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#### Abstract

This paper deals with the number of meaningful compositions of higher order of differential operations and the Gateaux directional derivative.


## 1 The compositions of differential operations of the space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$

In the real three-dimensional space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ we consider the following sets:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}_{0}=\left\{f: \mathbb{R}^{3} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{A}_{1}=\left\{\vec{f}: \mathbb{R}^{3} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid \vec{f} \in \vec{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is customary in vector analysis to consider $m=3$ basic differential operations on $A_{0}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ [1], namely:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{grad} f=\nabla_{1} f=\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{3}}\right): \mathrm{A}_{0} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{1}, \\
& \operatorname{curl} \vec{f}=\nabla_{2} \vec{f}=\left(\frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial x_{2}}-\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{3}}, \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{3}}-\frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial x_{1}}, \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}-\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}\right): \mathrm{A}_{1} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{1},  \tag{2}\\
& \operatorname{div} \vec{f}=\nabla_{3} \vec{f}=\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}+\frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial x_{3}}: \mathrm{A}_{1} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{0} .
\end{align*}
$$

[^0]Let us present the number of meaningful compositions of higher order over the set $\mathcal{A}_{3}=$ $\left\{\nabla_{1}, \nabla_{2}, \nabla_{3}\right\}$. It is familiar fact that there are $m=5$ compositions of the second order $[2$, p. 161]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta f=\operatorname{div} \operatorname{grad} f=\nabla_{3} \circ \nabla_{1} f, \\
& \text { curl curl } \vec{f}=\nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{2} \vec{f}, \\
& \text { grad } \operatorname{div} \vec{f}=\nabla_{1} \circ \nabla_{3} \vec{f},  \tag{3}\\
& \text { curl grad } f=\nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{1} f=\overrightarrow{0}, \\
& \text { div curl } \vec{f}=\nabla_{3} \circ \nabla_{2} \vec{f}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Malešević [3] proved that there are $m=8$ compositions of the third order:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { grad div grad } f=\nabla_{1} \circ \nabla_{3} \circ \nabla_{1} f, \\
& \text { curl curl curl } \vec{f}=\nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{2} \vec{f}, \\
& \text { div grad div } \vec{f}=\nabla_{3} \circ \nabla_{1} \circ \nabla_{3} \vec{f}, \\
& \text { curl curl grad } f=\nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{1} f=\overrightarrow{0}, \\
& \text { div curl grad } f=\nabla_{3} \circ \nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{1} f=0,  \tag{4}\\
& \text { div curl curl } \vec{f}=\nabla_{3} \circ \nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{2} \vec{f}=0, \\
& \text { grad div curl } \vec{f}=\nabla_{1} \circ \nabla_{3} \circ \nabla_{2} \vec{f}=\overrightarrow{0}, \\
& \text { curl grad div } \vec{f}=\nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{1} \circ \nabla_{3} \vec{f}=\overrightarrow{0}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\mathrm{f}(k)$ is the number of compositions of the $k^{\text {th }}$ order, then Malešević [4] proved

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(k)=F_{k+3}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{k}$ is $k^{\text {th }}$ Fibonacci number.

## 2 The compositions of the differential operations and Gateaux directional derivative of the space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$

Let $f \in \mathrm{~A}_{0}$ be a scalar function and $\vec{e}=\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a unit vector. The Gateaux directional derivative in direction $\vec{e}$ is defined by [5, p. 71]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dir}_{\vec{e}} f=\nabla_{0} f=\nabla_{1} f \cdot \vec{e}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}} e_{1}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}} e_{2}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{3}} e_{3}: \mathrm{A}_{0} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{0} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us determine the number of meaningful compositions of higher order over the set $\mathcal{B}_{3}=$ $\left\{\nabla_{0}, \nabla_{1}, \nabla_{2}, \nabla_{3}\right\}$. There exist $m=8$ compositions of the second order:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{dir}_{\vec{e}} \operatorname{dir}_{\vec{e}} f=\nabla_{0} \circ \nabla_{0} f=\nabla_{1}\left(\nabla_{1} f \cdot \vec{e}\right) \cdot \vec{e}, \\
& \operatorname{grad}_{\vec{e}} f=\nabla_{1} \circ \nabla_{0} f=\nabla_{1}\left(\nabla_{1} f \cdot \vec{e}\right), \\
& \Delta f=\operatorname{div} \operatorname{grad} f=\nabla_{3} \circ \nabla_{1} f, \\
& \text { curl } \operatorname{curl} \vec{f}=\nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{2} \vec{f}, \\
& \operatorname{dir}_{\vec{e}} \operatorname{div} \vec{f}=\nabla_{0} \circ \nabla_{3} \vec{f}=\left(\nabla_{1} \circ \nabla_{3} \vec{f}\right) \cdot \vec{e},  \tag{7}\\
& \operatorname{grad} \operatorname{div} \vec{f}=\nabla_{1} \circ \nabla_{3} \vec{f}, \\
& \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{grad} f=\nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{1} f=\overrightarrow{0}, \\
& \operatorname{div} \operatorname{curl} \vec{f}=\nabla_{3} \circ \nabla_{2} \vec{f}=0 ;
\end{align*}
$$

and there exist $m=16$ compositions of the third order:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{dir}_{\vec{e}} \operatorname{dir}_{\vec{e}} \operatorname{dir}_{\vec{e}} f=\nabla_{0} \circ \nabla_{0} \circ \nabla_{0} f, \\
& \operatorname{grad} \operatorname{dir}_{\vec{e}} \operatorname{dir}_{\vec{e}} f=\nabla_{1} \circ \nabla_{0} \circ \nabla_{0} f, \\
& \operatorname{div} \operatorname{grad} \operatorname{dir}_{\vec{e}} f=\nabla_{3} \circ \nabla_{1} \circ \nabla_{0} f, \\
& \operatorname{di} r_{\vec{e}} \operatorname{div} \operatorname{grad} f=\nabla_{0} \circ \nabla_{3} \circ \nabla_{1} f \text {, } \\
& \operatorname{grad} \operatorname{div} \operatorname{grad} f=\nabla_{1} \circ \nabla_{3} \circ \nabla_{1} f \text {, } \\
& \text { curl curl curl } \vec{f}=\nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{2} \vec{f} \text {, } \\
& \operatorname{dir}_{\vec{e}} \operatorname{dir}_{\vec{e}} \operatorname{div} \vec{f}=\nabla_{0} \circ \nabla_{0} \circ \nabla_{3} \vec{f} \text {, } \\
& \operatorname{grad} \operatorname{dir}_{\vec{e}} \operatorname{div} \vec{f}=\nabla_{1} \circ \nabla_{0} \circ \nabla_{3} \vec{f} \text {, } \\
& \text { div grad div } \vec{f}=\nabla_{3} \circ \nabla_{1} \circ \nabla_{3} \vec{f} \text {, }  \tag{8}\\
& \text { curl } \operatorname{grad} \operatorname{dir}_{\vec{e}} f=\nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{1} \circ \nabla_{0} \vec{f}=\overrightarrow{0} \text {, } \\
& \text { curl curl grad } f=\nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{1} f=\overrightarrow{0} \text {, } \\
& \text { div curl grad } f=\nabla_{3} \circ \nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{1} f=0, \\
& \text { div curl curl } \vec{f}=\nabla_{3} \circ \nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{2} \vec{f}=0 \text {, } \\
& \operatorname{dir} \vec{e} \operatorname{div} \operatorname{curl} \vec{f}=\nabla_{0} \circ \nabla_{3} \circ \nabla_{2} \vec{f}=0, \\
& \text { grad div curl } \vec{f}=\nabla_{1} \circ \nabla_{3} \circ \nabla_{2} \vec{f}=\overrightarrow{0} \text {, } \\
& \text { curl grad div } \vec{f}=\nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{1} \circ \nabla_{3} \vec{f}=\overrightarrow{0} \text {. }
\end{align*}
$$

Further on we shall use the method from the paper [4]. Let us define a binary relation $\sigma$ "to be in composition": $\nabla_{i} \sigma \nabla_{j}$ iff the composition $\nabla_{j} \circ \nabla_{i}$ is meaningful. Then Cayley table of the relation $\sigma$ is determined by

| $\sigma$ | $\nabla_{0}$ | $\nabla_{1}$ | $\nabla_{2}$ | $\nabla_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\nabla_{0}$ | $\top$ | $\top$ | $\perp$ | $\perp$ |
| $\nabla_{1}$ | $\perp$ | $\perp$ | $\top$ | $\top$ |
| $\nabla_{2}$ | $\perp$ | $\perp$ | $\top$ | $\top$ |
| $\nabla_{3}$ | $\top$ | $\top$ | $\perp$ | $\perp$ |

Let us denote by $\nabla_{-1}$ nowhere-defined function, where domain and range are empty sets [3] and let $\nabla_{-1} \sigma \nabla_{i}$ hold for $i=0,1,2,3$. If $G$ is graph which is determined by the relation $\sigma$, then graph of paths of $G$ is the tree with the root $\nabla_{-1}$ (Fig. 1).


Fig. 1
Let $\mathrm{g}(k)$ be the number of meaningful compositions of the $k^{\text {th }}$ order of the functions from $\mathcal{B}_{3}$ and let $\mathrm{g}_{i}(k)$ be the number of meaningful compositions of the $k^{\text {th }}$ order beginning from the left by $\nabla_{i}$. Then $\mathrm{g}(k)=\mathrm{g}_{0}(k)+\mathrm{g}_{1}(k)+\mathrm{g}_{2}(k)+\mathrm{g}_{3}(k)$. Based on the partial self similarity of the tree (Fig. 1) we obtain equalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{g}_{0}(k)=\mathrm{g}_{0}(k-1)+\mathrm{g}_{1}(k-1), \\
& \mathrm{g}_{1}(k)=\mathrm{g}_{2}(k-1)+\mathrm{g}_{3}(k-1), \\
& \mathrm{g}_{2}(k)=\mathrm{g}_{2}(k-1)+\mathrm{g}_{3}(k-1),  \tag{10}\\
& \mathrm{g}_{3}(k)=\mathrm{g}_{0}(k-1)+\mathrm{g}_{1}(k-1) .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, the recurrence for $\mathbf{g}(k)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{g}(k)=2 \mathrm{~g}(k-1) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and because $\mathrm{g}(1)=4$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{g}(k)=2^{k+1} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 The compositions of differential operations of the space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$

Let us present the number of meaningful compositions of differential operations in the vector analysis of the space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, where differential operations $\nabla_{r}(r=1, \ldots, n)$ are defined on
corresponding non-empty sets $\mathrm{A}_{s}(s=1, \ldots, m$ and $m=\lfloor n / 2\rfloor, n \geq 3)$ according to the papers [4], [6]:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{A}_{n}(n=2 m): \nabla_{1}: \mathrm{A}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{1} \\
\nabla_{2}: \mathrm{A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\nabla_{i}: \mathrm{A}_{i-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{i} \\
\vdots \\
\nabla_{m}: \mathrm{A}_{m-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{m}  \tag{13}\\
\nabla_{m+1}: \mathrm{A}_{m} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{m-1} \\
\vdots \\
\nabla_{n-j}: \mathrm{A}_{j+1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{j} \\
\vdots \\
\nabla_{n-1}: \mathrm{A}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{1} \\
\nabla_{n}: \mathrm{A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{0}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{A}_{n}(n=2 m+1): \nabla_{1}: \mathrm{A}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{1} \\
\nabla_{2}: \mathrm{A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\nabla_{i}: \mathrm{A}_{i-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{i} \\
\vdots \\
\nabla_{m}: \mathrm{A}_{m-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{m} \\
\nabla_{m+1}: \mathrm{A}_{m} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{m} \\
\nabla_{m+2}: \mathrm{A}_{m} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{m-1} \\
\vdots \\
\nabla_{n-j}: \mathrm{A}_{j+1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{j} \\
\vdots \\
\nabla_{n-1}: \mathrm{A}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{1} \\
\nabla_{n}: \mathrm{A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{0} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let us define higher order differential operations as meaningful compositions of higher order of differential operations from the set $\mathcal{A}_{n}=\left\{\nabla_{1}, \ldots, \nabla_{n}\right\}$. The number of higher order differential operations is given according to the paper [4]. Furthermore, let us define a binary relation $\rho$ "to be in composition": $\nabla_{i} \rho \nabla_{j}$ iff the composition $\nabla_{j} \circ \nabla_{i}$ is meaningful. Then Cayley table of the relation $\rho$ is determined by

$$
\nabla_{i} \rho \nabla_{j}= \begin{cases}\top & ,(j=i+1) \vee(i+j=n+1)  \tag{14}\\ \perp & , \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Let $\mathrm{A}=\left[a_{i j}\right] \in\{0,1\}^{n \times n}$ be the adjacency matrix associated with the graph which is determined by the relation $\rho$. Malešević [6] proved the following statements.

Theorem 3.1. Let $P_{n}(\lambda)=|\mathrm{A}-\lambda \mathrm{I}|=\alpha_{0} \lambda^{n}+\alpha_{1} \lambda^{n-1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}$ be the characteristic polynomial of the matrix A and $v_{n}=[1 \ldots 1]_{1 \times n}$. If $\mathrm{f}(k)$ is the number of the $k^{\text {th }}$ order differential operations, then the following formulas hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{f}(k)=v_{n} \cdot \mathrm{~A}^{k-1} \cdot v_{n}^{T} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{0} \mathrm{f}(k)+\alpha_{1} \mathbf{f}(k-1)+\cdots+\alpha_{n} \mathbf{f}(k-n)=0 \quad(k>n) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.2. Let $P_{n}(\lambda)$ be the characteristic polynomial of the matrix A. Then the following recurrence holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(\lambda)=\lambda^{2}\left(P_{n-2}(\lambda)-P_{n-4}(\lambda)\right) . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.3. Let $P_{n}(\lambda)$ be the characteristic polynomial of the matrix A. Then it has the following explicit form:

$$
P_{n}(\lambda)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\sum_{k=1}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n+2}{4}\right\rfloor+1}(-1)^{k-1}\binom{\frac{n}{2}-k+2}{k-1} \lambda^{n-2 k+2} & , n=2 m  \tag{18}\\
\sum_{k=1}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n+2}{4}\right\rfloor+2}(-1)^{k-1}\left(\binom{\frac{n+3}{2}-k}{k-1}+\binom{\frac{n+3}{2}-k}{k-2} \lambda\right) \lambda^{n-2 k+2}, & n=2 m+1
\end{array}\right.
$$

From previous statements one can obtain the recurrences in the table, [4]:

| Dimension | Recurrence for the number of the $k^{\text {th }}$ order differential operations |
| :---: | :---: |
| $n=3$ | $\mathbf{f}(k)=\mathbf{f}(k-1)+\mathbf{f}(k-2)$ |
| $n=4$ | $\mathbf{f}(k)=2 \mathbf{f}(k-2)$ |
| $n=5$ | $\mathbf{f}(k)=\mathbf{f}(k-1)+2 \mathbf{f}(k-2)-\mathbf{f}(k-3)$ |
| $n=6$ | $\mathbf{f}(k)=3 \mathbf{f}(k-2)-\mathbf{f}(k-4)$ |
| $n=7$ | $\mathbf{f}(k)=\mathbf{f}(k-1)+3 \mathbf{f}(k-2)-2 \mathbf{f}(k-3)-\mathbf{f}(k-4)$ |
| $n=8$ | $\mathbf{f}(k)=4 \mathbf{f}(k-2)-3 \mathbf{f}(k-4)$ |
| $n=9$ | $\mathbf{f}(k)=\mathbf{f}(k-1)+4 \mathbf{f}(k-2)-3 \mathbf{f}(k-3)-3 \mathbf{f}(k-4)+\mathbf{f}(k-5)$ |
| $n=10$ | $\mathbf{f}(k)=5 \mathbf{f}(k-2)-6 \mathbf{f}(k-4)+\mathbf{f}(k-6)$ |

The values of the function $\mathbf{f}(k)$, for small values of the argument $k$, are given in the database of integer sequences [8] as the following sequences A020701 $(n=3)$, A090989 $(n=4)$, $\underline{\mathrm{A} 090990}(n=5), \underline{\mathrm{A} 090991}(n=6), \underline{\mathrm{A} 090992}(n=7), \underline{\mathrm{A} 090993}(n=8), \underline{\mathrm{A} 090994}(n=9)$, A090995 ( $n=10$ ).

## 4 The compositions of differential operations and Gateaux directional derivative of the space $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{n}}$

Let $f \in \mathrm{~A}_{0}$ be a scalar function and $\vec{e}=\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a unit vector. The Gateaux directional derivative in direction $\vec{e}$ is defined by [5, p. 71]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dir}_{\vec{e}} f=\nabla_{0} f=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{k}} e_{k}: \mathrm{A}_{0} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{0} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us extend the set of differential operations $\mathcal{A}_{n}=\left\{\nabla_{1}, \ldots, \nabla_{n}\right\}$ with Gateaux directional derivative to the set $\mathcal{B}_{n}=\mathcal{A}_{n} \cup\left\{\nabla_{0}\right\}=\left\{\nabla_{0}, \nabla_{1}, \ldots, \nabla_{n}\right\}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\mathcal{B}_{n}(n=2 m): & \mathcal{B}_{n}(n=2 m+1): \nabla_{0}: \mathrm{A}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{0} \\
\nabla_{1}: \mathrm{A}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{1} & \nabla_{1}: \mathrm{A}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{1} \\
\nabla_{2}: \mathrm{A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{2} & \nabla_{2}: \mathrm{A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{2} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\nabla_{i}: \mathrm{A}_{i-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{i} & \nabla_{i}: \mathrm{A}_{i-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{i}  \tag{20}\\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\nabla_{m}: \mathrm{A}_{m-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{m} & \nabla_{m}: \mathrm{A}_{m-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{m} \\
\nabla_{m+1}: \mathrm{A}_{m} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{m-1} & \nabla_{m+1}: \mathrm{A}_{m} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{m} \\
\vdots & \nabla_{m+2}: \mathrm{A}_{m} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{m-1} \\
\nabla_{n-j}: \mathrm{A}_{j+1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{j} & \vdots \\
\vdots & \nabla_{n-j}: \mathrm{A}_{j+1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{j} \\
\nabla_{n-1}: \mathrm{A}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{1} & \vdots \\
\nabla_{n}: \mathrm{A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{0}, & \nabla_{n-1}: \mathrm{A}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{1}
\end{array}
$$

Let us define higher order differential operations with Gateaux derivative as the meaningful compositions of higher order of the functions from the set $\mathcal{B}_{n}=\left\{\nabla_{0}, \nabla_{1}, \ldots, \nabla_{n}\right\}$. Our aim is to determine the number of higher order differential operations with Gateaux derivative. Let us define a binary relation $\sigma$ "to be in composition":

$$
\nabla_{i} \sigma \nabla_{j}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\top,(i=0 \wedge j=0) \vee(i=n \wedge j=0) \vee(j=i+1) \vee(i+j=n+1)  \tag{21}\\
\perp, \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

and let $\mathrm{B}=\left[b_{i j}\right] \in\{0,1\}^{(n+1) \times n}$ be the adjacency matrix associated with the graph which is determined by relation $\sigma$. So, analogously to the paper [6], the following statements hold.

Theorem 4.1. Let $Q_{n}(\lambda)=|\mathrm{B}-\lambda \mathrm{I}|=\beta_{0} \lambda^{n+1}+\beta_{1} \lambda^{n}+\cdots+\beta_{n+1}$ be the characteristic polynomial of the matrix B and $v_{n+1}=[1 \ldots 1]_{1 \times(n+1)}$. If $\mathrm{g}(k)$ is the number of the $k^{\text {th }}$ order differential operations with Gateaux derivative, then the following formulas hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{g}(k)=v_{n+1} \cdot \mathrm{~B}^{k-1} \cdot v_{n+1}^{T} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{0} \mathrm{~g}(k)+\beta_{1} \mathrm{~g}(k-1)+\cdots+\beta_{n+1} \mathrm{~g}(k-(n+1))=0 \quad(k>n+1) . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.2. Let $Q_{n}(\lambda)$ and $P_{n}(\lambda)$ be the characteristic polynomials of the matrices B and A respectively. Then the following equality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}(\lambda)=\lambda^{2} P_{n-2}(\lambda)-\lambda P_{n}(\lambda) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let us calculate the characteristic polynomial

$$
Q_{n}(\lambda)=|\mathrm{B}-\lambda \mathrm{I}|=\left|\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrr}
1-\lambda & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{25}\\
0 & -\lambda & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & -\lambda & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & -\lambda & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & -\lambda & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\lambda
\end{array}\right| .
$$

Expanding the determinant $Q_{n}(\lambda)$ by the first column we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}(\lambda)=(1-\lambda) P_{n}(\lambda)+(-1)^{n+2} D_{n}(\lambda) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
D_{n}(\lambda)=\left|\begin{array}{rrrrlrrrr}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{27}\\
-\lambda & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & -\lambda & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & -\lambda & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & -\lambda & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & -\lambda & 1
\end{array}\right| .
$$

Let us expand the determinant $D_{n}(\lambda)$ by the first row and then in the next step, multiply the first row by -1 and add it to the last row. We obtain the determinant of order $n-1$ :

$$
D_{n}(\lambda)=\left|\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrr}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1  \tag{28}\\
-\lambda & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -\lambda & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & -\lambda & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & -\lambda & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -\lambda & 0
\end{array}\right| .
$$

Expanding the previous determinant by the last column we have

$$
D_{n}(\lambda)=(-1)^{n}\left|\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrr}
-\lambda & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1  \tag{29}\\
0 & -\lambda & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\lambda & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & -\lambda & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & -\lambda & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\lambda
\end{array}\right| .
$$

If we expand the previous determinant by the last row and if we expand the obtained determinant by the first column, we have the determinant of order $n-4$ :

$$
D_{n}(\lambda)=(-1)^{n} \lambda^{2}\left|\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrr}
-\lambda & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1  \tag{30}\\
0 & -\lambda & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\lambda & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & -\lambda & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & -\lambda & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\lambda
\end{array}\right| .
$$

In other words

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{n}(\lambda)=(-1)^{n} \lambda^{2} P_{n-4}(\lambda) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equalities (31) and (26) there follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}(\lambda)=(1-\lambda) P_{n}(\lambda)+\lambda^{2} P_{n-4}(\lambda) . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the basis of Lemma 3.2. the following equality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}(\lambda)=\lambda^{2} P_{n-2}(\lambda)-\lambda P_{n}(\lambda) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.3. Let $Q_{n}(\lambda)$ be the characteristic polynomial of the matrix B. Then the following recurrence holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}(\lambda)=\lambda^{2}\left(Q_{n-2}(\lambda)-Q_{n-4}(\lambda)\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. On the basis of Lemma 3.2. and Lemma 4.2. the Lemma follows.

Lemma 4.4. Let $Q_{n}(\lambda)$ be the characteristic polynomial of the matrix B . Then it has the following explicit form:

$$
Q_{n}(\lambda)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
(\lambda-2) \sum_{k=1}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{4}\right\rfloor+1}(-1)^{k-1}\binom{\frac{n+1}{2}-k}{k-1} \lambda^{n-2 k+2} & , n=2 m+1  \tag{35}\\
\sum_{k=1}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n+3}{4}\right\rfloor+2}(-1)^{k-1}\left(\binom{\frac{n}{2}-k+2}{k-1}+\binom{\frac{n}{2}-k+2}{k-2} \lambda\right) \lambda^{n-2 k+3}, & n=2 m .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. On the basis of Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 4.2. the Lemma follows.
The recurrences for dimensions $n=3,4, \ldots, 10$ are obtained by means of MaleševićJovović [7] and they are given in the table below.

| Dimension | Recurrence for the num. of the $k^{\text {th }}$ order diff. operations with Gateaux derivative |
| :---: | :---: |
| $n=3$ | $\mathrm{~g}(k)=2 \mathrm{~g}(k-1)$ |
| $n=4$ | $\mathrm{~g}(k)=\mathrm{g}(k-1)+2 \mathrm{~g}(k-2)-\mathrm{g}(k-3)$ |
| $n=5$ | $\mathrm{~g}(k)=2 \mathrm{~g}(k-1)+\mathrm{g}(k-2)-2 \mathrm{~g}(k-3)$ |
| $n=6$ | $\mathrm{~g}(k)=\mathrm{g}(k-1)+3 \mathrm{~g}(k-2)-2 \mathrm{~g}(k-3)-\mathrm{g}(k-4)$ |
| $n=7$ | $\mathrm{~g}(k)=2 \mathrm{~g}(k-1)+2 \mathrm{~g}(k-2)-4 \mathrm{~g}(k-3)$ |
| $n=8$ | $\mathrm{~g}(k)=\mathrm{g}(k-1)+4 \mathrm{~g}(k-2)-3 \mathrm{~g}(k-3)-3 \mathrm{~g}(k-4)+\mathrm{g}(k-5)$ |
| $n=9$ | $\mathrm{~g}(k)=2 \mathrm{~g}(k-1)+3 \mathrm{~g}(k-2)-6 \mathrm{~g}(k-3)-\mathrm{g}(k-4)+2 \mathrm{~g}(k-5)$ |
| $n=10$ | $\mathrm{~g}(k)=\mathrm{g}(k-1)+5 \mathrm{~g}(k-2)-4 \mathrm{~g}(k-3)-6 \mathrm{~g}(k-4)+3 \mathrm{~g}(k-5)+\mathrm{g}(k-6)$ |

The values of the function $\mathrm{g}(k)$, for small values of the argument $k$, are given in the database of integer sequences [8] as the following sequences $\underline{\text { A000079 }}(n=3)$, $\underline{\text { A090990 }}(n=4)$, $\underline{\mathrm{A} 007283}(n=5), \underline{\mathrm{A} 090992}(n=6), \underline{\mathrm{A} 000079}(n=7), \underline{\mathrm{A} 090994}(n=8), \underline{\mathrm{A} 020714}(n=9)$, A129638 $(n=10)$.
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