

Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics

A VARIANCE ANALOG OF MAJORIZATION AND SOME ASSOCIATED INEQUALITIES

MICHAEL G. NEUBAUER AND WILLIAM WATKINS

Department of Mathematics
California State University Northridge
Northridge, CA 91330.

EMail: michael.neubauer@csun.edu

EMail: bill.watkins@csun.edu

URL: <http://www.csun.edu/~vcmt006>

©2000 Victoria University
ISSN (electronic): 1443-5756
064-06



volume 7, issue 3, article 79,
2006.

*Received 03 March, 2006;
accepted 21 March, 2006.*

Communicated by: I. Olkin

Abstract

Contents



Home Page

Go Back

Close


Quit

Abstract

We introduce a partial order, variance majorization, on \mathbb{R}^n , which is analogous to the majorization order. A new class of monotonicity inequalities, based on variance majorization and analogous to Schur convexity, is developed.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 26D05, 26D07; Secondary: 15A42.

Key words: Inequality, Symmetric polynomial, Majorization, Schur convex, Variance.



A Variance Analog of Majorization and Some Associated Inequalities

Michael G. Neubauer and
William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back


Close

Quit

Page 2 of 49

Contents

1	Introduction	4
2	Majorization and Variance Majorization	6
2.1	Definitions of Variance Majorization and Majorization	6
2.2	Least and Greatest Sequences with Respect to the Variance Majorization Order	8
3	Variance Monotone Functions and Schur Convex Functions	10
3.1	The Main Result	10
3.2	Schur Convex Functions	12
4	Some Variance Monotone and Schur Convex Functions	14
4.1	Elementary Symmetric Functions	14
4.2	Moment Functions	15
4.3	Entropy Function	17
4.4	Coordinates of x	17
5	Restricting $S(m, v)$ to an Interval	20
6	Proofs	22
6.1	Helmert basis	22
6.2	Proof of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 4.7	25
6.3	Proof of Corollary 3.2	30
6.4	Proof of Theorem 4.1	30
6.5	Proof of Lemma 5.1	33
6.6	Proof of Lemma 2.1	41
6.7	Example 5.1	45
	References	



A Variance Analog of Majorization and Some Associated Inequalities

Michael G. Neubauer and William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 3 of 49


1. Introduction

Let (x_1, \dots, x_n) and (y_1, \dots, y_n) be two sequences of real numbers in nonincreasing order. The sequence x majorizes y if

$$\sum_{k=1}^i x_k \geq \sum_{k=1}^i y_k,$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$ with equality for $i = n$. Majorization is a partial order on the set of nonincreasing sequences having the same sum and it plays a large role in the theory of inequalities dating back to the work of I. Schur [7]. Indeed a function $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of n real variables is said to be *Schur convex* if $F(x) \geq F(y)$ whenever the sequence x majorizes y . Marshall and Olkin [6] catalog many functions and results of this type with particular emphasis on statistical inequalities. As a simple example, take the product function $F(x) = \prod_{k=1}^n x_k$. If x, y are n -tuples of nonnegative real numbers and if x majorizes y , then $F(x) \leq F(y)$. That is, $-F$ is a Schur convex function. In particular, if $y = (\bar{x}, \dots, \bar{x})$ then x majorizes y and therefore the product of n nonnegative numbers with fixed mean is maximized when all of them are equal to the mean \bar{x} . Another way to state this well-known elementary result is that the product of a sequence x of nonnegative reals with fixed mean \bar{x} attains a maximum when the variance of x is zero.

Now suppose that the variance of x is also fixed. In this paper, we define a partial order (variance majorization) on the set of sequences x having a fixed mean *and* a fixed variance. We obtain a monotonicity result similar to the one above for sequences in which one is variance-majorized by the other. In particular the maximum value of the product of a sequence of nonnegative reals with



**A Variance Analog of
Majorization and Some
Associated Inequalities**

Michael G. Neubauer and
William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close


Quit

Page 4 of 49

fixed mean and fixed variance is attained when the sequence takes on only two values $\alpha < \beta$ and the multiplicity of β is 1. This simple consequence of the main theorem is known as Cohn's Inequality [1]: if x_1, \dots, x_n are nonnegative reals then

$$\prod_{k=1}^n x_k \leq \alpha^{n-1} \beta,$$

where α and β are chosen so that the sequences $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $(\alpha, \dots, \alpha, \beta)$ have the same means and the same variances.



A Variance Analog of Majorization and Some Associated Inequalities

Michael G. Neubauer and William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 5 of 49

2. Majorization and Variance Majorization

Let \mathfrak{I} (\mathfrak{I}^{st}) be the set of nondecreasing (strictly increasing) sequences in \mathbb{R}^n :

$$\mathfrak{I} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \cdots \leq x_n\}$$

$$\mathfrak{I}^{\text{st}} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n\}.$$

The variance majorization order involves the variances of leading subsequences of $x \in \mathfrak{I}$. So let $x[i] = (x_1, \dots, x_i)$ be the leading subsequence of x for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Note that $x[i]$ consists of the i smallest components of x . We denote the mean of $x[i]$ by

$$\overline{x[i]} = (1/i) \sum_{k=1}^i x_k,$$

and the variance of $x[i]$ by


$$\text{Var}(x[i]) = (1/i) \sum_{k=1}^i (x_k - \overline{x[i]})^2.$$

2.1. Definitions of Variance Majorization and Majorization

Definition 2.1 (Variance Majorization). Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ be sequences of real numbers in \mathfrak{I} such that $\bar{x} = \bar{y}$ and $\text{Var}(x) = \text{Var}(y)$. We say that x is variance majorized by y (or y variance majorizes x), if

$$\text{Var}(x[i]) \leq \text{Var}(y[i]),$$

for $i = 2, \dots, n$. We write $x \overset{\text{vm}}{\prec} y$ or $y \overset{\text{vm}}{\succ} x$.



A Variance Analog of
Majorization and Some
Associated Inequalities

Michael G. Neubauer and
William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 6 of 49

For fixed mean m and variance $v \geq 0$, variance majorization is a partial order on the set

$$S(m, v) = \{x \in \mathfrak{J} : \bar{x} = m, \text{Var}[x] = v\},$$

which is the intersection of \mathfrak{J} with the sphere in \mathbb{R}^n centered at $m(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ with radius \sqrt{nv} , and the hyperplane through $m(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ orthogonal to the vector $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$.

By contrast, majorization is a partial order of the set of nonincreasing sequences

$$\mathfrak{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{R} : z_1 \geq z_2 \geq \dots \geq z_n\}.$$

Definition 2.2 (Majorization). *Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ be sequences in \mathfrak{D} such that $\bar{x} = \bar{y}$. We say that x is majorized by y (or y majorizes x), if*


$$\overline{x[i]} \leq \overline{y[i]},$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$. In this case we write $x \prec^{\text{maj}} y$.

The definition of majorization is usually given in this equivalent form:

$$\sum_{k=1}^i x_k \leq \sum_{k=1}^i y_k,$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$ with equality for $i = n$.



A Variance Analog of Majorization and Some Associated Inequalities

Michael G. Neubauer and William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 7 of 49

2.2. Least and Greatest Sequences with Respect to the Variance Majorization Order

Returning now to the variance majorization order, there is a least element and a greatest element in $S(m, v)$ for each m and $v \geq 0$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let m and $v \geq 0$ be real numbers and let*

$$\begin{aligned}x_{\min} &= (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_1, \beta_1) \\x_{\max} &= (\alpha_2, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_2),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_1 &= m - \sqrt{v/(n-1)}, & \beta_1 &= m + \sqrt{(n-1)v}, \\ \alpha_2 &= m - \sqrt{(n-1)v}, & \beta_2 &= m + \sqrt{v/(n-1)}.\end{aligned}$$


Then $x_{\min}, x_{\max} \in S(m, v)$ and

$$x_{\min} \overset{\text{vm}}{<} x \overset{\text{vm}}{<} x_{\max},$$

for all $x \in S(m, v)$.

Figure 1 shows the Hasse diagram for the variance majorization partial order for all integral sequences of length six with sum 0 and sum of squares equal to 30. In this case, $x_{\min} = (-1, -1, -1, -1, -1, 5)$ and $x_{\max} = (-5, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$.

By contrast, the least and greatest elements in $\mathfrak{D} \cap \{x : \bar{x} = m\}$ with respect to the majorization order are $(\bar{x}, \dots, \bar{x})$ and $(n\bar{x}, 0, \dots, 0)$.



A Variance Analog of
Majorization and Some
Associated Inequalities

Michael G. Neubauer and
William Watkins

Title Page

Contents




Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 8 of 49



A Variance Analog of Majorization and Some Associated Inequalities

Michael G. Neubauer and William Watkins




Figure 1: Variance majorization partial order for integral sequences in $S(0, 30)$.

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 9 of 49

3. Variance Monotone Functions and Schur Convex Functions

Let I be a closed interval in \mathbb{R} and let $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a real-valued function defined on $\mathfrak{I} \cap I^n$.

Definition 3.1 (Variance Monotone). *The function F is variance monotone increasing on $\mathfrak{I} \cap I^n$ if*

$$x \prec^{\text{vm}} y \implies F(x) \leq F(y),$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{I} \cap I^n$. If $-F$ is variance monotone increasing, we say that F is variance monotone decreasing.

Definition 3.2 (Schur Convex). *The function F is Schur convex on $\mathfrak{D} \cap I^n$ if*

$$x \prec^{\text{maj}} y \implies F(x) \leq F(y),$$


for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{D} \cap I^n$.

3.1. The Main Result

The next theorem is the main result.

Theorem 3.1. *Let I be a closed interval in \mathbb{R}^n , and let $F(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ be a continuous, real-valued function on $\mathfrak{I} \cap I^n$ that is differentiable on the interior of $\mathfrak{I} \cap I^n$ with gradient $\nabla F(z) = (F_1(z), \dots, F_n(z))$. Suppose that*

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{F_2(z) - F_1(z)}{z_2 - z_1} \geq \frac{F_3(z) - F_2(z)}{z_3 - z_2} \geq \dots \geq \frac{F_n(z) - F_{n-1}(z)}{z_n - z_{n-1}},$$



A Variance Analog of Majorization and Some Associated Inequalities

Michael G. Neubauer and William Watkins

Title Page

Contents




Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 10 of 49



A Variance Analog of Majorization and Some Associated Inequalities

Michael G. Neubauer and William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 11 of 49

for all $z \in \mathfrak{J}^{\text{st}} \cap I^n$. Then F is variance monotone increasing on $\mathfrak{J} \cap I^n$, that is

$$x \prec^{\text{vm}} y \implies F(x) \leq F(y).$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{J} \cap I^n$.

For functions of the form $F(z) = \phi(x_1) + \cdots + \phi(x_n)$, Theorem 3.1 specializes to the following corollary:

Corollary 3.2. *Let $\phi(t)$ be a continuous, real-valued function on a closed interval I such that ϕ is twice-differentiable on the interior of I and ϕ'' is nonincreasing. Then the function*

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \phi(x_1) + \cdots + \phi(x_n)$$

is variance monotone increasing on the set of nondecreasing sequences in I^n . That is,

$$x \prec^{\text{vm}} y \implies \phi(x_1) + \cdots + \phi(x_n) \leq \phi(y_1) + \cdots + \phi(y_n),$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{J} \cap I^n$.

It turns out that $S(m, v) \subset I^n$ when the interval

$$I = \left[m - \sqrt{(n-1)v}, m + \sqrt{(n-1)v} \right]$$

(see Corollary 4.8). Thus the sequences x_{\max} and x_{\min} (described in Lemma 2.1) are in I^n . So, if F is variance monotone increasing on $\mathfrak{J} \cap I^n$, then the maximum and minimum values of F are attained at x_{\max} and x_{\min} . This means we can bound $F(x)$ by expressions involving only the mean and variance of x :

Corollary 3.3. Let m and $v \geq 0$ be real numbers and

$$I = \left[m - \sqrt{(n-1)v}, m + \sqrt{(n-1)v} \right].$$

Let F be a variance monotone increasing function on $\mathfrak{J} \cap I^n$. Then

$$F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_1, \beta_1) \leq F(x) \leq F(\alpha_2, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_2),$$

for all $x \in S(m, v)$, where $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2$ are defined as in Lemma 2.1.

3.2. Schur Convex Functions

By comparison, the following theorem by Schur is the result analogous to Theorem 3.1 for majorization. It plays the central role in the theory of majorization inequalities:

Theorem 3.4 ([7]). Let $F(z)$ be a continuous, real-valued function on \mathfrak{D} that is differentiable on in the interior of \mathfrak{D} . Then


$$x \stackrel{\text{maj}}{\prec} y \implies F(x) \leq F(y),$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{D}$ if and only if

$$(3.2) \quad F_1(z) \geq F_2(z) \geq \dots \geq F_n(z),$$

for all z in the interior of \mathfrak{D} .

The result analogous to Corollary 3.2 for majorization is known as Karata's Theorem:



A Variance Analog of
Majorization and Some
Associated Inequalities

Michael G. Neubauer and
William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close


Quit

Page 12 of 49

Corollary 3.5 ([4]). *Let ϕ be a continuous, real-valued function on a closed interval I such that ϕ is twice differentiable on the interior of I and ϕ'' is non-negative. Then*

$$x \prec^{\text{maj}} y \implies \phi(x_1) + \cdots + \phi(x_n) \leq \phi(y_1) + \cdots + \phi(y_n),$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{D} \cap I^n$.



**A Variance Analog of
Majorization and Some
Associated Inequalities**

Michael G. Neubauer and
William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 13 of 49

4. Some Variance Monotone and Schur Convex Functions

In this section, we give a short list of some common functions that are monotone in both the regular majorization and variance majorization orders. And we give as corollaries some samples of the kinds of inequalities one can obtain from Corollary 3.3.

4.1. Elementary Symmetric Functions

Let $E_k(z)$ denote the k th elementary symmetric function of the sequence $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$E_k(z) = \sum z_{i_1} z_{i_2} \cdots z_{i_k},$$


where the sum is taken over all sets of k indices with $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let E_k be the k th elementary symmetric function. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} x \prec^{\text{vm}} y &\implies E_k(y) \leq E_k(x), \\ x \prec^{\text{vm}} y &\implies \frac{E_{k+1}(x)}{E_k(x)} \leq \frac{E_{k+1}(y)}{E_k(y)}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{I} \cap [0, \infty)^n$.

The next corollary is obtained from Corollary 3.3 by evaluating the elementary symmetric function E_k at x_{\min} and x_{\max} .



A Variance Analog of
Majorization and Some
Associated Inequalities

Michael G. Neubauer and
William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 14 of 49

Corollary 4.2. Let $x \in \mathfrak{J} \cap [0, \infty)^n$. Then $A(v, m, k) \leq E_k(x) \leq B(v, m, k)$, where

$$A(v, m, k) = E_k(x_{\max}) = \binom{n}{k} \left(m + \sqrt{\frac{v}{n-1}} \right)^{k-1} \left(m - (k-1) \sqrt{\frac{v}{n-1}} \right)$$

$$B(v, m, k) = E_k(x_{\min}) = \binom{n}{k} \left(m - \sqrt{\frac{v}{n-1}} \right)^{k-1} \left(m + (k-1) \sqrt{\frac{v}{n-1}} \right)$$

The inequality analogous to Theorem 4.1 for (regular) majorization is given next:

Theorem 4.3 ([6, p. 80]).

$$\begin{aligned} x \prec^{\text{maj}} y &\implies E_k(y) \leq E_k(x) \\ x \prec^{\text{maj}} y &\implies \frac{E_{k+1}(y)}{E_k(y)} \leq \frac{E_{k+1}(x)}{E_k(x)}, \end{aligned}$$


for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{D} \cap [0, \infty)^n$.

4.2. Moment Functions

Let p be a positive real number and let $\phi(t) = t^p$. The p th moment function of $z \in [0, \infty)^n$ is given by

$$M_p(z) = z_1^p + \cdots + z_n^p.$$

The following results are applications of Corollaries 3.5 and 3.2:



A Variance Analog of Majorization and Some Associated Inequalities

Michael G. Neubauer and William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 15 of 49

Theorem 4.4. Let M_p be the p th moment function. Then

$$x \prec^{\text{maj}} y \implies M_p(x) \leq M_p(y), \text{ for } p \in (-\infty, 0] \cup [1, \infty)$$

$$x \prec^{\text{maj}} y \implies M_p(y) \leq M_p(x), \text{ for } p \in [0, 1],$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{D} \cap [0, \infty)^n$ and

$$x \prec^{\text{vm}} y \implies M_p(x) \leq M_p(y), \text{ for } p \in (-\infty, 0] \cup [1, 2]$$

$$x \prec^{\text{vm}} y \implies M_p(y) \leq M_p(x), \text{ for } p \in [0, 1] \cup [2, \infty),$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{J} \cap [0, \infty)^n$.

Again we obtain bounds on $M_p(x)$, which depend only on the mean and variance of x , from Corollary 3.3:

Corollary 4.5. Let $x \in \mathfrak{J} \cap [0, \infty)^n$ with mean m and variance v . Let

$$A(m, v, p) = M_p(x_{\min}) = (n-1) \left(m - \sqrt{\frac{v}{n-1}} \right)^p + \left(m + \sqrt{(n-1)v} \right)^p$$


$$B(m, v, p) = M_p(x_{\max}) = \left(m - \sqrt{(n-1)v} \right)^p + (n-1) \left(m + \sqrt{\frac{v}{n-1}} \right)^p.$$

Then

$$A(m, v, p) \leq M_p(x) \leq B(m, v, p), \text{ for } p \in (-\infty, 0] \cup [1, 2]$$

and

$$B(m, v, p) \leq M_p(x) \leq A(m, v, p), \text{ for } p \in [0, 1] \cup [2, \infty).$$



A Variance Analog of
Majorization and Some
Associated Inequalities

Michael G. Neubauer and
William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 16 of 49

4.3. Entropy Function

The *entropy* function is defined for $x \in [0, \infty)^n$ by

$$H(x) = -(x_1 \log x_1 + \cdots + x_n \log x_n).$$

Letting $\phi(t) = -t \log t$, we have $\phi''(t) = -1/t$, which is nonpositive and increasing on $[0, \infty)$. Thus $-\phi$ satisfies the conditions of Corollaries 3.2 and 3.5. Thus we have the following inequalities:

Theorem 4.6. *Let H be the entropy function. Then*

$$x \prec^{\text{vm}} y \implies H(y) \leq H(x),$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{I} \cap [0, \infty)^n$, and

$$x \prec^{\text{maj}} y \implies H(y) \leq H(x),$$


for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{D} \cap [0, \infty)^n$.

4.4. Coordinates of x

The smallest and the largest coordinates of a sequence are variance monotone decreasing.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $x, y \in \mathfrak{I}$. Then*

$$x \prec^{\text{vm}} y \implies x_1 \geq y_1 \text{ and } x_n \geq y_n.$$



A Variance Analog of
Majorization and Some
Associated Inequalities

Michael G. Neubauer and
William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 17 of 49

We call this result a lemma because it is a part of the proof of Theorem 3.1 rather than a consequence of it.

When combined with Corollary 3.3, Lemma 4.7 gives bounds for the smallest and largest coordinates of a sequence in $S(m, v)$ in terms of m and v :

Corollary 4.8. *Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a sequence in $S(m, v)$. Then*

$$m - \sqrt{(n-1)v} \leq x_1 \leq m - \sqrt{v/(n-1)}$$

$$m + \sqrt{v/(n-1)} \leq x_n \leq m + \sqrt{(n-1)v}.$$


Applying Corollary 4.8 to the eigenvalues of a symmetric matrix, we recover an equivalent form of an inequality of Wolkowicz and Styan [8, Theorem 2.1] that bounds the maximum and minimum eigenvalues by expressions involving only the trace and Euclidean norm of the matrix:

Corollary 4.9. *Let G be a symmetric matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$. Then*

$$\frac{\text{tr}(G)}{n} + \frac{1}{n\sqrt{n-1}} \sqrt{n\|G\|^2 - (\text{tr}(G))^2} \leq \lambda_n \leq \frac{\text{tr}(G)}{n} + \frac{\sqrt{n-1}}{n} \sqrt{n\|G\|^2 - (\text{tr}(G))^2}$$

$$\frac{\text{tr}(G)}{n} - \frac{\sqrt{n-1}}{n} \sqrt{n\|G\|^2 - (\text{tr}(G))^2} \leq \lambda_1 \leq \frac{\text{tr}(G)}{n} - \frac{1}{n\sqrt{n-1}} \sqrt{n\|G\|^2 - (\text{tr}(G))^2}.$$

Corollary 4.9 follows from the fact that the mean m and variance v of the eigenvalues can be expressed in terms of the trace and Euclidean norm $\|G\|$ of



**A Variance Analog of
Majorization and Some
Associated Inequalities**

Michael G. Neubauer and
William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back


Close

Quit

Page 18 of 49

G as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}m &= \frac{\operatorname{tr}(G)}{n} \\v &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_i (\lambda_i - m)^2 \\&= \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_i \lambda_i^2 - 2m\lambda_i + m^2 \right) \\&= \frac{1}{n} (\operatorname{tr}(G^2) - nm^2) \\&= \frac{1}{n^2} (n\|G\|^2 - \operatorname{tr}(G)^2).\end{aligned}$$



**A Variance Analog of
Majorization and Some
Associated Inequalities**

Michael G. Neubauer and
William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 19 of 49

5. Restricting $S(m, v)$ to an Interval

Lemma 2.1 guarantees that there is a least and a greatest element in $S(m, v)$ with respect to the variance majorization order. Now we restrict the set $S(m, v)$ to an interval $I = [m - \delta, m + \beta]$, with $\delta, \beta \geq 0$, containing m . From Corollary 4.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[m - \sqrt{v/(n-1)}, m + \sqrt{v/(n-1)} \right]^n \\ & \subset S(m, v) \subset \left[m - \sqrt{(n-1)v}, m + \sqrt{(n-1)v} \right]^n. \end{aligned}$$


So if either $\delta, \beta < \sqrt{v/(n-1)}$, then $S(m, v) \cap I^n = \emptyset$. However, if $S(m, v) \cap I^n$ is not empty, then it contains a least element, but it may not contain a greatest element.

Lemma 5.1. *Let m and $v \geq 0$ be real numbers. Let I be the interval $I = [m - \delta, m + \beta]$ such that $S(m, v) \cap I^n$ is not empty. Then there exist unique real numbers $m - \delta \leq \alpha \leq \gamma < m + \beta$ and an integer $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$ such that the sequence*

$$x_{\min} = (\underbrace{\alpha, \dots, \alpha}_j, \underbrace{\gamma, m + \beta, \dots, m + \beta}_{n-j-1}) \in S(m, v) \cap I^n.$$

Moreover $x_{\min} \stackrel{\text{vm}}{\prec} x$ for all $x \in S(m, v) \cap I^n$.

Example 5.1. *Let $n = 5$. The least element in $S(0, 1944/5) \cap [-36, 24]^5$ is $(-18, -18, -12, 24, 24)$. There is no greatest element in $S(0, 1944/5) \cap [-36, 24]^5$. See Section 6.7.*



A Variance Analog of
Majorization and Some
Associated Inequalities

Michael G. Neubauer and
William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close


Quit

Page 20 of 49

The situation for restricting the sequences to a closed interval is a little different for majorization. There is always a least element and a greatest in $\mathfrak{D} \cap [m, M]^n$. The sequence $(\bar{x}, \dots, \bar{x}) \in \mathfrak{D} \cap [m, M]^n$ is the least element. The greatest element with respect to majorization takes at most three values for its coordinates, two of which are the end points of the closed interval. That is, the greatest element in for majorization in $\mathfrak{D} \cap [m, M]^n$ is of the form

$$(M, \dots, M, \theta, m, \dots, m).$$

A discussion of restricting the majorization order to an interval is given in [5] and [6, page 132].



**A Variance Analog of
Majorization and Some
Associated Inequalities**

Michael G. Neubauer and
William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 21 of 49


6. Proofs

The main technique used in the proofs is to express the sequences in \mathfrak{J} as linear combinations of a special basis for \mathbb{R}^n , the so-called Helmert basis.

6.1. Helmert basis

The purpose of this section is to describe the relationship between the coordinates of an n -tuple $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and the coordinates of x with respect to the so-called Helmert basis for \mathbb{R}^n (see [6, p. 47] for a discussion of the Helmert basis). The *Helmert basis* for \mathbb{R}^n is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}w_0^T &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(1, 1, \dots, 1) \\w_1^T &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-1, 1, 0, \dots, 0) \\w_2^T &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(-1, -1, 2, 0, \dots, 0) \\&\vdots \\w_i^T &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{i(i+1)}}(\overbrace{-1, \dots, -1}^i, i, 0, \dots, 0) \\&\vdots \\w_{n-1}^T &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(n-1)n}}(-1, \dots, -1, n-1).\end{aligned}$$



**A Variance Analog of
Majorization and Some
Associated Inequalities**

Michael G. Neubauer and
William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 22 of 49

It is clear that $\{w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}\}$ is an orthonormal basis for \mathbb{R}^n . Thus every vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a linear combination $x = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k w_k$. The Helmert coefficient a_0 is determined by the mean \bar{x} of the sequence x . Specifically, $a_0 = \sqrt{n}\bar{x}$. The other Helmert coefficients, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} are related to the variance, partial variances, and order of the coordinates of x .

Lemma 6.1. *Let x be a sequence of real numbers with $x = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k w_k$. Then*

$$\text{Var}(x[i]) = \frac{1}{i}(a_1^2 + \dots + a_{i-1}^2),$$


for $i = 2, \dots, n$. In particular,

$$\text{Var}(x) = \frac{1}{n}(a_1^2 + \dots + a_{n-1}^2).$$

Proof. Since $a_k = x \cdot w_k$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} a_k^2 &= x \left(\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} w_k^T w_k \right) x^T \\ &= x \left((I_i - (1/i)J_i) \oplus 0_{n-i} \right) x^T \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^i x_k^2 - (1/i) \left(\sum_{k=1}^i x_k \right)^2 \right) \\ &= i \text{Var}(x[i]). \end{aligned}$$

The $i \times i$ identity matrix is denoted by I_i and J_i denotes the $i \times i$ matrix all of whose entries are one. The fact that $\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} w_k^T w_k = I_i - (1/i)J_i$ follows from a simple inductive argument. \square



**A Variance Analog of
Majorization and Some
Associated Inequalities**

Michael G. Neubauer and
William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 23 of 49

Let $x = \sum a_i w_i$. In the next definition and lemma, we give necessary and sufficient conditions on the sequence (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) for the sequence x to be nondecreasing. (Clearly, the coefficient a_0 does not influence the relative ordering of the coordinates of x .)

Definition 6.1 (Admissible Sequence). Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$ be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers. Then α is admissible if

$$(6.1) \quad (i-1)i\alpha_{i-1} \leq i(i+1)\alpha_i,$$


for $2 \leq i \leq n-1$.

Lemma 6.2. Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a vector in \mathbb{R}^n and a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} be scalars such that $x = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i w_i$. The following conditions are equivalent:

1. x is nondecreasing.
2. $a_i \geq 0$, for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, and the sequence $a^{(2)} = (a_1^2, \dots, a_{n-1}^2)$ is admissible.
3. the k th component of $a_{i-1}w_i - a_iw_{i-1}$ is nonnegative for all $k \neq i$ and nonpositive for $k = i$.

Proof. Let $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Then $x_2 - x_1 = \sqrt{2}a_1$, and

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} x_{i+1} - x_i &= \frac{ia_i}{\sqrt{i(i+1)}} - \left(\frac{(i-1)a_{i-1}}{\sqrt{(i-1)i}} - \frac{a_i}{\sqrt{i(i+1)}} \right) \\ &= \frac{(i+1)a_i}{\sqrt{i(i+1)}} - \frac{(i-1)a_{i-1}}{\sqrt{(i-1)i}} \\ &= \frac{1}{i} \left(\sqrt{i(i+1)}a_i - \sqrt{(i-1)i}a_{i-1} \right), \end{aligned}$$



A Variance Analog of
Majorization and Some
Associated Inequalities

Michael G. Neubauer and
William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 24 of 49

for $i \geq 2$. Thus x is nondecreasing if and only if $a_i \geq 0$, for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ and $a^{(2)}$ is admissible. So Conditions 1 and 2 are equivalent.

Now let $(a_{i-1}w_i - a_iw_{i-1})_k$ be the k component of $a_{i-1}w_i - a_iw_{i-1}$. Then

$$(6.3) \quad (a_{i-1}w_i - a_iw_{i-1})_k = \begin{cases} -\frac{a_{i-1}}{\sqrt{i(i+1)}} + \frac{a_i}{\sqrt{(i-1)i}}, & \text{if } k < i, \\ -\frac{a_{i-1}}{\sqrt{i(i+1)}} - \frac{(i-1)a_{i-1}}{\sqrt{(i-1)i}}, & \text{if } k = i, \\ \frac{ia_{i-1}}{\sqrt{i(i+1)}} & \text{if } k = i + 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } k > i + 1. \end{cases}$$


To prove that Condition 2 implies Condition 3, suppose that $a_i \geq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ and that $a^{(2)}$ is admissible. It is clear from Equation (6.3) that $(a_{i-1}w_i - a_iw_{i-1})_k \geq 0$ for all $k \neq i$ and that $(a_{i-1}w_i - a_iw_{i-1})_i \leq 0$.

Conversely, suppose that Condition 3 holds. With $k = 3, i = 2$ in Equation (6.3), we get $a_1 \geq 0$. With $k = 1 < i$ we get that $a^{(2)}$ is admissible and $a_i \geq 0$ for all i . Thus Condition 2 holds. \square

6.2. Proof of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 4.7

Let F be a differentiable, real-valued function on $\mathcal{J} \cap I^n$ satisfying Inequality (3.1). Let x, y be nondecreasing sequences in I^n such that $\bar{x} = \bar{y}$, $\text{Var}(x) = \text{Var}(y)$ and $x \overset{\text{vm}}{\prec} y$. Let

$$x = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k w_k, \quad y = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b_k w_k,$$



A Variance Analog of
Majorization and Some
Associated Inequalities

Michael G. Neubauer and
William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 25 of 49

for scalars $a_k, b_k, k = 0, \dots, n - 1$, and let

$$a^{(2)} = (a_1^2, \dots, a_{n-1}^2), \quad b^{(2)} = (b_1^2, \dots, b_{n-1}^2).$$

Since $\bar{x} = \bar{y}$, we have $a_0 = b_0$. By Lemma 6.2, $a_k, b_k \geq 0$ for $k = 1, \dots, n - 1$, $a^{(2)}$ and $b^{(2)}$ are admissible, and by Lemma 6.1

$$(6.4) \quad \sum_{k=1}^i a_k^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^i b_k^2,$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ with equality in Inequality (6.4) for $i = n - 1$ since $\text{Var}(x) = \text{Var}(y)$.

Next define a path $c(t) = (c_0, c(t)_1, \dots, c(t)_{n-1})$ from a to b by $c_0 = a_0 = b_0$, and

$$c(t)_k = \sqrt{(1-t)a_k^2 + tb_k^2},$$


for $t \in [0, 1]$ and $k = 1, \dots, n - 1$. Then $c(t)^{(2)} = (1-t)a^{(2)} + tb^{(2)}$, from which it follows that $c^{(2)}$ is admissible and that

$$\sum_{k=1}^i a_k^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^i c(t)_k^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^i b_k^2,$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$.

Now define a path $z(t)$ from x to y by

$$z(t) = a_0 w_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} c(t)_k w_k.$$



A Variance Analog of Majorization and Some Associated Inequalities

Michael G. Neubauer and William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 26 of 49

Since $c(t)_k \geq 0$ and $c(t)^{(2)}$ is admissible, $z(t)$ is a nondecreasing sequence.

Let j be the smallest index for which $a_j \neq b_j$. Then $c(t)_k = a_k$ for $k < j$ and $c(t)_j > 0$ for $t > 0$. It is easy to verify that $c'(t)_k = (b_k^2 - a_k^2)/c(t)_k$ (unless $c(t)_k = 0$). Thus the tangent vector $z'(t)$ is given by


$$\begin{aligned}
 (6.5) \quad z'(t) &= \sum_{k=j}^{n-1} \frac{b_k^2 - a_k^2}{c(t)_k} w_k \\
 &= (b_j^2 - a_j^2) \left(\frac{w_j}{c_j} - \frac{w_{j+1}}{c_{j+1}} \right) \\
 &\quad + (b_{j+1}^2 + b_{j+2}^2 - a_{j+1}^2 - a_{j+2}^2) \left(\frac{w_{j+2}}{c_{j+2}} - \frac{w_{j+3}}{c_{j+3}} \right) \\
 &\quad + \cdots + (b_1^2 + b_2^2 + \cdots + b_{n-1}^2 \\
 &\quad - a_1^2 - a_2^2 - \cdots - a_{n-1}^2) \left(\frac{w_{n-2}}{c_{n-2}} - \frac{w_{n-1}}{c_{n-1}} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

for $t > 0$. It follows from Inequality (6.4) that $z'(t)$ is a nonnegative linear combination of the vectors $\frac{w_{i-1}}{c_{i-1}} - \frac{w_i}{c_i}$, for $i = j + 1, \dots, n - 1$.

We now show that $z(t) \in I^n$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Indeed, both the first and the last coordinates of $z(t)$ are nonincreasing functions of t . Thus

$$y_1 = z(1)_1 \leq z(t)_1 \leq z(t)_2 \leq \cdots \leq z(t)_n \leq z(0)_n = x_n.$$

Since $y_1, x_n \in I$, $z(t) \in I^n$ and thus $F(z(t))$ is defined for all $t \in [0, 1]$. To see that $z(t)_1$ and $z(t)_n$ are decreasing, we examine the first and last coordinates of



A Variance Analog of Majorization and Some Associated Inequalities

Michael G. Neubauer and William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 27 of 49

the vectors $\frac{w_{i-1}}{c_{i-1}} - \frac{w_i}{c_i}$. The first coordinate is

$$\frac{-1}{\sqrt{(i-1)ic_{i-1}}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{i(i+1)c_i}},$$

which is nonpositive since $c^{(2)}$ is an admissible sequence. Thus $z'(t)_1 \leq 0$ and $z(t)_1$ is nonincreasing in t . This proves the first part of Lemma 4.7.

The last coordinate of $\frac{w_{i-1}}{c_{i-1}} - \frac{w_i}{c_i}$ is zero unless $i = n - 1$ and in that case it is

$$\frac{-(n-1)}{\sqrt{(n-1)nc_{n-1}}},$$

which is also nonpositive. Thus $z(t)_n$ is nonincreasing. This proves the other part of Lemma 4.7.

Finally to prove that $F(z(t))$ is an increasing function in t , we show that

$$\frac{dF}{dt} = \nabla F \cdot z'(t) \geq 0.$$


In view of Equation (6.5), it suffices to show that

$$\nabla F \cdot \left(\frac{w_{i-1}}{c_{i-1}} - \frac{w_i}{c_i} \right) \geq 0,$$

for $i = j + 1, \dots, n - 1$.

Since w_k is orthogonal to the all-ones vector e ,

$$\nabla F \cdot \left(\frac{w_{i-1}}{c_{i-1}} - \frac{w_i}{c_i} \right) = (\nabla F - F_i e) \cdot \left(\frac{w_{i-1}}{c_{i-1}} - \frac{w_i}{c_i} \right).$$



**A Variance Analog of
Majorization and Some
Associated Inequalities**

Michael G. Neubauer and
William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 28 of 49

For each $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ define a function K_i on $\mathfrak{J}^{\text{st}} \cap I^n$ by

$$K_i(z) = \frac{F_{i+1}(z) - F_i(z)}{z_{i+1} - z_i}.$$

Now let $i < j$. Then $\frac{F_j(z) - F_i(z)}{z_j - z_i}$ is a convex combination of $K_k(z)$ for $k = i, \dots, j - 1$:

$$\frac{F_j(z) - F_i(z)}{z_j - z_i} = \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} \frac{z_{k+1} - z_k}{z_j - z_i} K_k(z).$$

Thus by Condition (3.1),

$$\frac{F_j(z) - F_i(z)}{z_j - z_i} \leq K_i(z)$$


and so

$$F_j(z) - F_i(z) \leq (z_j - z_i)K_i(z)$$

for all $i < j$ and $z \in \mathfrak{J}^{\text{st}} \cap I^n$.

Since $c(t)^{(2)}$ is an admissible sequence, Lemma 6.2 guarantees that all components of $\frac{w_{i-1}}{c_{i-1}} - \frac{w_i}{c_i}$ are nonpositive except the i th component. Of course the i th component of $\nabla F - F_i e$ is zero. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla F - F_i e) \cdot \left(\frac{w_{i-1}}{c_{i-1}} - \frac{w_i}{c_i} \right) &\geq K_i(z)(z - z_k e) \cdot \left(\frac{w_{i-1}}{c_{i-1}} - \frac{w_i}{c_i} \right) \\ &= K_k(z)z \cdot \left(\frac{w_{i-1}}{c_{i-1}} - \frac{w_i}{c_i} \right) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$



**A Variance Analog of
Majorization and Some
Associated Inequalities**

Michael G. Neubauer and
William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 29 of 49

The last equality holds because $z = \sum_{k=j}^{n-1} c_k w_k$ is clearly orthogonal to $\frac{w_{i-1}}{c_{i-1}} - \frac{w_i}{c_i}$.

We have shown that $\frac{dF}{dt} \geq 0$, for $z \in \mathfrak{J}^{\text{st}} \cap I^n$ and $t \in (0, 1)$. Thus $F(z(t))$ is an increasing function of t . So $F(x) = F(z(0)) \leq F(z(1)) = F(y)$.

By the continuity of F , F is variance monotone increasing on $\mathfrak{J} \cap I^n$.

6.3. Proof of Corollary 3.2

Let $\phi(t)$ be a continuous, real-valued function on a closed interval I such that $\phi(t)$ is twice-differentiable on the interior of I and $\phi''(t)$ is nonincreasing on I . Let z be an increasing sequence in I^n . Let i be an integer satisfying $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$. By the mean-value theorem, there exists ξ_i in the interval $z_i < \xi_i < z_{i+1}$ such that


$$\frac{\phi'(z_{i+1}) - \phi'(z_i)}{z_{i+1} - z_i} = \phi''(\xi_i).$$

Inequality (3.1) follows since $\xi_1 \leq \xi_2 \leq \dots \leq \xi_{n-1}$, ϕ'' is nonincreasing, and $F_i(z) = \phi'(z_i)$.

6.4. Proof of Theorem 4.1

Since $E_2(z)$ and $E_1(z)$ are constant on $S(m, v)$, we assume that $k \geq 3$. Let i be an integer satisfying $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ and let $E_k^{(i, i+1)}$ be the k th elementary symmetric polynomial of the $n - 2$ variables $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{i-1}, z_{i+2}, \dots, z_n$. Then

$$E_k(z) = E_k^{(i, i+1)} + (z_i + z_{i+1})E_{k-1}^{(i, i+1)} + z_i z_{i+1} E_{k-2}^{(i, i+1)}.$$



A Variance Analog of Majorization and Some Associated Inequalities

Michael G. Neubauer and William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 30 of 49

Thus

$$\frac{\partial E_k(z)}{\partial z_{i+1}} - \frac{\partial E_k(z)}{\partial z_i} = -(z_{i+1} - z_i)E_{k-2}^{(i,i+1)},$$

and so

$$\left(\frac{1}{z_{i+1} - z_i}\right) \left(\frac{\partial E_k(z)}{\partial z_{i+1}} - \frac{\partial E_k(z)}{\partial z_i}\right) = -E_{k-2}^{(i,i+1)},$$

for all $z \in \mathfrak{J} \cap [0, \infty]^n$. But the sequence z is nondecreasing so $E_{k-2}^{(i-1,i)} \geq E_{k-2}^{(i,i+1)}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. It follows from Theorem 3.1 that $-E_k(z)$ is variance monotone increasing. Thus $E_k(z)$ is variance monotone decreasing. This proves the first inequality in Theorem 4.1.

To prove that the function $F(z) = E_{k+1}(z)/E_k(z)$ is variance monotone increasing, we must show that Inequality (3.1) holds. It suffices to show that


$$\frac{F_2(z) - F_1(z)}{z_2 - z_1} \geq \frac{F_3(z) - F_2(z)}{z_3 - z_2}.$$

We write E_k for the k th elementary symmetric function of z_1, \dots, z_n and E'_k for the k th elementary symmetric function of z_4, \dots, z_n . Then

$$(6.6) \quad E_k = E'_k + (z_1 + z_2 + z_3)E'_{k-1} + (z_1z_2 + z_1z_3 + z_2z_3)E'_{k-2} + z_1z_2z_3E'_{k-3}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \left(\frac{E_{k+1}}{E_k} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{E_k^2} [E_k E'_k - E_{k+1} E'_{k-1} + (z_2 + z_3)(E_k E'_{k-1} - E_{k+1} E'_{k-2}) \\ &\quad + z_2 z_3 (E_k E'_{k-2} - E_{k+1} E'_{k-3})]. \end{aligned}$$



**A Variance Analog of
Majorization and Some
Associated Inequalities**

Michael G. Neubauer and
William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 31 of 49

Thus

$$\frac{F_2 - F_1}{z_2 - z_1} = -\frac{1}{E_k^2} [E_k E'_{k-1} - E_{k+1} E'_{k-2} + z_3 (E'_k E'_{k-2} - E_{k+1} E'_{k-3})].$$

Similarly,

$$\frac{F_3 - F_2}{z_3 - z_2} = -\frac{1}{E_k^2} [E_k E'_{k-1} - E_{k+1} E'_{k-2} + z_1 (E'_k E'_{k-2} - E_{k+1} E'_{k-3})],$$

so that

$$\frac{F_2 - F_1}{z_2 - z_1} - \frac{F_3 - F_2}{z_3 - z_2} = \frac{z_3 - z_1}{E_k^2} (E_k E'_{k-2} - E_{k+1} E'_{k-3}).$$


Since $z_3 - z_1$ and E_k^2 are positive, it remains to show that $E_k E'_{k-2} - E_{k+1} E'_{k-3}$ is nonnegative, which can be rewritten using Equation (6.6) as

$$\begin{aligned} E_k E'_{k-2} - E_{k+1} E'_{k-3} &= (E'_k E'_{k-2} - E'_{k+1} E'_{k-3}) + (z_1 + z_2 + z_3)(E'_{k-1} E'_{k-2} - E'_k E'_{k-3}) \\ &\quad + (z_1 z_2 + z_1 z_3 + z_2 z_3)(E'_{k-2} E'_{k-2} - E'_{k-1} E'_{k-3}). \end{aligned}$$

Each of the expressions in E'_r above are nonnegative. These weak inequalities follow from a simple counting argument. Or we can use an old result in Hardy, Littlewood and Pólya [3, p. 52]:

$$z \in [0, \infty)^n \text{ and } s > r \implies E_{s-1} E_r > E_s E_{r-1},$$

with $r = k - 2$ and $s = k + 1, k, k - 1$.



A Variance Analog of Majorization and Some Associated Inequalities

Michael G. Neubauer and William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 32 of 49

6.5. Proof of Lemma 5.1

We may assume that $m = 0$ so that $I = [-\delta, \beta]$.

Let $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with mean $\bar{z} = 0$. There exist real numbers $a = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ such that $z = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i w_i$. Then $z \in S(0, v)$ if and only if a satisfies the following conditions:

$$(6.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & a_i \geq 0, \text{ for all } i \\ & a^{(2)} \text{ is admissible} \\ & \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i^2 = nv. \end{aligned}$$

Let $z \in S(0, v)$. The only vector among w_1, \dots, w_{n-1} having a nonzero n th coordinate is w_{n-1} . Thus


$$z_n = \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}} a_{n-1}.$$

To compute z_1 in terms of a_k , notice that the first coordinate of each w_k is $-1/\sqrt{k(k+1)}$. So

$$z_1 = -\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{a_k}{\sqrt{k(k+1)}}.$$

Thus $z \in I^n$ if and only if

$$(6.8) \quad (n-1)a_{n-1}^2 \leq n\beta^2,$$



**A Variance Analog of
Majorization and Some
Associated Inequalities**

Michael G. Neubauer and
William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 33 of 49

and

$$(6.9) \quad -\delta \leq -\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{a_k}{\sqrt{k(k+1)}}.$$

Next, we establish another inequality for the sequences a for which $z = \sum a_k w_k \in S(0, v) \cap I^n$. Since $a^{(2)}$ is admissible and Inequality (6.8) holds, we have

$$2a_1^2 \leq 6a_2^2 \leq \dots \leq k(k+1)a_k^2 \leq \dots \leq (n-1)na_{n-1}^2 \leq n^2\beta^2.$$

Thus

$$(6.10) \quad a_k^2 \leq n^2\beta^2 \left[\frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k+1} \right],$$


for $k = 1, \dots, n-1$.

We now define $b = (b_1, \dots, b_{n-1})$ so that it satisfies Conditions (6.7) and so that for all other a satisfying Conditions (6.7), we have

$$(6.11) \quad \sum_{k=1}^i b_k^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^i a_k^2,$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. In view of the fact that $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_k^2 = nv = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_k^2$, the inequalities above are equivalent to

$$(6.12) \quad \sum_{k=i+1}^{n-1} a_k^2 \leq \sum_{k=i+1}^{n-1} b_k^2,$$



**A Variance Analog of
Majorization and Some
Associated Inequalities**

Michael G. Neubauer and
William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 34 of 49

for $i = 0, \dots, n - 2$.

We begin by specifying the integer j . The function

$$f(j) := n\beta^2 \left[\frac{1}{j} - \frac{1}{n} \right],$$

is decreasing in j with

$$f(1) = n\beta^2 \left[1 - \frac{1}{n} \right]$$

$$f(n) = 0.$$

We also have from Inequality (6.10) that

$$v = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_k^2 \leq n\beta^2 \left[1 - \frac{1}{n} \right] = f(1).$$

Thus there exists $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that


$$(6.13) \quad n\beta^2 \left[\frac{1}{j+1} - \frac{1}{n} \right] \leq v < n\beta^2 \left[\frac{1}{j} - \frac{1}{n} \right].$$

Define the sequence of nonnegative reals $b = (b_1, \dots, b_{n-1})$ as follows:

$$(6.14) \quad b_i^2 = n^2\beta^2 \left[\frac{1}{i} - \frac{1}{i+1} \right], \quad \text{for } i = j+1, \dots, n-1$$

$$(6.15) \quad b_j^2 = nv - n^2\beta^2 \left[\frac{1}{j+1} - \frac{1}{n} \right],$$

$$b_i = 0, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, j-1.$$



**A Variance Analog of
Majorization and Some
Associated Inequalities**

Michael G. Neubauer and
William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 35 of 49

It is clear that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_k^2 = nv.$$

To check that $b^{(2)}$ is admissible, notice that

$$i(i+1)b_i^2 = n^2\beta^2 = (i+1)(i+2)b_{i+1}^2,$$


for $i = j+1, \dots, n-2$. Also

$$\begin{aligned} j(j+1)b_j^2 &= j(j+1) \left[nv - n^2\beta^2 \left(\frac{1}{j+1} - \frac{1}{n} \right) \right] \\ &\leq j(j+1) \left[n^2\beta^2 \left(\frac{1}{j} - \frac{1}{n} \right) - n^2\beta^2 \left(\frac{1}{j+1} - \frac{1}{n} \right) \right] \\ &= n^2\beta^2 \\ &= (j+1)(j+2)b_{j+1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The inequality follows from the choice of j in Inequality (6.13). Of course $0 = i(i+1)b_i^2 \leq (i+1)(i+2)b_{i+1}^2$ for $i = 1, \dots, j-1$. Thus $b^{(2)}$ is admissible. It follows that b satisfies Conditions (6.7).

Next we show that Inequalities (6.12) hold. So suppose that $a = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ satisfies Conditions (6.7) and (6.8). Then from Inequality (6.10) we get

$$\sum_{k=i+1}^{n-1} a_k^2 \leq n^2\beta^2 \left[\frac{1}{i+1} - \frac{1}{n} \right].$$



**A Variance Analog of
Majorization and Some
Associated Inequalities**

Michael G. Neubauer and
William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 36 of 49

For $i \geq j$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=i+1}^{n-1} b_k^2 &= n^2 \beta^2 \sum_{k=i+1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k+1} \right] \\ &= n^2 \beta^2 \left[\frac{1}{i+1} - \frac{1}{n} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

So Inequality (6.12) holds for $i \geq j$. For $i < j$,

$$\sum_{k=i+1}^{n-1} a_k^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_k^2 = nv = \sum_{k=i+1}^{n-1} b_k^2.$$

So Inequality (6.12) holds for $i < j$ too.


Now let

$$x_{\min} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_k w_k.$$

We now show that $x = x_{\min} = (\overbrace{\alpha, \dots, \alpha}^j, \gamma, \overbrace{\beta, \dots, \beta}^{n-j-1})$ for some $-\delta \leq \alpha \leq \gamma < \beta$. From the proof of Lemma 6.2 we have $x_{i+1} = x_i$ if and only if $i(i-1)b_{i-1}^2 = i(i+1)b_i^2$. For $i = 1, \dots, j-1$ we have $b_i = 0$. So $x_1 = \dots = x_j = \alpha$. And $i(i-1)b_{i-1}^2 = i(i+1)b_i^2$ for $i = j+2, \dots, n-1$. So $x_{j+2} = \dots = x_n = \beta$. The last equality follows from the choice of b_{n-1} .

We now show that $-\delta \leq \alpha$. Since $S(0, v) \cap I^n$ is nonempty, let $z \in S(0, v) \cap I^n$ and let $z = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i w_i$ for some nonnegative reals $a = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$. Then

$$-\delta \leq z_1 = - \sum \frac{a_i}{\sqrt{i(i+1)}}.$$



**A Variance Analog of
Majorization and Some
Associated Inequalities**

Michael G. Neubauer and
William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 37 of 49

We will show that

$$(6.16) \quad 0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{a_i - b_i}{\sqrt{i(i+1)}},$$

from which it follows that $-\delta \leq \alpha$ and hence that $x_{\min} \in I^n$.

We begin with the following identity:

$$a_i - b_i = \frac{1}{a_i + b_i} \left(\sum_{k=1}^i (a_k^2 - b_k^2) - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} (a_k^2 - b_k^2) \right).$$

Then

$$(6.17) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{a_i - b_i}{\sqrt{i(i+1)}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \left(\frac{1}{c_i} - \frac{1}{c_{i+1}} \right) \sum_{k=1}^i (a_k^2 - b_k^2),$$


where

$$c_i = \sqrt{i(i+1)}(a_i + b_i),$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n-2$. Both sequences $a^{(2)}$ and $b^{(2)}$ are admissible. Thus

$$c_i = \sqrt{i(i+1)}(a_i + b_i) \leq \sqrt{(i+1)(i+2)}(a_{i+1} + b_{i+1}) = c_{i+1},$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n-2$. It follows that $1/c_i - 1/c_{i+1} \geq 0$. Inequalities (6.11) hold so that the expression on the right-hand side of Equation (6.17) is nonnegative. Therefore Inequality (6.16) holds. This proves that $-\delta \leq \alpha$. It follows that $x_{\min} \in I^n$.



A Variance Analog of Majorization and Some Associated Inequalities

Michael G. Neubauer and William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 38 of 49

Finally, we show that x_{\min} is unique. Let

$$y = (\overbrace{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_1}^l, \gamma_1, \overbrace{\beta, \dots, \beta}^{n-l-1}) \in S(0, v) \cap I^n,$$

where $-\delta \leq \alpha_1 \leq \gamma_1 < \beta$. We begin the proof that $x = x_{\min} = y$ by showing that $l = j$.

From the definition of b we have

$$b_1 = \dots = b_{j-1} = 0.$$

Since $y_1 = \dots = y_l = \alpha_1$ we have

$$a_1 = \dots = a_{l-1} = 0.$$

But

$$(6.18) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} b_k^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} a_k^2 = 0,$$

and $b_{j+1} > 0$, so $l - 1 \leq j$.


We now show that $j \leq l$.

Since $y_{l+1} = \dots = y_n$, we have

$$(l + 1)(l + 2)a_{l+1}^2 = (l + 1)(l + 2)a_{l+2}^2 = \dots = (n - 1)na_{n-1}^2 = n^2\beta^2.$$

In addition,

$$(j + 1)(j + 2)b_{j+1}^2 = (j + 2)(j + 3)b_{j+2}^2 = \dots = (n - 1)nb_{n-1}^2 = n^2\beta^2.$$



A Variance Analog of Majorization and Some Associated Inequalities

Michael G. Neubauer and William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 39 of 49

Then

$$a_k^2 = n^2 \beta^2 \left[\frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k+1} \right],$$

for $k = l + 1, \dots, n - 1$, and

$$b_k^2 = n^2 \beta^2 \left[\frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k+1} \right],$$

for $k = j + 1, \dots, n - 1$.

Suppose $l < j$. Then $a_k = b_k$, $k = 1, \dots, j + 1$ and $a_j > b_j$. This contradicts the fact that

$$\sum_{k=j}^{n-1} a_k^2 \leq \sum_{k=j}^{n-1} b_k^2.$$

Therefore $j \leq l$ and so $j = l$ or $j = l - 1$.

Suppose $j = l - 1$. Then from Inequality (6.18) we have $b_j = 0$ and $\gamma = \alpha$. Since


$$\sum_{k=1}^j a_k^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^j b_k^2 = 0,$$

we have $a_j = 0$ and so $\gamma_1 = \alpha_1$, which contradicts the assumption that $\alpha_1 < \gamma_1$. It follows that $j = l$.

To finish the proof of uniqueness, we show that $a = b$. This follows immediately since

$$a_k = b_k = 0,$$

for $k = 1, \dots, j - 1$ and for $k = j + 1, \dots, n - 1$, and $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_k^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_k^2$. Thus $a_j = b_j$ and so $a = b$. It follows that $x_{\min} = y$.



**A Variance Analog of
Majorization and Some
Associated Inequalities**

Michael G. Neubauer and
William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 40 of 49

6.6. Proof of Lemma 2.1

Let $x_{\min} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_1, \beta_1)$ be defined as in the statement of Lemma 2.1. Clearly, $\text{Var}(x_{\min}[i]) = 0$, for $i = 2, \dots, n - 1$. Thus $x_{\min} \stackrel{\text{vm}}{\prec} x$, for all $x \in S(m, v)$.

The argument for $x_{\max} = (\alpha_2, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_2)$ is more involved. Let b_0 and $b = (b_1, \dots, b_{n-1})$ be the coordinates of x_{\max} in the Helmert basis:

$$x_{\max} = (\alpha_2, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_2) = b_0 w_0 + b_1 w_1 + \dots + b_{n-1} w_{n-1}.$$

Since the second through n th coordinates of x_{\max} are the same, the admissible sequence $b^{(2)}$ satisfies:

$$2b_1^2 = 6b_2^2 = 12b_3^2 = \dots = (n - 1)nb_{n-1}^2.$$

Now let $x \in S(m, v)$ with

$$x = a_0 w_0 + a_1 w_1 + \dots + a_{n-1} w_{n-1}.$$


We must show that

$$(6.19) \quad \sum_{k=1}^i a_k^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^i b_k^2,$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$. We need the next lemma to finish the proof.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $r = (r_1, \dots, r_m)$ be an admissible sequence of nonnegative real numbers with $\sum r_k = t$. Let $s = (s_1, \dots, s_m)$ be the unique sequence of nonnegative reals with*

$$2s_1 = 6s_2 = 12s_3 = \dots = m(m + 1)s_m$$



A Variance Analog of
Majorization and Some
Associated Inequalities

Michael G. Neubauer and
William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 41 of 49

and $\sum s_k = t$. Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^i r_k \leq \sum_{k=1}^i s_k,$$

for $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Equation (6.19) follows from Lemma 6.3 with $m = n - 1$, $r = a^{(2)}$, $s = b^{(2)}$, and $t = nv$.


Proof. (**Lemma 6.3**) The condition on the sum and for admissibility can be expressed in matrix form as follows: $\sum r_k = t$ and r is admissible if and only if the first $m - 1$ coordinates of Tr are nonnegative and the last coordinate is t , where

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} -t_1 & t_2 & 0 & \cdots & & 0 \\ 0 & -t_2 & t_3 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & & -t_i & t_{i+1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & & & -t_{m-1} & t_m \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & & & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and $t_i = i(i + 1)$. We can express this condition symbolically as

$$Tr = \begin{bmatrix} +_{m-1} \\ t \end{bmatrix},$$

where $+_{m-1}$ is a vector in \mathbb{R}^{m-1} with nonnegative components.



A Variance Analog of Majorization and Some Associated Inequalities

Michael G. Neubauer and William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 42 of 49

From the definition of s we have

$$Ts = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{m-1} \\ t \end{bmatrix},$$

where 0_{m-1} is the zero vector in \mathbb{R}^{m-1} . So $Tr \geq Ts$. (The inequalities are coordinate-wise.)


Now let P be the $m \times m$ lower triangular matrix with ones on and below the main diagonal. Then

$$Pr = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ r_1 + r_2 \\ \vdots \\ r_1 + r_2 + \cdots + r_m \end{bmatrix}.$$

We must show that $Pr \leq Ps$.

Let $Q = P^{-1}$. Then Q is the lower triangular matrix with diagonal entries equal to 1 and subdiagonal entries equal to -1 . All other entries are zero:

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$



**A Variance Analog of
Majorization and Some
Associated Inequalities**

Michael G. Neubauer and
William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 43 of 49

Next observe that TQ is the tridiagonal matrix:

$$TQ = \begin{bmatrix} -q_2 & t_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ t_2 & -q_3 & t_3 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t_3 & -q_4 & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ 0 & & 0 & t_{m-1} & -q_m & t_m \\ 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_1 & u \\ 0_{m-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$


where $t_i = i(i+1)$, $q_i = t_{i-1} + t_i = 2i^2$, U_1 is the $(m-1) \times (m-1)$ submatrix of TQ in the upper left corner, and $u = (0, \dots, 0, t_m)^T$. Thus $(TQ)^{-1} = PT^{-1}$ has the following form:

$$PT^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} U_1^{-1} & w \\ 0_{m-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

for some $w \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$.

Next we show that $-U_1$ is a symmetric M-matrix. Let D be the $n \times n$ diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $1/2, 1/3, \dots, 1/(n+1)$. Then

$$-DU_1D = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix},$$



A Variance Analog of Majorization and Some Associated Inequalities

Michael G. Neubauer and William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 44 of 49

which is positive definite. It follows that $-U_1$ is also positive definite and hence an M-matrix. Thus all of the entries of U_1^{-1} are negative. (See [2], Theorem 2.5.3.)

$$Pr = PT^{-1}Tr = \begin{bmatrix} U_1^{-1} & w \\ 0_{m-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} +_{m-1} \\ t \end{bmatrix} \leq t \begin{bmatrix} w \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

But

$$Ps = PT^{-1}Ts = \begin{bmatrix} U_1^{-1} & w \\ 0_{m-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0_{m-1} \\ t \end{bmatrix} = t \begin{bmatrix} w \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$


Thus, $Pr \leq Ps$. □

6.7. Example 5.1

First we show that $z = (-18, -18, -12, 24, 24)$ is the least element in $S(0, 1944/5) \cap [-36, 24]^5$ with respect to the variance majorization order. We will construct the coordinates of $z = b_0w_0 + b_1w_1 + b_2w_2 + b_3w_3 + b_4w_4$ in the Helmert basis, w_0, w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4 .

The mean of z is 0 so $b_0 = 0$. To compute the other coordinates we first determine the index j , which is defined in Equation (6.13):

$$5 \cdot 24^2 \left[\frac{1}{j+1} - \frac{1}{5} \right] \leq \frac{1944}{5} \leq 5 \cdot 24^2 \left[\frac{1}{j} - \frac{1}{5} \right],$$



**A Variance Analog of
Majorization and Some
Associated Inequalities**

Michael G. Neubauer and
William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 45 of 49

so $j = 2$. Now from Equations (6.14) we have:

$$b_1 = 0,$$

$$b_2^2 = 1944 - 5^2 \cdot 24^2 \left[\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{5} \right] = 24,$$

$$b_3^2 = 5^2 \cdot 24^2 \left[\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{5} \right] = 1200,$$


$$b_4^2 = 5^2 \cdot 24^2 \left[\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} \right] = 720.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} z &= \sqrt{24}w_2 + \sqrt{1200}w_3 + \sqrt{720}w_4 \\ &= 2(-1, -1, 2, 0, 0) + 10(-1, -1, -1, 3, 0) + 6(-1, -1, -1, -1, 4) \\ &= (-18, -18, -12, 24, 24). \end{aligned}$$

Next we show that $S(0, 1944/5) \cap [-36, 24]^5$ has no greatest element. Suppose there is an element $w \in S(0, 1944/5)$ such that $x \overset{\text{vm}}{\prec} w$ for all $x \in S(0, 1944/5) \cap [-36, 24]^5$. We will prove that $w \notin [-36, 24]^5$.

The vectors $x = (-36, 0, 0, 18, 18)$ and $y = (-36, 0, 6, 6, 24)$ are both in $S(0, 1944/5) \cap [-36, 24]^5$. So $x, y \overset{\text{vm}}{\prec} w$. To express x, y in terms of their coordinates in the Helmert basis for \mathbb{R}^5 , let H be the 5×5 matrix whose columns



**A Variance Analog of
Majorization and Some
Associated Inequalities**

Michael G. Neubauer and
William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 46 of 49

are the Helmert basis for \mathbb{R}^5 :

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{12}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{20}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{12}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{20}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} & 0 & \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{12}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{20}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{3}{\sqrt{12}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{20}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{4}{\sqrt{20}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then the coordinate vectors of x, y with respect to the Helmert basis are

$$a = xH = (0, 18\sqrt{2}, 6\sqrt{6}, 15\sqrt{3}, 9\sqrt{5})$$

$$b = yH = (0, 18\sqrt{2}, 8\sqrt{6}, 8\sqrt{3}, 12\sqrt{5}).$$

Thus

$$a^{(2)} = (0, 648, 216, 675, 405)$$

$$b^{(2)} = (0, 648, 384, 192, 720).$$


The partial sums of $a^{(2)}$ and $b^{(2)}$ are

$$a^{(2)} : (0, 648, 864, 1539, 1944)$$

$$b^{(2)} : (0, 648, 1032, 1224, 1944)$$

The maximum of each of the five partial sums is

$$(0, 648, 1032, 1539, 1944)$$



**A Variance Analog of
Majorization and Some
Associated Inequalities**

Michael G. Neubauer and
William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 47 of 49

and the sequence with these partial sums is

$$c^{(2)} = (0, 648, 384, 507, 405).$$

Thus the least upper bound of x, y is

$$cH^T = (-37, -1, 5, 15, 18).$$


Now let w be a sequence in $S(0, 1944/5)$ such that $v \prec^{vm} w$ for all $v \in S(0, 1944/5)$. Express w in terms of the Helmert basis, $w = \sum d_i w_i$, for nonnegative d_i . Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^i a_k^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^i d_k^2 \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^i b_k^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^i d_k^2,$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ so that

$$\sum_{k=1}^i c_k^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^i d_k^2,$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$. Thus $(-37, -1, 5, 15, 18) \prec^{vm} w$ and by Lemma 4.7, $w_1 \leq -37$. So $w \notin [-36, 24]^5$.



**A Variance Analog of
Majorization and Some
Associated Inequalities**

Michael G. Neubauer and
William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back


Close

Quit

Page 48 of 49

References

- [1] J.H.E. COHN, Determinants with elements ± 1 , *J. London Math. Soc.*, **42** (1967), 436–442.
- [2] R.A. HORN AND C.R. JOHNSON, *Topics in Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge, 1991.
- [3] G.H. HARDY, J.E. LITTLEWOOD AND G. PÓLYA, *Inequalities*, Cambridge, 1967.
- [4] J. KARAMATA, Sur une inégalité relative aux fonctions convexes, *Publ. Math. Univ. Belgrade*, **1** (1932) 145–148.
- [5] J.H.B. KEMPERMAN, Momentproblems for sampling without replacement, I,II,III. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A*, **75** (1973), 149–188.
- [6] A.W. MARSHALL AND I. OLKIN, *Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and Its Applications*, Academic Press, 1979.
- [7] I. SCHUR, Über eine Klasse von Mittelbildungen mit Anwendungen auf die Determinantentheorie, *Sitzungsber. Berlin Math. Gesellschaft*, **22** (1923), 9–20. (*Issai Schur Collected Works* (A. Brauer and H. Rohrbach, eds.) Vol. II. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973, 416–427.)
- [8] H. WOLKOWICZ AND G. STYAN, Bounds on eigenvalues using traces, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **29** (1980) 471–506.



A Variance Analog of
Majorization and Some
Associated Inequalities

Michael G. Neubauer and
William Watkins

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 49 of 49