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#### Abstract

Let $p$ be an odd prime number, and $X$ a large real number. In this note, we consider the lower and upper bounds of the number of pure number fields of degree $p$ with the absolute values of discriminants at most $X$ by elementary methods.


## 1. Introduction

Let $n$ be a positive integer, $X$ a large positive number, and $N_{n}(X)$ the number of number fields $F$ of degree $n$ with $|d(F)| \leq X$. Here $d(F)$ is the discriminant of a number field $F$. A well-known conjecture asserts that

$$
N_{n}(X) \sim c_{n} X
$$

for some $c_{n}$. This conjecture has been proved for $n=2,3,4$, and 5 ([3], [1], [2]). However, this problem is very difficult and deep. In this paper, we consider the distribution of pure number fields of odd prime degree by elementary methods.

Let $p$ be an odd prime number, and $F$ a number field of degree $p$. If there exists a $p$-free positive integer $n>1$ such that $F=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[p]{n})$, then we shall call $F$ a pure number field of degree $p$. (If there is no prime number $l$ such that $l^{k} \mid n$, then $n$ is said to be $k$-free.) For $X>0$, we denote the number of pure number fields $F$ of degree $p$ with $|d(F)| \leq X$ by $P_{p}(X)$.

Theorem 1. For an odd prime number $p$, we have

$$
\frac{B_{p}}{\zeta(2)} X^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \leq P_{p}(X) \leq \frac{A_{p}}{\zeta(p)} X
$$

where $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta function,

$$
A_{p}=\frac{p^{p+1}-p^{p-1}+p^{p-2}-1}{\left(p^{p}-1\right) p^{p}}
$$

and

$$
B_{p}=\frac{1}{(p+1) p^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}}}+\frac{p}{(p+1) p^{\frac{p}{p-1}}} .
$$

For example, $P_{3}(X)$ is the number of pure cubic fields $F$ with $|d(F)| \leq X$, and

$$
\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{3} \zeta(2)} \sqrt{X} \leq P_{3}(X) \leq \frac{37}{351 \zeta(3)} X
$$

To show this theorem, we use two important lemmas.
First, we explain a result of Cohen and Robinson [4]. Let $k, q>1$ be positive integers, $a \in \mathbb{Z} / q \mathbb{Z}$, and $X$ a real positive large number. We set

$$
Q_{k}(X ; a, q)=\sharp\{n: k \text {-free } \leq X, n \equiv a(\bmod q)\}
$$

If there is a $k$-free positive integer $n$ such that $n \equiv a(\bmod q)$, then $n=c q+a$ for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(a, q)$ must be $k$-free. Thus, since $Q_{k}(X ; a, q)=0$ when $\operatorname{gcd}(a, q)$ is not $k$-free, we assume $\operatorname{gcd}(a, q)$ is $k$-free.

A divisor $d>0$ of $q$ is called a unitary divisor when $\operatorname{gcd}(d, q / d)=1$. If $d$ is a unitary divisor of $q$, we write $\left.d\right|_{*} q$. The largest unitary divisor of $q$ which is a divisor of $a$ is denoted by $(a, q)_{*}$. Moreover, we denote the core of $H$ by $H_{0}$. Namely, $H_{0}$ is the largest square-free divisor of $H$.

In [4], Cohen and Robinson proved the following result.
Lemma 1. Let $H:=(a, q)_{*}$. We have

$$
Q_{k}(X ; a, q)=\frac{q^{k-1}}{J_{k}(q)} \frac{\varphi^{*}\left(H_{0}^{k} / H\right)}{H_{0}^{k} / H} \frac{1}{\zeta(k)} X+O(\sqrt[k]{X})
$$

where

$$
J_{k}(n)=n^{k} \prod_{\substack{l \mid n \\ l: p r i m e}}\left(1-\frac{1}{l^{k}}\right)
$$

and

$$
\varphi^{*}(n)=n \prod_{\substack{l e \mid * n \\ l: p r i m e}}\left(1-\frac{1}{l^{e}}\right) .
$$

For example, if $H=1$, then

$$
Q_{k}(X ; a, q)=\frac{1}{q} \prod_{\substack{l q \\ l: \text { prime }}}\left(1-\frac{1}{l^{k}}\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{\zeta(k)} X+O(\sqrt[k]{X})
$$

Next lemma computes the discriminants of pure number fields.

Lemma 2 (Fujisaki [5], p.133). Let p be an odd prime number, n a p-free positive integer, and $n_{0}$ the core of $n$. Put $F=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[p]{n})$. We have

$$
d(F)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} p^{p-2}\left(n_{0}\right)^{p-1} \quad \text { if } n^{p-1} \equiv 1 \bmod p^{2} \\
(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} p^{p}\left(n_{0}\right)^{p-1} \quad \text { if } n^{p-1} \not \equiv 1 \bmod p^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since the author doesn't find the proof of this lemma in the literature, and the original proof was published in Japanese, we shall sketch the proof here. Every $p$-free positive integer $n$ can be put uniquely into the form $n=\prod_{i=1}^{p-1} a_{i}^{i}$ where $a_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $n_{0}=\prod_{i=1}^{p-1} a_{i}$. Put

$$
\alpha_{j}=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{p-1} a_{i}^{i j-\left[\frac{i j}{p}\right] p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

for $j=0,1, \cdots, p-1$. Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}$-module generated by $\alpha_{0}, \cdots, \alpha_{p-1}$. We can check $d(\mathfrak{n})=\left(O_{F}: \mathfrak{n}\right)^{2} d(F)$ where $O_{F}$ is the ring of integers of $F$, and $d(\mathfrak{n})$ is the discriminant of $\mathfrak{n}$ as $\mathbb{Z}$-module. By algebraic argument, we obtain

$$
d(\mathfrak{n})=(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} p^{p}\left(n_{0}\right)^{p-1}
$$

and

$$
\left(O_{F}: \mathfrak{n}\right)= \begin{cases}p & \text { if } n^{p-1} \equiv 1 \bmod p^{2} \\ 1 & \text { if } n^{p-1} \not \equiv 1 \bmod p^{2}\end{cases}
$$

For more details, refer to [5].

## 2. Proof

First, we consider the upper bound. It is obvious that

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{p}(X) & \leq \sharp\{n: p \text {-free }>1,|d(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[p]{n}))| \leq X\} \\
& =\sum_{a=1}^{p^{2}} \sharp\left\{n: p \text {-free }>1, n \equiv a\left(\bmod p^{2}\right),|d(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[p]{n}))| \leq X\right\} \\
& =: \sum_{a=1}^{p^{2}} P_{p, a}(X) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $a^{p-1} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$, we have

$$
P_{p, a}(X)=\sharp\left\{n: p \text {-free }>1, n \equiv a\left(\bmod p^{2}\right), p^{p-2} n_{0}^{p-1} \leq X\right\}
$$

by Lemma 2. Since $n \leq n_{0}^{p-1}$ for $p$-free number $n$, we see

$$
P_{p, a}(X) \leq Q_{p}\left(\frac{X}{p^{p-2}} ; a, p^{2}\right)
$$

in this case. Similarly, if $a^{p-1} \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$, we obtain

$$
P_{p, a}(X) \leq Q_{p}\left(\frac{X}{p^{p}} ; a, p^{2}\right)
$$

by Lemma 2.
We can estimate $Q_{p}\left(X ; a, p^{2}\right)$ for $a=1,2, \cdots, p^{2}$ by using Lemma 1. Note that $\left(a, p^{2}\right)_{*}=p^{2}$ if $a=p^{2}$ and $\left(a, p^{2}\right)_{*}=1$ otherwise. Thus, we have

$$
Q_{p}\left(X ; a, p^{2}\right) \sim \frac{p^{p-2}}{p^{p}-1} \frac{1}{\zeta(p)} X
$$

when $a=1, \cdots, p^{2}-1$, and

$$
Q_{p}\left(X ; p^{2}, p^{2}\right) \sim \frac{p^{p-2}-1}{p^{p}-1} \frac{1}{\zeta(p)} X
$$

Therefore, for a large number $X$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{p}(X) & =\sum_{a^{p-1} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)} P_{p, a}(X)+\sum_{a^{p-1} \neq 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)} P_{p, a}(X) \\
& \leq \sum_{a^{p-1} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)} Q_{p}\left(\frac{X}{p^{p-2}} ; a, p^{2}\right)+\sum_{a^{p-1} \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)} Q_{p}\left(\frac{X}{p^{p}} ; a, p^{2}\right) \\
& \sim \sum_{a^{p-1} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)} \frac{p^{p-2}}{p^{p}-1} \frac{1}{\zeta(p)} \frac{X}{p^{p-2}}+\sum_{\substack{a p-1 \neq 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) \\
a \neq p^{2}}} \frac{p^{p-2}}{p^{p}-1} \frac{1}{\zeta(p)} \frac{X}{p^{p}} \\
& +\frac{p^{p-2}-1}{p^{p}-1} \frac{1}{\zeta(p)} \frac{X}{p^{p}} \\
& =\left((p-1) \frac{p^{p-2}}{\left(p^{p}-1\right) p^{p-2}}+\left(p^{2}-p\right) \frac{p^{p-2}}{\left(p^{p}-1\right) p^{p}}+\frac{p^{p-2}-1}{\left(p^{p}-1\right) p^{p}}\right) \frac{X}{\zeta(p)} \\
& =\left(\frac{p^{p+1}-p^{p-1}+p^{p-2}-1}{\left(p^{p}-1\right) p^{p}}\right) \frac{X}{\zeta(p)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have proved that $P_{p}(X) \leq A_{p} X / \zeta(p)$.
Finally, we consider the lower bound. Since $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[p]{n}) \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[p]{m})$ for distinct square-
free numbers $m$ and $n$, it is obvious that

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{p}(X) & \geq \sharp\{n: \text { square-free }>1, d(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[p]{n})) \leq X\} \\
& =\sum_{a=1}^{p^{2}} \sharp\left\{n: \text { square-free }>1, n \equiv a\left(\bmod p^{2}\right), d(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[p]{n})) \leq X\right\} \\
& =: \sum_{a=1}^{p^{2}} P_{p, a}^{\prime}(X) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $a^{p-1} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$, we have

$$
P_{p, a}^{\prime}(X)=\sharp\left\{n: \text { square-free }>1, n \equiv a\left(\bmod p^{2}\right), p^{p-2} n_{0}^{p-1} \leq X\right\}
$$

by Lemma 2 . Since $n^{p-1} \geq n_{0}^{p-1}$, we see

$$
P_{p, a}^{\prime}(X) \geq Q_{2}\left(\left(\frac{X}{p^{p-2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} ; a, p^{2}\right)
$$

in this case. Similarly, if $a^{p-1} \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$, we obtain

$$
P_{p, a}^{\prime}(X) \geq Q_{2}\left(\left(\frac{X}{p^{p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} ; a, p^{2}\right)
$$

by Lemma 2.
Since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p^{2}, p^{2}\right)=p^{2}$ is not square-free, we see that

$$
Q_{2}\left(X ; p^{2}, p^{2}\right)=0
$$

By Lemma 1, we have

$$
Q_{2}\left(X ; a, p^{2}\right) \sim \frac{1}{\left(p^{2}-1\right) \zeta(2)} X
$$

for $a=1,2, \cdots, p^{2}-1$.
Therefore, for a large number $X$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{p}(X) \geq \sum_{a^{p-1} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)} Q_{2}\left(\left(\frac{X}{p^{p-2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} ; a, p^{2}\right)+\sum_{\substack{a p-1 \neq 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) \\
a \neq p^{2}}} Q_{2}\left(\left(\frac{X}{p^{p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} ; a, p^{2}\right) \\
& \sim(p-1) \frac{1}{\left(p^{2}-1\right) \zeta(2)}\left(\frac{X}{p^{p-2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}+\left(p^{2}-p\right) \frac{1}{\left(p^{2}-1\right) \zeta(2)}\left(\frac{X}{p^{p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{(p+1) p^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}}}+\frac{p}{(p+1) p^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}\right) \frac{X^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}{\zeta(2)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, it holds that $P_{p}(X) \geq B_{p} X^{\frac{1}{p-1}} / \zeta(2)$. This completes the proof of the assertion.
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