of which is greater than 1, for which  $ab = b^m a^n = a^{ns}b^{mt}$ . If ns = 1, then  $a(b-b^{mt}) = 0$ , and hence  $b^{mt-1}$  is a non-zero idempotent. If ns > 1, then  $ab = a^{ns-1}ab^{mt} = (ab^{mt})^{\vee}$  $(a^{ns-1})^{\vee}$  for appropriate positive integers v, w; and there exists an element c, which is either a or an element of the form ya, such that ab = abc. If follows that c is idempotent, and incidentally that c must have been of the form ya.

So far we have shown that any non-central element a of R either has a left inverse or has the property that for any b not commuting with a,  $b^{k} = 1$  for some positive integer k. Suppose the latter holds, let  $c_{1}$  be an element not commuting with a, and use  $(C_{1})$  to obtain c for which  $ac_{1} = ca$ . It is easily verified that ca does not commute with a, so  $(ca)^{k} = 1$  for some integer k; and in this case also, a has a left inverse.

Now suppose that z is any non-zero central element, and that a is non-central. Then az = za is also non-central, so az has a left inverse, and therefore z has a left inverse. Thus, R is a division near-ring; and since division near-rings have commutative addition, we have contradicted our initial assumption concerning R. Hence,  $R^+$  is abelian and R is a ring. Multiplicative commutativity follows from the result of [3].

3. COMMUTATIVITY OF s-d-g (C1)-NEAR-RINGS WITH 1.

The major theorem of this section is the following:

THEOREM 2. If R is a strongly-distributively-generated near-ring with 1 which has property  $(C_1)$ , then R must be a commutative ring.

Of course, it suffices to prove the theorem under the additional assumption that R is subdirectly irreducible (s-i). The lemmas which follow all treat the subdirectly irreducible case.

LEMMA 3. Let R be a s-i d-g near-ring with 1, in which all idempotents are central. Then 1 is the only non-zero idempotent.

PROOF. If e is any non-zero idempotent, the centrality of e enables us to show that l-e is idempotent as well. Clearly Re  $\underline{c}$  A(l-e); moreover, if x  $\epsilon$  A(l-e), the representation x = x(l-e) + xe shows that x = xe  $\epsilon$  Re. Thus, Re = A(l-e), and similarly R(l-e) = A(e). But it is easy to show that A(x) is an ideal for any central x, so Re and R(l-e) are ideals, which obviously have trivial intersection. The subdirect irreducibility of R therefore forces R(l-e) to be trivial, so that e = l.

LEMMA 4. Let R be a s-i d-g  $(C_1)$ -near-ring with l. Then

(a) if  $x \in R$ , either x commutes with -1 or there exists  $k \in Z_+$  such that  $x^k = 1$ ; (b) for each  $x \in R$ ,  $x^2(-1) = (-1)x^2$ .

PROOF. (a) Suppose  $x(-1) \neq (-1)x$ , and chose k, j, m, n  $\in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that  $x(-1) = (-1)^k x^j = x^{jn} (-1)^{km}$ .

Assume first that jn > l. If km is odd, we have  $x = x^{jn}$ , which implies that  $x^{jn-l}$  is a non-zero idempotent, necessarily equal to l. If km is even, (3.1) yields  $-x = x^{jn}$ , hence  $x = -x^{jn} = x^{jn-l}x^{(-1)} = x^{jn-l}x^{jn}$  and  $x^{2(jn-l)} = l$ .

On the other hand, if jn = 1, then (3.1) yields -x = x(-1) = x. Choose q, s  $\in \mathbb{Z}_+$  with  $(-1)x = x^{S}(-1)^{q}$ . Since x(-1) = x, this implies  $(-1)x = x^{S}$  with s > 1; and we conclude that  $x = (-1)x^{S} = ((-1)x)x^{S-1} = x^{2S-1}$ , so that  $x^{2(S-1)} = 1$ .

(3.1)

(b) It follows from part (a) that zero divisors commute with -1, hence we may assume x is not a zero divisor. Now if  $x(-1) = x^{S}$  for some  $s \in Z_{+}$ , x commutes with x(-1) and consequently x(-1) = (-1)x; therefore, we may assume that  $x(-1) = (-1)x^{S}$  for some  $s \in Z_{+}$ . Thus,  $(-1)x(-1) = x^{S}$ ; and commuting x with (-1)x(-1) gives x(-1)x(-1) = (-1)x(-1)x or  $(-x)^{2} = (-1)(-x)(x)$ . In this equality we may replace x by -x, since x commutes with -1 if and only if -x does; therefore  $x^{2} = (-1)x(-x)$  and  $(-1)x^{2} = x(-x) = -x^{2} = x^{2}(-1)$ .

LEMMA 5. Let R be a s-i d-g  $(C_1)$ -near-ring with l, and let D be the set of zero divisors. Then

- (a) D is an ideal and  $D^+$  is abelian;
- (b)  $R' = C(R) \subset A(D);$

(c) if d  $\epsilon$  D and x does not commute with d, then there exists s  $\epsilon$   $Z_+$  such that xd = dx^S.

PROOF. (a) Let S be the heart of R — that is, the intersection of all non-zero ideals; to show D is an ideal, we show that D = A(S). Clearly A(S)  $\leq$  D; conversely, if d  $\epsilon$  D, A(d) is a non-trivial ideal, hence S  $\leq$  A(d) and d  $\epsilon$  A(S). Therefore D = A(S). Note that by Lemma 4(a), all elements of D commute with -1; thus if d<sub>1</sub>, d<sub>2</sub>  $\epsilon$  D, we have  $-d_1 - d_2 = (-1)(d_1 + d_2) = (d_1 + d_2)(-1) = -d_2 - d_1$ , so D<sup>+</sup> is abelian.

(b) If x,y  $\varepsilon$  R and d  $\varepsilon$  D, then dx and dy are in D; hence dx + dy - dx - dy = 0 = d(x + y - x - y), and R'  $\leq$  A(D). By Fröhlich's theorem and the commutativity of rings satisfying (C<sub>1</sub>), a d-g (C<sub>1</sub>)-near-ring with 1 is additively commutative if and only if it is multiplicatively commutative; and applying this observation to R/C(R) and R/R' gives C(R) = R'.

(c) By part (b) we have d(dy - yd) = 0 — that is,  $d^2y = dyd$  — for all  $y \in R$ ; moreover, since  $yd = dy_1$  for some  $y_1 \in R$ , we get  $yd^2 = dy_1d = d^2y_1 = dyd$  as well. Thus, for all  $d \in D$ , all  $y \in R$  and all integers  $i \ge 2$ , we have  $d^iy = yd^i$ . Suppose that  $d \in D$ and  $x \in R$  are such that  $xd = d^mx^s$  for some m > 1, and choose n, q such that  $dx = x^nd^q$ . Then  $xd = d^mx^s = d^{m-1}(dx)x^{s-1} = d^{m-1}x^nd^qx^{s-1} = d^{m+q-1}x^{n+s-1}$ , and  $dx = x^nd^q = x^{n-1}(xd)d^{q-1}$  $= x^{n-1}d^mx^sd^{q-1} = x^{n+s-1}d^{m+q-1}$ . Since m + q - 1 > 1, we thus have xd = dx, so our proof is finished.

LEMMA 6. Let R be s-i and d-g with 1, and suppose R satisfies (C1). Then 2R' = 0.
PROOF. By Theorem 1, R'⊆ D. By Lemma 4(a), all elements of R' commute with -1;
in particular, for all x, y ∈ R, x + y - x - y + y - x - y + x = x + y - x - y + x
commutes with -1. Taking for x and y a pair r, s of distributive elements and simplifying, we get the result that 2(r + s - r - s) = 0, from which it follows that 2R' = 0.

LEMMA 7. For R a s-i d-g (C<sub>1</sub>)-near-ring with 1, A(2)  $\subseteq \xi(R)$ . In particular, R'  $\subseteq \xi(R)$  and therefore,  $2R \subseteq \xi(R)$ .

PROOF. If  $2 \notin D$ ,  $R^+$  is abelian by Lemma 6; hence assume  $2 \in D$ . Let  $x \in A(2)$ ; and by Lemma 5(c), choose  $k \in Z_+$  such that  $(1 + x)2 = 2(1 + x)^k = 2$ . Thus, 1 + x + 1 + x = 1 + 1, which yields x + 1 = 1 + x. If  $b^k = 1$  for some  $k \in Z_+$ , we now get  $b + x - b - x = b(1 + b^{k-1}x - 1 - b^{k-1}x) = 0$ . By Lemma 4(a), the only elements b yet to be considered commute with -1; and since x commutes additively with b if and only if it commutes additively with b + x, we may assume b + x commutes with -1 also. Since  $x \in D$ , x commutes with -1, and it follows at once that b + x = x + b. Thus,  $A(2) \subset \xi(R)$ .

270

That  $R' \subseteq \xi(R)$  is now clear from Lemma 6. Noting that  $2R = R^2$ , we complete the proof of the lemma by showing that x + x+y = y + x + x for all x, y  $\varepsilon$  R. But since y - x = -x + y+c for some  $c \varepsilon R'$  and since  $R' \subseteq \xi(R)$ , we have x + x + y - x - x - y = x + y + c - x - y = x + y - x - y + c = y + c - y + c = c + c = 0, the last equality following from Lemma 6.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. We need only show that  $R^+$  is abelian, since the theorem then follows from Fröhlich's theorem and the theorem of [3]. Let r, s be arbitrary distributive elements of R. By Lemma 4(b),  $(r + s)^2$  commutes with -1, which means that  $-r^2 - sr - rs - s^2 = -s^2 - rs - sr - r^2$ . (3.2)

If we write 
$$rs = c + sr$$
, where  $c = rs - sr \in \xi(R)$ , and recall that  $2sr \in \xi(R)$ , we can write  
(3.2) as

 $-r^2 - s^2 - 2sr - c = -s^2 - r^2 - 2sr - c.$ It follows at once that  $r^2 + s^2 = s^2 + r^2$ , and the fact that R is strongly-distributivelygenerated implies that R<sup>+</sup> is abelian.

I conjecture that Theorem 2 remains true if R is merely assumed to be d-g rather than s-d-g, but a proof eludes me. However, all the machinery is in place to establish two interesting cases of the conjecture.

THEOREM 3. Let R be a d-g near-ring with 1, and suppose R satisfies one of the following specialized versions of  $(C_1)$ :

- (C<sub>3</sub>) For each x, y  $\varepsilon$  R, there exists an integer n = n(x, y)  $\ge 1$  for which xy = yx<sup>n</sup>.
- (C<sub>4</sub>) For each x, y  $\in$  R, either xy = yx or there exist m, n  $\in$  Z<sub>+</sub> with m  $\geq$  2, such that xy = y<sup>m</sup>x<sup>n</sup>.

Then R is a commutative ring.

PROOF. Again we may assume that R is subdirectly irreducible and (by Lemma 6) that 2  $\varepsilon$  D. Arguments similar to that of Lemma 5(c) show that zero divisors are central, and commuting 2 with r + s for arbitrary distributive r, s now shows R<sup>+</sup> is abelian. 4. COMMUTATIVITY OF d-g (C<sub>2</sub>)-NEAR-RINGS.

THEOREM 4. If R is any d-g near-ring satisfying (C<sub>2</sub>), then R is commutative. Moreover, if  $R^2 = R$ , then R is a ring.

PROOF. Note first that idempotents are central, for if e is idempotent and x  $\in$  R, and if we choose n, m  $\in$  Z<sub>+</sub> such that ex = (xe)<sup>n</sup> and xe = (ex)<sup>m</sup>, right-multiplying the first of these equalities by e and left-multiplying the second by e yields ex = exe = xe.

Now if R is any d-g (C<sub>2</sub>)-near-ring and a, b  $\epsilon$  R are such that ab  $\neq$  ba, there exist m, n > 1 such that

$$ab = (ba)^n$$
 and  $ba = (ab)^m$ ; (4.1)

it follows that

 $ab = (ab)^{nm}$  and  $ba = (ba)^{nm}$ ,

and hence that  $(ab)^{nm-1}$  and  $(ba)^{nm-1}$  are both idempotent. In fact, if  $(ab)^{t} = e$  is idempotent, (4.1) shows that  $(ba)^{t} = (ab)^{mt} = e$ , hence  $(ab)^{nm-1}$  and  $(ba)^{nm-1}$  are equal

(4.2)

to the same idempotent, say e1.

We treat first the case of R with 1, and as usual consider the subdirectly irreducible case. If we suppose R contains a pair of non-commuting elements a, b and choose n, m as above, then Lemma 3 guarantees that  $(ab)^{nm-1} = (ba)^{nm-1} = 1$ , so that a and b are both invertible. We choose  $q \in Z_+$  such that  $(b^{-1}a)b = (b(b^{-1}a))^q$ , which reduces at once to  $ab = ba^q$ . Thus, R is a commutative ring by Theorem 3.

We now drop the hypothesis that R has 1. Again suppose ab  $\neq$  ba and let n, m and  $e_1$  be as above. The near-ring  $e_1R$  is a d-g (C<sub>2</sub>)-near-ring having  $e_1$  as multiplicative identity, hence is commutative. Therefore  $e_1ae_1b - e_1be_1a = 0 = e_1(ab - ba)$ ; and since  $e_1 = (ab)^{nm-1} = (ba)^{nm-1}$ , an appeal to (4.2) yields the contradiction ab = ba. Hence R must be commutative; and if  $R^2 = R$ , Fröhlich's theorem shows that R is a ring. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:

Supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, Grant No. A 3961.

## REFERENCES

- BELL, H. E. Near-rings in which each element is a power of itself, <u>Bull. Austral.</u> <u>Math. Soc. 2</u> (1970), 363-368.
- 2. BELL, H. E. Certain near-rings are rings, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 4(1971), 264-270.
- 3. BELL, H. E. A commutativity condition for rings, Canad. J. Math. 28 (1976),986-991.
- CHACRON, M. and THIERRIN, G., σ-reflexive semigroups and rings, <u>Canad. Math. Bull</u>. <u>15</u> (1972), 185-188.
- FRÜHLICH, A. Distributively-generated near-rings, I, Ideal theory, <u>Proc. London Math</u>. <u>Soc. (3)</u> <u>8</u>(1958), 76-94.

272