

## ON THE $t$ -CORE OF AN $s$ -CORE PARTITION

**Rishi Nath**

*York College (CUNY), Jamaica, NY 11451*

rnath@york.cuny.edu

*Received: 4/17/08, Revised: 6/4/08, Accepted: 6/22/08, Published: 7/16/08*

### Abstract

Given two relatively prime positive integers  $s$  and  $t$ , J. Olsson proved that the  $t$ -core of an  $s$ -core partition  $\rho$  is again an  $s$ -core. In this note we extend this result to the case where  $s$  and  $t$  are arbitrary distinct positive integers.

### 1. Main Result

Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of nonnegative integers and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consider sequences  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t)$  of integers from  $\mathbb{N}$  with  $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_t$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_i = n$ . Two such sequences  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t)$  and  $(\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_t)$  are said to be equivalent if their nonzero terms are the same. A *partition*  $\lambda$  of  $n$  will then be defined as an equivalence class of such sequences.

A sequence  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t)$  representing  $\lambda$  determines a corresponding  $\beta$ -set, namely  $\beta(\lambda) = \{x_1, \dots, x_t\}$ , where  $x_i = \alpha_i + (i - 1)$ . The equivalence relation on sequences induces an equivalence relation on  $\beta$ -sets. Two  $\beta$ -sets  $\beta(\lambda) = \{x_1, \dots, x_t\}$  and  $\beta(\lambda)' = \{x'_1, \dots, x'_t\}$  are equivalent if  $t' - t = d \geq 0$  and  $\{x'_1, \dots, x'_t\} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, d - 1\} \cup \{x_1 + d, \dots, x_t + d\}$ .

We may write this as  $\beta(\lambda)' = \{0, \dots, d - 1\} \cup \{\beta(\lambda) + d\}$ . Then  $f_d : (y, x] \longrightarrow (y + d, x + d]$  is a bijection between  $\beta(\lambda)$  and  $\beta(\lambda)'$ . A *hook*  $h$  of  $\lambda$  is a pair of nonnegative integers  $h = (y, x)$  where  $x \in \beta(\rho)$ ,  $y \notin \beta(\rho)$  and  $y < x$ . We say  $h$  has *length*  $s$  ( $t$ ) if  $x - y = s$  ( $x - y = t$ ). A hook  $h$  of length  $s$  ( $t$ ) is also called an  $s$ -hook ( $t$ -hook). A partition  $\rho$  is an  $s$ -core ( $t$ -core) if it contains no  $s$ -hooks ( $t$ -hooks). In particular,  $f_d$  preserves hook lengths.

**Theorem 1.1** Suppose  $s$  and  $t$  are distinct positive integers and  $\rho$  is an  $s$ -core. Then the  $t$ -core of  $\rho$  is also an  $s$ -core.

To prove Theorem 1.1, we must define the  $s$ -abacus  $\mathcal{A}^s(\beta(\rho))$  of  $\rho$ . We do so as follows: create  $s$  runners numbered  $0, 1, \dots, s - 1$  running from north to south. In the  $i$ -th runner we place all non-negative integers of residue  $i$  modulo  $s$  in increasing order, and then underline

the numbers that occur in  $\beta(\rho)$ . These underlined numbers will be referred to as *beads* while the numbers that are not underlined will be referred to as *spaces*.

Suppose  $\rho = (5, 5, 4, 3)$ ,  $\beta(\rho) = \{3, 5, 7, 8\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}^3(\beta(\rho))$  is defined to be:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ \underline{3} & 4 & \underline{5} \\ 6 & \underline{7} & \underline{8}. \end{array}$$

The subset of beads on the  $i$ -th runner will be denoted  $\beta(\rho)_i$ . Removing an  $s$ -hook from  $\rho$  is equivalent to replacing an  $x$  (in some  $\beta(\rho)_i$ ) with  $x - s$ . Notice  $x - s$  is the position directly above  $x$  on the  $i$ -th runner. This will be described as moving a bead *one position north*. Then  $\mathcal{A}^s(\beta(\rho))$  is the  $s$ -abacus of a  $s$ -core if for every  $\beta(\rho)_i$  there are no available moves one position north (Theorem 2.7.16, [2]). The replacement  $\underline{x} = i + ms$  on the  $i$ -th runner of the  $s$ -abacus with  $\underline{x}' = i' + m's$  where  $x' < x$ ,  $i' \neq i$  will be described as moving a bead  $x - x'$  positions *west*. (The reader is referred to Section 2.7, [2] and Sections I.1–I.3, [6] for further details on partitions,  $\beta$ -sets, hooks, and the  $s$ -abacus.)

*Proof.* When  $(s, t) = 1$  the result is true by [5]. Suppose  $(s, t) \neq 1$ . Either (1)  $s$  divides  $t$  or (2)  $\gcd(s, t) \notin \{1, s\}$ . If  $s$  divides  $t$ , then any  $s$ -core partition is itself a  $t$ -core, so we are done. Suppose  $s$  does not divide  $t$  and  $\gcd(s, t) > 1$ . Removing a  $t$ -hook from  $\rho$  is equivalent to taking a bead  $x$  on the  $\ell$ -th runner (for some  $\ell$  between 0 and  $s - 1$ ) of  $\mathcal{A}^s(\beta(\rho))$  and placing it in empty position to the west in the  $(\ell - t)$ -th runner. (For the remainder of this note, if  $t > \ell$  we will interpret this difference as  $\ell - t \pmod s$ .) Removing another  $t$ -hook starting from a bead on the  $(\ell - t)$ -th runner, we arrive at the  $(\ell - 2t)$ -th runner, and so on, until eventually for some  $j > 0$  we obtain  $\ell - jt \equiv \ell \pmod s$ . This suggests the following definition. A  $t$ -orbit of the  $s$ -abacus is a finite sequence (read from right-to-left) of distinct runners reached by repeated moves of  $t$ -positions west. Then, if  $k' = \gcd(s, t)$ , each  $t$ -orbit of  $\mathcal{A}^s(\beta(\rho))$  will have exactly  $k'$  distinct runners. Starting from  $\ell = 1$ , for each value  $1, 2, 3 \dots$  we denote by  $\mathcal{O}_t(s - \ell)$  a  $t$ -orbit of runners which begins at the  $(s - \ell)$ -th runner. Since for  $0 \leq z, z' \leq s - 1$  and  $z \neq z'$  either  $\mathcal{O}_t(z) \cap \mathcal{O}_t(z') = \emptyset$  or  $\mathcal{O}_t(z) = \mathcal{O}_t(z')$ , there will be exactly  $k = \frac{s}{k'}$  distinct  $t$ -orbits of  $\mathcal{A}^s(\beta(\rho))$ .

Now  $\mathcal{O}_t(s - \ell)$  can itself be seen as a  $k'$ -abacus of runners plucked from  $\mathcal{A}^{(s)}(\beta(\rho))$  and re-arranged in such a way that moving a bead one position west is equivalent to removing a  $t$ -hook from  $\rho$ . (Note: from the westmost runner removing a  $t$ -hook requires placing the bead one position north on the eastmost runner.) Viewed as a  $k'$ -abacus, moving a bead one position north in  $\mathcal{O}_t(s - \ell)$  will still be equivalent to removing a  $s$ -hook from  $\rho$ , since it is comprised of runners of  $\mathcal{A}^s(\beta(\rho))$ . This construction is a variation of the  $(s, t)$ -abacus of J. Olsson and D. Stanton (see Section 5, [4]) which they define when  $s, t$  are relatively prime.

For all  $1 \leq \ell \leq k$  the runners in  $\mathcal{O}_t(s - \ell) \subset \mathcal{A}^s(\beta(\rho))$  will be labeled

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_t(s - 1) &= (\beta(\rho)_{s-1-(k'-1)t}, \dots, \beta(\rho)_{s-1}) \\ &\vdots \\ \mathcal{O}_t(s - k) &= (\beta(\rho)_{s-k-(k'-1)t}, \dots, \beta(\rho)_{s-k}). \end{aligned}$$

For a fixed  $\ell$  we obtain the  $t$ -core of  $\mathcal{O}_t(s - \ell)$  by moving all available beads one position west on  $\mathcal{O}_t(s - \ell)$  until we obtain a  $k'$ -abacus with no available westward moves. We denote this  $k'$ -abacus by  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_t(s - \ell)$ . It can be obtained systematically from  $\mathcal{O}_t(s - \ell)$ .

**Algorithm for finding  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_t(s - \ell)$ .** Let  $i \in \{1, \dots, k'\}$  and  $b_i = |\beta(\rho)_{(s-\ell)-(i-1)t}|$  be the number of beads in the runner  $i$  positions west on  $\mathcal{O}_t(s - \ell)$ . Then  $B(1, 2) = b_1 - b_2$  is the difference between the number of beads in the eastmost or  $(s - \ell)$ -th runner and the runner immediately to the west of it, the  $(s - \ell - t)$ -th runner. If  $B(1, 2)$  is negative or zero, move no beads. If  $B(1, 2) = 2f$ , place  $f$  of the southmost beads from the  $(s - \ell)$ -th runner in the northmost empty spaces of the  $(s - \ell - t)$ -th runner, so that the number of beads in the two runners now become equal. If  $B(1, 2) = 2f + 1$ , place the  $f + 1$  of the southmost beads from the  $(s - \ell)$ -th runner in the northmost empty spaces of  $(s - \ell - t)$ -th runner, so that the runner to the west now has one more bead than the eastmost runner. For  $i = 2, 3, \dots$  etc. follow the same procedure for  $B(i, i + 1)$ , except when  $B = (k', 1) = 2f + 1$ . In this case, place only  $f$  beads from the westmost runner in the northmost available empty spaces on the eastmost or  $(s - \ell)$ -th runner. Repeating this procedure a finite number of times results in the modified subsequence  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_t(s - \ell) = (\widehat{\beta}(\rho)_{s-\ell-(k'-1)t}, \dots, \widehat{\beta}(\rho)_{s-\ell})$  which when viewed as a  $k'$ -abacus has no available westward moves.

**Finding the  $t$ -core of  $\rho$ .** For each  $\ell$ , obtain  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_t(s - \ell)$  from  $\mathcal{O}_t(s - \ell)$  as above. Then  $\mathcal{A}^s(\widehat{\beta}(\rho)) = (\widehat{\beta}(\rho)_0, \dots, \widehat{\beta}(\rho)_{s-1})$  will be the  $s$ -abacus for the  $t$ -core of  $\rho$ . This follows by construction, since using the runners of the modified  $t$ -orbits  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_t(s - \ell)$  implies there are no available moves  $t$  positions west. However  $\mathcal{A}^s(\widehat{\beta}(\rho))$  still has no available moves one position north (by our algorithm) and hence remains an  $s$ -abacus of an  $s$ -core.

## 2. Examples

**Example 2.1.** Let  $s = 6$  and  $t = 3$ . Consider the following 6-abacus  $\mathcal{A}^6(\beta(\rho))$  of a 6-core  $\rho$ :

$\beta(\rho)_0$	$\beta(\rho)_1$	$\beta(\rho)_2$	$\beta(\rho)_3$	$\beta(\rho)_4$	$\beta(\rho)_5$
0	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>
6	<u>7</u>	<u>8</u>	9	<u>10</u>	11
12	13	<u>14</u>	15	<u>16</u>	17
18	19	20	21	<u>22</u>	23.

Since  $\gcd(6,3)=3$ , we have  $k = 3$  and  $k' = \frac{6}{3} = 2$ . Hence we have three 3-orbits  $\mathcal{O}_t(s - \ell)$  (each with  $k' = 2$  runners) and their corresponding 3-cores  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_t(s - \ell)$ :

	$\beta(\rho)_2$	$\beta(\rho)_5$		$\widehat{\beta}(\rho)_2$	$\widehat{\beta}(\rho)_5$
	<u>2</u>	<u>5</u>		<u>2</u>	<u>5</u>
$\mathcal{O}_3(1) =$	<u>8</u>	11	$\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_3(1) =$	<u>8</u>	<u>11</u>
	<u>14</u>	17		14	17
	20	23		20	23

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \beta(\rho)_1 & \beta(\rho)_4 & & \widehat{\beta}(\rho)_1 & \widehat{\beta}(\rho)_4 \\
 \mathcal{O}_3(2) = & \underline{1} & \underline{4} & & \underline{1} & \underline{4} \\
 & \underline{7} & \underline{10} & \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_3(2) = & \underline{7} & \underline{10} \\
 & \underline{13} & \underline{16} & & \underline{13} & \underline{16} \\
 & \underline{19} & \underline{22} & & \underline{19} & \underline{22} \\
 \\
 & \beta(\rho)_0 & \beta(\rho)_3 & & \widehat{\beta}(\rho)_0 & \widehat{\beta}(\rho)_3 \\
 \mathcal{O}_3(3) = & 0 & \underline{3} & & \underline{0} & 3 \\
 & 6 & 9 & \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_3(3) = & 6 & 9 \\
 & 12 & 15 & & 12 & 15 \\
 & 18 & 21 & & 18 & 21.
 \end{array}$$

Finally we obtain the 6-abacus  $\mathcal{A}^6(\widehat{\beta}(\rho))$  of  $\widehat{\rho}$  the 3-core of  $\rho$ :

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}
 \widehat{\beta}(\rho)_0 & \widehat{\beta}(\rho)_1 & \widehat{\beta}(\rho)_2 & \widehat{\beta}(\rho)_3 & \widehat{\beta}(\rho)_4 & \widehat{\beta}(\rho)_5 \\
 \underline{0} & \underline{1} & \underline{2} & 3 & \underline{4} & \underline{5} \\
 6 & \underline{7} & \underline{8} & 9 & \underline{10} & \underline{11} \\
 12 & \underline{13} & 14 & 15 & \underline{16} & 17.
 \end{array}$$

**Example 2.2.** Let  $s = 12$  and  $t = 8$ . Consider the following 12-abacus  $\mathcal{A}^{12}(\beta(\rho))$  of a 12-core  $\rho$ :

$\beta(\rho)_0$	$\beta(\rho)_1$	$\beta(\rho)_2$	$\beta(\rho)_3$	$\beta(\rho)_4$	$\beta(\rho)_5$	$\beta(\rho)_6$	$\beta(\rho)_7$	$\beta(\rho)_8$	$\beta(\rho)_9$	$\beta(\rho)_{10}$	$\beta(\rho)_{11}$
0	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	7	<u>8</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>11</u>
12	<u>13</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>18</u>	19	<u>20</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>23</u>
24	<u>25</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>30</u>	31	<u>32</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>35</u>
36	<u>37</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>42</u>	43	<u>44</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>47</u>
48	<u>49</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>54</u>	55	<u>56</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>59</u>
60	<u>61</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>66</u>	67	<u>68</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>71</u>

Since  $\gcd(12,8)=4$ , we have  $k = 4$  and  $k' = \frac{12}{4} = 3$ . Hence we have four 8-orbits  $\mathcal{O}_8(s - \ell)$  (each with  $k' = 3$  runners) and their corresponding 8-cores  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_8(s - \ell)$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \beta(\rho)_7 & \beta(\rho)_3 & \beta(\rho)_{11} & & \widehat{\beta}(\rho)_7 & \widehat{\beta}(\rho)_3 & \widehat{\beta}(\rho)_{11} \\
 7 & \underline{3} & \underline{11} & & \underline{7} & \underline{3} & \underline{11} \\
 19 & \underline{15} & \underline{23} & & \underline{19} & \underline{15} & \underline{23} \\
 \mathcal{O}_8(1) = & 31 & \underline{27} & 35 & \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_8(1) = & \underline{31} & 27 & 35 \\
 & 43 & \underline{39} & 47 & & 43 & 39 & 47 \\
 & 55 & \underline{51} & 59 & & 55 & 51 & 59 \\
 & 67 & 63 & 71 & & 67 & 63 & 71 \\
 \\
 \beta(\rho)_6 & \beta(\rho)_2 & \beta(\rho)_{10} & & \widehat{\beta}(\rho)_6 & \widehat{\beta}(\rho)_2 & \widehat{\beta}(\rho)_{10} \\
 \underline{6} & \underline{2} & \underline{10} & & \underline{6} & \underline{2} & \underline{10} \\
 \underline{18} & \underline{14} & \underline{22} & & \underline{18} & \underline{14} & \underline{22} \\
 \mathcal{O}_8(2) = & \underline{30} & 26 & \underline{34} & \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_8(2) = & \underline{30} & \underline{26} & \underline{34} \\
 & 42 & 38 & \underline{46} & & 42 & 38 & 46 \\
 & 54 & 50 & 58 & & 54 & 50 & 58 \\
 & 66 & 62 & 70 & & 66 & 62 & 70
 \end{array}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_8(3) = \begin{matrix} \beta(\rho)_5 & \beta(\rho)_1 & \beta(\rho)_9 \\ \underline{5} & \underline{1} & \underline{9} \\ 17 & \underline{13} & \underline{21} \\ 29 & \underline{25} & \underline{33} \\ 41 & \underline{37} & \underline{45} \\ 53 & 49 & \underline{57} \\ 65 & 61 & \underline{69} \end{matrix} \quad \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_8(3) = \begin{matrix} \widehat{\beta}(\rho)_5 & \widehat{\beta}(\rho)_1 & \widehat{\beta}(\rho)_9 \\ \underline{5} & \underline{1} & \underline{9} \\ \underline{17} & \underline{13} & \underline{21} \\ \underline{29} & \underline{25} & \underline{33} \\ \underline{41} & \underline{37} & 45 \\ 53 & 49 & 57 \\ 65 & 61 & 69 \end{matrix}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_8(4) = \begin{matrix} \beta(\rho)_4 & \beta(\rho)_0 & \beta(\rho)_8 \\ \underline{4} & 0 & \underline{8} \\ \underline{16} & 12 & 20 \\ \underline{28} & 24 & 32 \\ 40 & 36 & 44 \\ 52 & 48 & 56 \\ 64 & 60 & 68 \end{matrix} \quad \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_8(4) = \begin{matrix} \widehat{\beta}(\rho)_4 & \widehat{\beta}(\rho)_0 & \widehat{\beta}(\rho)_8 \\ \underline{4} & \underline{0} & \underline{8} \\ \underline{16} & 12 & 20 \\ 28 & 24 & 32 \\ 40 & 36 & 44 \\ 52 & 48 & 56 \\ 64 & 60 & 68. \end{matrix}$$

Finally we obtain the 12-abacus  $\mathcal{A}^{12}(\widehat{\beta}(\rho))$  of  $\widehat{\rho}$  the 8-core of  $\rho$ :

$\widehat{\beta}(\rho)_1$	$\widehat{\beta}(\rho)_2$	$\widehat{\beta}(\rho)_3$	$\widehat{\beta}(\rho)_4$	$\widehat{\beta}(\rho)_4$	$\widehat{\beta}(\rho)_5$	$\widehat{\beta}(\rho)_6$	$\widehat{\beta}(\rho)_7$	$\widehat{\beta}(\rho)_8$	$\widehat{\beta}(\rho)_9$	$\widehat{\beta}(\rho)_{10}$	$\widehat{\beta}(\rho)_{11}$
<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>11</u>
12	<u>13</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>19</u>	20	<u>21</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>23</u>
24	<u>25</u>	<u>26</u>	27	28	<u>29</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>31</u>	32	<u>33</u>	<u>34</u>	35
36	<u>37</u>	<u>38</u>	39	40	<u>41</u>	42	43	44	45	46	47
48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59
60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71.

For other results on partitions that are simultaneously  $s$ -cores and  $t$ -cores see [1], [3], [7].

**Acknowledgments.** The author thanks P. Fong, J. Malkevitch and F. Mawyer for useful conversations on this topic. The author also thanks the organizers of the *Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Related Topics* program at MSRI for their support; a portion of this research was done while visiting. Finally, the author thanks the referee for the helpful comments and suggestions.

**References**

[1] J. Anderson, *Partitions which are simultaneously  $t_1$ - and  $t_2$ -core*. Discrete Math. **248** (2002), 237-243.  
 [2] G. James and A. Kerber, *The Representation Theory of the Symmetric Groups*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics, 16, Addison-Wesley 1981  
 [3] B. Kane, D. Aukerman, and L. Sze, *On Simultaneous  $s$ -cores/ $t$ -cores* [lsze.cosam.calpoly.edu/research.html](http://lsze.cosam.calpoly.edu/research.html) (2001).  
 [4] J. Olsson and D. Stanton, *Block inclusions and cores of partitions* Aequationes Math. **74** (2007), 90-110.  
 [5] J. Olsson, *A theorem on the cores of partitions* arXiv:0801.4884v1.  
 [6] J. Olsson, *Combinatorics and Representations of Finite Groups*, Vorlesungen aus dem FB Mathematik der Univ. Essen, Heft 20 Essen, 1993.  
 [7] J. C. Puchta, *Partitions which are  $p$ - and  $q$ -core* Integers **1** (2001), A6, 3 pp. (electronic).