Int. J. Open Problems Compt. Math., Vol. 2, No. 4, December 2009 ISSN 1998-6262; Copyright ©ICSRS Publication, 2009 www.i-csrs.org

# **On Some Feng Qi Type** *h*-Integral Inequalities

#### Valmir Krasniqi and Armend Sh. Shabani

Department of Mathematics, University of Prishtina, Prishtinë 10000, Republic of Kosova e-mail: vali.99@hotmail.com and armend\_shabani@hotmail.com

### Abstract

In this paper, several Feng Qi type h-integral inequalities are given by using elementary analytic methods in h-Calculus.

Keywords: h-derivative, h-integral, Inequalities.

**2000** Mathematics Subject Classification: 33D05, 26D10, 26D15, 81P99.

### **1** Introduction

In [7] the following problem was posed: Under what conditions does the inequality

$$\int_{a}^{b} [f(x)]^{t} dx \ge \left[\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx\right]^{t-1} \tag{1}$$

holds for t > 1?

In [1] it has been proved the following: Let [a, b] be a closed interval of  $\mathbb{R}$ and let  $p \ge 1$  be a real number. For any real continuous function f on [a, b], differentiable on ]a, b[, such that  $f(a) \ge 0$ , and  $f'(x) \ge p$  for all  $x \in ]a, b[$ , we have that

$$\int_{a}^{b} [f(x)]^{p+2} dx \ge \frac{1}{(b-a)^{p-1}} \left[ \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx \right]^{p+1}.$$
 (2)

In [2] it has been obtained the q-analogue of the previous result as follows. Let  $p \ge 1$  be a real number and f be a function defined on  $[a, b]_q$  (see below for the definitions and notation), such that  $f(a) \ge 0$ , and  $D_q f(x) \ge p$  for all  $x \in (a, b]_q$ . Then

$$\int_{a}^{b} [f(x)]^{p+2} d_{q}x \ge \frac{1}{(b-a)^{p-1}} \Big[ \int_{a}^{b} f(qx) d_{q}x \Big]^{p+1}.$$
 (3)

The aim of this paper is to extend this result. We also note that in [6], Theorems 1 and 2, are valid only if  $\beta \geq 2$  and not  $\beta \geq 1$  as it is stated there. This paper will also provide some more sufficient conditions such that inequalities presented in [6] are valid.

## 2 Notations and preliminaries

For the convenience of the reader, we provide a summary of notations and definitions used in this paper. For details, one may refer to [3] and [5].

Let  $h \neq 0$ . A quantum derivative of a function f, that is characterized by an additive parameter h, is the h derivative, denoted by  $D_h f$  and given by

$$D_h f(x) = \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}.$$
 (4)

One can easily verify the product and quotient rules for h-differentiation.

$$D_h(f(x)g(x)) = f(x)D_hg(x) + g(x+h)D_hf(x).$$
 (5)

$$D_h\left(\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}\right) = \frac{g(x)D_hf(x) - f(x)D_hg(x)}{g(x)g(x+h)}.$$
 (6)

If f'(0) exists, then  $D_h f(0) = f'(0)$ . As h tends to 0, the h-derivative reduces to the usual derivative.

If  $b - a \in h\mathbb{Z}$ , the definite *h*-integral is defined by (see [5])

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)d_{h}x = \begin{cases} h\left(f(a) + f(a+h) + \dots + f(b-h)\right) & \text{if } a < b \\ 0 & \text{if } a = b \\ -h\left(f(b) + f(b+h) + \dots + f(a-h)\right) & \text{if } a > b \end{cases}$$
(7)

From the above definition, one can see that the definite *h*-integral is a Riemann sum of f on the interval [a, b], which is partitioned into subintervals of equal width.

The following Theorem, whose proof can be found in [5], justifies (7) as an appropriate definition for the h-integral.

**Theorem 1** (Fundamental Theorem of h-calculus) If F is an h-antiderivative of f and  $b - a \in h\mathbb{Z}$  then

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)d_{h}(x) = F(b) - F(a).$$
 (8)

Applying Theorem 1 to  $D_h(f(x)g(x))$  and using (5), one obtains the *h*-analogue of integration by parts.

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)d_{h}g(x) = f(b)g(b) - f(a)g(a) - \int_{a}^{b} g(x+h)d_{h}f(x).$$
(9)

For any function f one has

$$D_h\left(\int_a^x f(t)d_ht\right) = f(x). \tag{10}$$

## 3 Main Results

In order to prove our main results we need the following Lemma, which is h-analogue of Lemma 3.1 from [2].

**Lemma 2** Let  $p \ge 1$  and g be a non-negative, increasing function on [a, b]. Then

$$pg^{p-1}(x)D_hg(x) \le D_h[g^p(x)] \le pg^{p-1}(x+h)D_hg(x).$$
 (11)

**Proof.** We have

$$D_h(g^p(x)) = \frac{g^p(x+h) - g^p(x)}{h} = \frac{p}{h} \int_{g(x)}^{g(x+h)} t^{p-1} dt.$$
 (12)

Since g is non-negative increasing function we have:

$$g^{p-1}(x)(g(x+h)-g(x)) \le \int_{g(x)}^{g(x+h)} t^{p-1}dt \le g^{p-1}(x+h)(g(x+h)-g(x)).$$
(13)

Therefore by (12) and (13) one has:

$$pg^{p-1}(x)D_hg(x) \le D_h[g^p(x)] \le pg^{p-1}(x+h)D_hg(x)$$

**Theorem 3** If f is a non-negative increasing function on [a, b] and satisfies

$$f^{t-2}(x)D_h f(x) \ge (t-2)(x+2h-a)^{t-3}f^{t-2}(x+2h),$$
(14)

for  $t \geq 3$  and  $b - a \in h\mathbb{Z}$  then

$$\int_{a}^{b} f^{t}(x)d_{h}x \ge \left(\int_{a}^{b} f(x)d_{h}x\right)^{t-1}.$$
(15)

**Proof.** For  $x \in [a, b]$ , let

$$F(x) = \int_a^x f^t(u) d_h u - \left(\int_a^x f(u) d_h u\right)^{t-1}$$

and  $g(x) = \int_{a}^{x} f(u)d_{h}u$ . By virtue of Lemma 2, it follows that

$$D_h F(x) = f^t(x) - D_h(g^{t-1}(x))$$
  

$$\geq f^t(x) - (t-1)g^{t-2}(x+h)f(x)$$
  

$$= f(x)F_1(x),$$

where  $F_1(x) = f^{t-1}(x) - (t-1)g^{t-2}(x+h)$ . By Lemma 2 we have

$$D_h F_1(x) = D_h(f^{t-1}(x)) - (t-1)D_h(g^{t-2}(x+h))$$
  

$$\geq (t-1)f^{t-2}(x)D_hf(x) - (t-1)(t-2)g^{t-3}(x+2h)D_hg(x+h)$$
  

$$\geq (t-1)f^{t-2}(x)D_hf(x) - (t-1)(t-2)g^{t-3}(x+2h)f(x+h).$$

Since f is a non-negative and increasing function, then

$$g(x+2h) = \int_{a}^{x+2h} f(u)d_{h}t \le (x+2h-a)f(x+2h)$$

hence

$$D_h F_1(x) \ge (t-1)f^{t-2}(x)D_h f(x) - (t-1)(t-2)(x+2h-a)^{t-3}f^{t-3}(x+2h)f(x+h)$$
  

$$\ge (t-1)f^{t-2}(x)D_h f(x) - (t-1)(t-2)(x+2h-a)^{t-3}f^{t-2}(x+2h)$$
  

$$= (t-1)\left(f^{t-2}(x)D_h f(x) - (t-2)(x+2h-a)^{t-3}f^{t-2}(x+2h)\right) \ge 0.$$

We conclude that  $F_1$  is increasing function. Hence  $F_1(x) \ge F_1(a) \ge 0$  which means that  $D_h F(x) \ge 0$ . So F is increasing and since  $F(x) \ge F(a) = 0$  the proof is completed.

**Theorem 4** Let  $p \ge 1$ . If f is a non-negative and increasing function on [a, b] and satisfies

$$f^{p}(x)D_{h}f(x) \ge \frac{p(x+2h-a)}{(b-a)^{p-1}} \cdot f^{p}(x+2h)$$
 (16)

then

$$\int_{a}^{b} f^{p+2}(x) d_{h}x \ge \frac{1}{(b-a)^{p-1}} \Big( \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d_{h}x \Big)^{p+1}.$$
 (17)

**Proof.** For  $x \in [a, b]$  let

$$F(x) = \int_{a}^{x} f^{p+2}(u) d_{h}t - \frac{1}{(b-a)^{p-1}} \left(\int_{a}^{x} f(u) d_{h}(u)\right)^{p-1}$$

and  $g(x) = \int_{a}^{x} f(u)d_{h}u$ . Utilizing Lemma 2 gives that

$$D_h F(x) = f^{p+2}(x) - \frac{1}{(b-a)^{p-1}} D_h(g^{p+1}(x))$$
  

$$\geq f^{p+2}(x) - \frac{p+1}{(b-a)^{p-1}} g^p(x+h) f(x)$$
  

$$= f(x) F_1(x),$$

where  $F_1(x) = f^{p+1}(x) - \frac{p+1}{(b-a)^{p-1}}g^p(x+h)$ . By Lemma 2 we have

$$D_h F_1(x) = D_h(f^{p+1}(x)) - \frac{p+1}{(b-a)^{p-1}} D_h(g^p(x+h))$$
  

$$\ge (p+1)f^p(x) D_h f(x) - \frac{p+1}{(b-a)^{p-1}} D_h g^p(x+h)$$
  

$$\ge (p+1)f^p(x) D_h f(x) - \frac{(p+1)p}{(b-a)^{p-1}} g^{p-1}(x+2h)f(x+h)$$

Since f is a non-negative and increasing function, then

$$g(x+2h) = \int_{a}^{x+2h} f(u)d_{h}t \le (x+2h-a)f(x+2h),$$

hence

$$D_h F_1(x) \ge (p+1)f^p(x)D_h f(x) - \frac{(p+1)p(x+2h-a)^{p-1}}{(b-a)^{p-1}}f^{p-1}(x+2h)f(x+h)$$
  
$$\ge (p+1)f^p(x)D_h f(x) - \frac{(p+1)p(x+2h-a)^{p-1}}{(b-a)^{p-1}}f^p(x+2h)$$
  
$$= (p+1)\Big(f^p(x)D_h f(x) - \frac{p(x+2h-a)^{p-1}}{(b-a)^{p-1}}f^p(x+2h)\Big) \ge 0.$$

We conclude that  $F_1$  is increasing function. Hence  $F_1(x) \ge F_1(a) \ge 0$  which means that  $D_h F(x) \ge 0$ . So F is increasing and since  $F(x) \ge F(a) = 0$  the proof is completed.

At the end of the notes, we pose the following problem.

Problem. Under what conditions does the inequality

$$\int_{a}^{b} f^{t}(x)d_{h}x \ge \left(\int_{a}^{b} x^{t}f(x)d_{h}(x)\right)^{t-1}$$

holds for  $t \ge 1$ ?

520

### References

- M. Akkouchi, Some Integral Inequalities, Divulgaciones Math., 11(2) (2003), 121–125.
- [2] K. Brahim, N. Bettaibi and M. Sellemi, On some Feng-Qi type q-integral inequalities, J. Ineq. Pure Appl. Math., 9 (2)(2008), Art. 43; Available online at: http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php?sid=975.
- [3] G. Gasper, M. Rahman, *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, 2nd Edition, (2004), Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Applications, 96, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [4] F.H. Jackson, On a q-definite integrals, Quarterly Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, **41** (1910), 193–203.
- [5] V. G. Kac, P. Cheung, *Quantum Calculus*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, (2002).
- [6] Y. Miao, F. Qi, Several q-integral inequalities, J. Math. Inequal., 3(1) (2009), 115–121.
- [7] F. Qi, Several integral inequalities, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., 1(2)(2000),Art. Available online 19; $\operatorname{at}$ http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php?sid=113.