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#### Abstract

Let $\varphi$ denote the Euler $\varphi$ function. We prove that for all $n \geq s \geq 2$ there exist infinitely many Sierpiński numbers $k$ such that $2^{n} k=\varphi(N)$ holds with some positive integer $N$ that has exactly $s$ distinct prime factors. This extends previous work of the last two authors.


## 1. Introduction

An odd positive integer $k$ is called a Sierpiński number if $k 2^{n}+1$ is composite for every positive integer $n$. These numbers are named after Wacław Sierpiński who discovered their existence in 1960 (see [10]). In 1962, John Selfridge found the Sierpiński number $k=78557$, which is conjectured to be the smallest Sierpiński number (see [9]). This number was found by using the method of covering systems of congruences used earlier by Paul Erdős in order to prove that there are infinitely many odd integers not of the form $2^{k}+p$ with $p$ prime (see [3]). We review this method in Section 2.

If $k$ is a Sierpiński number, then $2^{n} k \neq q-1=\phi(q)$ for any prime $q$, where $\varphi$ is the Euler function. In [7, Theorem 1], it is shown that if $k$ is a Sierpinski prime and $2^{n} k=\varphi(N)$ holds for some positive integers $n$ and $N$, then $k$ is a Fermat number. It is natural to ask whether or not we can fix both $n \geq 1$ and the number
of distinct prime factors $s(\geq 2)$ of $N$ and still obtain infinitely many examples of such Sierpiński numbers $k$. We shall be interested only in the case when $N$ is odd, because if $N$ is even, then writing $N=2^{a} N_{1}$ with $N_{1}$ odd, the equation

$$
2^{n} k=\varphi(N)=\varphi\left(2^{a} N_{1}\right)=2^{a-1} \varphi\left(N_{1}\right)
$$

yields

$$
2^{n-a+1} k=\varphi\left(N_{1}\right)
$$

which is a similar problem with a smaller exponent of 2 in the left-hand side. So, we assume that $N$ is odd. Clearly, if $N$ has $s$ distinct prime factors, then $2^{s} \mid \varphi(N)$, showing that, in order for the equation $2^{n} k=\varphi(N)$ to hold, it is necessary that $n \geq s$. The following result shows that the answer to the above question is in the affirmative.

Theorem 1. For all integers $n \geq s \geq 2$ there exist infinitely many Sierpiński numbers such that

$$
2^{n} k=\varphi(N)
$$

holds with some positive integer $N$ having exactly s distinct prime factors.
The case $n=s=2$ was proved in [7, Theorem 1, (i)]. For more results on Sierpiński numbers, see $[2,5,8]$. We hope our work will inspire further work on Riesel numbers with a fixed number of prime factors, or numbers which are simultaneously Riesel and Sierpińsky with a fixed number of prime factors, etc.

## 2. Covering Systems

Typically, the way to find Sierpiński numbers is the following. Assume that $\left\{\left(a_{j}, b_{j}, p_{j}\right)\right\}_{j=1}^{t}$ are triples of positive integers with the following properties:
cov for each integer $n$ there exists $j \in\{1,2, \ldots, t\}$ such that $n \equiv a_{j} \bmod b_{j}$;
ord $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{t}$ are distinct prime numbers such that $p_{j} \mid 2^{b_{j}}-1$ for all $j=1,2, \ldots, t$.
Next, one creates Sierpiński numbers $k$ by imposing that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{a_{j}} k \equiv-1 \quad \bmod p_{j} \quad \text { for } \quad j=1,2, \ldots, t \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the primes $p_{j}$ are all odd for $j=1,2, \ldots, t$, it follows that for each $j$, the above congruence (1) is solvable and puts $k$ into a certain arithmetic progression modulo $p_{j}$. The fact that the congruences (1) are simultaneously solvable for all $j=1,2, \ldots, t$ follows from the fact that the primes $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{t}$ are distinct via the Chinese Remainder Theorem. Every odd positive integer $k$ in the resulting
arithmetic progression has the property that $k 2^{n}+1$ is always a multiple of one of the numbers $p_{j}$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, t$, and if

$$
k>\max \left\{p_{j}: j=1,2, \ldots, t\right\}
$$

then $k 2^{n}+1$ cannot be prime.
The original system of triples considered by Sierpiński [10] (see also [4]) is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \{(1,2,3),(2,4,5),(4,8,17),(8,16,257),(16,32,65537),(32,64,641), \\
& (0,64,6700417)\} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

In the following lemma, we exhibit a family of systems generalizing the above system of triples.

Lemma 1. Given a composite Fermat number $F_{m}$, there exists a covering system of congruences $\left\{\left(a_{j}, b_{j}, p_{j}\right)\right\}_{j=0}^{m+1}$, such that the solution $k$ of the system of congruences $2^{a_{j}} k \equiv-1 \bmod p_{j}, j=0,1, \ldots, m+1$, has $k \equiv 1\left(\bmod p_{j}\right)$ for $j=1, \ldots, m$ and $k \equiv-1\left(\bmod p_{m+1}\right)$.

The proof of the above lemma can be found in Section 4.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

Choose some $m$ such that $F_{m}=2^{2^{m}}+1$ is a Fermat number having at least two distinct prime factors. For example, by a recent computation, $m=2747497$ has this property because $p=57 \cdot 2^{2747499}+1 \mid F_{m}$, and certainly $F_{m}>p$. We fix $n$ and search for solutions to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{n} k=\varphi(N) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=r^{\ell} q_{1} \cdots q_{s-1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $r, q_{1}, \ldots, q_{s-1}$ primes and $\ell$ some suitable positive integer. For this, we first write $n=1+\lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{s-1}$ with positive integers $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{s-1}$, which is possible since $n \geq s$. We fix $j=0, \ldots, m+1$, and choose

$$
\frac{q_{i}-1}{2^{\lambda_{i}}} \equiv 1 \quad \bmod p_{j} \quad(i=1, \ldots, s-1)
$$

These lead to $q_{i} \equiv 2^{\lambda_{i}}+1 \bmod p_{j}$, which is a valid choice (namely the congruence class is nonzero) as long as $p_{j} \nmid 2^{\lambda_{i}}+1$. In the unfortunate case when $p_{j} \mid 2^{\lambda_{i}}+1$, we change our mind and ask instead that

$$
\frac{q_{i}-1}{2^{\lambda_{i}}} \equiv-1 \quad \bmod p_{j}
$$

which leads to $q_{i} \equiv-\left(2^{\lambda_{i}}-1\right) \bmod p_{j} \equiv 2 \bmod p_{j}$. This fixes nonzero congruence classes of $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{s-1}$ modulo $p_{j}$ for $j=0, \ldots, m+1$. We also choose $q_{i} \equiv 1+2^{\lambda_{i}}$ $\left(\bmod 2^{\lambda_{i}+1}\right)$, which ensure that $\left(q_{i}-1\right) / 2^{\lambda_{i}}$ is an odd integer for $i=1, \ldots, s-1$. By the Chinese Remainder theorem, this fixes the congruence classes of $q_{i}$ modulo $2^{\lambda_{i}+1} p_{0} \cdots p_{m+1}$ and the fact that one may choose $s-1$ distinct primes in the above congruence classes is a consequence of Dirichlet's theorem on primes in arithmetical progressions. Now it remains to comment on $r$ and $\ell$. Equation (3) yields

$$
2^{n} k=\varphi(N)=r^{\ell-1}(r-1)\left(q_{1}-1\right) \cdots\left(q_{s-1}-1\right)
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\frac{r^{\ell-1}(r-1)}{2} \prod_{i=1}^{s-1}\left(\frac{q_{i}-1}{2^{\lambda_{i}}}\right) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Reduced modulo $p_{j}$, the left-hand side is $\pm 1$ and the product in the right hand side over $i=1, \ldots, s-1$ is also $\pm 1$. Thus, it remains to show that we can find $r$ and $\ell$ such that each of the two congruences

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r^{\ell-1}(r-1)}{2} \equiv \pm 1 \quad \bmod p_{j} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a nonzero solution $r_{j} \bmod p_{j}$. An obvious choice is to choose

$$
\ell=\operatorname{lcm}\left[p_{0}-1, \ldots, p_{m+1}-1\right]
$$

Then the above equations via Fermat's little theorem imply that congruences (6) become $(r-1) / 2 r \equiv \pm 1 \bmod p_{j}$, leading to $r \equiv-1,3^{-1} \bmod p_{j}$. This works except when $j=0$, since when $j=0$ we have $p_{0}=3$, and we cannot invert 3 modulo $p_{0}$. In this case $k \equiv 1 \bmod 3$, and $\left(q_{i}-1\right) / 2^{\lambda_{i}} \equiv 1 \bmod 3$ for all $i=1, \ldots, s-1$, except when $\lambda_{i}$ is odd (case in which $3 \mid 2^{\lambda_{i}}+1$ ), in which case the sign in the right-hand side of the last congruence above is -1 . Thus, the unsolvable congruence

$$
\frac{r-1}{2 r} \equiv-1 \quad \bmod 3
$$

is a consequence of relation (5) and of our previous choices only when there are exactly an odd number of $i \in\{1, \ldots, s-1\}$ such that $\lambda_{i}$ is odd. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \lambda_{i}=$ $n-1$, it follows that our construction so far fails when $n$ is even but is successful when $n$ is odd.

So, from now on we only work with even $n$. If $n \geq s+2$, then we write $3+$ $\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \lambda_{i}=n$ with some positive integers $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{s-1}$. We take $\ell=1$, write

$$
k=\frac{(r-1)}{8} \prod_{i=1}^{s-1}\left(\frac{q_{i}-1}{2^{\lambda_{i}}}\right)
$$

and choose $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{s-1}$ as before. We are then led to solving

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r-1}{8} \equiv \pm 1 \quad \bmod p_{j} \quad \text { for } \quad j=0, \ldots, m+1 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solutions are $r \equiv-7,9 \bmod p_{j}$, and these nonzero modulo $p_{j}$ except when $j=0$ and the sign in the right-hand side of the above congruence (7) is 1 (note that 7 is not one of the primes $p_{j}$ for $j=0, \ldots, m+1$ ). However, when $j=0$, since $n$ is even, it follows that $n-3$ is odd, therefore there are exactly an odd number of $i \in\{1, \ldots, s-1\}$ such that $\lambda_{i}$ is odd. So, at $j=0$, the congruence to be solved is in fact $(r-1) / 8 \equiv-1 \bmod 3$, whose solution is the convenient $r \equiv 2 \bmod 3$. We now choose $r \equiv 9 \bmod 16$ to insure that $(r-1) / 8$ is odd, leading to $r \equiv 41$ $\bmod 48$, which is acceptable. Then we find one (or infinitely many) such $r$ using Dirichlet's theorem on primes in arithmetical progressions.

Finally, we are left with the cases in which $n$ is even and $n=s, s+1$. Suppose that $n=s$. Then $\lambda_{1}=\cdots=\lambda_{s-1}=1$, we take $\ell=1$, and we work with

$$
k=\left(\frac{r-1}{2}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{s-1}\left(\frac{q_{j}-1}{2}\right)
$$

Since $n$ is even, it follows that $s$ is even. So, when $j=0$, modulo $p_{0}=3$, we can take $r \equiv q_{i} \equiv 2 \bmod 3$ for $i=1, \ldots, s-1$ and we obtain $k \equiv 1 \bmod 3$, as desired. For $j \geq 1$, we need to solve $(r-1) / 2 \equiv \pm 1 \bmod p_{j}$, which has the nonzero solutions $-1,3 \bmod p_{j}$.

Suppose finally that $n=s+1$. We then take $\lambda_{1}=\cdots=\lambda_{s-1}=1, \ell=1$, and work with

$$
k=\frac{(r-1)}{4} \prod_{i=1}^{s-1}\left(\frac{q_{i}-1}{2}\right) .
$$

For $j=0$, since $n$ is even, we get that $s$ is odd, so $s-1$ is even. So, we need to solve

$$
\frac{r-1}{4} \equiv 1 \quad \bmod 3
$$

which leads to the convenient solution $r \equiv 2 \bmod 3$. For $j=1$, we have $p_{1}=5$ and $5 \nmid 2^{1} \pm 1$. So, with our choices, we may choose $q_{i}$ such that $\left(q_{i}-1\right) / 2 \equiv 1$ $\bmod 5$ for all $i=1, \ldots, s-1$, except for one of them, say the first one, for which we choose $\left(q_{1}-1\right) / 2 \equiv-1 \bmod 5$. This works if $s-1 \geq 1$, which is our case because $s \geq 2$. Now we only need to solve

$$
\frac{r-1}{4} \equiv-1 \quad \bmod 5
$$

which has the convenient solution $r \equiv 2(\bmod 5)$. Finally, for $j \geq 2$, we need to solve $(r-1) / 4 \equiv \pm 1 \bmod p_{j}$, which lead to $r \equiv-3,5 \bmod p_{j}$ which are both nonzero congruence classes modulo $p_{j}$ because $j \geq 2$. Now we fix as before congruence classes
for $q_{i}$ modulo $2^{\lambda_{i}+1}$ for $i=1, \ldots, s-1$ to ensure that the amounts $\left(q_{i}-1\right) / 2^{\lambda_{i}}$ are odd, as well as for $r$ modulo 8 to ensure that $(r-1) / 2$ (when $n=s$ ) and ( $r-1$ )/4 (when $n=s+1$ ) are odd and proceed as before via the Chinese Remainder theorem and Dirichlet's theorem on primes in arithmetical progression to justify the existence of infinitely many primes $r, q_{1}, \ldots, q_{s-1}$ with all the congruence properties specified above.

This finishes the proof of the theorem.

## 4. Proof of Lemma 1

It is well-known that $F_{m}$ cannot be a perfect power of integer exponent larger than 1 of some other integer. Hence, since $F_{m}$ is not prime, it follows that it has at least two distinct prime factors. We choose for every $j=0,1, \ldots, m$ a prime factor $p_{j}$ of the Fermat number $F_{j}$. Since $F_{m}$ is composite with at least two distinct prime factors, we choose a second prime factor of $F_{m}$ which we denote by $p_{m+1}$. Then, we consider the system of triples

$$
\left(a_{j}, b_{j}, p_{j}\right)= \begin{cases}\left(2^{j}, 2^{j+1}, p_{j}\right), & \text { if } \quad j \leq m  \tag{8}\\ \left(0,2^{m+1}, p_{m+1}\right), & \text { if } \quad j=m+1\end{cases}
$$

By considering the binary expansion $n=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i} 2^{i}$ of a positive integer $n$, we see that either $n \equiv 0 \bmod 2^{m+1}$, or $n \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{m+1} a_{i} 2^{i} \equiv 2^{j_{0}} \bmod 2^{j_{0}+1}$, where $j_{0}$ is the smallest index $0<j \leq m$ for which $a_{j} \neq 0$. This shows that the system of triples (8) fulfils condition cov. On the other hand, the fact that $p_{j} \mid F_{j}=2^{2^{j}}+1$, for $j=$ $0,1, \ldots, m$, tells us that $2^{2^{j}} \equiv-1 \bmod p_{j}$. This congruence implies that $2^{2^{j+1}} \equiv 1$ $\bmod p_{j}$ and consequently $\operatorname{ord}_{p_{j}}(2)=2^{j+1}$. Here, $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(2)$ is the multiplicative order of 2 modulo the odd prime $p$. Similarly, $\operatorname{ord}_{p_{m+1}}(2)=2^{m+1}$. The fact that the prime numbers $p_{j}$, for $j=0,1, \ldots, m, m+1$, are pairwise distinct follows because the orders of 2 modulo these primes are all different except for $p_{m}$ and $p_{m+1}$ which are distinct as well. Therefore, the system of triples (8) also fulfils condition ord. Finally, let us solve for $k$. For $j=0,1, \ldots, m$, we have that $2^{2^{j}} k \equiv-1 \equiv 2^{2^{j}}$ $\bmod p_{j}$ and consequently $k \equiv 1 \bmod p_{j}$, while $2^{2^{m}} k \equiv-1 \equiv-2^{2^{m}} \bmod p_{m}$ and consequently $k \equiv-1 \bmod p_{m+1}$.

This finishes the proof of the lemma.
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