

# HIGH ORDER COMPLEMENTARY BASES OF PRIMES

V. H. Vu<sup>1</sup>

*Department of Mathematics, University of California at San Diego*

*La Jolla, CA 92093, USA*

vanvu@ucsd.edu

*Received: 3/24/02, Accepted: 10/22/02, Published: 10/23/02*

## Abstract

We show that there is a set  $X \subset \mathbf{N}$  with density  $O(\log n)$  such that every sufficiently large natural number can be represented as sum of two elements from  $X$  and a prime. The density is a  $\log^{1/2} n$  factor off being best possible.

## 1. Introduction

A central notion in additive number theory is that of bases. A subset  $X$  of  $\mathbf{N}$  is a basis of order  $k$  if every sufficiently large number  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  can be represented as a sum of  $k$  elements of  $X$ . Here and later  $\mathbf{N}$  denotes the set of natural numbers.

In this note, we are working with a related notion of complementary bases. Given a set  $A \subset \mathbf{N}$ , a set  $X \subset \mathbf{N}$  is a complementary basis of order  $k$  of  $A$  if every sufficiently large natural number can be written as a sum of an element in  $A$  and  $k$  elements in  $X$ . All asymptotic notations are used under the assumption that  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The logarithms have natural base.

Consider the set  $P$  of primes. Since  $P$  has density  $n/\log n$ , it is clear by the pigeon hole principle that a complementary basis of order  $k$  of  $P$  should have density  $\Omega(\log^{1/k} n)$ . As far as we know, it is still an open question to determine, even for the case  $k = 1$ , that whether there is a complementary basis of  $P$  with density  $O(\log^{1/k} n)$ . In [1], Erdős shown that for  $k = 1$ , there is a complementary base of density  $O(\log^2 n)$ . In a recent paper, Ruzsa [5], improving a result of Kolountzakis [4], showed that there exists a set  $X$  of density  $\omega(n) \log n$ , where  $\omega(n)$  is a function tending to infinity arbitrarily slow in  $n$ , such that the set  $X + P$  has density one (i.e., almost all natural numbers can be represented as a sum of an element from  $X$  and a prime).

---

<sup>1</sup>Research supported in part by grant RB091G-VU from UCSD, by NSF grant DMS-0200357, and by a Sloan fellowship.

In this note, we focus on the case  $k = 2$ . Our main theorem is

**Theorem 1.1**  *$P$  has a complementary basis of order 2 and density  $O(\log n)$ .*

**Corollary 1.2** *For all  $k \geq 2$ ,  $P$  has a complementary basis of order  $k$  and density  $O(\log n)$ .*

We conjecture that

**Conjecture.** *For any fixed  $k$ ,  $P$  has a complementary basis of order  $k$  and density  $O(\log^{1+o(1)/k} n)$ .*

The probabilistic method is the only effective approach so far to this type of problems. It seems that to prove even the density  $O(\log^{2/k} n)$  for  $k \geq 3$  requires a new tool from probability theory. Such a tool should surely be of independent interest. The first step towards the conjecture might be to prove a bound with the exponent decreasing in  $k$ .

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We construct the claimed complementary basis  $X$  by the random method. For each  $x \in \mathbf{N}$ , choose  $x$  to be in  $X$  with probability  $p_x = \mathbf{c}/x$ , where  $\mathbf{c}$  is a positive constant to be determined. Let  $t_x$  be the binary random variable representing the choice of  $x$  (thus  $t_x = 1$  with probability  $p_x$  and 0 with probability  $1 - p_x$ ). We skip the fairly easy proof of the fact that almost surely,  $X(m) = O(\log m)$  for every  $m$ , i.e,  $X$  has the right density; the interested readers might want to consider this as a warm-up exercise. Now let us consider the number representations of  $n$  as sum of a prime and two elements from  $X$ . This number is a random variable depending on the  $t_j$ 's,  $j < n$  and can be expressed as follows

$$Y_n = \sum_{p < n} \sum_{i+j=n-p} t_i t_j,$$

where in the second sum we do not count permutations. Here and later in a sum over  $p$  we understand that  $p$  is a prime. We next show that there is a constant  $n_0$  such that with probability at least  $1/2$ ,  $Y_n \geq 1$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ . To achieve this goal, it suffices to prove that for all sufficiently large  $n$ ,  $Pr(Y_n = 0) \leq n^{-2}$  (notice that the sum  $\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} n^{-2}$  goes to 0 as  $n_0$  tends to infinity). It has turned out that it is much more convenient to work with the following truncation of  $Y_n$

$$Y'_n = \sum_{p \leq n-2n^{2/3}} \sum_{i+j=n-p; i,j \geq n^{2/3}} t_i t_j.$$

In the following, we shall prove

$$Pr(Y' = 0) \leq n^{-2}. \tag{1}$$

Central to the proof of (1) is the following

**Lemma 2.3** *For all sufficient large  $n$*

$$\sum_{p \leq n - n^{2/3}} \frac{1}{n - p} = \Theta(1),$$

and

$$\sum_{p \leq n - 2n^{2/3}} \frac{1}{n - p} = \Theta(1).$$

**Proof of Lemma 2.3.** To verify the first equality, let us set  $n_1 = n - n^{2/3}$  and  $n_l = n_{l-1} - n_{l-1}^{2/3}$  for all  $l = 2, 3, 4, \dots, s$  where  $s$  is the first place where  $n_s \leq n/2$ . It is a routine to show by induction that

$$n - \frac{ln^{2/3}}{2} \geq n_l \geq n - ln^{2/3}. \tag{2}$$

Let  $P_l$  denote the set of primes in the interval  $[n_l, n_{l-1})$ . It is clear, by (2), that for all  $p \in P_l$ ,

$$\frac{2}{ln^{2/3}} \geq \frac{1}{n - p} \geq \frac{1}{ln^{2/3}}. \tag{3}$$

On the other hand, it is a well-known fact in number theory that the number of primes in the interval  $[m - m^{2/3}, m)$  is  $\Theta(m^{2/3}/\log m)$  for every sufficiently large  $m$  (see, for instance, [2]). Thus

$$|P_l| = \Theta(n_{l-1}^{2/3}/\log n_{l-1}) = \Theta(n^{2/3}/\log n)$$

for all  $l$ . This and (3) yield

$$\sum_{l=2}^s \sum_{p \in P_l} \frac{1}{n - p} = \Theta(\log^{-1} n \sum_{l=2}^s 1/l) = \Theta(\log^{-1} n \times \log s) = \Theta(1). \tag{4}$$

To complete the proof of the first equality, notice that  $\sum_{p \geq n/2} 1/(n-p) \leq \sum_{j=n/2}^n 1/j = O(\frac{n}{\log n}) = o(1)$ . The second equality follows easily. Q.E.D

The second main ingredient of our proof is a large deviation bound, due to Janson [3]. Let  $z_1, \dots, z_m$  be independent indicator random variables and consider a random variable  $Y = \sum_{\alpha} I_{\alpha}$  where each  $I_{\alpha}$  is the product of few  $z_j$ 's. We write  $\alpha \sim \beta$  if there is some  $z_j$  which occurs in both  $I_{\alpha}$  and  $I_{\beta}$ . Furthermore, set  $\Delta = \sum_{\alpha \sim \beta} \mathbf{E}(I_{\alpha} I_{\beta})$ . To this end,  $\mathbf{E}(A)$  denotes the expectation of the random variable  $A$ .

**Theorem 2.4** (Janson) *For any  $Y$  as above and any positive number  $\varepsilon$*

$$\Pr(Y \leq (1 - \varepsilon)\mathbf{E}(Y)) \leq e^{-\frac{(\varepsilon\mathbf{E}(Y))^2}{2(\mathbf{E}(Y)+\Delta)}}.$$

From Theorem 2.4, one can routinely derive the following lemma. For a pair  $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ , we write  $i \sim j$  if there is some  $\alpha$  such that  $I_{\alpha}$  contains both  $z_i$  and  $z_j$ .

**Lemma 2.5** *There is a positive constant  $r$  such that the following holds. If each term  $I_{\alpha}$  in  $Y$  is the product of exactly 2 random variables and for all  $m \geq i \geq 1$ ,  $\mathbf{E}(Y) \geq r \log n(\mathbf{E}(\sum_{j \sim i} t_j) + 1)$ , then*

$$\Pr(Y = 0) \leq n^{-2}.$$

We now apply Lemma 2.5 to  $Y'_n$ . Let us notice that in our setting,  $i \sim j$  if and only if there is a prime number  $p$  such that  $i + j + p = n$ . Therefore,

$$\mathbf{E}(\sum_{j \sim i} t_j) = \sum_{p \leq n-i-n^{2/3}} \frac{\mathbf{c}}{n-i-p} \leq \sum_{p \leq m-m^{2/3}} \frac{\mathbf{c}}{m-p},$$

where  $m = n - i$ . By Lemma 2.3, there is a constant  $a$  such that  $\mathbf{E}(\sum_{j \sim i} t_j) \leq a\mathbf{c}$ . Moreover, a simple calculation yields that

$$\mathbf{E}(\sum_{i+j=n-p, i, j \geq n^{2/3}} t_i t_j) = \Omega(\mathbf{c}^2 \frac{\log(n-p)}{n-p}).$$

This and Lemma 2.3 together imply

$$\mathbf{E}(Y') = \Omega(\sum_{p \leq n-2n^{2/3}} \frac{\log(n-p)}{n-p}) = \Omega(\mathbf{c}^2 \log n \sum_{p \leq n-2n^{2/3}} \frac{1}{n-p}) = \Omega(\mathbf{c}^2 \log n). \quad (5)$$

(5) guarantees that there is a positive constant  $b$  such that  $\mathbf{E}(Y') \geq bc^2 \log n$ . Thus, by increasing  $\mathbf{c}$ , we can guarantee that the condition of Lemma 2.5 is met and this completes the proof. *Q.E.D*

*Acknowledgement.* The author would like to thank Andrew Granville for a correction concerning the result of Ruzsa quoted in the introduction.

## References

- [1] P. Erdős, Problems and results in additive number theory, *Colloque sur la Théorie de Nombre (CBRM)* (Bruxelles, 1956), 127-137
- [2] H. Halberstam and K. F. Roth, *Sequences*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [3] S. Janson, Poisson approximation for large deviations, *Random Structures and Algorithms*, 1 (1990), 221-230.
- [4] M. Kolountzakis, On the additive complements of the primes and sets of similar growth, *Acta Arith.* 77 (1996), no. 1, 1–8.
- [5] I. Ruzsa, On the additive completion of primes. *Acta Arith.* 86 (1998), no. 3, 269–275.