

DECAY OF SOLUTIONS OF A SYSTEM OF NONLINEAR KLEIN-GORDON EQUATIONS

JOSÉ FERREIRA

Institute of Mathematics, UFRJ
P.O.Box 68530, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brasil

and

GUSTAVO PERLA MENZALA

National Laboratory for Scientific Computation
LNCC/CNPq, Lauro Muller 455
22290, Botafogo, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brasil and
Institute of Mathematics, UFRJ
P.O.Box 68530, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brasil

(Received November 20, 1985)

ABSTRACT. We study the asymptotic behavior in time of the solutions of a system of non-linear Klein-Gordon equations. We have two basic results: First, in the $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ norm, solutions decay like $O(t^{-3/2})$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ provided the initial data are sufficiently small. Finally we prove that finite energy solutions of such a system decay in local energy norm as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations, decay, local energy, uniform decay.

1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. 35L70.

1. INTRODUCTION

Our main purpose in this work will be to study time decay properties of solutions of the nonlinear system of Klein-Gordon equations

$$\square u + m^2 u + g^2 uv^2 = 0 \quad (1.1)$$

$$\square v + \sigma^2 v + g^2 vu^2 = 0 \quad (1.2)$$

where x runs in \mathbb{R}^3 and $t \geq 0$. Here \square denotes the d'Alembertian operator i.e. $\square = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \Delta$ and Δ is the usual Laplacian operator. In (1.1)-(1.2), m , σ and g are positive constants. Such systems of interacting relativistic (scalar) fields were suggested by a number of authors in the last two decades, among them we can mention I. Segal [1], K. Jürgens [2] and more recently, V.G. Makhankov [3].

In section 3 we consider solutions of (1.1)-(1.2) in a suitable Banach space X and we prove, in particular, that $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} = O(t^{-3/2})$ and $\|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} = O(t^{-3/2})$ as

$t \rightarrow \infty$, provided the initial data is small enough in an appropriate sense. This, seems to be the best possible rate of decay (in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^\infty}$) for finite energy solutions of system (1.1)-(1.2). In order to obtain our result we use techniques which are essentially in the framework of contraction type notions together with known facts of the linear Klein-Gordon equation in three dimensional space.

In section 4 we study the local energy behavior as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for finite energy solutions of (1.1)-(1.2). The important work of C. Morawetz [4] was the starting point for our analysis in this section. Appropriate adaptations of [4] as well as the work of W.A. Strauss [5] to our case were needed. Unfortunately, we could not find the precise rate of decay in this case, which we suspect should be $O(t^{-1})$.

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

In what follows we shall use standard notation: By $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ we denote the space of functions in \mathbb{R}^3 whose p th powers are integrable, with the norm $\|f\|_{L^p} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p}$ and by $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ we denote the space of measurable essentially bounded functions in \mathbb{R}^3 , with the norm $\|f\|_{L^\infty} = \text{ess sup} |f(x)|$. From now on, an integral sign to which no domain is attached will be understood to be taken over all space \mathbb{R}^3 . We denote by $\text{grad } u$ the gradient of u (in space variables) and $|\text{grad } u|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^3 \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right)^2$. The radial derivative (with respect to the origem) will be denoted by $u_r = \frac{x}{r} \cdot \text{grad } u$ where $r = |x|$. The Laplacian operator is denoted by $\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}$. For any positive integer k and $1 \leq s \leq \infty$ we consider the Sobolev space $W^{k,s}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ of (classes of) functions in $L^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ which together with their partial derivatives up to order k belong to $L^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$. The norm in $W^{k,s}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{W^{k,s}}$.

In case $s=2$ we shall write $H^k(\mathbb{R}^3)$ instead of $W^{k,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. From now on, in order to simplify the notation we will denote by C various constants (which may vary line to line). All functions consider in this paper are real-valued.

Since the system (2.2)-(1.2) is reversible in time, we shall perform our estimates only for $t > 0$ and the same conclusion will be true for $t < 0$. Most of the lemmas, especially in section 4, are proved only for the case in which the initial data at $t=0$ belongs to $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ (that is, the space of C^∞ functions defined in \mathbb{R}^3 with compact support). By a standard approximation procedure the same conclusion will be true for finite energy solutions.

Let us recall briefly some known facts concerning the linear problem: Consider the Klein-Gordon equation

$$\begin{aligned} \square \omega + m^2 \omega &= 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad t \in [0, \infty) \\ \omega(x, 0) &= \phi_1(x), \quad \omega_t(x, 0) = \phi_2(x) \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where $\square = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \Delta$ and $m > 0$. Then, we have the following estimate (see [6]):

$$\| \omega(\cdot, t) \|_{L^\infty} \leq C(1+t)^{-3/2} [\| \phi_1 \|_{W^{3,1}} + \| \phi_2 \|_{W^{2,1}}] \tag{2.2}$$

provided the initial data $(\phi_1$ and $\phi_2)$ belong, say to $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Now, consider the inhomogeneous Klein-Gordon equation

$$\begin{aligned} \square u + m^2 u &= F(x, t) \quad , \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \quad , \quad t \in [0, \infty) \\ u(x, 0) &= 0 = u_t(x, 0) \end{aligned}$$

where $F \in L^1(0, T; H^3(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap W^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$, then using Duhamel's principle and (2.2) we obtain that

$$\| u(\cdot, t) \|_{L^\infty} \leq C \int_0^t (1+|t-s|)^{-3/2} \| F(\cdot, s) \|_{W^{2,1}} ds \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\| u(\cdot, t) \|_{H^3} \leq C \int_0^t \| F(\cdot, s) \|_{H^3} ds \tag{2.4}$$

Let us define the space of functions which we will be using in the next section: Let $\omega(x, t)$ be such that, for each t we have that $\omega(\cdot, t) \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$. We consider the norm $\| \cdot \|_{\mathcal{D}}$ defined by

$$\| \omega \|_{\mathcal{D}}^2 = \sup_{t \geq 0} [\| \omega(\cdot, t) \|_{H^3}^2 + (1+t)^3 \| \omega(\cdot, t) \|_{W^{1,\infty}}^2] \tag{2.5}$$

Let

$$X = \{ (u, v) \text{ such that } u(\cdot, t), v(\cdot, t) \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^3) \text{ and } \| u \|_{\mathcal{D}} < +\infty, \| v \|_{\mathcal{D}} < +\infty \}$$

In X we consider the norm $\| (u, v) \| = \| u \|_{\mathcal{D}} + \| v \|_{\mathcal{D}}$. Clearly, X is a Banach space with the norm $\| (\cdot, \cdot) \|$. Now, we indicate some simple lemmas which will be use in the next section

LEMMA 1. Let $(u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2) \in X$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \| u_1 v_1^2 - u_2 v_2^2 \|_{H^3} + \| u_1 v_1^2 - u_2 v_2^2 \|_{W^{3,1}} &\leq C \| u_1 - u_2 \|_{\mathcal{D}} [\| v_1 \|_{W^{1,\infty}}^2 + \| v_1 \|_{W^{1,\infty}} \| v_1 \|_{H^3}] + \\ &+ C \| v_1 - v_2 \|_{\mathcal{D}} [\| u_2 \|_{W^{1,\infty}} \{ \| v_1 \|_{\mathcal{D}} + \| v_2 \|_{\mathcal{D}} \} + \\ &+ \| u_2 \|_{H^3} \{ \| v_1 \|_{W^{1,\infty}} + \| v_2 \|_{W^{1,\infty}} \}] \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Since $H^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is an algebra, then, for each t , $u_1 v_1^2 - u_2 v_2^2 \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$. The triangle inequality implies

$$\| u_1 v_1^2 - u_2 v_2^2 \|_{H^3} \leq \| (u_1 - u_2) v_1^2 \|_{H^3} + \| u_2 (v_1^2 - v_2^2) \|_{H^3} \tag{2.6}$$

Using the Leibnitz's rule and the imbedding $H^3(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ we obtain

$$\| (u_1 - u_2)v^2 \|_{H^3} \leq C \| u_1 - u_2 \|_{\mathcal{D}} [\| v_1 \|_{W^{1,\infty}^+}^2 + \| v_1 \|_{W^{1,\infty}} \| v_1 \|_{H^3}]$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \| u_2 (v_1^2 - v_2^2) \|_{H^3} \leq C \| v_1 - v_2 \|_{\mathcal{D}} [\| u_2 \|_{W^{1,\infty}} \{ \| v_1 \|_{\mathcal{D}} + \| v_2 \|_{\mathcal{D}} \} + \| u_2 \|_{H^3} \{ \| v_1 \|_{W^{1,\infty}^+} \\ + \| v_2 \|_{W^{1,\infty}} \}] \end{aligned}$$

which together with (2.6) implies that we got the desired bound for the first term on the left hand side of the lemma. The estimate for the term $\| u_1 v_1^2 - u_2 v_2^2 \|_{W^{3,1}}$ can be done similarly.

LEMMA 2. Let $q \geq 1, r > 0$ such that $rq > 1$, then, for any $t > 0$ we have

$$\int_0^\infty (1 + |t-s|)^{-r} (1+s)^{-rq} ds \leq C(1+t)^{-r}$$

PROOF. See [7].

3. DECAY AS $t \rightarrow +\infty$ FOR SMALL DATA

In this section we present a result concerning the asymptotic behavior for solutions of (1.1)-(1.2) in the space X and small initial data.

LEMMA 3. Let $(u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2) \in X$ and $\rho > 0$. Suppose that $\| (u_1, v_1) \| \leq \rho, \| (u_2, v_2) \| \leq \rho$. Define the nonlinear maps N_m and N_σ by

$$\begin{aligned} N_m[u_j, v_j](x, t) &= -g^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} R_m(x-y, t-s) u_j v_j^2 dy ds \\ N_\sigma[v_j, u_j](x, t) &= -g^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} R_\sigma(x-y, t-s) v_j u_j^2 dy ds \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

$j=1,2$, where R_m and R_σ denote the Riemann functions associated with the linear Klein-Gordon operator $\square + m^2 I$ and $\square + \sigma^2 I$ respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a) \| N_m[u_1, v_1] - N_m[u_2, v_2] \|_{\mathcal{D}} &\leq C\rho^2 \| (u_1 - u_2, v_1 - v_2) \| \\ \text{and} & \\ b) \| N_\sigma[v_1, u_1] - N_\sigma[v_2, u_2] \|_{\mathcal{D}} &\leq C\rho^2 \| (u_1 - u_2, v_1 - v_2) \| \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

PROOF. Since $H^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is an algebra then it follows that for each $t, u_1 v_1^2 - u_2 v_2^2 \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Using the definition and (2.4) we obtain, for each t :

$$\| N_m[u_1 v_1] - N_m[u_2 v_2] \|_{H^3} \leq C \int_0^t \| u_1 v_1^2 - u_2 v_2^2 \|_{H^3} ds$$

By lemma 1 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \| u_1 v_1^2 - u_2 v_2^2 \|_{H^3} ds &\leq C \| u_1 - u_2 \|_{\mathcal{D}} \int_0^t [\| v_1 \|_{W^{1,\infty}^+}^2 + \| v_1 \|_{W^{1,\infty}} \| v_1 \|_{H^3}] ds + \\ &+ C \| v_1 - v_2 \|_{\mathcal{D}} [\| v_1 \|_{\mathcal{D}} + \| v_2 \|_{\mathcal{D}}] \int_0^t \| u_2 \|_{W^{1,\infty}} ds + C \| v_1 - v_2 \|_{\mathcal{D}} \| u_2 \|_{\mathcal{D}} \int_0^t \| v_1 \|_{W^{1,\infty}^+} \\ &+ \| v_2 \|_{W^{1,\infty}}] ds \leq C \| u_1 - u_2 \|_{\mathcal{D}} \| v_1 \|_{\mathcal{D}}^2 + C \| v_1 - v_2 \|_{\mathcal{D}} [\| v_1 \|_{\mathcal{D}} + \| v_2 \|_{\mathcal{D}}] \| u_2 \|_{\mathcal{D}} \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\| N_m[u_1, v_1] - N_m[u_2, v_2] \|_{H^3} \leq C\rho^2 [\| u_1 - u_2 \|_{\mathcal{D}} + \| v_1 - v_2 \|_{\mathcal{D}}] \tag{3.3}$$

Using the definition and (essentially) (2.3) it follows that

$$\| N_m[u_1, v_1] - N_m[u_2, v_2] \|_{W^{1, \infty}} \leq C \int_0^t (1+|t-s|)^{-3/2} \| u_1 v_1^2 - u_2 v_2^2 \|_{W^{3, 1}} ds$$

By lemmas 1 and 2 we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t (1+|t-s|)^{-3/2} \| u_1 v_1^2 - u_2 v_2^2 \|_{W^{3, 1}} ds &\leq \{ C \| u_1 - u_2 \|_{\mathcal{D}} \| v_1 \|_{\mathcal{D}}^2 + \\ &+ C \| v_1 - v_2 \|_{\mathcal{D}} [(\| v_1 \|_{\mathcal{D}} + \| v_2 \|_{\mathcal{D}}) \| u_2 \|_{\mathcal{D}}] \} \int_0^t (1+t-s)^{-3/2} (1+s)^{-3/2} ds \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{-3/2} [\| v_1 \|_{\mathcal{D}}^2 \| u_1 - u_2 \|_{\mathcal{D}} + \| v_1 - v_2 \|_{\mathcal{D}} \| u_2 \|_{\mathcal{D}} (\| v_1 \|_{\mathcal{D}} + \| v_2 \|_{\mathcal{D}})] \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$(1+t)^{3/2} \| N_m[u_1, v_1] - N_m[u_2, v_2] \|_{W^{1, \infty}} \leq C\rho^2 [\| u_1 - u_2 \|_{\mathcal{D}} + \| v_1 - v_2 \|_{\mathcal{D}}] \tag{3.4}$$

Combining (3.3) with (3.4) we conclude item a). The proof of item b) is done exactly in the same fashion.

LEMMA 4. Let $u_0(x, t), v_0(x, t)$ be solutions of the free Klein-Gordon equations

$\square u_0 + m^2 u_0 = 0$ and $\square v_0 + \sigma^2 v_0 = 0$ respectively with initial data at time $t=0$ so that $(u_0, v_0) \in X$. Let us consider the sequence $\{(u_{(n)}, v_{(n)})\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ defined by $(u_{(0)}, v_{(0)}) = (u_0, v_0)$ and

$$u_{(n+1)} = u_0 + N_m[u_{(n)}, v_{(n)}]$$

$$v_{(n+1)} = v_0 + N_{\sigma}[v_{(n)}, u_{(n)}]$$

for $n=1, 2, \dots$ where N_m and N_{σ} were defined in (3.1). Then $(u_{(n+1)}, v_{(n+1)}) \in X$ for all $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$

PROOF. The proof is done by induction. It is enough to prove that $(N_m[u_{(n)}, v_{(n)}], N_{\sigma}[v_{(n)}, u_{(n)}]) \in X$ provided that $(u_{(n)}, v_{(n)}) \in X$. But this was already done during the proof of lemma 3. Consequently the conclusion of the lemma holds.

Now let u_0 and v_0 as in lemma 4 with initial data

$$u_0(x, 0) = \phi_1(x) \quad , \quad \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial t}(x, 0) = \phi_2(x) \quad ,$$

$v_0(x, 0) = \psi_1(x)$ and $\frac{\partial v_0}{\partial t}(x, 0) = \psi_2(x)$ such that $\phi_j, \psi_j \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $j=1, 2$. Using (2.2) we can estimate the norm $\| (u_0, v_0) \|$, say $\| (u_0, v_0) \| \leq \rho_0$ where

$$0 < \rho_0 = C [\| \phi_1 \|_{H^3} + \| \phi_1 \|_{W^{4,1}} + \| \phi_2 \|_{H^2} + \| \phi_2 \|_{W^{3,1}} + \| \psi_1 \|_{H^3} + \| \psi_1 \|_{W^{4,1}} + \| \psi_2 \|_{H^2} + \| \psi_2 \|_{W^{3,1}}]$$

On the other hand, let us choose $\tilde{\rho} > 0$ small enough so that $\tilde{\rho}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2} C}$ where $C > 0$ is the constant which appears in the right hand side of inequality (3.2).

THEOREM 1 (Decay for small data). Let $\phi_j, \psi_j \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $j=1,2$ be chosen so that $0 < \rho_0 \leq \frac{\tilde{\rho}}{2}$. Then, the sequence of successive approximations $\{(u_{(n)}, v_{(n)})\}_{n=0}^\infty$ defined in Lemma 3 converges to a pair $(u, v) \in X$, which is a solution of (1.1)-(1.2) such that

$$u(x, 0) = \phi_1(x) \quad , \quad u_t(x, 0) = \phi_2(x) \quad , \quad v(x, 0) = \psi_1(x) \quad , \quad v_t(x, 0) = \psi_2(x) \quad .$$

In particular, we have that $\| u(\cdot, t) \|_{L^\infty} \leq C(1+t)^{-3/2} \quad \| v(\cdot, t) \|_{L^\infty} \leq C(1+t)^{-3/2}$.

PROOF. First we will prove by induction that $\| (u_{(n)}, v_{(n)}) \| \leq \tilde{\rho}$ for all $n=0,1,2,\dots$. If $n=0$ this is trivial. Suppose that $\| (u_{(n)}, v_{(n)}) \| \leq \tilde{\rho}$. Using the definition of $u_{(n+1)}$ and $v_{(n+1)}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \| u_{(n+1)}(\cdot, t) \|_{H^3}^2 + \| v_{(n+1)}(\cdot, t) \|_{H^3}^2 &\leq 2(\| u_o(\cdot, t) \|_{H^3}^2 + \| v_o(\cdot, t) \|_{H^3}^2) + \\ &+ 2(\| N_m[u_{(n)}, v_{(n)}](\cdot, t) \|_{H^3}^2 + \| N_\sigma[v_{(n)}, u_{(n)}](\cdot, t) \|_{H^3}^2) \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (1+t)^3 [\| u_{(n+1)}(\cdot, t) \|_{W^{1,\infty}}^2 + \| v_{(n+1)}(\cdot, t) \|_{W^{1,\infty}}^2] &\leq \\ &\leq 2(1+t)^3 [\| u_o(\cdot, t) \|_{W^{1,\infty}}^2 + \| v_o(\cdot, t) \|_{W^{1,\infty}}^2] + \\ &+ 2[\| N_m(u_{(n)}, v_{(n)}) \|_{W^{3,1}}^2 + \| N_\sigma(u_{(n)}, v_{(n)}) \|_{W^{3,1}}^2] \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

From (3.5) and (3.6) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \| \| (u_{(n+1)}, v_{(n+1)}) \| \|^2 &\leq 2 \| \| (u_o, v_o) \| \|^2 + 2(C\tilde{\rho}^2)^2 \| \| (u_{(n)}, v_{(n)}) \| \|^2 \leq \\ &\leq 2\left(\frac{\tilde{\rho}}{2}\right)^2 + 2\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\right)^2 \tilde{\rho}^2 < \tilde{\rho}^2 \end{aligned}$$

because our choice of $\tilde{\rho}$. This concludes the proof of our claim. For any positive integer n we define

$$e_n = \| \| (u_{(n+1)} - u_{(n)}, v_{(n+1)} - v_{(n)}) \| \|^2$$

Consequently we have $e_n \leq \sqrt{2} C\tilde{\rho}^2 e_{n-1}$ because of lemma 3 and the above observation. By iteration it follows that $e_n \leq (\sqrt{2} C\tilde{\rho}^2)^n e_0 \leq 2^{-n} e_0$. Now, let $k > n$. Using the above observation we conclude that

$$\| (u_{(k)}, v_{(k)}) - (u_{(n)}, v_{(n)}) \| \leq 2^{-n+1} [1 - 2^{n-k-1}] e_0 \rightarrow 0$$

as $k, n \rightarrow +\infty$.

Thus, there exist a pair $(u, v) \in X$ such that $(u_{(n)}, v_{(n)}) \rightarrow (u, v)$ in X as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Obviously $\| (u, v) \| \leq \bar{\rho}$. Thus, by lemma 3 it follows that $\| N_m[u_{(n)}, v_{(n)}] - N_m(u, v) \|_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\| N_\sigma[v_{(n)}, u_{(n)}] - N_\sigma(v, u) \|_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently $(u, v) = (u_0, v_0) + (N_m[u, v], N_\sigma[v, u])$ so that the pair (u, v) is a solution of system (1.1)-(1.2).

4. LOCAL ENERGY DECAY

In this section we consider finite energy solutions of the system (1.1)-(1.2) without our previous assumptions of smallness on the initial data.

We shall concentrate our attention on the local energy $E_\Omega(t)$ associated with the pair (u, v) :

$$E_\Omega(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega [u_t^2 + |\text{grad } u|^2 + m^2 u^2 + v_t^2 + |\text{grad } v|^2 + \sigma^2 v^2 + g^2 u^2 v^2] dx \tag{4.1}$$

where Ω is a bounded region of \mathbb{R}^3 . In many practical situations Ω can be assumed be a ball. We will show in this section that under suitable assumptions on the initial data of the system (1.1)-(1.2) then $E_\Omega(t)$ approaches zero as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

Our analysis is based on the work of C. Morawetz [4] where she studied a single nonlinear equation. First, we present an existence result: Let us consider the space $Y = H^1 \otimes L^2 \otimes H^1 \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and the matrix differential operator A given by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I & 0 & 0 \\ \Delta - m^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I \\ 0 & 0 & \Delta - \sigma^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We can rewrite (1.1)-(1.2) with $u_1 = u$, $u_2 = u_t$, $v_1 = v$ and $v_2 = v_t$ as a system of four equations of first order in time

$$\frac{d\phi}{dt} = A\phi + N(\phi) \tag{4.2}$$

where $\phi = (u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2)^T$, $N(\phi) = (0, -g^2 u v^2, 0, -g^2 v u^2)^T$ (here $()^T$ means the transpose of $()$). Clearly A is skew-adjoint with domain $D(A) = H^2 \otimes H^1 \otimes H^2 \otimes H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

LEMMA 5. For any $\phi, \psi \in Y$ we have

$$\| N(\phi) - N(\psi) \|_Y \leq C(\| \phi \|_Y, \| \psi \|_Y) \| \phi - \psi \|_Y$$

where C is an increasing function of norms $\| \phi \|_Y$ and $\| \psi \|_Y$.

PROOF. Let $\phi = (u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2)^T$ and $\psi = (\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2, \tilde{v}_1, \tilde{v}_2)^T \in Y$. Since $H^j(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is an algebra then $N(\phi), N(\psi) \in Y$. The triangle inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \| N(\phi) - N(\psi) \|_Y^2 &= \| g^2 u_1 v_1^2 - g^2 \tilde{u}_1 \tilde{v}_1^2 \|_{L^2}^2 + \| g^2 v_1 u_1^2 - g^2 \tilde{v}_1 \tilde{u}_1^2 \|_{L^2}^2 \leq 2g^4 \| (u_1 - \tilde{u}_1) v^2 \|_{L^2}^2 + \\ &+ 2g^4 \| \tilde{u}_1 (\tilde{v}_1^2 - v_1^2) \|_{L^2}^2 + 2g^4 \| v_1 (u_1^2 - \tilde{u}_1^2) \|_{L^2}^2 + 2g^4 \| (\tilde{v}_1 - v_1) \tilde{u}^2 \|_{L^2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Holder's inequality followed by Sobolev's inequality give us

$$\begin{aligned} \|N(\Phi)-N(\Psi)\|_Y &\leq C\|u_1-\tilde{u}_1\|_{H^1}[\|v_1\|_{H^1}^2+\|v_1\|_{H^1}\{\|u_1\|_{H^1}+\|\tilde{u}_1\|_{H^1}\}] + \\ &+C\|v_1-\tilde{v}_1\|_{H^1}[\|\tilde{u}_1\|_{H^1}^2+\|\tilde{u}_1\|_{H^1}\{\|v_1\|_{H^1}+\|\tilde{v}_1\|_{H^1}\}] \leq C[\|\Phi\|_Y+\|\Psi\|_Y]^2\|\Phi-\Psi\|_Y \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 6. a) $N: D(A) \rightarrow D(A)$ and b) $\|A(N(\Phi)-N(\Psi))\|_Y \leq C(\|\Phi\|_Y, \|\Psi\|_Y, \|A\Phi\|_Y, \|A\Psi\|_Y) \|A\Phi-A\Psi\|_Y$ for all $\Phi, \Psi \in D(A)$.

PROOF. Item a) is trivial. Let $\Phi=(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2)^T$ and $\Psi=(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2, \tilde{v}_1, \tilde{v}_2)^T$ belonging to $D(A)$. A direct calculation gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \|A(N(\Phi)-N(\Psi))\|_Y^2 &= g^4\|u_1v_1^2-\tilde{u}_1\tilde{v}_1^2\|_{H^1}^2+g^4\|v_1u_1^2-\tilde{v}_1\tilde{u}_1^2\|_{H^1}^2 \leq \\ &\leq 2g^4\|(u_1-\tilde{u}_1)v_1^2\|_{H^1}^2+2g^4\|\tilde{u}_1(v_1^2-v_1^2)\|_{H^1}^2+2g^4\|(v_1-\tilde{v}_1)\tilde{u}_1^2\|_{H^1}^2+ \\ &+ 2g^4\|v_1(\tilde{u}_1^2-u_1^2)\|_{H^1}^2 \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

We use Hölder's and Sobolev's inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u_1-\tilde{u}_1)v_1^2\|_{H^1} &\leq C\|A\Phi-A\Psi\|_Y\|A\Phi\|_Y^2 \\ \|\tilde{u}_1(v_1^2-v_1^2)\|_{H^1} &\leq C\|A\Phi-A\Psi\|_Y[\|\Phi\|_Y+\|\Psi\|_Y](\|A\Psi\|_Y+\|\Psi\|_Y)+\|\Psi\|_Y(\|A\Phi\|_Y+\|A\Psi\|_Y) \\ \|(v_1-\tilde{v}_1)\tilde{u}_1^2\|_{H^1} &\leq C\|A\Phi-A\Psi\|_Y\|A\Psi\|_Y^2 \\ \|v_1(\tilde{u}_1^2-u_1^2)\|_{H^1} &\leq C\|A\Phi-A\Psi\|_Y[\|\Psi\|_Y+\|\Phi\|_Y](\|A\Phi\|_Y+\|\Phi\|_Y)+\|\Phi\|_Y(\|A\Psi\|_Y+\|A\Phi\|_Y) \end{aligned}$$

Combining the last four inequalities with (4.3) we conclude the proof of the lemma.

THEOREM 2 (Global existence). Let the initial data at time $t=0$ for the system (1.1)-(1.2) belong to the subspace $D(A)=H^2 \otimes H^1 \otimes H^2 \otimes H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then, there exist a (strong) solution of (4.2) for all time $t \geq 0$.

PROOF. According to Segal's theorem [6], lemmas 4 and 5 imply that there exists a unique local solution of (4.2) defined in a maximal interval $I=\{0 < t < T_{\max} \leq +\infty\}$ of existence. Now, let us write (1.1)-(1.2) as

$$\square u + m^2 u = f \tag{4.4}$$

$$\square v + \sigma^2 v = h \tag{4.5}$$

where $f=-g^2uv^2$ and $h=-g^2vu^2$. We can use the linear theory: Multiply (4.4) by u_t and (4.5) by v_t . Next, integration in the whole space give us

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int [u_t^2 + |\text{grad } u|^2 + m^2 u^2] dx = \int fu_t dx \tag{4.6}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int [v_t^2 + |\text{grad } v|^2 + \sigma^2 v^2] dx = \int hv_t dx$$

But $\int (fu_t + hv_t) dx = -\frac{g^2}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int u^2 v^2 dx$. Adding the identities (4.6) we conclude that

$$E_\infty(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int [u_t^2 + |\text{grad } u|^2 + m^2 u^2 + v_t^2 + |\text{grad } v|^2 + \sigma^2 v^2 + g^2 u^2 v^2] dx = \text{Constant} \tag{4.7}$$

in the interval I. In particular, this implies that $\|\phi(t)\|_V$ is bounded for all $t \in I$. This concludes the proof of the theorem.

REMARKS. Using essentially the same procedure as above one can prove higher regularity of the solutions provided that the initial data is more regular. If the initial data belongs to $H^{j+1} \otimes H^j \otimes H^{j+1} \otimes H^j(\mathbb{R}^3)$ then the solution pair of (1.1)-(1.2) will belong to $[C(I; H^{j+1}(\mathbb{R}^3))]^2$.

LEMMA 7. Let (u, v) be the solution of the system (1.1)-(1.2) with initial data belonging to $[C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)]^4$. Then, for any $T > 0$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^3$ we have

$$\int_0^T [u^2(y, t) + v^2(y, t)] dt \leq CE_\infty(0)$$

where $C > 0$ is independent of T and $E_\infty(0) = E_{\mathbb{R}^3}(0)$ is given by (4.1) with $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3$.

PROOF. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^3$. For any $x \neq y$ let us denote by $r = |x - y|$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial r} = \frac{(x - y)}{r} \text{grad}$. We consider Morawetz's multiplier $M(u) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + \frac{u}{r}$. Multiply (1.1) by $M(u)$ and (1.2) by $M(v)$. Adding those two expressions we obtain after some calculations

$$0 = \left(\int u + m^2 u + g^2 uv^2 \right) M(u) + \left(\int v + \sigma^2 v + g^2 vu^2 \right) M(v) = \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} + \text{div } B + D \tag{4.8}$$

where $A = u_t M(u) + v_t M(v)$

$$B = [m^2 u^2 + \sigma^2 v^2 + g^2 u^2 v^2 + |\text{grad } u|^2 + |\text{grad } v|^2 - u_t^2 - v_t^2 - \frac{u^2}{r^2} - \frac{v^2}{r^2}] \frac{(x - y)}{2r} - [M(u) \text{grad } u + M(v) \text{grad } v]$$

and

$$D = \frac{1}{r} [|\text{grad } u|^2 - u_r^2 + |\text{grad } v|^2 - v_r^2 + g^2 u^2 v^2]$$

Integration in \mathbb{R}^3 of the identity (4.8) give us

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int A(x, t) dx - \int \text{div} \left[(x - y) \frac{(u^2 + v^2)}{2r^3} \right] dx + \int D(x, t) dx = 0$$

Since $D \geq 0$ we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int A(x, t) dx + 2\pi u^2(y, t) + 2\pi v^2(y, t) \leq 0$$

Integration from $t=0$ to $t=T > 0$ gives us

$$\int_0^T [u^2(y, t) + v^2(y, t)] dt \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int [A(x, 0) - A(x, T)] dx \tag{4.9}$$

Let us estimate $\int A(x, t) dx$. The following simple inequalities are useful:

$$\begin{aligned} \pm 2M(u)u_t &\leq u_r^2 + \left(\frac{u^2}{r}\right)_r + \frac{2u^2}{r^2} + u_t^2 = \text{div}\left(\frac{(x-y)u^2}{r^2}\right) + u_r^2 + u_t^2 \\ \pm 2M(v)v_t &\leq \text{div}\left(\frac{(x-y)v^2}{r^2}\right) + v_r^2 + v_t^2 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for any $t \geq 0$ we have

$$\pm \int A(x,t) dx \leq \int (u_r^2 + u_t^2 + v_r^2 + v_t^2) dx \leq 2E_\infty(0) \tag{4.10}$$

From (4.9) and (4.10) we obtain the conclusion of the lemma.

LEMMA 8. Let $\omega: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^1 function and $y \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Then

- a) $\omega_r^2 \leq |\text{grad } \omega(x)|^2 - \omega_r^2(x)$ for any x such that $|x-y|=r$. Here $\omega_r(x) = \tau(x) \cdot \text{grad } \omega(x)$ and $\omega_r(x) = \frac{(x-y)}{r} \cdot \text{grad } \omega(x)$ where $\tau(x)$ denotes a (unit) tangent vector at x .
- b) $|\text{grad } \omega(x)|^2 \leq 3 \sum_{j=1}^3 \omega_{\tau_j}^2(x)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ where τ_1, τ_2 and τ_3 are (unit) tangent vectors to the spheres $S_j = \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ such that } |\xi - \xi_j| = |x - \xi_j| \}$ $j=1,2,3$ respectively, for some convenient choice of ξ_1, ξ_2 and ξ_3 .

PROOF. Given $\tau(x)$ let us choose another vector τ_0 so that $\{\tau(x), \tau_0, \eta\}$ are orthonormal. Here η denotes a vector in the direction of the radius $r=|x-y|$. Now, it is clear that $\omega_r^2 + \omega_{\tau_0}^2(x) \leq |\text{grad } \omega(x)|^2$. This proves item a). Let $\xi_j, j=1,2,3$ and three planes $P_j, j=1,2,3$ so that $x \in P_1 \cap P_2 \cap P_3$ and their normal vectors are $x - \xi_j, j=1,2,3$ respectively. Let $\tau_j(x) \in P_j$ be (unit) tangent vectors to the spheres $S_j = \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ such that } |\xi - \xi_j| = |x - \xi_j| \}$ so that they are linearly independent and the angle between $\text{grad } \omega(x)$ and $\tau_j(x)$ is less or equal to $\pi/2$. Then we can write $\text{grad } \omega(x)$ as a linear combination of the $\tau_j(x)$'s, $j=1,2,3$ with nonnegative coefficients. Therefore $|\text{grad } \omega(x)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^3 \omega_{\tau_j}(x)$ which implies $|\text{grad } \omega(x)|^2 \leq 3 \sum_{j=1}^3 \omega_{\tau_j}^2(x)$.

LEMMA 9. Let (u,v) be the solution of system (1.1)-(1.2) with initial data at time $t=0$ belonging to $[C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)]^4$. Let $m, \sigma \geq 1$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ a bounded region, then for any $T > 0$ we have

- a) $\int_0^T \int_\Omega [|\text{grad } u|^2 + |\text{grad } v|^2 + g^2 u^2 v^2] dx dt \leq C(\Omega) E_\infty(0)$
- b) $\int_0^T E_\Omega(t) dt \leq C(\Omega) E_\infty(0)$

where $C(\Omega)$ is a positive constant independent of T .

PROOF. a) We use identity (4.8). Integration in the whole space gives us, for any $y \in \Omega$:

$$\int_\Omega D(x,t) dx \leq 2\pi [u^2(y,t) + v^2(y,t)] + \int D(x,t) dx = - \frac{d}{dt} \int A(x,t) dx$$

Therefore, integration in time from $t=0$ to $t=T$ implies that

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega D(x,t) dx dt \leq C E_\infty(0) \tag{4.11}$$

because we have used our previous estimate (4.10).

Let $d = \text{diameter of } \Omega$ and $\rho > d \geq r = |x-y|$. Thus, from (4.11) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\rho} \int_0^T \int_\Omega r D(x,t) dx dt \leq C E_\infty(0)$$

Therefore

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} [|\text{grad } u|^2 - u_r^2 + |\text{grad } v|^2 - v_r^2 + g^2 u^2 v^2] dxdt \leq C\rho E_{\infty}(0) \tag{4.12}$$

Now, we use lemma 8 with $y=\xi_j, j=1,2,3$. By part a) and (4.12) we obtain

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_j^3 (u_{\tau_j}^2 + v_{\tau_j}^2) + g^2 u^2 v^2 \right\} dxdt \leq C\rho E_{\infty}(0) \tag{4.13}$$

Using part b) of lemma 8 and (4.13) we conclude the proof of part a).

It remains to obtain a bound for

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \{ m^2 u^2 + u_t^2 + \sigma^2 v^2 + v_t^2 \} dxdt$$

Let $\alpha > 0$ and $h: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a C^{∞} function such that 1) $h(0) = \alpha$, 2) $h = 0$ for all $s \geq \alpha$ and 3) $h'(s) < 0$ for all $0 \leq s < \alpha$.

Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $x \neq y$. Denote by $r = |x - y|$. First, we multiply identity (4.8) by $h(r)$ and then we integrate in space to obtain

$$0 = 2\pi\alpha [u^2(y, t) + v^2(y, t)] - \int h'(r) B \cdot \frac{(x-y)}{r} dx + \frac{d}{dt} \int h(r) A(x, t) dx + \int h(r) D(x, t) dx \tag{4.14}$$

The following identity can be easily verify

$$2B \cdot \frac{(x-y)}{r} = g^2 u^2 v^2 + |\text{grad } u|^2 + |\text{grad } v|^2 - 2u_r^2 - 2v_r^2 + m^2 u^2 + \sigma^2 v^2 - u_t^2 - \frac{u^2}{r^2} - v_t^2 - \frac{v^2}{r^2} - \frac{2uu_r}{r} - \frac{2vv_r}{r} \tag{4.15}$$

Substitution of (4.15) in (4.14) and then integration in time from $t=0$ to $t=T$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \left[h'(r) \left[u_t^2 + v_t^2 + \left(\frac{1}{r^2} - 1 \right) (m^2 u^2 + \sigma^2 v^2) + \frac{2uu_r}{r} + \frac{2vv_r}{r} \right] dxdt \right. \\ = 2\pi\alpha \int_0^T [u^2(y, t) + v^2(y, t)] dt - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} h'(r) [g^2 u^2 v^2 + |\text{grad } u|^2 + \\ + |\text{grad } v|^2 - 2u_r^2 - 2v_r^2] dxdt + \int h(r) [A(x, T) - A(x, 0)] dx + \\ \left. + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} h(r) D(x, t) dxdt \right] \tag{4.16} \end{aligned}$$

Now, using lemma 7, (4.10) and (4.11) we deduce from (4.16) the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} -\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \frac{h'(r)}{2} [u_t^2 + \left(\frac{1}{r^2} - 1 \right) (m^2 u^2 + \sigma^2 v^2) + v_t^2 + \frac{2uu_r}{r} + \frac{2vv_r}{r}] dxdt \leq CE_{\infty}(0) + \\ + C \text{Max}_{0 \leq \xi \leq \alpha} |h'(\xi)| \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \left[g^2 u^2 v^2 + |\text{grad } u|^2 + |\text{grad } v|^2 - u_r^2 - v_r^2 \right] dxdt \tag{4.17} \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we use (4.12) to obtain from (4.17)

$$-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} h'(r) \left[\left(\frac{u}{r} + u_r \right)^2 + \left(\frac{v}{r} + v_r \right)^2 + u_t^2 + v_t^2 + \left(\frac{m^2 - 1}{r^2} - m^2 \right) u^2 + \left(\frac{\sigma^2 - 1}{r^2} - \sigma^2 \right) v^2 - u_r^2 - v_r^2 \right] dx dt \leq C E_{\infty}(0) + C \max_{0 \leq \xi \leq \alpha} |h'(\xi)| C(\Omega) E_{\infty}(0)$$

Let us choose $\alpha = \min\left\{ \frac{\sqrt{m^2 - 1}}{\sqrt{2} m}, \frac{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}}{\sqrt{2} \sigma} \right\} = \alpha_0$. Thus, if $r = |x - y| \leq \alpha$ it follows that

$$-\int_0^T \int_{|x-y| \leq \alpha} h'(r) [u_t^2 + v_t^2 + m^2 u^2 + \sigma^2 v^2] dx dt \leq C(\Omega) E_{\infty}(0) \tag{4.18}$$

In particular

$$-\int_0^T \int_{|x-y| \leq \alpha/2} \frac{h'(r)}{2} [u_t^2 + v_t^2 + m^2 u^2 + \sigma^2 v^2] dx dt \leq C(\Omega) E_{\infty}(0)$$

or

$$\beta \int_0^T \int_{|x-y| \leq \alpha/2} [u_t^2 + v_t^2 + m^2 u^2 + \sigma^2 v^2] dx dt \leq C(\Omega) E_{\infty}(0) \tag{4.19}$$

where $\beta = \inf_{r \leq \alpha/2} (-h'(r)) > 0$

Combining part a) with (4.19) we obtain that

$$\int_0^T E_{\Omega_{\alpha}}(t) dt \leq C(\Omega) E_{\infty}(0)$$

where $\Omega_{\alpha} = \{x, |x - y| \leq \alpha/2\}$. Since Ω is a bounded region we can cover it by a finite number of such balls. This implies part b).

THEOREM 3 (Decay of local energy). Let (u, v) be the solution of system (1.1)-(1.2) with initial data belonging to $[C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)]^4$ and $m, \sigma \geq 1$. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded region, then

a) $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} u^2(x, t) dx = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} v^2(x, t) dx = 0$ and b) $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} E_{\Omega}(t) = 0$

PROOF. Let $T > 0$. We know by lemma 7 that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (u^2 + v^2) dx dt \leq C(\Omega) E_{\infty}(0)$$

Letting $T \rightarrow +\infty$ we obtain

$$\int_0^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} (u^2 + v^2) dx dt < +\infty \tag{4.20}$$

Let $G(t) = \int_{\Omega} (u^2 + v^2) dx$. We also have

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt} G(t) \right| = \left| 2 \int_{\Omega} (u u_t + v v_t) dx \right| \leq \int_{\Omega} (u^2 + u_t^2 + v^2 + v_t^2) dx \leq C E_{\infty}(0) \tag{4.21}$$

From (4.20) and (4.21) it follows that $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} G(t) = 0$. Consequently, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} v^2 dx = 0$.

Let us look back to the inequality (4.19) and let us fix α_1, α_2 so that $0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \alpha_0$. We define $F(t)$ as

$$F(t) = \int_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_2} E_{\Omega_{\alpha}}(t) d\alpha \tag{4.22}$$

where $\Omega_{\alpha} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 / |x-y| \leq \alpha/2\}$. Integration in time of (4.22) implies

$$\int_0^T F(t) dt = \int_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_2} d\alpha \int_0^T E_{\Omega_{\alpha}}(t) dt \leq C E_{\infty}(0) (\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)$$

Therefore $\int_0^{\infty} F(t) dt < +\infty$. A simple calculation shows that

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt} F(t) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\alpha_1 \leq |x-y| \leq \alpha_2} (u^2 + u_t^2 + v^2 + v_t^2) dx \leq E_{\infty}(0)$$

which together with the above observations implies that $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} E_{\Omega}(t) = 0$.

REFERENCES

1. SEGAL, I. Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations in Quantum Field Theory, Proc. Symp. Appl. Math. AMS, 17, (1965), 210-226.
2. JÜRGENS, K. Nonlinear Wave Equations, Lecture Notes, University of Colorado, March 1970.
3. MAKHANKOV, V.G. Dynamics of Classical Solutions in Integrable Systems, Physics Reports (Section C of Physics Letters) 35 (1), (1978), 1-128.
4. MORAWETZ, C. Time Decay for the Nonlinear Klein-Gordon Equation, Proc. Royal Soc. London A306, (1968), 291-296.
5. STRAUSS, W.A. Decay of Solutions of Hyperbolic Equations with Localized Nonlinear Terms, Ist. Naz. di Alta Mat., Symposia Mat., vol. VII, (1971), 339-355.
6. REED, M. Abstract Non-Linear Wave Equations, Lecture Notes in Math., 507, Springer-Verlag, (1976).
7. STRAUSS, W.A. Decay and Asymptotics for $\square u = F(u)$, J. Funct. Anal., 2, (1968), 409-457.

Special Issue on Time-Dependent Billiards

Call for Papers

This subject has been extensively studied in the past years for one-, two-, and three-dimensional space. Additionally, such dynamical systems can exhibit a very important and still unexplained phenomenon, called as the Fermi acceleration phenomenon. Basically, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration (FA) is a process in which a classical particle can acquire unbounded energy from collisions with a heavy moving wall. This phenomenon was originally proposed by Enrico Fermi in 1949 as a possible explanation of the origin of the large energies of the cosmic particles. His original model was then modified and considered under different approaches and using many versions. Moreover, applications of FA have been of a large broad interest in many different fields of science including plasma physics, astrophysics, atomic physics, optics, and time-dependent billiard problems and they are useful for controlling chaos in Engineering and dynamical systems exhibiting chaos (both conservative and dissipative chaos).

We intend to publish in this special issue papers reporting research on time-dependent billiards. The topic includes both conservative and dissipative dynamics. Papers discussing dynamical properties, statistical and mathematical results, stability investigation of the phase space structure, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration, conditions for having suppression of Fermi acceleration, and computational and numerical methods for exploring these structures and applications are welcome.

To be acceptable for publication in the special issue of Mathematical Problems in Engineering, papers must make significant, original, and correct contributions to one or more of the topics above mentioned. Mathematical papers regarding the topics above are also welcome.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	March 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	June 1, 2009
Publication Date	September 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Edson Denis Leonel, Department of Statistics, Applied Mathematics and Computing, Institute of Geosciences and Exact Sciences, State University of São Paulo at Rio Claro, Avenida 24A, 1515 Bela Vista, 13506-700 Rio Claro, SP, Brazil; edleonel@rc.unesp.br

Alexander Loskutov, Physics Faculty, Moscow State University, Vorob'evy Gory, Moscow 119992, Russia; loskutov@chaos.phys.msu.ru