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#### Abstract

If $S$ is a region in the plane and $I$ its set of lattice points, we say that a polynomial $P(x, y)$ is a packing polynomial on $S$ if when we restrict $P(x, y)$ to $I$, the resulting map is a bijection to $\mathbb{N}$. In this paper we give a necessary condition for the existence of quadratic packing polynomials on rational sectors, and determine all quadratic packing polynomials on integral sectors.


## 1. Introduction

Let $S$ be any region in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, and $I$ the set of lattice points contained in $S$. We call a polynomial $f: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a packing polynomial if its restriction to $I$ gives a bijection to $\mathbb{N}$. Fueter and Pólya [1] showed the following result when $S$ is the first quadrant of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

Theorem 1 (Fueter and Pólya). Let $S=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid x, y \geq 0\right\}$. Then the only quadratic packing polynomials on $S$ are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x, y)=\frac{(x+y)^{2}}{2}+\frac{x+3 y}{2} \\
& g(x, y)=\frac{(x+y)^{2}}{2}+\frac{3 x+y}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Vsemirnov gave two elementary proofs of this result [4]. Though Lew and Rosenberg [2] showed that there are no cubic or quartic packing polynomials on $S$, it is still an open problem whether or not higher degree packing polynomials exist.

In this paper we will be concerned with the existence of quadratic packing polynomials on a certain family of regions in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. In particular, for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ we define $S(\alpha)$ to be the convex hull of the rays spanned by $(1,0)$ and $(1, \alpha)$, and $I(\alpha)$ the set of lattice points contained in $S(\alpha)$. Note that for $S$ as defined in Fueter and Pólya's theorem, $S=S(\infty)$.

It is already known [3] that quadratic packing polynomials exist when $\alpha=n \in \mathbb{N}$. They can be constructed by defining $J_{m}=\{(m, y) \mid y \in \mathbb{N}, y \leq m n\}$, and then counting the lattice points for each successive $J_{m}$ upwards from $(m, 0)$ to $(m, m n)$. This ordering gives us the following quadratic polynomial:

$$
f_{n}(x, y)=\sum_{m=0}^{x-1}\left|J_{m}\right|+y=\sum_{m=0}^{x-1}(m n+1)+y=(n / 2) x^{2}+(1-n / 2) x+y
$$

The second quadratic packing polynomial is obtained by instead counting each $J_{m}$ from top to bottom, which gives:
$g_{n}(x, y)=\sum_{m=0}^{x-1}\left|J_{m}\right|+n x-y=\sum_{m=0}^{x-1}(m n+1)+n x-y=(n / 2) x^{2}+(1+n / 2) x-y$.
We call $S(\alpha)$ a rational sector if $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$. In this paper we will give a necessary condition for the existence of quadratic packing polynomials on rational sectors, and find all quadratic packing polynomials on $S(n)$ when $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

## 2. A Necessary Condition

Using a similar method as in Lew and Rosenberg's proof [2] of Fueter and Pólya's theorem, we can determine the necessary form of the homogeneous quadratic part of any quadratic packing polynomial on $S(\alpha)$, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$. It turns out that for some values of $\alpha$, this homogeneous quadratic part, denoted $P_{2}(x, y)$, causes $P(x, y)$ to necessarily take non-integer values at some lattice points, thus implying that no packing polynomials exist for such $\alpha$.

The following theorem gives the necessary homogeneous quadratic part of any packing polynomial $P(x, y)$ on $S(\alpha)$, and provides a necessary condition on $\alpha$ such that the $P_{2}(x, y)$ allows $P(x, y)$ to take only integer values on $I(\alpha)$.

Theorem 2. Let $\frac{n}{m} \geq 1$, with $(n, m)=1$. Then if $S(n / m)$ has a quadratic packing polynomial, $n \mid(m-1)^{2}$. Furthermore, such a polynomial has homogeneous quadratic part:

$$
P_{2}(x, y)=\frac{n}{2}\left(x-\frac{(m-1) y}{n}\right)^{2}
$$

Note that we may always assume that $\frac{n}{m} \geq 1$, since if not we bijectively map $I(n / m)$ to $I\left(\frac{n}{m-n\lfloor m / n\rfloor}\right)$ by means of the map:

$$
W=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -\lfloor m / n\rfloor \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Precomposing with $W$ gives a bijection between quadratic packing polynomials on $S(n / m)$ and $S\left(\frac{n}{m-n\lfloor m / n\rfloor}\right)$.

Now we prove the theorem. We start by mapping $S(n / m)$ to $S(\infty)$ by means of the linear transformation:

$$
U_{n / m}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -m / n \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Note that this map does not necessarily send lattice points to lattice points.
Letting $\hat{I}(n / m)$ denote $U_{n / m}(I(n / m))$, we can see that quadratic packing polynomials on $\hat{I}(n / m)$ are in bijection with quadratic packing polynomials on $I(n / m)$. Clearly $\phi: P \mapsto P \circ U_{n / m}^{-1}$ maps packing polynomials on $I(n / m)$ to those on $\hat{I}(n / m)$, and the inverse map $\phi^{-1}: \hat{P} \mapsto \hat{P} \circ U_{n / m}$ maps packing polynomials on $\hat{I}(n / m)$ to those on $I(n / m)$. We need only check that these maps never send quadratic packing polynomials to linear packing polynomials.

By Lew and Rosenberg's Corollary 3.5 [2, pg. 204], there are no packing polynomials on $I(n / m)$ of degree less than 2 , and therefore $\phi^{-1}$ always sends quadratic packing polynomials to quadratic packing polynomials. Thus we must only make sure that if $P$ is a quadratic packing polynomial on $I(n / m)$, then $P \circ U_{n / m}^{-1}$ is quadratic. But this is clearly true, since if this polynomial were linear, then $P=P \circ U_{n / m}^{-1} \circ U_{n / m}$ would also be linear, which is a contradiction.

In light of this bijection, we may find quadratic packing polynomials on $I(n / m)$ by instead looking for quadratic packing polynomials on $\hat{I}(n / m)$.

Let $\hat{P}(x, y)=(a / 2) x^{2}+b x y+(c / 2) y^{2}+d x+e y+f$ be a quadratic packing polynomial on $\hat{I}(n / m)$ with homogeneous quadratic part denoted by $\hat{P}_{2}(x, y)$.

Proposition 1. The coefficients of $\hat{P}(x, y)$ are rational.
Proof. We see that $a, c, d$, and $f$ are rational by simple calculation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=\hat{P}(2,0)-2 \hat{P}(1,0)+\hat{P}(0,0) \in \mathbb{Z} \\
& c=\left(1 / n^{2}\right)(\hat{P}(0,2 n)-2 \hat{P}(0, n)+\hat{P}(0,0)) \in \frac{1}{n^{2}} \mathbb{Z} \\
& d=(-1 / 2) \hat{P}(2,0)+2 \hat{P}(1,0)-(3 / 2) \hat{P}(0,0) \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z} \\
& f=\hat{P}(0,0) \in \mathbb{Z}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $e=(1 / n)(\hat{P}(0, n)-f-c / 2)$, we also have that $e \in \mathbb{Q}$. Finally, we see that $b \in \mathbb{Q}$, since $\hat{P}(1, n)=a / 2+b n+(c / 2) n^{2}+d+e n+f$.

Knowing that these coefficients are rational, we may adapt some of the notation and methods that Lew and Rosenberg use in their proof of Fueter and Pólya's theorem [2], and prove the following analogous result.

Lemma 1. Let $\hat{P}_{2}(x, y)=(a / 2) x^{2}+b x y+(c / 2) y^{2}$ be the homogeneous quadratic part of a quadratic packing polynomial on $\hat{I}(n / m)$. Then the coefficients of $\hat{P}_{2}(x, y)$ satisfy $b=1=a c$.

Proof of Lemma. Lew and Rosenberg's Lemma 4.1 [2, pg. 205] tells us that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a & >0 \\
c & >0 \\
b & >-\sqrt{a c}
\end{aligned}
$$

holds when $P$ gives an injection from $I(\infty)$ to $\mathbb{N}$, a function known as a storing polynomial.

Using their result, we can show that these inequalities must also hold for any quadratic packing polynomial on $\hat{I}(n / m)$. Suppose $\hat{P}(x, y)=(a / 2) x^{2}+b x y+$ $(c / 2) y^{2}+d x+e y+f$ were such a polynomial. Let $W$ denote the linear transformation:

$$
W=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & n
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Clearly if $(x, y) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}, W(x, y)=(x+m y-m n y / n, n y) \in \hat{I}(n / m)$. Then $\hat{P} \circ$ $W(x, y)=(a / 2) x^{2}+n b x y+\left(c n^{2} / 2\right) y^{2}+d x+e y+f$ gives a storing function on $I(\infty)$, and thus by Lew and Rosenberg's result, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a & >0 \\
n^{2} c & >0 \\
b n & >-\sqrt{n^{2} a c} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $n>0$, we obtain the desired result.
Following their method, we let $\gamma=\frac{b}{\sqrt{a c}}, D(\hat{P}, k)=\left\{(x, y) \in S(\infty) \mid \hat{P}_{2}(x, y) \leq\right.$ $k\}$, and $A(\hat{P}, k)$ denote the area of $D(\hat{P}, k)$. We define the density of $\hat{P}$ to be:

$$
\hat{I}(n / m) \div \hat{P}=\lim _{l \rightarrow \infty}(1 / l)\left[\#\left(\hat{I}(n / m) \cap \hat{P}^{-1}([0, l])\right)\right]
$$

In order for $\hat{P}$ to be a packing polynomial we must have that $\hat{I}(n / m) \div \hat{P}=1$, in which case we say that $\hat{P}$ has unit density. By Lew and Rosenberg's argument [2, pg. 207], $\frac{A(\hat{P}, k)}{k}$ is independent of choice of $k$. Furthermore this ratio equals $\hat{I}(n / m) \div \hat{P}$, a result that they derive by showing that the number of points in $\hat{I}(n / m) \cap \hat{P}([0, l])$ has asymptotic behavior similar to $A(\hat{P}, l)$. Thus we have that $1=\hat{I}(n / m) \div \hat{P}=A(\hat{P}, 1)$.

Because the coefficients of $\hat{P}_{2}(x, y)$ are rational, we have that $\gamma=1$ (otherwise $A(\hat{P}, 1)$ is transcendental $[2, \mathrm{pg} .208])$, and therefore we have that $a c=b^{2}$.

We may thus write:

$$
\hat{P}_{2}(x, y)=\frac{(\sqrt{a} x+\sqrt{c} y)^{2}}{2}
$$

The region $D(\hat{P}, 1)$ is the triangle with endpoints $(0,0),(\sqrt{2 / a}, 0)$, and $(0, \sqrt{2 / c})$, which has area $1 / \sqrt{a c}=1 / b$. So in order for $\hat{P}(x, y)$ to be a packing polynomial, we need $b=1$.

Our polynomial is therefore of the form:

$$
\hat{P}(x, y)=\frac{(\sqrt{a} x+\sqrt{c} y)^{2}}{2}+d x+e y+f
$$

for some $a, c, d, e, f \in \mathbb{Q}$, with $a c=1$.
To pin down the precise values of $a$ and $c$, the following proposition will be useful.
Proposition 2. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& a \in \mathbb{Z}  \tag{1}\\
& c \in \frac{1}{n^{2}} \mathbb{Z}  \tag{2}\\
& a m^{2}-a m n-2 m n+c n^{2} \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod n^{2}\right) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We recall that (1) and (2) were shown in the proof of Proposition 1. To prove (3), define points:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=U_{n / m}(2,2) \\
& B=U_{n / m}(1,1) \\
& C=U_{n / m}(2,1) \\
& D=U_{n / m}(1,0)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that since we assumed $n / m \geq 1$, we know that all of these points are in $I(n / m)$. We see that $a m^{2}-a m n-2 m n+c n^{2}=n^{2}(P(A)-P(B)-P(C)+P(D)-1) \in$ $n^{2} \mathbb{Z}$, which completes the proof.

We may now determine the values of $a$ and $c$.
Proposition 3. The homogeneous quadratic part of $\hat{P}(x, y)$ is $\hat{P}_{2}(x, y)=(n / 2)(x+$ $y / n)^{2}$.

Proof. We need to show that $a=n$ and $c=1 / n$. Suppose we have prime $p$ dividing $n$. Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ denote the highest powers of $p$ dividing $n$ and $a$, respectively. By Lemma $1, c=1 / a$. Then by Proposition $2, a \mid n^{2}$ and the following congruence holds:

$$
a m^{2}-a m n-2 m n+\frac{n^{2}}{a} \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod p^{2 \alpha}\right)
$$

Evaluating modulo $p^{\alpha}$ and multiplying through by $a$, we get:

$$
a^{2} m^{2} \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod p^{\alpha}\right)
$$

and thus, since $n$ and $m$ are relatively prime, we have that $p^{\alpha} \mid a^{2}$.
Multiplying the original congruence through by $a$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \equiv a^{2} m^{2}-a^{2} m n-2 a m n+n^{2} \quad\left(\bmod p^{2 \alpha}\right) \\
& \equiv a^{2} m^{2}-2 a m n \quad\left(\bmod p^{2 \alpha}\right) \\
& \equiv a m(a m-2 n) \quad\left(\bmod p^{2 \alpha}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we have that $p^{2 \alpha-\beta} \mid(a m-2 n)$. Suppose $\beta<\alpha$. Then we have $p^{\alpha} \mid(a m-$ $2 n)$, and thus $p^{\alpha} \mid a m$, which is a contradiction. Therefore we have that $\beta \geq \alpha$ and thus $p^{\alpha} \mid a$.

Now write $a=p^{\alpha} l$, where $l \left\lvert\, \frac{n^{2}}{p^{\alpha}}\right.$. The necessary congruence modulo $p^{\alpha}$ is now:

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \equiv p^{\alpha} l m^{2}-p^{\alpha} l m n-2 m n+\frac{n^{2}}{p^{\alpha} l} \quad\left(\bmod p^{\alpha}\right) \\
& \equiv \frac{n^{2}}{p^{\alpha} l} \quad\left(\bmod p^{\alpha}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus $p \nmid l$. Therefore $\beta=\alpha$, and $(a, c)=(n, 1 / n)$.
We are now ready to complete the proof of Theorem 2. Precomposing with $U_{n / m}$, we get that the homogeneous quadratic part of $P(x, y)$ is:

$$
P_{2}(x, y)=\frac{n}{2}\left(x-\frac{(m-1) y}{n}\right)^{2} .
$$

In order to derive the necessary condition, we evaluate Equation 3 at $a=n, c=$ $1 / n$ to get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \equiv n m^{2}-m n^{2}-2 m n+n \quad\left(\bmod n^{2}\right) \\
& \equiv n(m-1)^{2} \quad\left(\bmod n^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus $n \mid(m-1)^{2}$. This completes the proof.

## 3. Quadratic Packing Polynomials on $I(\alpha)$ With $\alpha$ an Integer

If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $P(x, y)$ is a quadratic packing polynomial on $S(n)$, then by Theorem 2 , it must be of the form:

$$
P(x, y)=(n / 2) x^{2}+(d+n / 2) x+e y+f
$$

Furthermore, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d=P(1,0)-P(0,0)-n \in \mathbb{Z} \\
& e=P(1,1)-P(1,0) \in \mathbb{Z} \\
& f=P(0,0) \in \mathbb{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

We see that $e \neq 0$, since otherwise $P(1,0)=P(1,1)$. Furthermore, we have a correspondence between quadratic packing polynomials with positive $e$ and those with negative $e$, given by precomposition with the linear transformation:

$$
T_{n}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
n & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Besides the class of packing polynomials derived in the introduction for integral $\alpha$, we have the following additional packing polynomials on $S(3)$ and $S(4)$.

Proposition 4. The following is a packing polynomial on $S(3)$ :

$$
P(x, y)=(3 / 2) x^{2}-(7 / 2) x+3 y+2 .
$$

Proof. It is easy to check the following residue properties:

| $x \quad(\bmod 3)$ | $P(x, y)(\bmod 3)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 2 |
| 1 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 |

We can also check that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(0,0)=2 \\
& P(1,0)=0 \\
& P(2,0)=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, in order to prove that $P(x, y)$ is a packing polynomial, we only need that $P(m, 3 m)+3=P(m+3,0)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. This holds by easy calculation.

Corollary 1. The following is a packing polynomial on $S(3)$ :

$$
Q(x, y)=(3 / 2) x^{2}+(11 / 2) x-3 y+2
$$

Proof. This holds by precomposition with $T_{3}$.
Proposition 5. The following is a packing polynomial on $S(4)$ :

$$
P(x, y)=2 x^{2}-3 x+2 y+1
$$

Proof. We have the following residue properties:

| $x \quad(\bmod 2)$ | $P(x, y)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $(\bmod 2)$ |
| 1 | 1 |
|  | 0 |

We also see that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(0,0)=1 \\
& P(1,0)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, in order to show that $P(x, y)$ is a packing polynomial, we need only show that $P(m, 4 m)+2=P(m+2,0)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. This holds by straightforward calculation.

Corollary 2. The following is a packing polynomial on $S(4)$ :

$$
Q(x, y)=2 x^{2}+x-2 y+1
$$

Proof. This holds by precomposition by $T_{4}$.
The next theorem shows that the above polynomials, along with those described in the introduction, are the only quadratic packing polynomials on integral sectors.

Theorem 3. Let $n$ be a positive integer. If $n \notin\{3,4\}$, then there are exactly two quadratic packing polynomials on $S(n)$. When $n \in\{3,4\}$, there are four.

Proof. We may assume that $n>1$, since when $n=1$, quadratic packing polynomials are in bijection with those on $S(\infty)$ by precomposition with $U_{1}$, see [3]. We may also assume that $e>0$, by the correspondence mentioned earlier.

We now prove the following useful property regarding the possible primes dividing $e$.

Proposition 6. If prime $p \mid e$, then $p \mid n$.
Proof. Write $e=m e^{\prime}$ such that $(m, n)=1$, and any prime dividing $e^{\prime}$ also divides $n$.

Clearly $\left(d, e^{\prime}\right)=1$, otherwise we have some prime $p$ which divides $n, e$, and $d$, and thus for any $(x, y) \in I(n)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(x, y) & \equiv \frac{n\left(x^{2}+x\right)}{2}+d x+e y+f \quad(\bmod p) \\
& \equiv f \quad(\bmod p)
\end{aligned}
$$

implying that $\left.P\right|_{I(n)}$ cannot be surjective.
By a straightforward calculation, we can see that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$
P(x, k)=P\left(x+e^{\prime}, 0\right)
$$

when $x=\frac{-2 d+2 m k-n-e^{\prime} n}{2 n}$. It is easy to check that $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ for the appropriate choice of $k$ modulo $n$. In particular, we let:

$$
k \equiv d m^{-1} \quad(\bmod n)
$$

if $n$ odd, or

$$
k \equiv m^{-1}\left(d+\frac{n+n e^{\prime}}{2}\right) \quad(\bmod n)
$$

if $n$ is even.
For large enough $k, x>0$ and hence the second point is in $I(n)$. We therefore contradict injectivity unless the first point is not in $I(n)$, in which case we must have $k>n x$ and thus $2(m-1) k<2 d+n+e^{\prime} n$. This can only hold for arbitrarily large $k$ if $m=1$, and thus $e=e^{\prime}$.

Corollary 3. $(d, e)=1$.
Proof. We showed in the proof of Proposition 6 that $\left(d, e^{\prime}\right)=1$ and $e=e^{\prime}$.
We now limit the possible values of $e$.
Proposition 7. If $e>0$, then $e=1,2$, or 3 .
Proof. Suppose $e \geq 4$. Then the values $0,1,2$, and 3 must occur along the $x$-axis, otherwise choosing a point with one smaller $y$-coordinate gives a point in $I(n)$ on which $P(x, y)$ is negative.

Let $P(x)$ denote $P(x, 0)=(n / 2) x^{2}+(d+n / 2) x+f$, and let $\beta=\frac{-n-2 d}{2 n}$ be the point in $\mathbb{R}$ on which $P(x)$ achieves its minimum. We see that $\beta>0$, otherwise we must have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(0)=f=0 \\
& P(1)=n+d+f=1 \\
& P(2)=3 n+2 d+f=2
\end{aligned}
$$

since $P(x)$ is strictly increasing on $\mathbb{N}$. This can only be satisfied when $n=0$, which is a contradiction.

Since $\beta>0$, we have that $\lfloor\beta\rfloor \geq 0$, and thus for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
P(x) \geq \min \{P(\lfloor\beta\rfloor), P(\lceil\beta\rceil)\} \geq 0
$$

We see that $\beta \notin \mathbb{Z}$, since otherwise we have that $\beta \geq 1$ and $P(\beta+1)=P(\beta-1)$, contradicting the injectivity of $P: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$.

Expanding the domain, we have that $P: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ achieves values $0,1,2$, and 3 .
Proposition 8. $P: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is injective.
Proof. Suppose $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ are distinct elements such that $P(x)=P(y)$. Then $y=$ $2 \beta-x$, and thus $2 \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\beta \notin \mathbb{Z}$, this must be an odd integer. But $2 \beta=-1-\frac{2 d}{n}$, and thus we have that $\frac{2 d}{n}$ is an even integer, and therefore $n \mid d$.

Since $e \geq 4$, there is some prime $p \mid e$. By Proposition 6, $p \mid n$ and thus $p \mid d$. But $(e, d)=1$, so we have a contradiction.

We may assume without loss of generality that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(0)=f=0 \\
& P(1)=n+d+f=1
\end{aligned}
$$

by possibly precomposing with a translation or reflection. Therefore we have:

$$
P(x)=(n / 2) x^{2}+(1-n / 2) x
$$

Clearly the next smallest values of $P(x)$ must occur when $x=-1,2$, and thus we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(-1) & =n-1=2 \\
P(2) & =2+n=3 .
\end{aligned}
$$

These cannot both hold, and thus we have arrived at a contradiction.
Now we evaluate the quadratic packing polynomials that arise for each possible value of $e$.

## Case 1: $e=1$.

We have that $P(x, y)$ is of the form:

$$
P(x, y)=(n / 2) x^{2}+(d+n / 2) x+y+f
$$

When we evaluate $P(x, y)$ along each $J_{m}$, we obtain a sequence of consecutive numbers. Therefore if we have distinct $m_{0}, m_{1} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \in J_{m_{0}},\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \in$ $J_{m_{1}}$ such that $P\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)<P\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$, then by injectivity of $P$, we have that $P(x, y)<$ $P\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ for all $(x, y) \in J_{n_{0}}$ and $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \in J_{n_{1}}$. We denote this condition by $J_{n_{0}}<$ $J_{n_{1}}$.

A simple calculation shows that for large enough $m, P(m+1, n(m+1))>P(m, 0)$, and therefore $J_{m}<J_{m+1}$. In order for $P(x, y)$ to be surjective, we need that $P(m, n m)+1=P(m+1,0)$ for large $m$. This can only happen when $d=1-n$, and thus we have:

$$
P(x, y)=\frac{n}{2} x^{2}+(1-n / 2) x+y+f
$$

The minimum of $P(x, 0)$ on $\mathbb{R}$ occurs at $\beta=\frac{n-2}{2 n}$. Since $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, we have that either $P(0,0)=0$ or $P(1,0)=0$. But $P(0,0)=f$ and $P(1,0)=1+f$, and thus the minimum on $I(n)$ occurs at $(0,0)$. Therefore $f=0$, and our final polynomial is:

$$
P(x, y)=\frac{n}{2} x^{2}+(1-n / 2)+y
$$

Case 2: $e=2$.
$P(x, y)$ is of the form:

$$
P(x, y)=\frac{n}{2} x^{2}+(d+n / 2) x+2 y+f
$$

By Proposition 6 we have that $n$ is even, and by Corollary $3 d$ is odd.
We see that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(x, y)-f & \equiv(n / 2) x^{2}+(d+n / 2) x \quad(\bmod 2) \\
& \equiv(n / 2)\left(x^{2}+x\right)+d x \quad(\bmod 2) \\
& \equiv x \quad(\bmod 2)
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus $P(x, y) \equiv f(\bmod 2)$ if and only if $2 \mid x$.
Evaluating $P(x, y)$ along each $J_{m}$, we see that the values form a sequence of consecutive numbers equivalent modulo 2. Therefore we have that $J_{m}<J_{m^{\prime}}$ or $J_{m}>J_{m^{\prime}}$ if $m \equiv m^{\prime}(\bmod 2)$.

As before, we have that for large enough $m, P(m, 0)<P(m+2, n(m+2))$ and thus $J_{m}<J_{m+2}$. In order for $P(x, y)$ to be surjective, we need that $P(m, n m)+2=$ $P(m+2,0)$ for large enough $m$. This can only happen when $d=1-\frac{3 n}{2}$, and thus we have:

$$
P(x, y)=(n / 2) x^{2}+(1-n) x+2 y+f
$$

The minimum of $P(x, 0)$ occurs when $x=\beta=\frac{n-1}{n}$, and thus $P(x, 0)=0$ for either $x=0$ or $1 . ~ P(0,0)=f$ and $P(1,0)=1-\frac{n}{2}+f$. Since $2 \mid n$, we have that $\frac{n}{2} \geq 1$, and thus $P(1,0) \leq f$. Therefore we have that $P(1,0)=0, f=\frac{n}{2}-1$, and:

$$
P(x, y)=(n / 2) x^{2}+(1-n) x+2 y+\frac{n}{2}-1
$$

The next smallest value of $P(x, y)$ occurs at $(0,0)$, and thus we have that $\frac{n}{2}-1=1$ and $n=4$. Our final polynomial on $I(4)$ is therefore:

$$
P(x, y)=2 x^{2}-3 x+2 y+1
$$

Case 3: $e=3$.
$P(x, y)$ is of the form:

$$
P(x, y)=\frac{n}{2} x^{2}+(d+n / 2) x+3 y+f
$$

with $3 \mid n$ and $3 \nmid d$, by Proposition 6 and Corollary 3 .
We see that $P(x, y) \equiv f(\bmod 3)$ if and only if $3 \mid x$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(x, y)-f & \equiv(n / 2) x^{2}+(d+n / 2) x+3 y \quad(\bmod 3) \\
& \equiv(n / 2)\left(x^{2}+x\right)+d x \quad(\bmod 3) \\
& \equiv d x \quad(\bmod 3)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since evaluating $P(x, y)$ along each column gives a sequence of consecutive integers module 3 , for distinct $m, m^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $J_{3 m}<J_{3 m^{\prime}}$ or $J_{3 m}>J_{3 m^{\prime}}$. A short calculation shows that for large enough $m, P(3 m, 0)<P(3(m+1), 3(m+1) n)$, and thus $J_{3 m}<J_{3(m+1)}$.

In order for $P(x, y)$ to cover all positive integers congruent to $f$ modulo 3 , we need that for large $m, P(3 m, 3 m n)+3=P(3(m+1), 0)$. This can only happen when $d=1-2 n$, and thus we have:

$$
P(x, y)=(n / 2) x^{2}+(1-(3 / 2) n) x+3 y+f
$$

The minimum value of $P(x, 0)$ on $\mathbb{R}$ occurs at $\beta=3 / 2-1 / n$. Since $3 \mid n$, we have that $1 / n<1 / 2$, and thus $1<\beta<2$. Therefore the minimum of $P(x, y)$ on $I(n)$ must occur at $(1,0)$ or $(2,0)$. We see that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(1,0)=1-n+f \\
& P(2,0)=2-n+f
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus $P(1,0)=0$ and $P(2,0)=1$. We have $f=n-1$, and our polynomial is:

$$
P(x, y)=(n / 2) x^{2}+(1-(3 / 2) n) x+3 y+n-1 .
$$

We know that $P(x, y)$ must take the value 2 along the $x$-axis. The next smallest value of $P(x, 0)$ with $x \in \mathbb{N}$ clearly occurs when $x=0$, and thus we have $P(0,0)=$ $n-1=2$, and $n=3$. Therefore our final packing polynomial is:

$$
P(x, y)=(3 / 2) x^{2}-(7 / 2) x+3 y+2
$$

on $S(3)$.
This completes the proof.

## 4. Future Directions

So far I have only found sectors for which there are an even number of quadratic packing polynomials. It seems that there may be an odd number for $\alpha=9 / 4$ or $9 / 7$, but so far I do not have a quick method for determining the linear part of a quadratic packing polynomials for non-integral $\alpha$, and thus the necessary casework is tedious.

It is also unclear whether the necessary condition described in this paper is also sufficient. There are quadratic packing polynomials for $\alpha=4 / 3,9 / 4$ and $9 / 7$, and I have yet to find $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ relatively prime with $n \mid(m-1)^{2}$ such that there are no quadratic packing polynomials on $S(n / m)$.
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