

Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics

BOUNDS ON CERTAIN INTEGRAL INEQUALITIES

B.G. PACHPATTE

57, Shri Niketen Colony
Aurangabad - 431 001,
(Maharashtra) India.
EMail: bgpachpatte@hotmail.com

©2000 Victoria University
ISSN (electronic): 1443-5756
012-02



volume 3, issue 3, article 47,
2002.

*Received 19 January, 2002;
accepted 16 February, 2002.*

Communicated by: D. Bainov

[Abstract](#)

[Contents](#)



[Home Page](#)

[Go Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)

Abstract


The main objective of this paper is to establish explicit bounds on certain integral inequalities and their discrete analogues which can be used as tools in the study of some classes of integral and sum-difference equations.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 26D10, 26D20.

Key words: Integral inequalities, Discrete inequalities, Integral equations, Partial derivatives, Hyperbolic partial integrodifferential equation, Sum-difference equation.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Statement of Results	4
3	Proofs of Theorems 2.1, 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4	12
4	Applications	19
	References	



Bounds on Certain Integral Inequalities

B.G. Pachpatte

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 2 of 23

1. Introduction


In [2], J.A. Oguntuase obtained a bound on the following integral inequality

$$(1.1) \quad u(t) \leq c + \int_a^t f(s) \left(u(s) + \int_a^s k(s, \sigma) u(\sigma) d\sigma \right) ds,$$

for $a \leq \sigma \leq s \leq t \leq b$ in the form

$$(1.2) \quad u(t) \leq c \left[1 + \int_a^t f(s) \exp \left(\int_a^s [f(\sigma) + k(\sigma, \sigma)] d\sigma \right) ds \right],$$

under some suitable conditions on the functions and the constant c involved in (1.1) and also the bound on the inequality of the form (1.1) when the function $u(\sigma)$ in the inner integral on the right side of (1.1) is replaced by $u^p(\sigma)$ for $0 \leq p < 1$. In [2], the author tried to obtain the generalizations of the inequalities in [3] and did not succeed, because of his incorrect proofs. Indeed, in the proof of Theorem 2.1, the inequality below (2.7) on page 2 and in the proof of Theorem 2.7, the inequality below (2.19) on page 4 given in [2] are not correct. The aim of the present paper is to correct the explicit bound obtained in (1.2) and also obtain a bound on the general version of (1.1). The two independent variable generalisations of the main results, discrete analogues and some applications are also given.



Bounds on Certain Integral Inequalities

B.G. Pachpatte

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 3 of 23

2. Statement of Results

In what follows, \mathbb{R} denotes the set of real numbers and $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$, $N_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ are the given subsets of \mathbb{R} . The partial derivatives of a function $v(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}$ with respect to x, y and xy are denoted by $D_1v(x, y)$, $D_2v(x, y)$ and $D_1D_2v(x, y) = D_2D_1v(x, y)$ respectively. For the functions $w(m), z(m, n), m, n \in N_0$, we define the operators $\Delta, \Delta_1, \Delta_2$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta w(m) &= w(m+1) - w(m), \\ \Delta_1 z(m, n) &= z(m+1, n) - z(m, n), \\ \Delta_2 z(m, n) &= z(m, n+1) - z(m, n)\end{aligned}$$


respectively and

$$\Delta_2 \Delta_1 z(m, n) = \Delta_2 (\Delta_1 z(m, n)).$$

We denote by

$$\begin{aligned}G_1 &= \{(t, s) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 : 0 \leq s \leq t < \infty\}, \\ G_2 &= \{(x, y, s, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^4 : 0 \leq s \leq x < \infty, 0 \leq t \leq y < \infty\}, \\ H_1 &= \{(m, n) \in N_0^2 : 0 \leq n \leq m < \infty\}, \\ H_2 &= \{(x, y, m, n) \in N_0^4 : 0 \leq m \leq x < \infty, 0 \leq n \leq y < \infty\}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $C(G, H)$ denote the class of continuous functions from G to H . We use the usual conventions that the empty sums and products are taken to be 0 and 1 respectively. Throughout, all the functions which appear in the inequalities are assumed to be real-valued and all the integrals, sums and products involved exist on the respective domains of their definitions.



Bounds on Certain Integral Inequalities

B.G. Pachpatte

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 4 of 23

Our main results on integral inequalities are established in the following theorems.

Theorem 2.1. Let $u(t), f(t), a(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+)$, $k(t, s), D_1k(t, s) \in C(G_1, \mathbb{R}_+)$ and c be a nonnegative constant.

(a₁) If

$$(2.1) \quad u(t) \leq c + \int_0^t f(s) \left[u(s) + \int_0^s k(s, \sigma) u(\sigma) d\sigma \right] ds,$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then

$$(2.2) \quad u(t) \leq c \left[1 + \int_0^t f(s) \exp \left(\int_0^s [f(\sigma) + A(\sigma)] d\sigma \right) ds \right],$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, where

$$(2.3) \quad A(t) = k(t, t) + \int_0^t D_1k(t, \tau) d\tau,$$


for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

(a₂) If

$$(2.4) \quad u(t) \leq a(t) + \int_0^t f(s) \left[u(s) + \int_0^s k(s, \sigma) u(\sigma) d\sigma \right] ds,$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then

$$(2.5) \quad u(t) \leq a(t) + e(t) \left[1 + \int_0^t f(s) \right. \\ \left. \times \exp \left(\int_0^s [f(\sigma) + A(\sigma)] d\sigma \right) ds \right],$$



Bounds on Certain Integral Inequalities

B.G. Pachpatte

Title Page

Contents




Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 5 of 23



for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, where

$$(2.6) \quad e(t) = \int_0^t f(s) \left[a(s) + \int_0^s k(s, \sigma) a(\sigma) d\sigma \right] ds,$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and $A(t)$ is defined by (2.3).

Remark 2.1. We note that the bound obtained in (2.2) is the corrected version of the bound given in (1.2) and the inequality established in (a₂) is a further generalization of the inequality given in (a₁). In the special case when $k(t, s) = k(s)$, the inequality given in (a₁) reduces to the inequality established earlier by Pachpatte in [3, Theorem 1] (see, also [4, Theorem 1.7.1, p. 33]).

The following theorem deals with two independent variable versions of the inequalities established in Theorem 2.1 which can be used in certain situations.


Theorem 2.2. Let $u(x, y)$, $f(x, y)$, $a(x, y) \in C(\mathbb{R}_+^2, \mathbb{R}_+)$, $k(x, y, s, t)$, $D_1 k(x, y, s, t)$, $D_2 k(x, y, s, t)$, $D_1 D_2 k(x, y, s, t) \in C(G_2, \mathbb{R}_+)$ and c be a nonnegative constant.

(b₁) If

$$(2.7) \quad u(x, y) \leq c + \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t) \times \left[u(s, t) + \int_0^s \int_0^t k(s, t, \sigma, \xi) u(\sigma, \xi) d\xi d\sigma \right] dt ds,$$

for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then

$$(2.8) \quad u(x, y) \leq c \left[1 + \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t) \right]$$



Bounds on Certain Integral Inequalities

B.G. Pachpatte

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 7 of 23

$$\times \exp \left(\int_0^s \int_0^t [f(\sigma, \xi) + A(\sigma, \xi)] d\xi d\sigma \right) dt ds \Big],$$

for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$, where

$$(2.9) \quad A(x, y) = k(x, y, x, y) + \int_0^x D_1 k(x, y, \tau, y) d\tau + \int_0^y D_2 k(x, y, x, \eta) d\eta + \int_0^x \int_0^y D_1 D_2 k(x, y, \tau, \eta) d\eta d\tau,$$


for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

(b₂) If

$$(2.10) \quad u(x, y) \leq a(x, y) + \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t) \left[u(s, t) + \int_0^s \int_0^t k(s, t, \sigma, \xi) u(\sigma, \xi) d\xi d\sigma \right] dt ds,$$

for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then

$$(2.11) \quad u(x, y) \leq a(x, y) + e(x, y) \left[1 + \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t) \times \exp \left(\int_0^s \int_0^t [f(\sigma, \xi) + A(\sigma, \xi)] d\xi d\sigma \right) dt ds \right],$$



for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$, where

$$(2.12) \quad e(x, y) = \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t) \left[a(s, t) + \int_0^s \int_0^t k(s, t, \sigma, \xi) a(\sigma, \xi) d\xi d\sigma \right] dt ds,$$

for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $A(x, y)$ is defined by (2.9).

Remark 2.2. By taking $k(x, y, s, t) = k(s, t)$, the inequality given in (b_1) reduces to the inequality given in [4, Remark 4.4.1] and the inequality in (b_2) can be considered as a further generalization of the inequality given in [4, Theorem 4.4.2].

The discrete analogues of the inequalities in Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 are given in the following theorems.


Theorem 2.3. Let $u(n)$, $f(n)$, $a(n)$ be nonnegative functions defined on N_0 , $k(n, s)$, $\Delta_1 k(n, s)$, $0 \leq s \leq n < \infty$, $n, s \in N_0$ be nonnegative functions and c be a nonnegative constant.

(c_1) If

$$(2.13) \quad u(n) \leq c + \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} f(s) \left[u(s) + \sum_{\sigma=0}^{s-1} k(s, \sigma) u(\sigma) \right],$$

for $n \in N_0$, then

$$(2.14) \quad u(n) \leq c \left[1 + \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} f(s) \prod_{\sigma=0}^{s-1} [1 + f(\sigma) + B(\sigma)] \right],$$



Bounds on Certain Integral Inequalities

B.G. Pachpatte

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 9 of 23

for $n \in N_0$, where

$$(2.15) \quad B(n) = k(n+1, n) \sum_{\tau=0}^{n-1} \Delta_1 k(n, \tau),$$

for $n \in N_0$.

(c₂) If

$$(2.16) \quad u(n) \leq a(n) + \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} f(s) \left[u(s) + \sum_{\sigma=0}^{s-1} k(s, \sigma) u(\sigma) \right],$$

for $n \in N_0$, then

$$(2.17) \quad u(n) \leq a(n) + E(n) \left[1 + \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} f(s) \prod_{\sigma=0}^{s-1} [1 + f(\sigma) + B(\sigma)] \right],$$

for $n \in N_0$, where

$$(2.18) \quad E(n) = \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} f(s) \left[a(s) + \sum_{\sigma=0}^{s-1} k(s, \sigma) a(\sigma) \right],$$

for $n \in N_0$ and $B(n)$ is defined by (2.15).

Theorem 2.4. Let $u(x, y)$, $f(x, y)$, $a(x, y)$, $k(x, y, s, t)$, $\Delta_1 k(x, y, s, t)$, $\Delta_2 k(x, y, s, t)$, $\Delta_1 \Delta_2 k(x, y, s, t)$ be nonnegative functions for $0 \leq s \leq x$, $0 \leq t \leq y$, s, x, t, y in N_0 and c be a nonnegative constant

(d₁) If

$$(2.19) \quad u(x, y) \leq c + \sum_{s=0}^{x-1} \sum_{t=0}^{y-1} f(s, t) \\ \times \left[u(s, t) + \sum_{m=0}^{s-1} \sum_{n=0}^{t-1} k(s, t, m, n) u(m, n) \right],$$

for $x, y \in N_0$, then


$$(2.20) \quad u(x, y) \leq c \left[1 + \sum_{s=0}^{x-1} \sum_{t=0}^{y-1} f(s, t) \right. \\ \left. \times \prod_{m=0}^{s-1} \left[1 + \sum_{n=0}^{t-1} [f(m, n) + B(m, n)] \right] \right],$$

for $x, y \in N_0$, where

$$(2.21) \quad B(x, y) = k(x+1, y+1, x, y) + \sum_{\sigma=0}^{x-1} \Delta_1 k(x, y+1, \sigma, y) \\ + \sum_{\tau=0}^{y-1} \Delta_2 k(x+1, y, x, \tau) + \sum_{\sigma=0}^{x-1} \sum_{\tau=0}^{y-1} \Delta_2 \Delta_1 k(x, y, \sigma, \tau),$$

for $x, y \in N_0$.

(d₂) If



Bounds on Certain Integral Inequalities

B.G. Pachpatte

Title Page

Contents




Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 10 of 23



Bounds on Certain Integral Inequalities

B.G. Pachpatte

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 11 of 23

$$(2.22) \quad u(x, y) \leq a(x, y) + \sum_{s=0}^{x-1} \sum_{t=0}^{y-1} f(s, t) \\ \times \left[u(s, t) + \sum_{m=0}^{s-1} \sum_{n=0}^{t-1} k(s, t, m, n) u(m, n) \right],$$

for $x, y \in N_0$, then

$$(2.23) \quad u(x, y) \leq a(x, y) + E(x, y) \left[1 + \sum_{s=0}^{x-1} \sum_{t=0}^{y-1} f(s, t) \right. \\ \left. \times \prod_{m=0}^{s-1} \left[1 + \sum_{n=0}^{t-1} [f(m, n) + B(m, n)] \right] \right],$$

for $x, y \in N_0$, where

$$(2.24) \quad E(x, y) = \sum_{s=0}^{x-1} \sum_{t=0}^{y-1} f(s, t) \\ \times \left[a(s, t) + \sum_{m=0}^{s-1} \sum_{n=0}^{t-1} k(s, t, m, n) a(m, n) \right],$$

for $x, y \in N_0$ and $B(x, y)$ is defined by (2.21).

3. Proofs of Theorems 2.1, 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4

Proof of Theorem 2.1. (a₁) Define a function $z(t)$ by the right hand side of (2.1). Then $z(0) = c$, $u(t) \leq z(t)$ and


$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} z'(t) &= f(t) \left[u(t) + \int_0^t k(t, \sigma) u(\sigma) d\sigma \right] \\ &\leq f(t) \left[z(t) + \int_0^t k(t, \sigma) z(\sigma) d\sigma \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Define a function $v(t)$ by

$$(3.2) \quad v(t) = z(t) + \int_0^t k(t, \sigma) z(\sigma) d\sigma.$$

Then $v(0) = z(0) = c$, $z(t) \leq v(t)$, $z'(t) \leq f(t)v(t)$ and $v(t)$ is nondecreasing in t , $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} v'(t) &= z'(t) + k(t, t) z(t) + \int_0^t D_1 k(t, \sigma) z(\sigma) d\sigma \\ &\leq f(t) v(t) + k(t, t) v(t) + \int_0^t D_1 k(t, \sigma) v(\sigma) d\sigma \\ &\leq \left[f(t) + k(t, t) + \int_0^t D_1 k(t, \sigma) d\sigma \right] v(t) \\ &= [f(t) + A(t)] v(t), \end{aligned}$$



Bounds on Certain Integral Inequalities

B.G. Pachpatte

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 12 of 23

implying

$$(3.3) \quad v(t) \leq c \exp \left(\int_0^s [f(\sigma) + A(\sigma)] d\sigma \right),$$

where $A(t)$ is defined by (2.3). Using (3.3) in (3.1) and integrating the resulting inequality from 0 to t , $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we get

$$(3.4) \quad z(t) \leq c \left[1 + \int_0^t f(s) \exp \left(\int_0^s [f(\sigma) + A(\sigma)] d\sigma \right) ds \right].$$

The desired inequality in (2.2) follows by using (3.4) in $u(t) \leq z(t)$.

(a₂) Define a function $z(t)$ by


$$(3.5) \quad z(t) = \int_0^t f(s) \left[u(s) + \int_0^s k(s, \sigma) u(\sigma) d\sigma \right] ds.$$

Then from (2.4), $u(t) \leq a(t) + z(t)$ and using this in (3.5), we get

$$(3.6) \quad z(t) \leq \int_0^t f(s) \left[a(s) + z(s) + \int_0^s k(s, \sigma) (a(\sigma) + z(\sigma)) d\sigma \right] ds \\ = e(t) + \int_0^t f(s) \left[z(s) + \int_0^s k(s, \sigma) z(\sigma) d\sigma \right] ds,$$

where $e(t)$ is defined by (2.6). Clearly $e(t)$ is nonnegative, continuous and nondecreasing in t , $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. First, we assume that $e(t) > 0$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. From (3.6) it is easy to observe that

$$\frac{z(t)}{e(t)} \leq 1 + \int_0^t f(s) \left[\frac{z(s)}{e(s)} + \int_0^s k(s, \sigma) \frac{z(\sigma)}{e(\sigma)} d\sigma \right] ds.$$



Bounds on Certain Integral Inequalities

B.G. Pachpatte

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 13 of 23

Now, an application of the inequality in (a_1) we have

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{z(t)}{e(t)} \leq \left[1 + \int_0^t f(s) \exp \left(\int_0^s [f(\sigma) + A(\sigma)] d\sigma \right) ds \right].$$

The desired inequality in (2.5) follows from (3.7) and the fact that $u(t) \leq a(t) + z(t)$. If $e(t) \geq 0$, we carry out the above procedure with $e(t) + \varepsilon$ instead of $e(t)$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ is an arbitrary small constant, and then subsequently pass to the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ to obtain (2.5). \square


Remark 3.1. *By replacing the function $u(\sigma)$ in the inner integral on the right hand side of (2.1) by $u^p(\sigma)$, $0 \leq p < 1$, and by following the proof of (a_1) with suitable modifications, we get the corrected version of Theorem 2.7 given in [2].*

Proof of Theorem 2.2. (b_1) Let $c > 0$ and define a function $z(x, y)$ by the right hand side of (2.7). Then $z(0, y) = z(x, 0) = c$, $u(x, y) \leq z(x, y)$, and

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} D_1 D_2 z(x, y) &= f(x, y) \left[u(x, y) + \int_0^x \int_0^y k(x, y, \sigma, \xi) u(\sigma, \xi) d\xi d\sigma \right] \\ &\leq f(x, y) \left[z(x, y) + \int_0^x \int_0^y k(x, y, \sigma, \xi) z(\sigma, \xi) d\xi d\sigma \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Define a function $v(x, y)$ by

$$(3.9) \quad v(x, y) = z(x, y) + \int_0^x \int_0^y k(x, y, \sigma, \xi) z(\sigma, \xi) d\xi d\sigma.$$



Bounds on Certain Integral Inequalities

B.G. Pachpatte

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit


Page 14 of 23

Then, $v(0, y) = z(0, y) = c$, $v(x, 0) = z(x, 0) = c$, $z(x, y) \leq v(x, y)$, $D_1 D_2 z(x, y) \leq f(x, y) v(x, y)$, $v(x, y)$ is nondecreasing for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.10) \quad & D_1 D_2 v(x, y) \\
 &= D_1 D_2 z(x, y) + k(x, y, x, y) z(x, y) \\
 &\quad + \int_0^x D_1 k(x, y, \sigma, y) z(\sigma, y) d\sigma \\
 &\quad + \int_0^y D_2 k(x, y, x, \xi) z(x, \xi) d\xi \\
 &\quad + \int_0^x \int_0^y D_1 D_2 k(x, y, \sigma, \xi) z(\sigma, \xi) d\xi d\sigma \\
 &\leq f(x, y) v(x, y) + k(x, y, x, y) v(x, y) \\
 &\quad + \int_0^x D_1 k(x, y, \sigma, y) v(\sigma, y) d\sigma \\
 &\quad + \int_0^y D_2 k(x, y, x, \xi) v(x, \xi) d\xi \\
 &\quad + \int_0^x \int_0^y D_1 D_2 k(x, y, \sigma, \xi) v(\sigma, \xi) d\xi d\sigma \\
 &\leq [f(x, y) + A(x, y)] v(x, y),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $A(x, y)$ is defined by (2.9). Now, by following the proof of Theorem 4.2.1 given in [4], inequality (3.10) implies

$$(3.11) \quad v(x, y) \leq c \exp \left(\int_0^x \int_0^y [f(\sigma, \xi) + A(\sigma, \xi)] d\xi d\sigma \right).$$



Bounds on Certain Integral Inequalities

B.G. Pachpatte

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 15 of 23

Using (3.11) in (3.8) and integrating the resulting inequality first from 0 to y and then from 0 to x for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we get

$$(3.12) \quad z(x, y) \leq c \left[1 + \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t) \times \exp \left(\int_0^s \int_0^t [f(\sigma, \xi) + A(\sigma, \xi)] d\xi d\sigma \right) dt ds \right].$$

Using (3.12) in $u(x, y) \leq z(x, y)$, we get the required inequality in (2.8). If $c \geq 0$, we carry out the above procedure with $c + \varepsilon$ instead of c , where $\varepsilon > 0$ is an arbitrary small constant, and then subsequently pass to the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ to obtain (2.8).


(b_2) The proof can be completed by closely looking at the proofs of (a_2) and (b_1) given above. Here we omit the details. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.3. (c_1) Define a function $z(n)$ by the right hand side of (2.13), then $z(0) = c$, $u(n) \leq z(n)$ and

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta z(n) &= f(n) \left[u(n) + \sum_{\sigma=0}^{n-1} k(n, \sigma) u(\sigma) \right] \\ &\leq f(n) \left[z(n) + \sum_{\sigma=0}^{n-1} k(n, \sigma) z(\sigma) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Define a function $v(n)$ by

$$(3.14) \quad v(n) = z(n) + \sum_{\sigma=0}^{n-1} k(n, \sigma) z(\sigma).$$



Bounds on Certain Integral Inequalities

B.G. Pachpatte

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 16 of 23

Then $v(0) = z(0) = c$, $z(n) \leq v(n)$, $\Delta z(n) \leq f(n)v(n)$ and $v(n)$ is nondecreasing in n , $n \in N_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.15) \quad \Delta v(n) &= \Delta z(n) + \sum_{\sigma=0}^n k(n+1, \sigma) z(\sigma) - \sum_{\sigma=0}^{n-1} k(n, \sigma) z(\sigma) \\
 &= \Delta z(n) + k(n+1, n) z(n) + \sum_{\sigma=0}^{n-1} \Delta_1 k(n, \sigma) z(\sigma) \\
 &\leq [f(n) + B(n)] v(n),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $B(n)$ is defined by (2.15). The inequality (3.15) implies

$$(3.16) \quad v(n) \leq c \prod_{\sigma=0}^{n-1} [1 + f(\sigma) + B(\sigma)].$$

Using (3.16) in (3.11) we get


$$(3.17) \quad \Delta z(n) \leq cf(n) \prod_{\sigma=0}^{n-1} [1 + f(\sigma) + B(\sigma)].$$

The inequality (3.17) implies the estimate

$$(3.18) \quad z(n) \leq c \left[1 + \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} f(s) \prod_{\sigma=0}^{s-1} [1 + f(\sigma) + B(\sigma)] \right].$$

Using (3.18) in $u(n) \leq z(n)$ we get the desired inequality in (2.14).

(c_2) The proof of can be completed by closely looking at the proofs of (a_2) and (c_2) given above. \square



Bounds on Certain Integral Inequalities

B.G. Pachpatte

Title Page

Contents




Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 17 of 23

Proof of Theorem 2.4. (d_1) and (d_2) can be completed by following the proofs of the inequalities given above and closely looking at the proofs of the similar results given in [5]. \square



Bounds on Certain Integral Inequalities

B.G. Pachpatte

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 18 of 23

4. Applications

In this section, we present some applications of the inequality (b_1) in Theorem 2.2 to study certain properties of solutions of the nonlinear hyperbolic partial integrodifferential equation

$$(4.1) \quad u_{xy}(x, y) = F\left(x, y, u(x, y), \int_0^x \int_0^y h(x, y, \sigma, \xi, u(\sigma, \xi)) d\xi d\sigma\right),$$

with the given initial boundary conditions

$$(4.2) \quad u(x, 0) = \alpha_1(x), \quad u(0, y) = \alpha_2(y), \quad \alpha_1(0) = \alpha_2(0) = 0,$$

where $u \in C(\mathbb{R}_+^2, \mathbb{R})$, $h \in C(G_2 \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, $F \in C(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$.

The following theorem deals with the estimate on the solution of (4.1) – (4.2).

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that*


$$(4.3) \quad |h(x, y, s, t, u(s, t))| \leq k(x, y, s, t) |u(s, t)|,$$

$$(4.4) \quad |F(x, y, u, v)| \leq f(x, y) [|u| + |v|],$$

$$(4.5) \quad |\alpha_1(x) + \alpha_2(y)| \leq c,$$

where k, f and c are as defined in Theorem 2.2. If $u(x, y)$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is any solution of (4.1) – (4.2), then

$$(4.6) \quad |u(x, y)| \leq c \left[1 + \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t) \times \exp\left(\int_0^s \int_0^t [f(\sigma, \xi) + A(\sigma, \xi)] d\xi d\sigma\right) dt ds \right],$$



Bounds on Certain Integral Inequalities

B.G. Pachpatte

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 19 of 23

for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$, where $A(x, y)$ is defined by (2.9).

Proof. The solution $u(x, y)$ of (4.1) – (4.2) can be written as

$$(4.7) \quad u(x, y) = \alpha_1(x) + \alpha_2(y) + \int_0^x \int_0^y F\left(s, t, u(s, t), \int_0^s \int_0^t h(s, t, \sigma, \xi, u(\sigma, \xi)) d\xi d\sigma\right) dt ds.$$

Using (4.3) – (4.5) in (4.7) we have

$$(4.8) \quad |u(x, y)| \leq c + \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t) \left[|u(s, t)| + \left(\int_0^s \int_0^t k(s, t, \sigma, \xi) |u(\sigma, \xi)| d\xi d\sigma \right) \right] dt ds.$$

Now, an application of the inequality (b_1) in Theorem 2.2 yields the desired estimate in (4.6). \square


Our next result deals with the uniqueness of the solutions of (4.1) – (4.2).

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose that the functions h, F in (4.1) satisfy the conditions*

$$(4.9) \quad |h(x, y, s, t, u_1) - h(x, y, s, t, u_2)| \leq k(x, y, s, t) |u_1 - u_2|,$$

$$(4.10) \quad |F(x, y, u_1, u_2) - F(x, y, v_1, v_2)| \leq f(x, y) [|u_1 - v_1| + |u_2 - v_2|],$$

where k and f are as in Theorem 2.2. Then the problem (4.1) – (4.2) has at most one solution on \mathbb{R}_+^2 .



Bounds on Certain Integral Inequalities

B.G. Pachpatte

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 20 of 23

Proof. Let $u_1(x, y)$ and $u_2(x, y)$ be two solutions of (4.1) – (4.2) on \mathbb{R}_+^2 , then we have

$$(4.11) \quad u_1(x, y) - u_2(x, y) = \int_0^x \int_0^y \left[F \left(s, t, u_1(s, t), \int_0^s \int_0^t h(s, t, \sigma, \xi, u_1(\sigma, \xi)) d\xi d\sigma \right) - F \left(s, t, u_2(s, t), \int_0^s \int_0^t h(s, t, \sigma, \xi, u_2(\sigma, \xi)) d\xi d\sigma \right) \right] dt ds.$$


From (4.9), (4.10) and (4.11) we have

$$(4.12) \quad |u_1(x, y) - u_2(x, y)| \leq \int_0^x \int_0^y f(s, t) \left[|u_1(s, t) - u_2(s, t)| + \int_0^s \int_0^t k(s, t, \sigma, \xi) |u_1(\sigma, \xi) - u_2(\sigma, \xi)| d\xi d\sigma \right] dt ds.$$

As an application of the inequality (b_1) in Theorem 2.2 with $c = 0$ yields $|u_1(x, y) - u_2(x, y)| \leq 0$. Therefore, $u_1(x, y) = u_2(x, y)$, i.e., there is at most one solution of (4.1) – (4.2) on \mathbb{R}_+^2 . \square

We note that the inequality (d_1) in Theorem 2.4 can be used to obtain the bound and uniqueness of solutions of the following partial sum-difference equation

$$(4.13) \quad \Delta_2 \Delta_1 z(x, y) = H \left(x, y, z(x, y), \sum_{m=0}^{x-1} \sum_{n=0}^{y-1} g(x, y, m, n, z(m, n)) \right),$$



Bounds on Certain Integral Inequalities

B.G. Pachpatte

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit


Page 21 of 23

with the given conditions

$$(4.14) \quad z(x, 0) = \beta_1(x), \quad z(0, y) = \beta_2(y), \quad \beta_1(0) = \beta_2(0) = 0,$$

under some suitable conditions on the functions involved in (4.13) – (4.14). For various other applications of the inequalities similar to that given above, see [4, 5].

In concluding, we note that in another paper [1], Oguntuase has given the upper bounds on certain integral inequalities involving functions of several variables. However, the results given in [1] are also not correct. In fact, in the proof of Theorem 2.1, the equality in (2.3) and in the proof of Theorem 3.1 on page 5, the equality on line 10 (from above) are not correct. For a number of inequalities involving functions of many independent variables and their applications, see [4, 5].



Bounds on Certain Integral Inequalities

B.G. Pachpatte

Title Page

Contents



Go Back


Close

Quit

Page 22 of 23

References

- [1] J.A. OGUNTUASE, On integral inequalities of Gronwall-Bellman-Bihari type in several variables, *J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math.*, **1**(2) (2000), Article 20. [ONLINE: http://jipam.vu.edu.au/v1n2/004_00.html]
- [2] J.A. OGUNTUASE, On an inequality of Gronwall, *J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math.*, **2**(1) (2001), Article 9. [ONLINE: http://jipam.vu.edu.au/v2n1/013_00.html]
- [3] B.G. PACHPATTE, A note on Gronwall-Bellman inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **44** (1973), 758–762.
- [4] B.G. PACHPATTE, *Inequalities for Differential and Integral Equations*, Academic Press, New York, 1998.
- [5] B.G. PACHPATTE, *Inequalities for Finite Difference Equations*, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 2002.



Bounds on Certain Integral Inequalities

B.G. Pachpatte

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 23 of 23