INEQUALITIES OF JENSEN-PEČARIĆ-SVRTAN-FAN TYPE

CHAOBANG GAO AND JIAJIN WEN
College Of Mathematics And Information Science
Chengdu University
Chengdu, 610106, P.R. China
EMail: kobren427@163.com wenjiajin623@163.com

Received: 22 January, 2007
Accepted: 06 July, 2008
Communicated by: S.S. Dragomir
2000 AMS Sub. Class.: 26D15, 26E60.
Key words: Jensen's inequality, Pečarić-Svrtan's inequality, Fan's inequality, Theory of majorization, Hermite matrix.

Title Page
Contents
◀◀ ▶▶
◀ ▶
Page 1 of 17
Go Back
Full Screen
Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics
issn: 1443-5756

Abstract:

By using the theory of majorization, the following inequalities of Jensen-Pečarić-Svrtan-Fan type are established: Let I be an interval, $f : I \to \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in I, x, a, b \in I^n$. If $a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_n \leq b_n \leq \cdots \leq b_1, a_1 + b_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_n + b_n$; $f(t) > 0, f'(t) > 0, f''(t) > 0, f'''(t) < 0$ for any $t \in I$, then

$$\frac{f(A(a))}{f(A(b))} = \frac{f_{n,n}(a)}{f_{n,n}(b)} \leq \cdots \leq \frac{f_{k+1,n}(a)}{f_{k+1,n}(b)} \leq \frac{f_{k,n}(a)}{f_{k,n}(b)} \leq \cdots \leq \frac{f_{1,n}(a)}{f_{1,n}(b)} = \frac{A(f(a))}{A(f(b))},$$

the inequalities are reversed for $f''(t) < 0, f'''(t) > 0, \forall t \in I$, where $A(\cdot)$ is the arithmetic mean and

$$f_{k,n}(x) := \frac{1}{\binom{n}{k}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n} f\left(\frac{x_{i_1} + \cdots + x_{i_k}}{k}\right), \quad k = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Acknowledgements:

This work is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (10671136) and the Natural Science Foundation of Sichuan Province Education Department (07ZA207).

The authors are deeply indebted to anonymous referees for many useful comments and keen observations which led to the present improved version of the paper as it stands, and also are grateful to their friend Professor Wan-lan Wang for numerous discussions and helpful suggestions in preparation of this paper.

Title Page

Contents

◀◀ ▶▶

◀ ▶

Page 2 of 17

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Contents

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

1. Introduction

In what follows, we shall use the following symbols:

$$x := (x_1, \dots, x_n); \quad f(x) := (f(x_1), \dots, f(x_n)); \quad G(x) := (x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n)^{1/n};$$

$$A(x) := \frac{x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n}{n}; \quad \mathbb{R}^n_+ := [0, +\infty)^n; \quad \mathbb{R}^n_{++} := (0, +\infty)^n;$$

$$I^n := \{x | x_i \in I, i = 1, \dots, n, I \text{ is an interval}\};$$

$$f_{k,n}(x) := \frac{1}{\binom{n}{k}} \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_k \le n} f\left(\frac{x_{i_1} + \cdots + x_{i_k}}{k}\right), \qquad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Jensen's inequality states that: Let $f : I \to \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function and $x \in I^n$. Then

$$f(A(x)) \le A(f(x)). \tag{1.1}$$

This well-known inequality has a great number of generalizations in the literature (see [1] – [6]). An interesting generalization of (1.1) due to Pečarić and Svrtan [5] is:

$$\begin{aligned} f(A(x)) = f_{n,n}(x) \le \cdots \le f_{k+1,n}(x) \le f_{k,n}(x) \\ \le \cdots \le f_{1,n}(x) = A(f(x)). \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

In 2003, Tang and Wen [6] obtained the following generalization of (1.2):

$$f_{r,s,n} \ge \cdots \ge f_{r,s,i} \ge \cdots \ge f_{r,s,s} \ge \cdots \ge f_{r,j,j} \ge \cdots \ge f_{r,r,r} = 0, \tag{1.3}$$

where

$$f_{r,s,n} := \binom{n}{r}\binom{n}{s}(f_{r,n} - f_{s,n}), \quad f_{k,n} := f_{k,n}(x), \quad 1 \le r \le s \le n.$$

Title Page
Contents
◀◀ ▶▶
◀ ▶
Page 4 of 17
Go Back
Full Screen
Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics
issn: 1443-5756

Ky Fan's arithmetic-geometric mean inequality is (see [7]): Let $x \in (0, 1/2]^n$. Then

$$\frac{A(x)}{A(1-x)} \geq \frac{G(x)}{G(1-x)}. \tag{1.4}$$

In this paper, we shall establish further extensions of (1.2) and (1.4) as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let I be an interval. If $f : I \to \mathbb{R}$, $a, b \in I^n$ $(n \geq 2)$ and*

(i) $a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_n \leq b_n \leq \cdots \leq b_1$, $a_1 + b_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_n + b_n$;

(ii) $f(t) > 0$, $f'(t) > 0$, $f''(t) > 0$, $f'''(t) < 0$ *for any* $t \in I$,

then

$$\frac{f(A(a))}{f(A(b))} = \frac{f_{n,n}(a)}{f_{n,n}(b)} \leq \cdots \leq \frac{f_{k+1,n}(a)}{f_{k+1,n}(b)} \leq \frac{f_{k,n}(a)}{f_{k,n}(b)} \leq \cdots \leq \frac{f_{1,n}(a)}{f_{1,n}(b)} = \frac{A(f(a))}{A(f(b))}. \tag{1.5}$$

The inequalities are reversed for $f''(t) < 0$, $f'''(t) > 0$, $\forall t \in I$. The equality signs hold if and only if $a_1 = \cdots = a_n$ and $b_1 = \cdots = b_n$.

In Section 3, several interesting results of Ky Fan shall be deduced. In Section 4, the matrix variant of (1.5) will be established.

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics
issn: 1443-5756

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Lemma 2.1. *Let* $f : I \to \mathbb{R}$ *be a function whose second derivative exists and* $x \in I^n$,

$$\alpha \in \Omega_n = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n = 1\}.$$

Writing

$$S(\alpha, x) := \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i_1 \cdots i_n} f(\alpha_1 x_{i_1} + \cdots + \alpha_n x_{i_n}),$$

where $\sum_{i_1 \cdots i_n}$ *denotes summation over all permutations of* $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$,

$$F(\alpha) := \log \left[\frac{S(\alpha, a)}{S(\alpha, b)} \right], \qquad a, b \in I^n,$$

$$u_i(x) := \alpha_1 x_{i_1} + \alpha_2 x_{i_2} + \sum_{j=3}^{n} \alpha_j x_{i_j},$$

$$v_i(x) := \alpha_1 x_{i_2} + \alpha_2 x_{i_1} + \sum_{j=3}^{n} \alpha_j x_{i_j}, \qquad i = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n).$$

Then there exist $\xi_i(a)$ *between* $u_i(a)$ *and* $v_i(a)$, *and* $\xi_i(b)$ *between* $u_i(b)$ *and* $v_i(b)$ *such that*

$$(2.1) \quad (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_1} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_2} \right) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i_3 \cdots i_n} \sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 \le i_n} \left[\frac{f''(\xi_i(a))(u_i(a) - v_i(a))^2}{S(\alpha, a)} - \frac{f''(\xi_i(b))(u_i(b) - v_i(b))^2}{S(\alpha, b)} \right],$$

where $\sum_{i_3 \cdots i_n}$ *denotes the summation over all permutations of* $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \backslash \{i_1, i_2\}$.

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics
issn: 1443-5756

Proof. Note the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned} S(\alpha,x) &= \frac{1}{n!}\sum_{i_3\cdots i_n}\sum_{1\le i_1\ne i_2\le n} f(\alpha_1 x_{i_1}+\cdots+\alpha_n x_{i_n}) \\ &= \frac{1}{n!}\sum_{i_3\cdots i_n}\sum_{1\le i_1< i_2\le n} [f(u_i(x))+f(v_i(x))]; \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha_1}[f(u_i)+f(v_i)]-\frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha_2}[f(u_i)+f(v_i)]=[f'(u_i)-f'(v_i)](x_{i_1}-x_{i_2});$$

$$\begin{aligned} &(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial\alpha_1}-\frac{\partial S}{\partial\alpha_2}\right) \\ &\qquad = \frac{1}{n!}\sum_{i_3\cdots i_n}\sum_{1\le i_1< i_2\le n} [f'(u_i)-f'(v_i)](\alpha_1-\alpha_2)(x_{i_1}-x_{i_2}) \\ &\qquad = \frac{1}{n!}\sum_{i_3\cdots i_n}\sum_{1\le i_1< i_2\le n} [f'(u_i)-f'(v_i)](u_i-v_i). \qquad (2.2) \end{aligned}$$

By $F(\alpha)=\log S(\alpha,a)-\log S(\alpha,b)$ and (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial\alpha_1}-\frac{\partial F}{\partial\alpha_2}\right) \\ &= (\alpha_1-\alpha_2)\left\{[S(\alpha,a)]^{-1}\left[\frac{\partial S(\alpha,a)}{\partial\alpha_1}-\frac{\partial S(\alpha,a)}{\partial\alpha_2}\right]\right. \\ &\qquad\qquad \left. -[S(\alpha,b)]^{-1}\left[\frac{\partial S(\alpha,b)}{\partial\alpha_1}-\frac{\partial S(\alpha,b)}{\partial\alpha_2}\right]\right\} \end{aligned}$$

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics
issn: 1443-5756

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i_3 \cdots i_n} \sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 \le n} \left\{ \frac{[f'(u_i(a)) - f'(v_i(a))][u_i(a) - v_i(a)]}{S(\alpha, a)} \right. \\
&\qquad\qquad \left. - \frac{[f'(u_i(b)) - f'(v_i(b))][u_i(b) - v_i(b)]}{S(\alpha, b)} \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i_3 \cdots i_n} \sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 \le n} \left\{ \frac{f''(\xi_i(a))[u_i(a) - v_i(a)]^2}{S(\alpha, a)} - \frac{f''(\xi_i(b))[u_i(b) - v_i(b)]^2}{S(\alpha, b)} \right\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we used the Mean Value Theorem for $f'(t)$. This completes the proof. ☐

Lemma 2.2. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1, F is a Schur-convex function or a Schur-concave function on Ω_n, where F is defined by Lemma 2.1.*

Proof. It is easy to see that Ω_n is a symmetric convex set and F is a differentiable symmetric function on Ω_n. To prove that F is a Schur-convex function on Ω_n, it is enough from [8, p .57] to prove that

$$
(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_1} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_2} \right) \ge 0, \quad \forall \alpha \in \Omega_n. \tag{2.3}
$$

To prove (2.3), it is enough from Lemma 2.1 to prove

$$
\frac{f''(\xi_i(a))[u_i(a) - v_i(a)]^2}{S(\alpha, a)} \ge \frac{f''(\xi_i(b))[u_i(b) - v_i(b)]^2}{S(\alpha, b)}. \tag{2.4}
$$

Using the given conditions $a_1 \le \cdots \le a_n \le b_n \le \cdots \le b_1$, $f(t) > 0$ and $f'(t) > 0$, we obtain that $a_j \le b_j$ $(j = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$ and the inequalities:

$$
\frac{1}{S(\alpha, a)} \ge \frac{1}{S(\alpha, b)} > 0. \tag{2.5}
$$

Title Page
Contents
◀◀ ▶▶
◀ ▶
Page 8 of 17
Go Back
Full Screen
Close

By the given condition (i) of Theorem 1.1 and $1 \le i_1 < i_2 \le n$, we have

$$a_{i_2} - a_{i_1} \ge b_{i_1} - b_{i_2} \ge 0$$

and

$$[u_i(a) - v_i(a)]^2 \ge [u_i(b) - v_i(b)]^2 \ge 0. \tag{2.6}$$

From (2.5) and (2.6), we get

$$\frac{[u_i(a) - v_i(a)]^2}{S(\alpha, a)} \ge \frac{[u_i(b) - v_i(b)]^2}{S(\alpha, b)} \ge 0. \tag{2.7}$$

Note that $a, b \in I^n$, $u_i(a), v_i(a), u_i(b), v_i(b) \in I$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \min\{u_i(a), v_i(a)\} &\le \xi_i(a) \\ &\le \max\{u_i(a), v_i(a)\} \\ &\le \min\{u_i(b), v_i(b)\} \\ &\le \xi_i(b) \\ &\le \max\{u_i(b), v_i(b)\}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\xi_i(a) \le \xi_i(b) \quad (\xi_i(a), \xi_i(b) \in I). \tag{2.8}$$

If $f''(t) > 0$, $f'''(t) < 0$ for any $t \in I$, from these and (2.8) we get

$$f''(\xi_i(a)) \ge f''(\xi_i(b)) > 0. \tag{2.9}$$

Combining with (2.7) and (2.9), we have proven that (2.4) holds, hence, F is a Schur-convex function on Ω_n.

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics
issn: 1443-5756

Similarly, if $f''(t) < 0$, $f'''(t) > 0$ for any $t \in I$, we obtain

$$-f''(\xi_i(a)) \geq -f''(\xi_i(b)) > 0. \tag{2.10}$$

Combining with (2.7) and (2.10), we know that the inequalities are reversed in (2.4) and (2.3). Therefore, F is a Schur-concave function on Ω_n. This ends the proof of Lemma 2.2. ☐

Remark 1. When $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$, there is equality in (2.3) if $a_1 = \cdots = a_n$ and $b_1 = \cdots = b_n$. In fact, there is equality in (2.3) if and only if there is equality in (2.5), (2.8), (2.9) and the first inequality in (2.6) or all the equality signs hold in (2.6). For the first case, by $a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_n \leq b_n \leq \cdots \leq b_1$, we get $a_1 = \cdots = a_n$, $b_1 = \cdots = b_n$. For the second case, we have $a_{i_1} - a_{i_2} = 0 = b_{i_1} - b_{i_2}$. Since $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq n$ and i_1, i_2 are arbitrary, we get $a_1 = \cdots = a_n$, $b_1 = \cdots = b_n$. Clearly, if $a_1 = \cdots = a_n$, $b_1 = \cdots = b_n$, then (2.3) reduces to an equality.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. First we note that if

$$\alpha = \alpha_k := \Bigg(\underbrace{k^{-1}, k^{-1}, \ldots, k^{-1}}_{k}, 0, \ldots, 0 \Bigg),$$

we obtain that

$$S(\alpha_k, x) = f_{k,n}(x)$$

and

$$F(\alpha_k) = \log \frac{f_{k,n}(a)}{f_{k,n}(b)}. \tag{2.11}$$

By Lemma 2.2, we observe that $F(\alpha)$ is a Schur-convex(concave) function on Ω_n. Using $\alpha_{k+1} \prec \alpha_k$ for $\alpha_k, \alpha_{k+1} \in \Omega_n$ and the definition of Schur-convex(concave) functions, we have [8]

$$F(\alpha_{k+1}) \leq (\geq) F(\alpha_k), \qquad k = 1, \dots, n-1. \tag{2.12}$$

It follows from (2.11) and (2.12) that (1.5) holds. Since $\alpha_{k+1} \neq \alpha_k$, combining this fact with Remark 1, we observe that the equality signs hold in (1.5) if and only if $a_1 = \cdots = a_n$, $b_1 = \cdots = b_n$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

3. Corollary of Theorem 1.1

Corollary 3.1. *Let* $0 < r < 1$, $s \geq 1$, $0 < a_i \leq 2^{-1/s}$, $b_i = (1-a_i^s)^{1/s}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, $f(t) = t^r$, $t \in (0,1)$. *Then the inequalities in* (1.5) *are reversed.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $0 < a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_n$. By $b_i = (1-a_i^s)^{1/s}$ and $0 < a_i \leq 2^{-1/s}$ $(i = 1, \dots, n)$, we have

$$0 < a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_n \leq 2^{-1/s} \leq b_n \leq \cdots \leq b_1 < 1.$$

Now we take $g(t) := t + (1-t^s)^{1/s} (0 < t \leq 2^{-1/s})$, so $g'(t) = 1 - (1-t^s)^{(1/s)-1} t^{s-1} \geq 0$, i.e., g is an increasing function. Thus

$$a_1 + b_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_n + b_n.$$

It is easy to see that $f(t) = t^r > 0$, $f'(t) = rt^{r-1} > 0$, $f''(t) = r(r-1)t^{r-2} < 0$, $f'''(t) = r(r-1)(r-2)t^{r-3} > 0$ for any $t \in (0,1)$. By Theorem 1.1, Corollary 3.1 can be deduced. This completes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 3.2. *Let* $a \in (0, 1/2]^n$. *Writing*

$$[AG; x]_{k,n} := \left(\prod_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n} \frac{x_{i_1} + \cdots + x_{i_k}}{k} \right)^{\frac{1}{\binom{n}{k}}},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A(a)}{A(1-a)} &= \frac{[AG; a]_{n,n}}{[AG; 1-a]_{n,n}} \\ &\geq \cdots \geq \frac{[AG; a]_{k+1,n}}{[AG; 1-a]_{k+1,n}} \geq \frac{[AG; a]_{k,n}}{[AG; 1-a]_{k,n}} \\ &\geq \cdots \geq \frac{[AG; a]_{1,n}}{[AG; 1-a]_{1,n}} = \frac{G(a)}{G(1-a)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Title Page
Contents
◀◀ ▶▶
◀ ▶
Page 12 of 17
Go Back
Full Screen
Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics
issn: 1443-5756

Equalities hold throughout if and only if $a_1 = \cdots = a_n$. *(Compare* (3.1) *with [7, 10, 11])*

Proof. We choose $s = 1$ in Corollary 3.1. Raising each term to the power of $1/r$ and letting $r \to 0$ in (1.5), (3.1) can be deduced. This ends the proof. ☐

Corollary 3.3. *Let* $f : I \to \mathbb{R}$ *be such that* $f(t) > 0$, $f'(t) > 0$, $f''(t) > 0$, $f'''(t) < 0$ *for any* $t \in I$. *Let* $\Phi : I_0 \to I$ *be increasing and* $\Psi : I_0 \to I$ *be decreasing, and suppose that* $\Phi + \Psi$ *is increasing and* $\sup \Phi \le \inf \Psi$. *Then*

$$\frac{f\left(|I_0|^{-1} \int_{I_0} \Phi dt\right)}{f\left(|I_0|^{-1} \int_{I_0} \Psi dt\right)} \le \frac{\int_{I_0} f(\Phi) dt}{\int_{I_0} f(\Psi) dt}, \tag{3.2}$$

where $|I_0|$ *is the length of the interval* I_0. *The inequality is reversed for* $f''(t) < 0$, $f'''(t) > 0, \forall t \in I$.

In fact, since (3.2) is an integral version of the inequality $\frac{f(A(a))}{f(A(b))} \le \frac{A(f(a))}{A(f(b))}$, therefore (3.2) holds by Theorem 1.1.

According to Theorem 1.1, (1.5) implies inequalities (1.1), (1.2) and (3.1), and the implication (3.1) to (1.4) is obvious. Consequently, Theorem 1.1 is a generalization of Jensen's inequality (1.1), Pečarić-Svrtan's inequalities (1.2) and Fan's inequality (1.4). Note that Theorem 1.1 contains a great number of inequalities as special cases. To save space we omit the details.

4. A Matrix Variant

Let $A = (a_{ij})_{n\times n} (n \geq 2)$ be a Hermite matrix of order n. Then $\operatorname{tr} A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ii}$ is the trace of A. As is well-known, there exists a unitary matrix U such that $A = U \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) U^*$, where U^* is the transpose conjugate matrix of U and the components of $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ are the eigenvalues of A. Thus $\operatorname{tr} A = \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n$. Let $\lambda \in I^n$. Then, for $f : I \to \mathbb{R}$, we define $f(A) := U \operatorname{diag}(f(\lambda_1), \dots, f(\lambda_n)) U^*$ (see [9]). Note that $\operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = U^* A U$. Based on the above, we may use the following symbols: If, for A, we keep the elements on the cross points of the $i_1, \dots, i_k$th rows and the $i_1, \dots, i_k$th columns; replacing the other elements by nulls, then we denote this new matrix by $A_{i_1\cdots i_k}$. Clearly, we have $\operatorname{tr}[U^*AU]_{i_1\cdots i_k} = \lambda_{i_1} + \cdots + \lambda_{i_k}$. Thus we also define that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{k,n}(A) &:= \frac{1}{\binom{n}{k}} \sum_{1\leq i_1<\cdots<i_k\leq n} f\left(\frac{\lambda_{i_1} + \cdots + \lambda_{i_k}}{k}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\binom{n}{k}} \sum_{1\leq i_1<\cdots<i_k\leq n} f\left(\frac{1}{k}\operatorname{tr}[U^*AU]_{i_1\cdots i_k}\right). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have

$$f_{1,n}(A) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(\lambda_i) = \frac{1}{n}\operatorname{tr}(f(A));$$

$$f_{n,n}(A) = f\left(\frac{\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n}{n}\right) = f\left(\frac{1}{n}\operatorname{tr} A\right);$$

$$f_{n-1,n}(A) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\frac{\operatorname{tr} A - \lambda_i}{n-1}\right) = \frac{1}{n}\operatorname{tr} f\left(\frac{E\cdot\operatorname{tr} A - A}{n-1}\right),$$

Title Page
Contents
◀◀ ▶▶
◀ ▶
Page 14 of 17
Go Back
Full Screen
Close

where E is a unit matrix. In fact, from

$$U^*\left(\frac{E\cdot \mathrm{tr}A-A}{n-1}\right)U=\mathrm{diag}\left(\frac{\mathrm{tr}A-\lambda_1}{n-1},\dots,\frac{\mathrm{tr}A-\lambda_n}{n-1}\right),$$

we get

$$\mathrm{tr}f\left(\frac{E\cdot \mathrm{tr}A-A}{n-1}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}f\left(\frac{\mathrm{tr}A-\lambda_i}{n-1}\right).$$

Based on the above facts and Theorem 1.1, we observe the following.

Theorem 4.1. *Let I be an interval and let $\lambda,\mu\in I^n$. Suppose the components of λ,μ are the eigenvalues of Hermitian matrices A and B. If*

(i) $\lambda_1\le\cdots\le\lambda_n\le\mu_n\le\cdots\le\mu_1$, $\lambda_1+\mu_1\le\cdots\le\lambda_n+\mu_n$;

(ii) the function $f:I\to\mathbb{R}$ satisfies $f(t)>0$, $f'(t)>0$, $f''(t)>0$, $f'''(t)<0$ for any $t\in I$, and we have

$$\frac{f\left(\frac{1}{n}\mathrm{tr}A\right)}{f\left(\frac{1}{n}\mathrm{tr}B\right)}\le\frac{\mathrm{tr}f\left(\frac{E\cdot\mathrm{tr}A-A}{n-1}\right)}{\mathrm{tr}f\left(\frac{E\cdot\mathrm{tr}B-B}{n-1}\right)}\le\cdots\le\frac{f_{k+1,n}(A)}{f_{k+1,n}(B)}\le\frac{f_{k,n}(A)}{f_{k,n}(B)}\le\cdots\le\frac{\mathrm{tr}f(A)}{\mathrm{tr}f(B)}.$$

The inequalities are reversed for $f''(t)<0$, $f'''(t)>0$, $\forall t\in I$. Equalities hold throughout if and only if $\lambda_1=\cdots=\lambda_n$ and $\mu_1=\cdots=\mu_n$.

Remark 2. If $I=(0,1/2]$, $0<\lambda_1\le\cdots\le\lambda_n\le 1/2$, $B=E-A$, then the precondition (i) of Theorem 4.1 can be satisfied.

Remark 3. Lemma 2.2 possesses a general and meaningful result that should be an important theorem. Theorem 1.1 is only an application of Lemma 2.2.

Remark 4. If $f(t)<0$, $f'(t)<0$ for any $t\in I$, then we can apply Theorem 1.1 to $-f$.

Remark 5. In [12, 13], several applications on Jensen's inequalities are displayed.

Title Page
Contents
◀◀ ▶▶
◀ ▶
Page 15 of 17
Go Back
Full Screen
Close

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics
issn: 1443-5756

References

[1] D.S. MITRINOVIĆ, J.E. PEČARIĆ and A.M. FINK, *Classical and new inequalities in analysis*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/Boston/London, 1993.

[2] J.E. PEČARIĆ, Inverse of Jensen-Steffensen's inequality, *Glasnik, Math.*, **16**(3) (1981), 229–233.

[3] J.E. PEČARIĆ and V. VOLENEC, Interpolation of the Jensen inequality with some applications, *Ostereich Akad, Wissensch. Math. naturwiss klasse, Sitzungsberichte*, **197** (1988), 229–233.

[4] J.E. PEČARIĆ, Remark on an inequality of S.Gabler, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **184** (1994), 19–21.

[5] J.E. PEČARIĆ and D. SVRTAN, Refinements of the Jensen inequalities based on samples with repetitions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **222** (1998), 365–373.

[6] X.L. TANG and J.J. WEN, Some developments of refined Jensen's inequality, *J. Southwest Univ. of Nationalities (Natur. Sci.)*, **29**(1) (2003), 20–26.

[7] W.L. WANG and P.F. WANG, A class of inequalities for symmetric functions, *Acta Math. Sinica*, **27**(4) (1984), 485–497.

[8] A.W. MARSHALL and I. OLKIN, *Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and its Applications*, New-York/London/Toronto /Sydney/San Francisco, 1979.

[9] B. MOND and J.E. PEČARIĆ, Generalization of a matrix inequality of Ky Fan, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **190** (1995), 244–247.

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756

[10] J.E. PEČARIĆ, J.J. WEN, W.L. WANG AND T. LU, A generalization of Maclaurin's inequalities and its applications, *Mathematical Inequalities and Applications*, **8**(4) (2005), 583–598.

[11] J.J. WEN AND W.L. WANG, The optimization for the inequalities of power means, *Journal Inequalities and Applications*, **2006**, Article ID 46782, Pages 1-25, DOI 10.1155/JIA/2006/46782.

[12] J.J. WEN AND W.L. WANG, The inequalities involving generalized interpolation polynomial, *Computer and Mathematics with Applications*, **56**(4) (2008), 1045–1058. [ONLINE: `http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2008.01.032`].

[13] J.J. WEN AND C.B. GAO, Geometric inequalities involving the central distance of the centered 2-surround system, *Acta. Math.Sinica*, **51**(4) (2008), 815–832.

journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics

issn: 1443-5756