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#### Abstract

Suppose $Q$ is a positive nonsquare integer congruent to 0 or $1 \bmod 4$. Then for every positive integer $n$, there exists a unique pair $(j, k)$ of positive integers such that $(j+k+1)^{2}-4 k=Q n^{2}$. This representation is used to generate the fixed- $j$ array for $Q$ and the fixed- $k$ array for $Q$. These arrays are proved to be dispersions; i.e., each array contains every positive integer exactly once and has certain compositional and row-interspersion properties.


## 1 Introduction

The Pell-like equation $m^{2}-4 k=Q n^{2}$, where $Q$ is a positive nonsquare integer congruent to 0 or $1 \bmod 4$, can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(j+k+1)^{2}-4 k=Q n^{2}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
(j+k-1)^{2}+4 j=Q n^{2} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

When so written, there is, for each $n$, a unique solution $(j, k)$; here and throughout this work, the symbols $n, j, k$ represent positive integers. In section 2 , the existence and uniqueness of such a solution is proved. In section 3, the definition of dispersion is recalled, and in section 4, it is proved that certain arrays associated with solutions of (2) are dispersions. In section 5, another class of arrays are proved to be dispersions. In sections 4 and $5, Q$ is restricted to 0 -congruence $\bmod 4$, and in section 6 , conjectures are given for $Q \equiv 1 \bmod$
4. In section 7, various numerical sequences associated with the dispersions in preceding sections are discussed.

Throughout, we abbreviate $\sqrt{Q} / 2$ as $Q_{1}$.

## 2 Unique Representations

Theorem 1. Suppose that $Q \equiv 0 \bmod 4$. Then the unique solution of (1) is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& j=\left(Q_{1} n\right)^{2}-\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor^{2}  \tag{3}\\
& k=\left(1+\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor\right)^{2}-\left(Q_{1} n\right)^{2} . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof: The method of proof is to assume that (1) has a solution, to find it, and then to observe that it is unique. Let $m=j+k+1$. Clearly, in order for (1) to hold, $m$ must be even, so we write $m=2 h$. The equation $m^{2}-4 k=Q n^{2}$ then yields

$$
k=h^{2}-Q n^{2} / 4
$$

Equation (2) can be written as $(m-2)^{2}+4 j=Q n^{2}$, from which

$$
j=Q n^{2} / 4-(h-1)^{2},
$$

so that the requirement that $\dot{j}>0$ is equivalent to

$$
h<1+Q_{1} n .
$$

Also, the requirement that $k>0$ is equivalent to

$$
h>Q_{1} n
$$

Clearly there is exactly one such integer:

$$
h=1+\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor
$$

from which (3) and (4) follow.
Theorem 2. Suppose that $Q \equiv 1 \bmod 4$. Then the unique solution of (1) is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& j= \begin{cases}\left(Q_{1} n\right)^{2}-\left(\left\lfloor 1 / 2+Q_{1} n\right\rfloor-1 / 2\right)^{2} & \text { if } n \text { is odd }, \\
\left(Q_{1} n\right)^{2}-\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor & \text { if } n \text { is even }\end{cases}  \tag{5}\\
& k= \begin{cases}\left(\left\lfloor 1 / 2+Q_{1} n\right\rfloor+1 / 2\right)^{2}-\left(Q_{1} n\right)^{2} & \text { if } n \text { is odd } \\
\left(1+\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor\right)^{2}-\left(Q_{1} n\right)^{2} & \text { if } n \text { is even. }\end{cases} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof: The method for even $n$ is the same as for Theorem 1. If $n$ is odd, then $m$ must be odd. Put $m=2 h+1$, and find the asserted result using essentially the same method as for Theorem 1.

Theorem 3. Suppose that $Q \equiv 2 \bmod 4$ or $Q \equiv 3 \bmod 4$ and that $n$ is even. Then the unique solution of (1) is given by (3) and (4). If $n$ is odd, then (1) has no solution.

Proof: The method for even $n$ is the same as for Theorem 1. Now suppose $n$ is odd. Write $Q=r+4 s$, where $r=2$ or $r=3$, and write $n=2 h+1$. Then $m^{2}=$ $4 k+(r+4 s)(2 h+1)^{2} \equiv r \bmod 4$. But this is contrary to the fact that the residues $\bmod 4$ of the squares are all 0 or 1 .

Corollary. Suppose $Q \equiv 2 \bmod 4$ or $Q \equiv 3 \bmod 4$ and $n$ is a positive integer. Then the unique solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(j+k+1)^{2}-4 k=4 Q n^{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is given by (3) and (4)
Proof: The number $2 n$ is even, so that Theorem 3 applies - or, as a second proof, apply Theorem 1 , as $4 Q \equiv 0 \bmod 4$.

## 3 Dispersions

A dispersion is an array of positive integers in which each occurs exactly once, and certain conditions ([1], [4]) hold: specifically, an array $D=\{d(g, h)\}$ is the dispersion of a strictly increasing sequence $s(k)$ if the first column of $D$ is the complement of $s(k)$ in increasing order, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d(1,2)=s(1) \geq 2 \\
& d(1, h)=s(d(1, h-1)) \text { for all } h \geq 3 \\
& d(g, h)=s(d(g, h-1)) \text { for all } h \geq 2, \text { for all } g \geq 2
\end{aligned}
$$

In this section we introduce simple dispersions $D(r)$ and $E(r)$. In later sections, related dispersions will be generated in connection with equations (1) and (2).

Suppose $r>2$ is an irrational number, and let $D(r)$ denote the dispersion of the sequence $\{\lfloor r n\rfloor\}$. In order to construct $D(r)$, let $r^{\prime}$ be the number given by

$$
1 / r+1 / r^{\prime}=1
$$

so that the Beatty sequences $\{\lfloor r n\rfloor\}$ and $\left\{\left\lfloor r^{\prime} n\right\rfloor\right\}$ partition the set of positive integers. Writing $d(g, h)$ for the general term of $D(r)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(g, 1) & =\left\lfloor r^{\prime} g\right\rfloor \text { for all } g \geq 1 \\
d(g, h) & =\lfloor r d(g, h-1)\rfloor \text { for all } g \geq 1 \text { and } h \geq 2
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, all the terms of the sequence $\{\lfloor r n\rfloor\}$ are dispersed in the columns of $D(r)$ excluding the first.

Example 1. The dispersion $D(r)$ for $r=3+2 \sqrt{2}$ is represented here and as A120858 in [3]. Column 1 is the sequence $d(g, 1)=\left\lfloor r^{\prime} g\right\rfloor$ for $r^{\prime}=(1+\sqrt{2}) / 2$.

| 1 | 5 | 29 | 169 | 985 | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 11 | 64 | 373 | 2174 |  |
| 3 | 17 | 99 | 577 | 3363 |  |
| 4 | 23 | 134 | 781 | 4552 |  |
| 6 | 34 | 198 | 1154 | 6726 |  |
| 7 | 40 | 233 | 1358 | 7915 |  |
| 8 | 46 | 268 | 1562 | 9104 |  |
| 9 | 52 | 303 | 1766 | 10293 |  |
| 10 | 58 | 338 | 1970 | 11482 |  |
| 12 | 69 | 402 | 2343 | 13656 |  |
| 13 | 75 | 437 | 2547 | 14845 |  |
| $\vdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Now suppose $r>1$ is an irrational number, and define

$$
E(1,1)=1, \quad E(1, h)=\lfloor r E(1, h-1)\rfloor+1 \quad \text { for } h \geq 2,
$$

and inductively define, for $g \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E(g, 1)=\text { least positive integer not among } E(i, h) \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq g-1, h \geq 1 ; \\
& E(g, h)=\lfloor r E(1, h-1)\rfloor+1 \text { for } h \geq 2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $E=\{E(g, h)\}$ is clearly the dispersion of the sequence $s(k)$ given by

$$
s(k)=\lfloor r k\rfloor+1,
$$

and the complement $\left\{s^{\prime}(k)\right\}$ of $\{s(k)\}$ is given by

$$
s^{\prime}(k)=\left\lfloor r^{\prime}(k-1)\right\rfloor+1, \quad \text { where } 1 / r+1 / r^{\prime}=1
$$

Example 2. The dispersion $E(3+2 \sqrt{2})$ is represented here and as A120859 in [3]:

| 1 | 6 | 35 | 204 | 1189 | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 12 | 70 | 408 | 2378 |  |
| 3 | 18 | 105 | 612 | 4756 |  |
| 4 | 24 | 140 | 816 | 4348 |  |
| 5 | 30 | 175 | 1020 | 5945 |  |
| 7 | 41 | 239 | 1393 | 8119 |  |
| 8 | 47 | 274 | 1597 | 9308 |  |
| 9 | 53 | 309 | 1801 | 10497 |  |
| 10 | 59 | 344 | 2005 | 11686 |  |
| 11 | 65 | 379 | 2209 | 12875 |  |
| 13 | 76 | 443 | 2582 | 15049 |  |
| $\vdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Next, suppose that $r$ is a quadratic irrational number: $r=a+b \sqrt{Q}$, where $Q$ is not a square. By Theorem 4 in [1], the rows of $D(r)$ and also the rows of $E(r)$ satisfy the recurrence

$$
x_{n}=2 a x_{n-1}+\left(b^{2} Q-a^{2}\right) x_{n-2} .
$$

For example, the row recurrence for the dispersions in Examples 1 and 2 is

$$
x_{n}=6 x_{n-1}-x_{n-2} .
$$

## $4 \quad$ Fixed- $j$ Dispersions for $Q \equiv 0 \bmod 4$

Suppose that $Q \equiv 0 \bmod 4$ and that (2) holds for a triple $(j, k, n)$. Keeping $j$ fixed, we ask what other pairs $\left(k_{1}, n_{1}\right)$ there are for which $\left(j, k_{1}, n_{1}\right)$ is another solution of (1). This question is answered by Theorem 4, which, with Theorems 5 and 6 , shows that for given $j$, the set of such $n_{1}$ forms a row, or in some cases, several rows, of a dispersion.

Theorem 4. Suppose that $Q \equiv 0 \bmod 4$ and that $n, j, k$ satisfy (2). Let $u$ be the least positive integer $x$ such that

$$
x^{2}-1=Q y^{2} / 4
$$

for some positive integer $y$, and let

$$
\begin{align*}
n_{1} & =u n+y\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor,  \tag{8}\\
k_{1} & =\left(1+\left\lfloor Q_{1} n_{1}\right\rfloor\right)^{2}-\left(Q_{1} n_{1}\right)^{2} . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(j+k_{1}-1\right)^{2}+4 j=Q n_{1}^{2} . \tag{2A}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: In view of (2) and (2A), it suffices to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(j+k-1)^{2}-Q n^{2}=\left(j+k_{1}-1\right)^{2}-Q n_{1}^{2} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3) and (4), we have

$$
j+k-1=2\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor,
$$

which, along with (3) and (8), leads to the following equivalent of (10):

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(Q_{1}^{2} n^{2}-\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor^{2}+k_{1}-1\right)^{2}= & 4\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor^{2}-Q n^{2}+Q u^{2} n^{2} \\
& +2 Q u n y\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor+Q y^{2}\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor^{2} . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Next using

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{2}-1=Q_{1}^{2} y^{2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $Q_{1}^{2}=Q / 4$, we find, after simplifications, that (11) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(Q_{1}^{2} n^{2}-\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor^{2}+k_{1}-1\right)^{2}=\left(2 u\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor+2 n y Q_{1}^{2}\right)^{2} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking square roots leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}=\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor^{2}-Q_{1}^{2} n^{2}+2 u\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor+2 y n Q_{1}^{2}+1 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

as an equivalent of (13). Thus, using (9), what we must prove is that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(1+\left\lfloor Q_{1} n_{1}\right\rfloor\right)^{2}= & \left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor^{2}-Q_{1}^{2} n^{2}+2 u\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor+2 y n Q_{1}^{2}+1 \\
& +Q_{1}^{2}\left(u n+y\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor\right)^{2} . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Expanding the right side of (15) and again using (12), we find that the right-hand side of (15) is a square, and taking square roots leaves the following equivalent of (10):

$$
1+\left\lfloor Q_{1} n_{1}\right\rfloor=u\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor+y n Q_{1}^{2}+1,
$$

so that, using (8), what we must prove has now been reduced to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\lfloor Q_{1}\left(u n+y\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor\right)\right\rfloor=u\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor+y n Q_{1}^{2} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove (16), we begin by noting from (12) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u-y Q_{1}\right)\left(u+y Q_{1}\right)=1, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

showing that

$$
u-y Q_{1}>0
$$

Let $\epsilon=Q_{1} n-\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor$, the fractional part of $Q_{1} n$. The fact that $\left(u-y Q_{1}\right) \epsilon>0$ readily renders

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor+y n Q_{1}^{2}<Q_{1}\left(u n+y\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor\right) . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, since $u+y Q_{1}>1$, we have from (17)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u-y Q_{1}<1 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $\left(u-y Q_{1}\right) \epsilon<1$, and consequently,

$$
Q_{1}\left(u n+y\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor\right)<u\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor+y n Q_{1}^{2}+1 .
$$

This and (18) imply (16) and hence (10).
Regarding $u$ and $y$ in Theorem 4 as sequences with terms $u(n)$ and $y(n)$, where $n=Q / 4$, we note that $u$ and $y$ are registered in [3] as A033313 and A033317. Several initial terms are shown here:

| $Q$ | 8 | 12 | 20 | 24 | 28 | 32 | 40 | 44 | 48 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $u$ | 3 | 2 | 9 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 19 | 10 | 7 |
| $y$ | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 4 |

Theorem 5. Suppose that $Q, j, k, u, y, n$, and $n_{1}$ are as in Theorem 4, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{2}=u n_{1}+y\left\lfloor Q_{1} n_{1}\right\rfloor . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{2}=2 u n_{1}-n \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: It suffices to prove that the right-hand sides of (21) and (22) are equal, or equivalently, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=u n_{1}-y\left\lfloor Q_{1} n_{1}\right\rfloor . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (8) to substitute for $n$ shows that (22) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\lfloor u n Q_{1}+y Q_{1}\left\lfloor Q_{1} n_{1}\right\rfloor\right\rfloor=n y Q_{1}^{2}+u\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now since $\left\lfloor n Q_{1}\right\rfloor<n Q_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n y Q_{1}^{2}+u\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor<u n Q_{1}+y Q_{1}\left\lfloor Q_{1} n_{1}\right\rfloor \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, in connection with (17),

$$
Q_{1} n-\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor<1 /\left(u-y Q_{1}\right),
$$

which implies

$$
u n Q_{1}+y Q_{1}\left\lfloor Q_{1} n_{1}\right\rfloor<n y Q_{1}^{2}+u\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor+1
$$

which with (24) establishes (23) and hence (20).
We turn now to the construction of the fixed-j array of $Q$. By Theorem 1 , every $n$ has a unique representation of a certain form depending on a pair $(j, k)$ which we shall now write as $\left(j_{n}, k_{n}\right)$. By Theorem 4, for each $j_{n}$, there are infinitely many pairs $\left(n^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)$ such that (1) and (2) hold; that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(j_{n}+k_{n^{\prime}}-1\right)^{2}+4 j_{n}=Q\left(n^{\prime}\right)^{2} . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $S_{1}$ be the set of all $n^{\prime}$ for which (1A) holds for $n=1$ and some $k_{n^{\prime}}$. By Theorem 4, $n_{1}=u+y\left\lfloor Q_{1}\right\rfloor \in S_{1}$. (Note that in (25),

$$
j_{1}=Q / 4-\lfloor\sqrt{Q} / 2\rfloor,
$$

this being the "fixed $j$ " used to define $S_{1}$ ). By Theorem 5, the numbers given by the recurrence relation

$$
x_{i}=2 u x_{i-1}-x_{i-1},
$$

with initial values $x_{1}=1$ and $x_{2}=n_{1}$, all lie in $S_{1}$. Possibly they comprise all of $S_{1}$, but if not, let $w$ be the least number in $S_{1}$ that is not an $x_{i}$. By Theorems 4 and 5, we obtain another recurrence sequence $\left\{w_{i}\right\}$ lying in $S_{1}$. If $S_{1}$ contains a number not in $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ and not in $\left\{w_{i}\right\}$, the production of recurrence sequences with all terms in $S_{1}$ can be continued inductively, so that $S_{1}$ is partitioned into a set of such sequences.

Next, let $v$ be the least positive integer not in $S_{1}$, and let $S_{2}$ be the set of all $v^{\prime}$ for which (25) holds for $n=v$ and some $k_{v^{\prime}}$. Again Theorems 4 and 5 apply, so that $S_{2}$ is partitioned into a set of recurrence sequences.

Continuing in this manner, the set of all positive integers is partitioned into sets $S_{i}$, which are themselves partitioned into sets of recurrence sequences. Next, arrange these sequences
so that their first terms form an increasing sequence, thus producing an array of all the positive integers, with increasing first column, and whose rows all satisfy the recurrence relation $x_{i}=2 u x_{i-1}-x_{i-1}$.

Example 3. The fixed- $j$ array for $Q=8$. (This is A120860 in [3].)

| 1 | 5 | 29 | 169 | 985 | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 10 | 58 | 338 | 1970 |  |
| 3 | 17 | 99 | 577 | 3363 |  |
| 4 | 22 | 128 | 746 | 4348 |  |
| 6 | 34 | 198 | 1154 | 6726 |  |
| 7 | 39 | 227 | 1323 | 7711 |  |
| 8 | 46 | 268 | 1562 | 9104 |  |
| 9 | 51 | 297 | 1731 | 10089 |  |
| 11 | 63 | 367 | 2139 | 12467 |  |
| 12 | 68 | 396 | 2308 | 13452 |  |
| 13 | 75 | 437 | 2547 | 14845 |  |
| $\vdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |

We prove below that the array in Example 3 is the dispersion of the ordered sequence $s$ of numbers not in its first column; this sequence appears to be A098021, described in [3] as "Irrational rotation of the square root of 2 as an implicit sequence with an uneven Cantor cartoon." (A Cantor cartoon is a kind of geometric fractal, so that A098021 indicates a connection between geometric fractals and fractal integer sequences as defined just before Example 15. The sequence $s$ and A098021 agree for 30 terms and no later discrepancies were detected.)

In order to prove that the fixed- $j$ array of $Q$ is actually a dispersion, we begin with a lemma which applies to a wider class of arrays all of whose row sequences satisfy a common second-order recurrence.

Lemma. Suppose that $p$ and $q$ are integers, that $p>0$, and that $A=\{a(g, h)\}$ is an array consisting of all the positive integers, each exactly once. Suppose further that the first column of $A$ is increasing and that every row sequence satisfies the recurrence

$$
x_{n}=p x_{n-1}+q x_{n-2}
$$

for $n \geq 3$. Finally, suppose for arbitrary indices $g, g_{1}, g_{2}$, and $h$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { if } a\left(g_{1}, h\right)<a(g, 1)<a\left(g_{1}, h+1\right), \text { then } a\left(g_{1}, h+1\right)<a(g, 2)<a\left(g_{1}, h+2\right) . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $A$ is a dispersion.
Proof: An array is a dispersion if and only if it is an interspersion. In [1], the four defining properties of an interspersion are given, and the first three are assumed in our present hypothesis. It remains to prove the fourth, which is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { if }\left(\sigma_{i}\right) \text { and }\left(\tau_{i}\right) \text { are rows of } A \text { and } \sigma_{g}<\tau_{h}<\sigma_{g+1} \text {, then } \sigma_{g+1}<\tau_{h+1}<\sigma_{g+2} . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Property (27) essentially means that, beginning at the first term of any row having greater initial term than that of another row, all the following terms individually separate the individual terms of the other row; in this sense, every pair of rows are mutually interspersed, as in Examples 1-4.)

Now suppose $\left(\sigma_{i}\right)$ and $\left(\tau_{i}\right)$ are distinct rows, that $g \geq 1$ and $h \geq 1$, and that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{g} & <\tau_{h}<\sigma_{g+1}  \tag{28}\\
\sigma_{g+1} & <\tau_{h+1}<\sigma_{g+2} \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

Then since

$$
\sigma_{g+2}=p \sigma_{g+1}+q \sigma_{g} \quad \text { and } \quad \tau_{g+2}=p \tau_{g+1}+q \tau_{g}
$$

we have $\sigma_{g+2}<\tau_{h+2}<\sigma_{g+3}$, and, inductively,

$$
\sigma_{g^{\prime}}<\tau_{h^{\prime}}<\sigma_{g^{\prime}+1}
$$

for all $g^{\prime} \geq g$ and $h^{\prime} \geq h$. This is to say, if (28) and (29) hold, then the rows $\left(\sigma_{i}\right)$ and $\left(\tau_{i}\right)$ are interspersed beginning at term $\tau_{h}$. The hypothesis (26) implies (28) and (29) for $h=1$, so that (27) holds.

Theorem 6. Suppose that $Q \equiv 0 \bmod 4$. Then the fixed-j array for $Q$ is a dispersion.
Proof: Let $\left\{d(g, h\}\right.$ be the fixed- $j$ array for $Q$, and suppose that $g, g_{1}$, and $h$ are indices such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(g_{1}, h\right)<d(g, 1)<d\left(g_{1}, h+1\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

With reference to the lemma, we wish to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(g_{1}, h+1\right)<d(g, 2)<d\left(g_{1}, h+2\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Abbreviate (30) and (31) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
n<w<n^{\prime} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{\prime}<w^{\prime}<n^{\prime \prime} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, by Theorem 5,

$$
\begin{aligned}
n^{\prime} & =u n+y\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor \\
w^{\prime} & =u w+y\left\lfloor Q_{1} w\right\rfloor, \\
n^{\prime \prime} & =2 u n^{\prime}-n .
\end{aligned}
$$

The obvious inequality

$$
0<u(w-n)+y\left(\left\lfloor Q_{1} w\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor\right)
$$

easily implies $n^{\prime}<w^{\prime}$. To prove that $w^{\prime}<n^{\prime \prime}$, we appeal to (19) to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u-y Q_{1}\right)\left(Q_{1} n-\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor\right)<1 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

which readily yields

$$
u n Q_{1}+y Q_{1}\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor<y Q_{1}^{2}+u\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor+1
$$

The hypothesis that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w<u n+y\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies

$$
Q_{1} w<u n Q_{1}+y Q_{1}\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor
$$

so that by (34),

$$
Q_{1} w<y n Q_{1}^{2}+u\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor+1
$$

which implies

$$
\left\lfloor Q_{1} w\right\rfloor-u\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor<y n Q_{1}^{2} .
$$

Multiply through by $y$ and apply (12) to obtain

$$
n+y\left\lfloor Q_{1} w\right\rfloor<u^{2} n+u y\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor,
$$

equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{2} n+u y\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor+y\left\lfloor Q_{1} w\right\rfloor<2 u^{2} n+2 u y\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor-n . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Returning to the hypothesis, we have

$$
u w<u^{2} n+u y\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor,
$$

so that

$$
u w+y\left\lfloor Q_{1} w\right\rfloor<u^{2} n+u y\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor+y\left\lfloor Q_{1} w\right\rfloor .
$$

This and (36) give

$$
u w+y\left\lfloor Q_{1} w\right\rfloor<2 u\left(u n+y\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor\right)-n,
$$

which is $w^{\prime}<n^{\prime \prime}$. To summarize, (30) implies (31). Therefore, the lemma applies, and $\{d(g, h)\}$ is a dispersion.

## 5 Fixed- $k$ Dispersions for $Q \equiv 0 \bmod 4$

Loosely speaking, if we hold $k$ fixed instead of $j$, then the methods of section 4 yield another kind of dispersion. The purpose of this section is to define these fixed- $k$ arrays and to prove that they are dispersions. Theorems 7 and 8 are similar to Theorems 4 and 5, and the fixed- $k$ array is then defined with reference to Theorems 7 and 8. Thereafter, Example 4 using $Q=8$ is presented, and then lemma of section 4 is used to prove Theorem 9 , similar to Theorem 6.

Theorem 7. Suppose that $Q \equiv 0 \bmod 4$ and that $n, j, k$ satisfy (1). Let $u$, $y$, and $r$ be as in Theorem 4, and let

$$
\begin{align*}
n_{1} & =u n+y\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor+y,  \tag{37}\\
j_{1} & =\left(Q_{1} n_{1}\right)^{2}-\left\lfloor Q_{1} n_{1}\right\rfloor^{2} . \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(j_{1}+k+1\right)^{2}-4 k=Q n_{1}^{2} . \tag{1A}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: In view of (1) and (1A), it suffices to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(j+k+1)^{2}-Q n^{2}=\left(j+k_{1}-1\right)^{2}-Q n_{1}^{2} . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following the method of proof of Theorem 4, we find (39) equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(j_{1}+\left(1+\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor\right)^{2}-Q_{1}^{2} n^{2}+1\right)^{2} & =\left(2+2\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor\right)^{2}+Q_{1} n_{1}^{2}-Q_{1} n^{2} \\
& =4\left(u+u\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor+y Q_{1}^{2} n\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking square roots leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{1}=-\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor^{2}+Q_{1}^{2} n^{2}+2(u-1)\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor+2 y n Q_{1}^{2} n+2(u-1) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

as an equivalent of (39). Thus, using (36), what we must prove is that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\lfloor Q_{1} n_{1}\right\rfloor^{2}= & Q_{1}^{2}\left(u n+y\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor+y\right)^{2}+\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor^{2}-Q_{1}^{2} n^{2} \\
& -2(u-1)\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor-2 y n Q_{1}^{2} n-2(u-1) . \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

Expanding the right side of (41) and using (12) leads to factoring the right-hand side as a square, and then taking square roots leaves the following equivalent of (39):

$$
\left\lfloor Q_{1} n_{1}\right\rfloor^{2}=u\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor+y n Q_{1}^{2}+u-1 .
$$

Thus, in view of (37), we need only prove that

$$
\left\lfloor Q_{1}\left(u n+y\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor+y\right)\right\rfloor=u\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor+y n Q_{1}^{2}+u-1
$$

but this now follows easily from

$$
\left(u-y Q_{1}\right)(1-\epsilon)>0,
$$

where $\epsilon=Q_{1} n-\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor$.
Theorem 8. Suppose that $Q, j, k, u, y, n$, and $n_{1}$ are as in Theorem 5, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{2}=u n_{1}+y\left\lfloor Q_{1} n_{1}\right\rfloor+y \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{2}=2 u n_{1}-n . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

A proof similar to that of Theorem 7 is omitted. We do note, however, that (42) and (21) yield

$$
n=u n_{1}-y\left\lfloor Q_{1} n_{1}\right\rfloor-y,
$$

an inversion formula for (37), as (22) is for (8).

We are now in a position to define, by construction, the fixed $k$ array for $Q$. Each $n$ is uniquely represented as in (1) by a pair $(j, k)$, which we write as $\left(j_{n}, k_{n}\right)$. By Theorem 7 , for each $k_{n}$, there are infinitely many pairs $\left(n^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right)$ such that (1) and (2) hold; that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(j_{n^{\prime}}+k_{n}+1\right)^{2}-4 k_{n}=Q\left(n^{\prime}\right)^{2} . \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $S_{1}$ be the set of all $n^{\prime}$ for which (1A) holds for $n=1$ and some $j_{n^{\prime}}$. By Theorem 7, $n_{1}=u+y\left\lfloor Q_{1}\right\rfloor \in S_{1}$. (Note that in (46),

$$
k_{1}=\lfloor 1+\sqrt{Q} / 2\rfloor^{2}-Q / 4
$$

this being the "fixed $k$ " used to define $S_{1}$ ). By Theorem 8, the numbers given by the recurrence relation

$$
x_{i}=2 u x_{i-1}-x_{i-1},
$$

with initial values $x_{1}=1$ and $x_{2}=n_{1}$, all lie in $S_{1}$. Possibly they comprise all of $S_{1}$, but if not, let $w$ be the least number in $S_{1}$ that is not an $x_{i}$. By Theorems 7 and 8, we obtain another recurrence sequence $\left\{w_{i}\right\}$ lying in $S_{1}$. If $S_{1}$ contains a number not in $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ and not in $\left\{w_{i}\right\}$, the production of recurrence sequences with all terms in $S_{1}$ can be continued inductively, so that $S_{1}$ is partitioned into a set of such sequences. Next, let $v$ be the least positive integer not in $S_{1}$, and let $S_{2}$ be the set of all $v^{\prime}$ for which (46) holds for $n=v$ and some $k_{v^{\prime}}$. Again Theorems 7 and 8 apply, so that $S_{2}$ is partitioned into a set of recurrence sequences.

Continuing in this manner, the set of all positive integers is partitioned into sets $S_{i}$, which are themselves partitioned into sets of recurrence sequences. Next, arrange these sequences so that their first terms form an increasing sequence, thus producing an array of all the positive integers, with increasing first column, and whose rows all satisfying the recurrence relation $x_{i}=2 u x_{i-1}-x_{i-1}$. This array is the fixed $k$ array.

Example 4. The fixed- $k$ array for $Q=8$. (This is A120861 in [3].)

| 1 | 7 | 41 | 239 | 1393 | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 12 | 70 | 408 | 2378 |  |
| 3 | 19 | 111 | 647 | 3771 |  |
| 4 | 24 | 140 | 816 | 4756 |  |
| 6 | 31 | 181 | 1055 | 6149 |  |
| 7 | 36 | 210 | 1224 | 7134 |  |
| 8 | 48 | 280 | 1632 | 9512 |  |
| 9 | 53 | 309 | 1801 | 10497 |  |
| 11 | 60 | 350 | 2040 | 11890 |  |
| 12 | 65 | 379 | 2209 | 12875 |  |
| 13 | 77 | 449 | 2617 | 15253 |  |
| $\vdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Theorem 9. Suppose that $Q \equiv 0 \bmod 4$. Then the fixed- $k$ array for $Q$ is a dispersion.

A proof using

$$
\begin{aligned}
n^{\prime} & =u n+y\left\lfloor Q_{1} n\right\rfloor+y, \\
w^{\prime} & =u w+y\left\lfloor Q_{1} w\right\rfloor+y, \\
n^{\prime \prime} & =2 u n^{\prime}-n
\end{aligned}
$$

is very similar to that of Theorem 6 and is omitted.

## 6 Dispersions for $Q \equiv 1 \bmod 4$

If $Q \equiv 1 \bmod 4$, the parity of $n$ leads to two cases, as in Theorem 2 , and to more subtle results of the sort given in Theorems 4 and 5 (and Theorems 7 and 8). We offer the following conjectures:

Conjecture 1. Suppose that $Q \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ and that $n, j, k$ satisfy (2). Let $u$ be the least positive integer $x$ such that

$$
x^{2}-4=Q y^{2}
$$

for some positive integer $y$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
r & =(u+y \sqrt{Q}) / 2, \\
n_{1} & =\lfloor r n\rfloor-\lfloor y f(n)\rfloor,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f(n)$ denotes the fractional part of $(1+\sqrt{Q}) n / 2$, and let

$$
k_{1}= \begin{cases}\left(\left\lfloor 1 / 2+Q_{1} n_{1}\right\rfloor+1 / 2\right)^{2}-\left(Q_{1} n_{1}\right)^{2} & \text { if } n_{1} \text { is odd } \\ \left(1+\left\lfloor Q_{1} n_{1}\right\rfloor\right)^{2}-\left(Q_{1} n_{1}\right)^{2} & \text { if } n_{1} \text { is even. }\end{cases}
$$

Then

$$
\left(j+k_{1}-1\right)^{2}+4 j=Q n_{1}^{2} .
$$

Moreover, if

$$
n_{2}=\left\lfloor r n_{1}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor y f\left(n_{1}\right)\right\rfloor,
$$

then the recurrence (21) holds.
Conjecture 1 depends on the existence of the pairs $(u, y)$. Regarding $u$ and $y$ as sequences with terms $u(n)$ and $y(n)$, where $n=(Q-1) / 4$, we note that $u$ and $y$ are registered in [3] as A077428 and A078355. Several initial terms are shown here:

| $Q$ | 5 | 13 | 17 | 21 | 29 | 33 | 37 | 41 | 45 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $u$ | 3 | 11 | 66 | 5 | 27 | 46 | 146 | 4098 | 7 |
| $y$ | 1 | 3 | 16 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 24 | 640 | 1 |

Conjecture 2. Suppose that $Q \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ and that $n, j, k$ satisfy (1). Let $u, y, r$ be as in Conjecture 1, let

$$
n_{1}=\lfloor r n\rfloor-\lfloor y f(n)\rfloor+y,
$$

where $f(n)$ denotes the fractional part of $(1+\sqrt{Q}) n / 2$, and let

$$
j_{1}= \begin{cases}\left(Q_{1} n_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(\left\lfloor 1 / 2+Q_{1} n_{1}\right\rfloor-1 / 2\right)^{2} & \text { if } n_{1} \text { is odd } \\ \left(Q_{1} n_{1}\right)^{2}-\left\lfloor Q_{1} n_{1}\right\rfloor^{2} & \text { if } n_{1} \text { is even. }\end{cases}
$$

Then

$$
\left(j_{1}+k+1\right)^{2}-4 k=Q n_{1}^{2} .
$$

Moreover, if

$$
n_{2}=\left\lfloor r n_{1}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor y f\left(n_{1}\right)\right\rfloor+y,
$$

then the recurrence (21) holds.
Assuming the two conjectures valid, we construct fixed- $j$ and fixed- $k$ arrays exactly as in sections 4 and 5, and we conjecture that they are dispersions.

Example 5. The fixed- $j$ array for $Q=13$.

| 1 | 10 | 109 | 1189 | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 20 | 218 | 2378 |  |
| 3 | 30 | 327 | 3567 |  |
| 4 | 43 | 469 | 5116 |  |
| 5 | 53 | 578 | 6305 |  |
| 6 | 63 | 687 | 7494 |  |
| 7 | 76 | 829 | 9043 |  |
| 8 | 86 | 938 | 10232 |  |
| 9 | 96 | 1047 | 11421 |  |
| 11 | 119 | 1298 | 14159 |  |
| $\vdots$ |  |  |  |  |

Example 6. The fixed- $k$ array for $Q=13$.

| 1 | 13 | 142 | 1549 | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 23 | 251 | 2738 |  |
| 3 | 33 | 360 | 3927 |  |
| 4 | 46 | 502 | 5476 |  |
| 5 | 56 | 611 | 6665 |  |
| 6 | 66 | 720 | 7854 |  |
| 7 | 79 | 862 | 9403 |  |
| 8 | 89 | 971 | 10592 |  |
| 9 | 99 | 1080 | 11781 |  |
| 10 | 112 | 1222 | 13330 |  |
| 11 | 122 | 1331 | 14519 |  |
| $\vdots$ |  |  |  |  |

## 7 Examples

This section consists of Examples 7-14 illustrating Theorems 1 and 2 for small values of $Q$, followed by fractal sequences associated with the fixed $-j$ and fixed $-k$ arrays for $Q=5$.

Example 7. Taking $Q=8$ in Theorem 1, for each $n$, there is a unique pair $(j, k)=$ $(j(n), k(n))$ such that $(j+k+1)-4 k=8 n^{2}$. The sequences $j$ and $k$ are given by

| $n$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $j$ | 1 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 17 | 7 | 18 | 4 | 17 | 32 | 14 | $\cdots$ |
| $k$ | 2 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 14 | 9 | 2 | 16 | 7 | 25 | 14 | 1 | 23 | $\cdots$ |

The sequences $j$ and $k$ appear in [3] as A087056 and A087059, respectively.
Example 8. Taking $Q=12$ in Theorem 1, for each $n$, there is a unique pair $(j, k)=$ $(j(n), k(n))$ such that $(j+k+1)-4 k=12 n^{2}$. The sequences $j$ and $k$ are given by

| $n$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $j$ | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 11 | 8 | 3 | 23 | 18 | 11 | 2 | 32 | 23 | $\cdots$ |
| $k$ | 1 | 4 | 9 | 1 | 6 | 13 | 22 | 4 | 13 | 24 | 37 | 9 | 22 | $\cdots$ |

The sequences $j$ and $k$ appear in [3] as A120864 and A120865, respectively.
Example 9. Taking $Q=20$ in Theorem 1, for each $n$, there is a unique pair $(j, k)=$ $(j(n), k(n))$ such that $(j+k+1)-4 k=20 n^{2}$. The sequences $j$ and $k$ are given by

| $n$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $j$ | 1 | 4 | 9 | 16 | 4 | 11 | 20 | 31 | 5 | 16 | 29 | 44 | 4 | $\cdots$ |
| $k$ | 4 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 19 | 16 | 11 | 4 | 36 | 29 | 20 | 9 | 55 | $\cdots$ |

The sequences $j$ and $k$ appear in [3] as A120866 and A120867, respectively.
Example 10. Taking $Q=5$ in Theorem 2, for each $n$, there is a unique pair $(j, k)=$ $(j(n), k(n))$ such that $(j+k+1)-4 k=5 n^{2}$. The sequences $j$ and $k$ are given by

| $n$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $j$ | 1 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 5 | 16 | 11 | 4 | 19 | 11 | 1 | $\cdots$ |
| $k$ | 1 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 1 | 9 | 19 | 5 | 16 | 29 | $\cdots$ |

The sequences $j$ and $k$ appear in [3] as A005752 and A120868, respectively.
Example 11. Taking $Q=13$ in Theorem 2, for each $n$, there is a unique pair $(j, k)=$ $(j(n), k(n))$ such that $(j+k+1)-4 k=13 n^{2}$. The sequences $j$ and $k$ are given by

| $n$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $j$ | 1 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 9 | 17 | 3 | 12 | 23 | 1 | 13 | 27 | 43 | $\cdots$ |
| $k$ | 3 | 3 | 1 | 12 | 9 | 4 | 23 | 17 | 9 | 36 | 27 | 16 | 3 | $\cdots$ |

The sequences $j$ and $k$ appear in [3] as A120869 and A120870, respectively.
Example 12. The table shows, in row $g$ and column $h$, the unique pair $(j, k)$ corresponding to the fixed- $j$ array $\{d(g, h)\}$ for $Q=8$; i.e., $(j+k+1)^{2}-4 k=8 n^{2}$, where $n=d(g, h)$ is as in Example 3:

| $(1,2)$ | $(1,14)$ | $(1,82)$ | $(1,478)$ | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(4,1)$ | $(4,25)$ | $(4,161)$ | $(4,953)$ |  |
| $(2,7)$ | $(2,47)$ | $(2,279)$ | $(2,1631)$ |  |
| $(7,4)$ | $(7,56)$ | $(7,356)$ | $(7,2104)$ |  |
| $(9,6)$ | $(9,89)$ | $(9,553)$ | $(9,3257)$ |  |
| $\vdots$ |  |  |  |  |

The values of $j$, fixed for each row, form the sequence $\underline{\text { A120871: }}$

$$
(1,4,2,7,8,17,7,18,17,32,14,31,9,28,23,46,16,41,34,63,25,56,14,47, \ldots)
$$

These numbers are terms of the $j$-sequence in Example 7, but without duplicates.
Example 13. The table shows, in row $g$ and column $h$, the unique pair $(j, k)$ corresponding to the fixed- $k$ array $\{d(g, h)\}$ for $Q=8$; i.e., $(j+k+1)^{2}-4 k=8 n^{2}$, where $n=d(g, h)$ is as in Example 4:

| $(1,2)$ | $(17,2)$ | $(43,2)$ | $(673,2)$ | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(4,1)$ | $(32,1)$ | $(196,1)$ | $(1152,1)$ |  |
| $(2,7)$ | $(46,7)$ | $(306,7)$ | $(1822,7)$ |  |
| $(7,4)$ | $(63,4)$ | $(391,4)$ | $(2303,4)$ |  |
| $(1,14)$ | $(72,14)$ | $(497,14)$ | $(2969,14)$ |  |
| $\vdots$ |  |  |  |  |

The values of $k$, fixed for each column, form the sequence A120872:

$$
(2,1,7,4,14,9,16,7,25,14,23,8,34,17,47,28,41,18, \ldots)
$$

These numbers are terms of the $k$-sequence in Example 7, but without duplicates.
Example 14. The unique pair $(j, k)=(j(n), k(n))$ such that

$$
(j+k+1)^{2}-4 k=5 n^{2}
$$

is given in Example 10. If the duplicates in $\{j(n)\}$ are expelled, the remaining sequence gives the $j$ values for the fixed- $j$ array for $Q=5$ (cf., Example 12); this remaining sequence appears to be essentially A022344, described as "Allan Wechsler's ' $J$ determinant' sequence". To compare the two sequences, check successive terms of A022344 and A005742.

We conclude with a few notes about the case $Q=5$, the least $Q$ covered by Theorem 2 . The fixed- $j$ array is the Wythoff difference array ( $\underline{\text { A080164 }}$ in [3]) and the fixed- $k$ array is the

Fraenkel array ( $\underline{\text { A038150 }}$ ). These and their relationship to the equation $(j+k+1)^{2}-4 k=5 n^{2}$ are discussed in [2].

As noted in [4], there is a fractal sequence associated with every dispersion. Specifically, if $d(g, h)$ is the general term of the dispersion, and we define $f(n)$ to be the value of $g$ for which $n=d(g, h)$, then $f$ is the associated fractal sequence. (A fractal sequence contains itself as a proper subsequence; e.g., if you delete the first occurrence of each positive integer in $f$, the remaining sequence is $f$; iterating this procedure shows that the sequence properly contains itself infinitely many times.)

Example 15. The fractal sequence associated with the Wythoff difference array $\{d(g, h)\}$ is A120873:

$$
f=(1,1,2,3,1,4,2,5,6,3,7,8,1,9,4,10,11,2,12,5,13,14,6, \ldots) .
$$

Example 16. The fractal sequence associated with the Fraenkel array $\{d(g, h)\}$ is A120874:

$$
f=(1,2,1,3,4,2,5,1,6,7,3,8,9,4,10,2,11,12,5,13,1,14,15, \ldots)
$$
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