

A Note on the Lonely Runner Conjecture

Ram Krishna Pandey Département de Mathématiques Université Jean Monnet 23, rue Dr. Paul Michelon 42023 Saint-Etienne France ram.krishna.pandey@univ-st-etienne.fr

Abstract

Suppose n runners having nonzero distinct constant speeds run laps on a unit-length circular track. The Lonely Runner Conjecture states that there is a time at which all the n runners are simultaneously at least 1/(n + 1) units from their common starting point. The conjecture has been already settled up to six $(n \le 6)$ runners and it is open for seven or more runners. In this paper the conjecture has been proved for two or more runners provided the speed of the (i + 1)th runner is more than double the speed of the *i*th runner for each *i*, arranged in an increasing order.

1 Introduction and Summary

The conjecture in its original form stated by Wills [10] and also independently by Cusick [6] is as follows:

For any n positive integers w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n , there is a real number x such that

$$\|w_i x\| \ge \frac{1}{n+1},$$

for each i = 1, 2, ..., n, where for a real number x, ||x|| is the distance of x from the nearest integer.

Due to the interpretation by Goddyn [4], the conjecture is now known as the "Lonely Runner Conjecture".

Suppose n runners having nonzero distinct constant speeds run laps on a unitlength circular track. Then there is a time at which all the n runners are simultaneously at least 1/(n+1) units from their common starting point.

The term "lonely runner" reflects an equivalent formulation in which there are n + 1 runners with distinct speeds.

Suppose n+1 runners having nonzero distinct constant speeds run laps on a unitlength circular track. A runner is called lonely if the distance (on the circular track) between him (or her) and every other runner is at least 1/(n+1). The conjecture is equivalent to asserting that for each runner there is a time when he (or she) is lonely.

The case n = 2 is very simple. For n = 3, Betke and Wills [3] settled the conjecture while Wills was dealing with some Diophantine approximation problem and also independently by Cusick [6] while Cusick was considering *n*-dimensional "view-obstruction" problem. The case n = 4 was first proved by Cusick and Pomerence [7] with a proof that requires a work of electronic case checking. Later, Bienia et al. [4] gave a simpler proof for n = 4. The case n = 5 was proved by Bohman, Holzman and Kleitman [5]. A simpler proof for the case n = 5 was given by Renault [9]. Recently, Barajas and Serra ([1], [2]) proved the conjecture for n = 6. Goddyn and Wong [8] gave some tight instances of the lonely runner. For $n \ge 7$ the conjecture is still open. We prove the conjecture for two or more runners provided the speed of the (i+1)th runner is more than double the speed of the *i*th runner for each *i*, with the speeds arranged in an increasing order.

2 Main Result

Theorem 1. Let $M = \{m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n\}$ where $n \geq 2$, and $(\frac{m_{j+1}}{m_j})(\frac{n-1}{n+1}) \geq 2$ for each $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$. Then there exists a real number x such that

$$\|m_j x\| \ge \frac{1}{n+1},$$

for each j = 1, 2, ..., n.

Proof. Consider an interval $I = [u, v] = \left[\frac{1}{m_1(n+1)}, \frac{n}{m_1(n+1)}\right]$. Clearly, for $x \in I$, we have $||m_1x|| \ge \frac{1}{n+1}$, and $v - u = \frac{1}{m_1}(\frac{n-1}{n+1})$. Let us denote the interval I by I_1 . We now construct the intervals $I_2, I_3, \ldots I_n$ satisfying the following properties:

- (a) $I_1 \supset I_2 \supset I_3 \supset \ldots \supset I_n$
- (b) For $I_j = [u_j, v_j], v_j u_j = \frac{1}{m_j} (\frac{n-1}{n+1})$
- (c) For each $x \in I_j$ we have $||m_j x|| \ge \frac{1}{n+1}$

Clearly, I_1 satisfies (b) and (c). Inductively, we now define the *j*th interval $I_j = [u_j, v_j]$. We have

$$m_j v_{j-1} - m_j u_{j-1} = \frac{m_j}{m_{j-1}} \left(\frac{n-1}{n+1} \right) \ge 2.$$

Therefore, there exists an integer $\ell(j)$ such that

$$m_j u_{j-1} \le \ell(j) < \ell(j) + 1 \le m_j v_{j-1} \Rightarrow u_{j-1} \le \frac{\ell(j)}{m_j} < \frac{\ell(j) + 1}{m_j} \le v_{j-1}.$$

Define,

$$I_j = [u_j, v_j] = \left[\frac{\ell(j) + \frac{1}{n+1}}{m_j}, \frac{\ell(j) + \frac{n}{n+1}}{m_j}\right]$$

It can be seen easily that the interval I_j satisfies all (a), (b) and (c). Since the intersection of the intervals $I_1, I_2, \ldots I_n$ is nonempty therefore, we have the theorem.

In the theorem we have seen that the *n* runners having their speeds r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n with $\left(\frac{r_{j+1}}{r_i}\right)\left(\frac{n-1}{n+1}\right) \geq 2$ satisfy the Lonely Runner Conjecture.

3 Acknowledgements

I am very much thankful to the referee for his/her useful suggestions to present the paper in a better form.

References

- J. Barajas and O. Serra, Regular chromatic number and the lonely runner problem, Electron. Notes Discrete Math., 29 (2007), 479–483.
- [2] J. Barajas and O. Serra, The lonely runner with seven runners, *Electron. J. Combin.*, 15 (2008), Paper # R48.
- [3] U. Betke and J. M. Wills, Untere Schranken für zwei diophantische Approximations-Funktionen, Monatsh. Math., 76 (1972), 214–217.
- [4] W. Bienia, L. Goddyn, P. Gvozdjak, A. Sebő and M. Tarsi, Flows, view obstructions and the lonely runner, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 72 (1998), 1–9.
- [5] T. Bohman, R. Holzman and D. Kleitman, Six lonely runners, *Electron. J. Combin.*, 8 (2001), Paper # R3.
- [6] T. W. Cusick, View-obstruction problems in n-dimensional geometry, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 16 (1974), 1–11.
- [7] T. W. Cusick and C. Pomerance, View-obstruction problems III, J. Number Theory, 19 (1984), 131–139.

- [8] L. Goddyn and E. B. Wong, Tight instances of the lonely runner, Integers, 6 (2006), Paper A38.
- [9] J. Renault, View-obstruction: a shorter proof for six lonely runners, *Discrete Math.*, 287 (2004), 93–101.
- [10] J. M. Wills, Zwei Sätze uber inhomogene diophantische Approximation von Irrationalzahlen, Monatsh. Math., 71 (1967), 263–269.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11B50; Secondary 11B75, 11A99. Keywords: integers, distance from the nearest integer.

Received April 16 2009; revised version received June 4 2009. Published in *Journal of Integer Sequences*, June 5 2009.

Return to Journal of Integer Sequences home page.