

Journal of Integer Sequences, Vol. 14 (2011), Article 11.6.5

# Mean Values of a Class of Arithmetical Functions

Deyu Zhang<sup>1</sup> School of Mathematical Sciences Shandong Normal University Jinan 250014 Shandong P. R. China zdy\_78@yahoo.com.cn

Wenguang Zhai<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics China University of Mining and Technology Beijing, 100083 P. R. China **zhaiwg@hotmail.com** 

#### Abstract

In this paper we consider a class of functions  $\mathcal{U}$  of arithmetical functions which include  $\tilde{P}(n)/n$ , where  $\tilde{P}(n) := n \prod_{p|n} (2 - \frac{1}{p})$ . For any given  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , we obtain the asymptotic formula for  $\sum_{n \leq x} U(n)$ , which improves a result of De Koninck and Kátai.

## 1 Introduction

In 1933, Pillai [10] introduced the function

$$P(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \gcd(k, n).$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China(Grant Nos. 10771127, 11001154) and Shandong Province Natural Science Foundation (Nos. BS2009SF018, ZR2010AQ009).

and proved that

$$P(n) = \sum_{d|n} d\varphi(n/d),$$
 and  $\sum_{d|n} P(d) = nd(n) = \sum_{d|n} \sigma(d)\varphi(n/d),$ 

where  $\varphi$  is Euler's function, d(n) and  $\sigma(n)$  denote the number of divisors of n and the sum of the divisors of n respectively. Many authors investigated the properties of P(n), see [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 10, 13]; it is Sloane's sequence <u>A018804</u>. Chidambaraswamy and Sitara-machandrarao [6] showed that, given an arbitrary  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\sum_{n \le x} P(n) = e_1 x^2 \log x + e_2 x^2 + O(x^{1+\theta+\epsilon}),$$

where  $e_1, e_2$  are computable constants and  $0 < \theta < 1/2$  is some exponent contained in

$$\sum_{n \le x} d(n) = x \log x + (2\gamma - 1)x + O(x^{\theta + \epsilon}).$$
(1)

The asymptotic formula (1) is the well-known Dirichlet divisor problem. The latest value of  $\theta$  is  $\theta = 131/416$  proved by Huxley [8].

Tóth [12] first defined the gcd-sum function over regular integers modulo n by the relation

$$\tilde{P}(n) = \sum_{k \in \operatorname{Reg}_n} \gcd(k, n),$$
(2)

where  $\operatorname{Reg}_n = \{k : 1 \leq k \leq n \text{ and } k \text{ is regular } (\operatorname{mod} n)\}$ , and proved that  $\tilde{P}(n)$  is multiplicative and for every  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\tilde{P}(n) = n \prod_{p|n} (2 - \frac{1}{p}).$$
(3)

It is sequence  $\underline{A176345}$  in Sloane's Encyclopedia. He also obtained the following asymptotic formula

$$\sum_{n \le x} \tilde{P}(n) = \frac{x^2}{2\zeta(2)} (K_1 \log x + K_2) + O(x^{3/2}\delta(x)),$$
(4)

where  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are certain constants and  $\delta(x)$  is given by

$$\delta(x) = \exp(-A(\log x)^{3/5}(\log\log x)^{-1/5}).$$

Zhang and Zhai [15] showed that the estimate of  $\sum_{n \leq x} \tilde{P}(n)$  is closely related to the square-free divisor problem and improved the error term of (4) under RH.

De Koninck and Kátai [7] introduced two wide classes of arithmetical functions  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{U}$ , the first of which includes the function P(n)/n, and the second of which includes  $\tilde{P}(n)/n$ . More precisely, the class  $\mathcal{R}$  is made of the following functions R. Firstly let  $\gamma(n)$  denote the kernel of  $n \geq 2$ , that is  $\gamma(n) = \prod_{p|n} p$  (with  $\gamma(1) = 1$ ). Then, given an arbitrary positive constant c, an arbitrary real number  $\alpha > 0$  and a multiplicative function  $\kappa(n)$  satisfying  $|\kappa(n)| \leq \frac{c}{\gamma(n)^{\alpha}}$  for all  $n \geq 2$ , let  $R \in \mathcal{R}$  be defined by

$$R(n) = R_{\kappa,c,\alpha}(n) := d(n) \sum_{d \mid n} \kappa(d) = d(n) \prod_{p^a \mid n} (1 + \kappa(p^a)).$$
(5)

It is easily seen that if we let  $\kappa(p^a) = -\frac{a/(a+1)}{p}$ , then the corresponding function R(n) is precisely P(n)/n.

De Koninck and Kátai [7] showed that

$$T(x) := \sum_{n \le x} R(n) = A_0 x \log x + B_0 x + O(x^{\beta + \epsilon}),$$
(6)

with

$$\beta = \begin{cases} \theta, & \text{if } \alpha \ge 1 - \theta; \\ 1 - \alpha, & \text{if } \alpha < 1 - \theta; \end{cases}$$

where  $\theta$  is the exponent in (1),  $A_0, B_0$  are certain constants.

As for the class of functions  $\mathcal{U}$ , it is made of the functions

$$U(n) = U_{h,c,\alpha}(n) := 2^{\omega(n)} \sum_{d|n} h(d),$$

where  $\omega(n)$  stands for the number of distinct prime factors of n, and h is a multiplicative function satisfying  $|h(n)| \leq \frac{c}{\gamma(n)^{\alpha}}$  for all  $n \geq 2$ . It is easily seen that by taking  $h(p) = -\frac{1}{2p}$  and  $h(p^a) = 0$ , for  $a \geq 2$ , we obtain the particular case  $U(n) = \tilde{P}(n)/n$ . De Koninck and Kátai [7] proved that

$$S(x) := \sum_{n \le x} U(n) = t_1 x \log x + t_2 x + O(\frac{x}{\log x}),$$
(7)

where  $t_1, t_2$  are certain constants.

In this paper, we shall prove the following

**Theorem 1.** Suppose  $0 \le \alpha < 1$ . Then we have

$$S(x) = t_1 x \log x + t_2 x + O(x^{1-\alpha+\epsilon} + x^{1/2+\epsilon}).$$
(8)

Remark 2. (i) From our proof we see that the evaluation of S(x) is closely related to the distribution of the zeros of the Riemann zeta function. The exponent 1/2 can be reduced to 4/11 if RH is true.

(ii) The exponent  $1-\alpha$  in the error term of Theorem 1 is best possible when  $\alpha$  is small. For example, if we take  $h(n) = n^{-\alpha}$  with  $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ , then our proof with slight modifications yields

$$\sum_{n \le x} U(n) = t_1 x \log x + t_2 x + t_3 x^{1-\alpha} \log x + t_4 x^{1-\alpha} + O(x^{1/2+\epsilon})$$

We are also interested in the short interval case. In this case, the restrictions on  $\alpha$  and RH can be removed. Actually, we have the following Theorem 3.

**Theorem 3.** Suppose (1) holds for  $1/4 < \theta < 1/3$ . Then for  $x^{\theta+2\epsilon} \leq y \leq x$ , we have

$$\sum_{\langle n \le x+y} U(n) = H(x+y) - H(x) + O(yx^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2}} + x^{\theta+\epsilon}),$$
(9)

where  $H(x) = t_1 x \log x + t_2 x$ .

## 2 Preliminary Lemmas

x

**Lemma 4.** Let s be a complex number with  $\Re s > 1$ . Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{U(n)}{n^s} = \frac{\zeta^2(s)}{\zeta(2s)} G(s),$$

where G(s) can be written as a Dirichlet series  $G(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(n)}{n^s}$ , which is absolutely convergent for  $\Re s > 1 - \alpha$ . Moreover g(n) satisfies  $|g(n)| \ll n^{-\alpha + \epsilon}$ .

*Proof.* For  $\Re s > 1$ , by Euler product representation we have

$$F(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{U(n)}{n^s} = \prod_p \left( 1 + \sum_{\beta=1}^{\infty} \frac{U(p^\beta)}{p^{\beta s}} \right),$$

where  $U(p^{\beta}) = 2(1 + h(p) + \dots + h(p^{\beta})), \beta \ge 1$ . Thus

$$\begin{split} 1 + \sum_{\beta=1}^{\infty} \frac{U(p^{\beta})}{p^{\beta s}} &= 1 + \sum_{\beta=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{p^{\beta s}} + 2\sum_{\beta=1}^{\infty} p^{-\beta s} \sum_{j=1}^{\beta} h(p^{j}) \\ &= \frac{1 - p^{-2s}}{(1 - p^{-s})^{2}} + 2\sum_{\beta=1}^{\infty} p^{-\beta s} \sum_{j=1}^{\beta} h(p^{j}) \\ &= \frac{1 - p^{-2s}}{(1 - p^{-s})^{2}} \times \left(1 + \frac{2(1 - p^{-s})^{2}}{1 - p^{-2s}} \sum_{\beta=1}^{\infty} p^{-\beta s} \sum_{j=1}^{\beta} h(p^{j})\right), \end{split}$$

hence we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{U(n)}{n^s} = \frac{\zeta^2(s)}{\zeta(2s)} G(s),$$

where

$$G(s) = \prod_{p} \left( 1 + \frac{2(1-p^{-s})^2}{1-p^{-2s}} \sum_{\beta=1}^{\infty} p^{-\beta s} \sum_{j=1}^{\beta} h(p^j) \right).$$

From the above formula, it is easy to see that G(s) can be expanded to a Dirichlet series  $G(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(n)}{n^s}$ , which is absolutely convergent for  $\Re s > 1 - \alpha$ , if we notice that  $|h(p)| \leq \frac{c}{p^{\alpha}}$ . Therefore  $|g(n)| \ll n^{-\alpha+\epsilon}$ . Lemma 5. Let

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d^{(2)}(n)}{n^s} = \frac{\zeta^2(s)}{\zeta(2s)}, \quad \Re s > 1,$$

where  $d^{(2)}(n)$  denote the number of square-free divisors of n. Then for any real numbers  $x \ge 1$ , we have

$$D^{(2)}(x) := \sum_{n \le x} d^{(2)}(n) = c_1 x \log x + c_2 x + \Delta^{(2)}(x)$$

with  $\Delta^{(2)}(x) = O(x^{1/2}\log x)$ , where

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{\zeta(2)}, \quad c_2 = \frac{2\gamma - 1}{\zeta(2)} - \frac{2\zeta'(2)}{\zeta^2(2)}.$$

Moreover, if RH is true, then  $\Delta^{(2)}(x) = O(x^{4/11+\epsilon})$ .

*Proof.* The first result is due to Mertens [9] and the second one is due to Baker [1].  $\Box$ Lemma 6.

$$\sum_{n \le x} |g(n)| \ll x^{1 - \alpha + \epsilon}.$$

*Proof.* It follows from  $|g(n)| \ll n^{-\alpha+\epsilon}$ .

**Lemma 7.** Let  $k \ge 2$  be a fixed integer,  $1 < y \le x$  be large real numbers and

$$\mathcal{A}(x,y;k,\epsilon) := \sum_{\substack{x < nm^k \le x+y \\ m > x^{\epsilon}}} 1$$

Then we have

$$\mathcal{A}(x,y;k,\epsilon) \ll yx^{-\epsilon} + x^{1/4}.$$

*Proof.* This is Lemma 3 of Zhai [14].

## 3 Proof of Theorem 1

Notice that

$$\frac{\zeta^2(s)}{\zeta(2s)} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{d^{(2)}(\ell)}{\ell^s}, \quad G(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(m)}{m^s}.$$
(10)

By the Dirichlet convolution, we have

$$\sum_{n \le x} U(n) = \sum_{m \ell \le x} g(m) d^{(2)}(\ell) = \sum_{m \le x} g(m) \sum_{\ell \le x/m} d^{(2)}(\ell),$$

and Lemma 5 applied to the inner sum gives

$$\sum_{n \le x} U(n) = \sum_{m \le x} g(m) \left\{ \frac{c_1 x}{m} \log(\frac{x}{m}) + \frac{c_2 x}{m} + O\left(\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)^{1/2 + \epsilon}\right) \right\}$$

$$= c_1 x \left\{ \left( \log x + \frac{c_2}{c_1} \right) \sum_{m \le x} \frac{g(m)}{m} - \sum_{m \le x} \frac{g(m) \log m}{m} \right\} + O\left( x^{1/2+\epsilon} \sum_{m \le x} \frac{|g(m)|}{m^{1/2+\epsilon}} \right)$$
$$= c_1 x \left\{ \left( \log x + \frac{c_2}{c_1} \right) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(m)}{m} - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(m) \log m}{m} + O(x^{-\alpha+\epsilon}) \right\} + O\left( x^{1/2+\epsilon} \sum_{m \le x} \frac{|g(m)|}{m^{1/2+\epsilon}} \right),$$

if we notice by Lemma 6 that both of the infinite series  $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(m)}{m}$ ,  $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(m)\log m}{m}$  are absolutely convergent, and

$$\sum_{m>x} \frac{g(m)}{m} \ll x^{-\alpha+\epsilon}, \qquad \sum_{m>x} \frac{g(m)\log m}{m} \ll x^{-\alpha+\epsilon}.$$
(11)

Then we have

$$\sum_{n \le x} U(n) = t_1 x \log x + t_2 x + O(x^{1-\alpha+\epsilon}) + O\left(x^{1/2+\epsilon} \sum_{m \le x} \frac{|g(m)|}{m^{1/2+\epsilon}}\right),$$
(12)

where

$$t_{1} = \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(m)}{m} = \frac{G(1)}{\zeta(2)},$$
  
$$t_{2} = \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \left\{ (2\gamma - 1 - \frac{2\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)}) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(m)}{m} - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(m)\log m}{m} \right\}$$
  
$$= \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \left\{ (2\gamma - 1 - \frac{2\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)})G(1) - G'(1) \right\}.$$

By Lemma 6, we have

$$\sum_{m \le x} \frac{|g(m)|}{m^{1/2+\epsilon}} \le \sum_{m \le x} \frac{1}{m^{1/2+\alpha+\epsilon}} \le \begin{cases} x^{\epsilon}, & \alpha \ge 1/2; \\ x^{1/2-\alpha+\epsilon}, & \alpha < 1/2, \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1 follows from the above estimates and Eq. (12).

## 4 Proof of Theorem 3

By Lemma 4, we have

$$U(n) = \sum_{n=n_1n_2n_3^2} d(n_1)g(n_2)\mu(n_3),$$

where d(n) is the divisor function. Then

$$\sum_{x < n \le x + y} U(n) = \sum_{x < n_1 n_2 n_3^2 \le x + y} d(n_1) g(n_2) \mu(n_3) = \Sigma_1 + O\left(\Sigma_2 + \Sigma_3\right),$$
(13)

where

$$\Sigma_{1} = \sum_{\substack{n_{2} \leq x^{\epsilon} \\ n_{3} \leq x^{\epsilon}}} g(n_{2})\mu(n_{3}) \sum_{\substack{x \\ n_{2}n_{3}^{2} \leq n_{1} \leq \frac{x+y}{n_{2}n_{3}^{2}}} d(n_{1}),$$
  

$$\Sigma_{2} = \sum_{\substack{x < n_{1}n_{2}n_{3}^{2} \leq x+y \\ n_{2} > x^{\epsilon}}} d(n_{1})|g(n_{2})|,$$
  

$$\Sigma_{3} = \sum_{\substack{x < n_{1}n_{2}n_{3}^{2} \leq x+y \\ n_{3} > x^{\epsilon}}} d(n_{1})|g(n_{2})|.$$

Recalling (1), the inner sum in  $\Sigma_1$  is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(x+y)}{n_2 n_3^2} \log \frac{(x+y)}{n_2 n_3^2} - \frac{x}{n_2 n_3^2} \log \frac{x}{n_2 n_3^2} + (2\gamma - 1) \frac{y}{n_2 n_3^2} + O\left(\frac{x^{\theta}}{n_2^{\theta} n_3^{2\theta}}\right) \\ &= \frac{(x+y) \log(x+y) - x \log x}{n_2 n_3^2} - y \frac{\log(n_2 n_3^2)}{n_2 n_3^2} + (2\gamma - 1) \frac{y}{n_2 n_3^2} + O\left(\frac{x^{\theta}}{n_2^{\theta} n_3^{2\theta}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Inserting the above expression into  $\Sigma_1$  and after some easy calculations, we get

$$\Sigma_1 = H(x+y) - H(x) + O\left(yx^{-\epsilon} + y^{-\alpha\epsilon + \epsilon^2} + x^{\theta + \epsilon}\right).$$
(14)

For  $\Sigma_2$ , we have

$$|g(n_2)| \ll n_2^{-\alpha+\epsilon} \ll x^{-\alpha\epsilon+\epsilon^2},$$

if we notice that  $n_2 > x^{\epsilon}$ , and hence

$$\Sigma_2 \ll x^{-\alpha\epsilon+\epsilon^2} \sum_{x < n_1 n_2 n_3^2 \le x+y} d(n_1) = x^{-\alpha\epsilon+\epsilon^2} \sum_{x < n \le x+y} d_*(n),$$

where

$$d_*(n) = \sum_{n=n_1n_2n_3^2} d(n_1) \ll n^{\epsilon^2}$$

Therefore we have

$$\Sigma_2 \ll x^{-\alpha\epsilon+\epsilon^2} \sum_{x < n \le x+y} n^{\epsilon^2} \ll y x^{-\alpha\epsilon+\epsilon^2}.$$
 (15)

Since  $d(n) \ll n^{\epsilon^2}$ ,  $g(n_2) \ll 1$ , by Lemma 7 we have

$$\Sigma_{3} \ll x^{\epsilon^{2}} \sum_{\substack{x < n_{1}n_{2}n_{3}^{2} \le x+y \\ n_{3} > x^{\epsilon}}} 1 \ll x^{\epsilon^{2}} \sum_{\substack{x < nn_{3}^{2} \le x+y \\ n_{3} > x^{\epsilon}}} d(n)$$
$$\ll x^{2\epsilon^{2}} \sum_{\substack{x < nn_{3}^{2} \le x+y \\ n_{3} > x^{\epsilon}}} 1 = x^{2\epsilon^{2}} \mathcal{A}(x, y; 2, \epsilon)$$
$$\ll yx^{-\epsilon+2\epsilon^{2}} + x^{1/4+\epsilon^{2}}.$$
(16)

Then Theorem 3 follows from Eqs. (13)–(16).

### 5 Acknowledgments

The authors express their gratitude to the referee for a careful reading of the manuscript and many valuable suggestions, which highly improve the quality of this paper.

### References

- R. C. Baker, The square-free divisor problem II, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 47 (1996), 133–146.
- [2] O. Bordellès, A note on the average order of the gcd-sum function, J. Integer Sequences 10 (2007), Article 07.3.3.
- [3] O. Bordellès, Mean values of generalized gcd-sum and lcm-sum functions, J. Integer Sequences 10 (2007), Article 07.9.2.
- [4] K. Broughan, The gcd-sum function, J. Integer Sequences 4 (2001), Article 01.2.2.
- [5] K. Broughan, The average order of the Dirichlet series of the gcd-sum function, J. Integer Sequences 10 (2007), Article 07.4.2.
- [6] J. Chidambaraswamy and R. Sitaramachandrarao, Asymptotic results for a class of arithmetical functions, *Monatsh. Math.* 99 (1985), 19–27.
- [7] J. M. de Koninck and I. Kátai, Some remarks on a paper of L.Toth, J. Integer Sequences 13 (2010), Article 10.1.2.
- [8] M. N. Huxley, Exponential sums and Lattice points III, Proc. London Math. Soc., 87 (3) (2003), 591–609.
- [9] F. Mertens, Uber einige asymptotische Gesetze der Zahlentheorie, J. Reine Angew. Math. 77 (1874), 289–338.
- [10] S. S. Pillai, On an arithmetic function, J. Annamalai Univ. 2 (1933), 243–248.
- [11] H. E. Richert, Uber die Anzahl Abelscher Gruppen gegebener Ordnung I, Math. Z. 56 (1952), 21–32; II. ibid. 58 (1953), 71–84.
- [12] L. Tóth, A gcd-sum function over regular integers modulo n, J. Integer Sequences 12 (2009), Article 09.2.5.
- [13] Y. Tanigawa and W. Zhai, On the gcd-sum function, J. Integer Sequences 11 (2008), Article 08.2.3.
- [14] W. G. Zhai, Square-free numbers as sums of two squares, in *Number Theory*, Developments in Mathematics, Springer, 15 (2006), 219–227.
- [15] D. Zhang and W. Zhai, Mean values of a gcd-sum function over regular integers modulo n, J. Integer Sequences 13 (2010), Article 10.4.7.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11N37. Keywords: gcd-sum function, regular integers modulo n, Riemann hypothesis, short interval result.

(Concerned with sequences  $\underline{A018804}$  and  $\underline{A176345}$ .)

Received January 25 2011; revised version received May 24 2011. Published in *Journal of Integer Sequences*, June 10 2011.

Return to Journal of Integer Sequences home page.