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#### Abstract

For an integer $b \geq 2$ and for $x \in[0,1)$, define $\rho_{b}(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left\{\left\{b^{n} x\right\}\right.}{b^{n}}$, where $\{\{t\}\}$ denotes the fractional part of the real number $t$. A number of properties of $\rho_{b}$ are derived, and then a connection between $\rho_{b}$ and the rumor conjecture is established. To form a rumor sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$, first select integers $b \geq 2$ and $k \geq 1$. Then select an integer $z_{0}$, and for $n \geq 1$ let $z_{n}=b z_{n-1} \bmod (n+k)$, where the right side is the least non-negative residue of $b z_{n-1}$ modulo $n+k$. The rumor sequence conjecture asserts that all such rumor sequences are eventually 0 . A condition on $\rho_{b}$ is shown to be equivalent to the rumor conjecture.


## 1 Introduction

In this note, $b \geq 2$ is a fixed integer. For $x \in[0,1)$, define $\rho_{b}(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left\{\left\{b^{n} x\right\}\right.}{b^{n}}$, where $\{\{t\}\}$ denotes the fractional part of the real number $t$.

A b-adic rational is a rational which can be written as a quotient of an integer and a non-negative power of $b$. When a $b$-adic is written in the form $\frac{a}{b^{m}}$, and $m>0$, it will be assumed $b$ does not divide $a$.

The $\rho_{b}$ function is similar to the well known Takagi function $\tau(x)$ defined by $\tau(x)=$ $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{<2^{n} x \gg 2^{n}}{}$, where $<t \gg$ is the distance from $t$ to the nearest integer. Whereas the summand of the Takagi function is a triangle wave, the summand of $\rho_{b}(x)$ is a sawtooth wave. (See Figures 1, 2.) The function $y=\ll 2^{n} x \gg$ is continuous and periodic with period $2^{-n}$, and it follows that $\tau$ is continuous. It turns out $\tau$ (see Figure 3 ) is also nowhere differentiable.


Figure 1: $y=\ll 2^{2} x \gg$


Figure 3: $y=\tau(x)$


Figure 2: $y=\left\{\left\{2^{2} x\right\}\right\}$


Figure 4: $y=\rho_{2}(x)$

The Takagi function has interesting analytic properties not shared by $\rho_{b}$. For example, while the Takagi function is continuous, $\rho_{b}$ is easily seen to be continuous except at the $b$-adics as suggested by Figure 4 for the case $b=2$. In general, at each $b$-adic, $\frac{a}{b^{m}}, \rho_{b}$ is right continuous with a jump discontinuity of $-\frac{1}{b^{m-1}(b-1)}$. On the other hand, the $\rho_{b}$ function has interesting number theoretic features not shared by $\tau$ as we will show in the following section.

In the final section of this note, we show that the $\rho_{b}$ function is related to the rumor conjecture described in Dearden and Metzger [1], and finally a conjecture concerning $\rho_{b}$ that is equivalent to the rumor sequence conjecture is stated.

## 2 Arithmetic Properties of the $\rho_{b}$ Function

An alternative expression for $\rho_{b}(x)$ can be given in terms of the base- $b$ expansion of $x$. In this note we will follow the usual convention that base- $b$ expansions of $b$-adics terminate rather than end with an infinite string of $(b-1)$ 's.

Theorem 1. If $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_{j}}{b^{j}}$ is the base-b expansion of $x \in[0,1)$, then $\rho_{b}(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j d_{j}}{b^{j}}$.
Proof. Let $x=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_{j}}{b^{j}} \in[0,1)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{b}(x) & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left\{\left\{b^{n} x\right\}\right\}}{b^{n}}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left\{\left\{b^{n} \sum_{j \geq 1} d_{j} b^{-j}\right\}\right\}}{b^{n}} \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b^{n}}\left\{\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} d_{j} b^{n-j}+\sum_{j>n} d_{j} b^{n-j}\right\}\right\}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b^{n}} \sum_{j>n} d_{j} b^{n-j} \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j>n} \frac{d_{j}}{b^{j}}=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{j-1} \frac{d_{j}}{b^{j}}=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j d_{j}}{b^{j}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2. The range of $\rho_{b}$ is $\left[0, \frac{b}{b-1}\right)$.
Proof. Let $y \in\left[0, \frac{b}{b-1}\right)$ be given. Integers $d_{j} \in D=\{0,1, \ldots, b-1\}$ are selected recursively as follows. First let $d_{1}$ be the largest integer in $D$ such that $\frac{d_{1}}{b} \leq y$. Assuming $d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{i-1}$ have been selected, take $d_{i}$ to be the largest integer in $D$ such that $\frac{i d_{i}}{b^{i}} \leq y-\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{j d_{j}}{b^{j}}$. In this way, the base- $b$ expansion of a number $x=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_{j}}{b^{j}}$ is constructed.

We now show that this expansion of $x$ does not end in an infinite sequence of $(b-1)$ 's, and consequently $x \in[0,1)$ and $\rho_{b}(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j d_{j}}{b j}$. To this end, by way of contradiction, assume the expansion does end with an infinite sequence of $(b-1)$ 's. It can not be that all the digits, $d_{j}$, are $b-1$ since, if they were, we would have

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j d_{j}}{b^{j}}=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j(b-1)}{b^{j}}=(b-1) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j}{b^{j}}=(b-1) \frac{b}{(b-1)^{2}}=\frac{b}{b-1}
$$

but $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j d_{j}}{b j} \leq y<\frac{b}{b-1}$. So there must be a last digit, $d_{L}$, that is less than $b-1$. It follows that for all $m>L$,

$$
\frac{m(b-1)}{b^{m}} \leq y-\sum_{j=1}^{L-1} \frac{j d_{j}}{b^{j}}-\frac{L d_{L}}{b^{L}}-\sum_{j=L+1}^{m-1} \frac{j(b-1)}{b^{j}}
$$

Hence, for all $m>L$, we have

$$
\frac{L d_{L}}{b^{L}}+\sum_{j=L+1}^{m} \frac{j(b-1)}{b^{j}} \leq y-\sum_{j=1}^{L-1} \frac{j d_{j}}{b^{j}}
$$

Consequently

$$
\frac{L d_{L}}{b^{L}}+\sum_{j=L+1}^{\infty} \frac{j(b-1)}{b^{j}} \leq y-\sum_{j=1}^{L-1} \frac{j d_{j}}{b^{j}}
$$

Now

$$
\sum_{j=L+1}^{\infty} \frac{j(b-1)}{b^{j}}=\frac{(L+1) b-L}{b^{L}(b-1)}>\frac{L}{b^{L}}
$$

Thus

$$
\frac{L\left(d_{L}+1\right)}{b^{L}}=\frac{L d_{L}}{b^{L}}+\frac{L}{b^{L}} \leq y-\sum_{j=1}^{L-1} \frac{j d_{j}}{b^{j}}
$$

contradicting the choice of $d_{L}$.
For any $i$ with $d_{i}<b-1$, we have

$$
\frac{i d_{i}}{b^{i}} \leq y-\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{j d_{j}}{b^{j}}<\frac{i\left(d_{i}+1\right)}{b^{i}}
$$

Since that holds for infinitely many $i$, and since $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j d_{j}}{b j}$ is a positive series, it follows that $\rho_{b}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{j d_{j}}{b j}=y$.

The $x$ constructed in the proof above is the largest of the inverses of the given $y$ under $\rho_{b}$. Call the $x$ so constructed the greedy inverse image of $y$. In order to construct a valid base-b number $x$ as the greedy inverse of a given $y$, we explicitly required each $d_{i}$ to be an element of the set $D=\{0,1, \ldots, b-1\}$, rather than using a floor function, as in

$$
\left\lfloor\frac{b^{i}\left(y-\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{j d_{j}}{b^{j}}\right)}{i}\right\rfloor .
$$

Since this integer may be larger than $b-1$, the restriction on $d_{i}$ was needed. We now show that $d_{i}$ is eventually given by this floor function expression.

Corollary 3. With the notation as in the proof of Theorem 2, for large enough $i$,

$$
d_{i}=\left\lfloor\frac{b^{i}\left(y-\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{j d_{j}}{b^{j}}\right)}{i}\right\rfloor \text { and } 0 \leq y-\sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{j d_{j}}{b^{j}}<\frac{i}{b^{i}} .
$$

Proof. We show, for i large enough, that the quantity $z=b^{i}\left(y-\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{j d_{j}}{b j}\right) / i$ is less than $b$, and, thus, $\lfloor z\rfloor \in D$. From the proof of Theorem 2, there is an integer $n$ with $d_{n}<b-1$, where $d_{n}$ is the largest integer in $D=\{0,1, \ldots, b-1\}$ such that

$$
\frac{n d_{n}}{b^{n}} \leq y-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{j d_{j}}{b^{j}}
$$

Thus, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n d_{n}}{b^{n}} \leq y-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{j d_{j}}{b^{j}}<\frac{n\left(d_{n}+1\right)}{b^{n}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equivalently, we have

$$
d_{n} \leq \frac{b^{n}\left(y-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{j d_{j}}{b^{j}}\right)}{n}<d_{n}+1
$$

Now, since $d_{n}+1<b$, we have that

$$
\left\lfloor\frac{b^{n}\left(y-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{j d_{j}}{b^{j}}\right)}{n}\right\rfloor \in D
$$

Therefore, $d_{n}$ may be expressed as

$$
d_{n}=\left\lfloor\frac{b^{n}\left(y-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{j d_{j}}{b_{j}}\right)}{n}\right\rfloor .
$$

And, rearranging (1) we see that

$$
0 \leq y-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{j d_{j}}{b^{j}}<\frac{n}{b^{n}}
$$

Inductively, consider any $i \geq n$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq y-\sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{j d_{j}}{b^{j}}<\frac{i}{b^{i}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By definition, $d_{i+1}$ is the greatest integer in $D$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{i+1} \leq \frac{b^{i+1}\left(y-\sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{j d_{j}}{b j}\right)}{i+1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, using (2), we have

$$
\frac{b^{i+1}\left(y-\sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{j d_{j}}{b^{j}}\right)}{i+1}<\frac{i}{i+1} b<b .
$$

Hence, as before, we have that

$$
d_{i+1}=\left\lfloor\frac{b^{i+1}\left(y-\sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{j d_{j}}{b^{j}}\right)}{i+1}\right\rfloor,
$$

since the value of the floor expression is an element of the set $D$. Finally, from (3), we have

$$
\frac{(i+1) d_{i+1}}{b^{i+1}} \leq y-\sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{j d_{j}}{b^{j}}<\frac{(i+1)\left(d_{i+1}+1\right)}{b^{i+1}}
$$

From which we have

$$
0 \leq y-\sum_{j=1}^{i+1} \frac{j d_{j}}{b^{j}}<\frac{i+1}{b^{i+1}}
$$

completing the induction.

There are several easily verified functional identities satisfied by $\rho_{b}$ stated in the next theorem.

Theorem 4. The following identities hold for $\rho_{b}$ :
(a) For the $b$-adic $x=\frac{a}{b^{m}} \in[0,1), \rho_{b}(x)+\rho_{b}(1-x)=\frac{b}{b-1}-\frac{1}{b^{m-1}(b-1)}$.
(b) For any non-b-adic $x \in[0,1), \rho_{b}(x)+\rho_{b}(1-x)=\frac{b}{b-1}$.
(c) For $x \in[0,1)$ and integer $m \geq 1, \rho_{b}\left(\frac{x}{b^{m}}\right)=\frac{m}{b^{m}} x+\frac{1}{b^{m}} \rho_{b}(x)$.
(d) If $b^{m} x \in[0,1)$, then $\rho_{b}\left(b^{m} x\right)=b^{m} \rho_{b}(x)-m b^{m} x$.

Theorem 5. Suppose $\frac{s}{t}$ is a rational number in lowest terms with $\operatorname{gcd}(t, b)=1$. If $\rho_{b}\left(\frac{s}{t}\right)=\frac{u}{v}$, a rational in lowest terms, then (1) there is a divisor $t^{\prime}>1$ of $t$ such that $\left(t^{\prime}\right)^{2}$ divides $v$, and (2) $b$ divides $u$.

Proof. Since $t$ is relatively prime to $b$, the base- $b$ expansion of $\frac{s}{t}$ is purely periodic. Let $r$ be the order of $b$ modulo $t$, so that $r$ is the period of that expansion. That means there is an integer $c$ so that $c t=b^{r}-1$. Then

$$
\frac{s}{t}=\frac{c s}{c t}=\frac{c s}{b^{r}-1}=\sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{c s}{b^{m r}}=\sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{r} b^{r-i} d_{i}}{b^{m r}}
$$

where

$$
\frac{s}{t}=\sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{d_{j}}{b^{j}}=\sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{d_{i}}{b^{m r+i}} \quad \text { has period } r
$$

First, calculate $\rho_{b}\left(\frac{s}{t}\right)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{u}{v}=\rho_{b}\left(\frac{s}{t}\right) & =\sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{j d_{j}}{b^{j}}=\sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{(m r+i) d_{m r+i}}{b^{m r+i}}=\sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{(m r+i) d_{i}}{b^{m r+i}} \\
& =\sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{1}{b^{m r}}\left(m r \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{d_{i}}{b^{i}}+\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{i d_{i}}{b^{i}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{1}{\left(b^{r}\right)^{m+1}}\left(m r \sum_{i=1}^{r} b^{r-i} d_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{r} i b^{r-i} d_{i}\right) \\
& =\sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{1}{\left(b^{r}\right)^{m+1}}(m r c s+w), \text { where } w=\sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} i b^{r-i} d_{i} \\
& =\frac{r c s}{\left(b^{r}-1\right)^{2}}+\frac{w}{b^{r}-1}=\frac{r c s+\left(b^{r}-1\right) w}{\left(b^{r}-1\right)^{2}}=\frac{r c s+c t w}{c^{2} t^{2}} \\
& =\frac{r s+t w}{c t^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $d=\operatorname{gcd}(t, r)$ and define $t^{\prime}$ and $r^{\prime}$ by $t=t^{\prime} d$ and $r=r^{\prime} d$. Then, we have

$$
\rho_{b}\left(\frac{s}{t}\right)=\frac{r^{\prime} s+t^{\prime} w}{c t t^{\prime}}=\frac{r^{\prime} s+t^{\prime} w}{c d\left(t^{\prime}\right)^{2}} .
$$

Since $r$ divides $\varphi(t)$ we have

$$
r \leq \varphi(t)<t, \text { hence, } 1 \leq r^{\prime}<t^{\prime} .
$$

In particular, we have that $t^{\prime} \neq 1$.
Since $t^{\prime}$ is relatively prime to both $s$ and $r^{\prime}$, we have that $\left(t^{\prime}\right)^{2}$ does not cancel when the fraction is reduced to lowest terms. That completes the proof of (1).

For the proof of (2), calculate $\rho_{b}\left(\frac{s}{t}\right)$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{u}{v}=\rho_{b}\left(\frac{s}{t}\right) & =\sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{(m r+i) d_{i}}{b^{m r+i}}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{(m r+i) d_{i}}{b^{m r+i}} \\
& =\frac{1}{\left(b^{r}-1\right)^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{r} d_{i} b^{r-i}\left(r-i+b^{r} i\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $b$ is a factor of each term in the sum, including the term when $i=r$. Since $b$ is relatively prime to $b^{r}-1$, it follows that $b$ divides $u$.

Corollary 6. There are rationals in the range $\left[0, \frac{b}{b-1}\right)$ of $\rho_{b}$ that are not images of any rationals in its domain.

Example 7. For $b \neq 3$, the rational $\frac{1}{3}$ cannot be the image of a rational under $\rho_{b}$.
The conditions given in Theorem 5 apparently do not completely characterize the rationals that are images of rationals. In particular, for $b=2$ we suspect that among $\frac{2 k}{9}, k=1,2,4,5,7,8$, only $\frac{8}{9}=\rho_{2}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)$ and $\frac{10}{9}=\rho_{2}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)$ have rational inverse images.

In Theorem 8 we derive an expression for $\rho_{b}\left(\frac{a}{b^{r}}\right)$ analogous to one for the Takagi function given by Maddock [2]. If the base- $b$ expansion of the positive integer $a$ is given by $a=$ $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} e_{i} b^{i}$, define $\sigma_{b}(a)$ by

$$
\sigma_{b}(a)=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} i e_{i} b^{i}
$$

It is easy to check that $\sigma_{b}(a)$ can be written in a way that does not specifically involve the base- $b$ expansion:

$$
\sigma_{b}(a)=\sum_{j \geq 1} b^{j}\left\lfloor\frac{a}{b^{j}}\right\rfloor=\sum_{1 \leq b^{j} \leq a}\left(a-\left(a \bmod b^{j}\right)\right)
$$

The $\sigma_{b}$ functions are related to several sequences in Sloane's OEIS database. Specifically, sequence $\underline{\mathrm{A} 080277}$ is $a+\sigma_{2}(a)=\sum_{j \geq 0} 2^{j}\left\lfloor\frac{a}{2^{j}}\right\rfloor$, while $\underline{\mathrm{A} 080333}$ is $a+\sigma_{3}(a)=\sum_{j \geq 0} 3^{j}\left\lfloor\frac{a}{3^{j}}\right\rfloor$. Also, the sums $s_{a}=\sum_{1 \leq b^{j} \leq a}\left(a \bmod b^{j}\right)$ appear in OEIS for $b=2$ and $b=3$ as A049802 and A049803 respectively.

Theorem 8. For the $b$-adic rational $\frac{a}{b^{r}}$, where $0 \leq a<b^{r}$, we have

$$
\rho_{b}\left(\frac{a}{b^{r}}\right)=\frac{r a-\sigma_{b}(a)}{b^{r}} .
$$

Proof. Let the base- $b$ expansion of $a$ be $a=\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} e_{i} b^{i}$. We then have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{b}\left(\frac{a}{b^{r}}\right) & =\rho_{b}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \frac{e_{i}}{b^{r-i}}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \frac{(r-i) e_{i}}{b^{r-i}}, \\
& =\frac{1}{b^{r}}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} r e_{i} b^{i}-\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} i e_{i} b^{i}\right], \\
& =\frac{1}{b^{r}}\left[r a-\sigma_{b}(a)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 9. Consider the rational number $s / t$ in reduced form with $t$ relatively prime to $b$. Let $r=\operatorname{ord}_{t}(b)$, ct $=b^{r}-1$, and $a=c s$. Then,

$$
\rho_{b}\left(\frac{s}{t}\right)=\rho_{b}\left(\frac{a}{b^{r}-1}\right)=\frac{r b^{r} a}{\left(b^{r}-1\right)^{2}}-\frac{\sigma_{b}(a)}{b^{r}-1} .
$$

Proof. Given the base- $b$ expansion $a=\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} e_{i} b^{i}$, we have

$$
\frac{a}{b^{r}-1}=\sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \frac{e_{i}}{b^{r k-i}} .
$$

Hence, we calculate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{b}\left(\frac{s}{t}\right)=\rho_{b}\left(\frac{a}{b^{r}-1}\right) & =\sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1}(r k-i) \frac{e_{i}}{b^{r k-i}} \\
& =\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{b^{r k}}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} r k e_{i} b^{i}-\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} i e_{i} b^{i}\right] \\
& =\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{b^{r k}}\left[k r a-\sigma_{b}(a)\right] \\
& =\frac{r b^{r} a}{\left(b^{r}-1\right)^{2}}-\frac{\sigma_{b}(a)}{b^{r}-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 9 leads to a relation between two values of $\rho_{b}$. With $s, t, r, a$ as in the proof of that theorem, we see

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{b}\left(\frac{s}{t}\right)=\rho_{b}\left(\frac{a}{b^{r}-1}\right) & =\frac{r b^{r} a}{\left(b^{r}-1\right)^{2}}-\frac{\sigma_{b}(a)}{b^{r}-1} \\
& =\frac{r b^{r} a-\left(b^{r}-1\right) \sigma_{b}(a)}{\left(b^{r}-1\right)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{r a+\left(b^{r}-1\right)\left(r a-\sigma_{b}(a)\right)}{\left(b^{r}-1\right)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{r a}{\left(b^{r}-1\right)^{2}}+\frac{b^{r}}{b^{r}-1} \rho_{b}\left(\frac{a}{b^{r}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3 The Connection Between $\rho_{b}$ and Rumor Sequences

In Dearden and Metzger [1], rumor sequences (running modulus recursive sequences) were introduced as follows:

Let $b \geq 2$ and $k \geq 1$ be integers. To construct an (integer) rumor sequence select an integer $z_{0}$, and for $n \geq 1$ let $z_{n}=b z_{n-1} \bmod (n+k)$, where the right side is the least nonnegative residue of $b z_{n-1}$ modulo $n+k$. The rumor sequence conjecture asserts that all such integer rumor sequences are eventually 0 . Since the conjecture concerns only the eventual behavior of such sequences and since $0 \leq z_{1}<k+1$, nothing is lost by restricting $z_{0}$ to the interval $[0, k)$.

To establish a connection between the rumor sequence conjecture and the $\rho_{b}$ function, it is convenient to generalize the notion of integer rumor sequences to real rumor sequences.

Let $b \geq 2$ and $k \geq 1$ be integers. To construct a (real) rumor sequence, select any real number $x_{0}$ and for $n \geq 1$ let $x_{n}=b x_{n-1} \bmod (n+k)$ where the right hand side is taken to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
b x_{n-1}-(n+k)\left\lfloor\frac{b x_{n-1}}{n+k}\right\rfloor . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

As with integer rumors, there is no loss if $x_{0}$ is restricted to the interval $[0, k)$. The real and integer rumors are identical when $x_{0}=z_{0}$ is an integer.

It will be shown that the rumor conjecture for integer rumor sequences is true if and only if the greedy inverse image under $\rho_{b}$ of every $b$-adic rational is a $b$-adic rational. It is worth noting that, in general, not all inverse images of a $b$-adic under $\rho_{b}$ need be $b$-adic.

Example 10. Consider the 3-adic rational $y=\frac{2}{3}$ in the range of $\rho_{3}$. With $b=3$, let the greedy $\rho_{3}$ inverse image of $\frac{5}{6}$ be $x$. Since 6 is not divisible by a square greater than $1, x$ must be irrational. It follows that $1-x$ is irrational and, by Theorem 4(b), we see

$$
\rho_{3}(1-x)=\frac{3}{2}-\frac{5}{6}=\frac{2}{3} .
$$

Theorem 11. For $b \geq 2$, all integer rumor sequences are eventually 0 if and only if the greedy inverse image under $\rho_{b}$ of every b-adic is b-adic.

Proof. Suppose that all integer rumor sequences are eventually zero, and let $y=a / b^{m}$ be a $b$-adic rational in $[0, b /(b-1))$. By Corollary 3, there is an integer $n$ so that for $k \geq n$ we have

$$
d_{k}=\left\lfloor\frac{b^{k}\left(y-\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} j d_{j} / b^{j}\right)}{k}\right\rfloor \text { and } 0 \leq y-\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{j d_{j}}{b^{j}}<\frac{k}{b^{k}} .
$$

Now, consider the real rumor sequence with initial value $x_{0} \in[0, n)$ given by

$$
x_{0}=b^{n}\left(y-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{j d_{j}}{b^{j}}\right) .
$$

Applying the rumor recursion (4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1} & =b x_{0}-(n+1)\left\lfloor\frac{b x_{0}}{n+1}\right\rfloor \\
& =b^{n+1}\left(y-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{j d_{j}}{b^{j}}\right)-(n+1)\left\lfloor\frac{b^{n+1}\left(y-\sum_{j=1}^{n} j d_{j} / b^{j}\right)}{n+1}\right\rfloor \\
& =b^{n+1}\left(y-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{j d_{j}}{b^{j}}\right)-(n+1) d_{n+1}, \text { by Corollary } 3 \\
& =b^{n+1}\left(y-\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \frac{j d_{j}}{b^{j}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

More generally, induction shows that, for all $i \geq 0$, we have

$$
x_{i}=b^{n+i}\left(y-\sum_{j=1}^{n+i} \frac{j d_{j}}{b^{j}}\right)=b^{n+i}\left(\frac{a}{b^{m}}-\sum_{j=1}^{n+i} \frac{j d_{j}}{b^{j}}\right) .
$$

Now, for $i \geq m-n$, the sequence $x_{i}$ is obtained from an integer rumor recursion, and by our assumption that integer rumor sequence is eventually zero, say from term $i_{0}$ on. That means the greedy inverse image under $\rho_{b}$ of the $b$-adic rational $a / b^{m}=\sum_{j=1}^{n+i_{0}} j d_{j} / b^{j}$ is the $b$-adic rational

$$
v=\sum_{j=1}^{n+i_{0}} \frac{d_{j}}{b^{j}}=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n+i_{0}} d_{j} b^{n+i_{0}-j}}{b^{n+i_{0}}} .
$$

Conversely, suppose that the greedy inverse image of $b$-adic rationals in $[0, b /(b-1))$ are $b$-adic rationals. Consider an integer rumor recursion with initial value $z_{0}$ in $[0, k)$. By our assumption the greedy inverse of the $b$-adic rational $y=z_{0} / b^{k}$ is a $b$-adic rational $\sum_{j=1}^{n} j / b^{j}$, where

$$
y=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{j d_{j}}{b^{j}}, \text { with } d_{j} \in\{0,1, \ldots, b-1\}
$$

Since $f(x)=x / b^{x}$ is a nondecreasing function on positive integers for all integers $b \geq 2$, we have $z_{0} / b^{k}<k / b^{k} \leq m / b^{m}$ for all $m=1,2,3, \ldots, k$. Therefore, it follows that

$$
0 \leq \frac{z_{0}}{b^{k}}-\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{j d_{j}}{b^{j}}<\frac{m}{b^{m}}, \text { for } m=1,2, \ldots, k
$$

Hence,

$$
d_{j}=0 \text { for } j=1,2, \ldots, k
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z_{0}}{b^{k}}=y=\sum_{j=k+1}^{n-k} \frac{(k+i) d_{k+i}}{b^{k+i}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, for all $m=1,2, \ldots, n-k$, we have

$$
\frac{(k+m) d_{k+m}}{b^{k+m}} \leq \frac{z_{0}}{b^{k}}-\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{(k+i) d_{k+i}}{b^{k+i}}<\frac{(k+m)\left(d_{k+m}+1\right)}{b^{k+m}} .
$$

Multiplying through by $b^{k}$ gives

$$
\frac{(k+m) d_{k+m}}{b^{m}} \leq z_{0}-\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{(k+i) d_{k+i}}{b^{i}}<\frac{(k+m)\left(d_{k+m}+1\right)}{b^{m}} .
$$

In particular, for $m=1$ we have

$$
\frac{(k+1) d_{k+1}}{b} \leq z_{0}<\frac{(k+1) d_{k+1}}{b}
$$

or

$$
d_{k+1} \leq\left\lfloor\frac{b z_{0}}{k+1}\right\rfloor<d_{k+1}+1
$$

It follows that

$$
z_{1}=b z_{0}-(k+1)\left\lfloor\frac{b z_{0}}{k+1}\right\rfloor=b z_{0}-(k+1) d_{k+1} .
$$

Hence,

$$
\frac{z_{1}}{b}=z_{0}-\frac{(k+1) d_{k+1}}{b}
$$

In general, induction shows that, for all $m \geq 1$,

$$
\frac{z_{m}}{b^{m}}=z_{0}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{(k+i) d_{k+i}}{b^{i}}
$$

Therefore, by equation (5), we have

$$
\frac{z_{n-k}}{b^{n-k}}=z_{0}-\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} \frac{(k+i) d_{k+i}}{b^{i}}=0
$$

Thus, any integer rumor sequence is eventually zero.

The following corollary follows immediately from the proof of Theorem 11.
Corollary 12. Let $b \geq 2$ be an integer. The integer rumor sequence with initial term $z_{0}$, where $0 \leq z_{0}<k$, is eventually 0 if and only if the greedy inverse image of $\frac{z_{0}}{b^{k}}$ under $\rho_{b}$ is b-adic.

Conjecture 13. The greedy inverse image of every $b$-adic under $\rho_{b}$ is $b$-adic.
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