# The Number of Relatively Prime Subsets of a Finite Union of Sets of Consecutive Integers 

Mohamed Ayad<br>Laboratoire de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées<br>Université du Littoral<br>F-62228 Calais<br>France<br>Ayad@lmpa.univ-littoral.fr<br>Vincenzo Coia<br>Department of Statistics<br>University of British Columbia<br>Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z4<br>Canada<br>vincen.coia@stat.ubc.ca<br>Omar Kihel<br>Department of Mathematics<br>Brock University<br>St. Catharines, ON L2S 3A1<br>Canada<br>okihel@brocku.ca


#### Abstract

Let $A$ be a finite union of disjoint sets of consecutive integers and let $n$ be a positive integer. We give a formula for the number of relatively prime subsets (resp.,


relatively prime subsets of cardinality $k$ ) of $A$, which generalizes results of Nathanson, El Bachraoui and others. We give as well similar formulas for the number of subsets with gcd coprime to $n$.

## 1 Introduction

A nonempty set $S$ of integers is said to be relatively prime if $\operatorname{gcd}(S)=1$, where $\operatorname{gcd}(S)$ denotes the greatest common divisor of the elements of $S$. Nathanson [10] defines $f(n)$ to be the number of relatively prime subsets of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ and, for $k \geq 1, f_{k}(n)$ to be the number of relatively prime subsets of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ of cardinality $k$. By analogy with Euler's phi function $\phi(n)$ that counts the number of positive integers $a$ in the set $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}(a, n)=1$, Nathanson [10] defines $\Phi(n)$ to be the number of nonempty subsets $S$ of the set $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}(S)$ is relatively prime to $n$ and, for integer $k \geq 1, \Phi_{k}(n)$ to be the number of subsets $S$ of the set $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}(S)$ is relatively prime to $n$ and $|S|=k$. He obtains explicit formulas for these four functions and deduces asymptotic estimates.

For simplicity, we use a more general notation than Nathanson [10]. For a nonempty set of integers $S$, we define

- $f(S)=|\{H \subseteq S: \operatorname{gcd}(H)=1, H \neq \emptyset\}|$ as the number of nonempty relatively prime subsets of $S$;
- $f_{k}(S)=|\{H \subseteq S: \operatorname{gcd}(H)=1,|H|=k\}|$ as the number of relatively prime subsets of $S$ of cardinality $k$;
- $\Phi(S, n)=|\{H \subseteq S: \operatorname{gcd}(H \cup\{n\})=1, H \neq \emptyset\}|$ as the number of nonempty subsets of $S$ with gcd relatively prime to integer $n$;
- $\Phi_{k}(S, n)=|\{H \subseteq S: \operatorname{gcd}(H \cup\{n\})=1,|H|=k\}|$ as the number of subsets of $S$ of cardinality $k$ and with gcd relatively prime to integer $n$.
Further, we define $[a, b]_{\mathbb{Z}}=[a, b] \cap \mathbb{Z}=\{a, a+1, \ldots, b\}$ for integers $a<b$ as the set of consecutive integers from $a$ to $b$, inclusive.

El Bachraoui [4] and Nathanson and Orosz [11] generalize the results of Nathanson [10] to subsets of $[\ell, m]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ for integers $0 \leq \ell<m$, and prove Theorem 1 .
Theorem 1. For non-negative integers $\ell<m$ and $k \geq 1$, using the notation $f(\ell, m)=$ $f\left([\ell, m]_{\mathbb{Z}}\right)$ and $f_{k}(\ell, m)=f_{k}\left([\ell, m]_{\mathbb{Z}}\right)$ of El Bachraoui [4] and Nathanson and Orosz [11] we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\ell, m)=\sum_{d=1}^{m} \mu(d)\left(2^{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{d}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{\ell}{d}\right\rfloor}-1\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{k}(\ell, m)=\sum_{d=1}^{m} \mu(d)\binom{\lfloor m / d\rfloor-\lfloor\ell / d\rfloor}{ k} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu$ is the Möbius function.
For brevity, define the arithmetic sequence $\mathcal{A}_{n}^{(a, b)}=\{a, a+b, \ldots, a+(n-1) b\}$ for positive integers $n$, $a$, and $b$. Ayad and Kihel [1] generalize Theorem 1 to obtain Theorem 2.

Theorem 2. For all positive integers $n$, $a$, and $b$, with $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=1$, using the notation $f^{(a, b)}(n)=f\left(\mathcal{A}_{n}^{(a, b)}\right)$ and $f_{k}^{(a, b)}(n)=f_{k}\left(\mathcal{A}_{n}^{(a, b)}\right)$ of Ayad and Kihel [1], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(a, b)}(n)=\sum_{\substack{d=1 \\ \operatorname{gcd}(b, d)=1}}^{a+(n-1) b} \mu(d)\left(2^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{d}\right\rfloor+\varepsilon_{d}}-1\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{k}^{(a, b)}(n)=\sum_{\substack{d=1 \\ \operatorname{gcd}(b, d)=1}}^{a+(n-1) b} \mu(d)\binom{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{d}\right\rfloor+\varepsilon_{d}}{k} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\varepsilon_{d}= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } d \mid n  \tag{5}\\ 1, & \text { if } d \nmid n \text { and }\left(-a b^{-1}\right) \bmod d \in\left\{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{d}\right\rfloor d, \ldots, n-1\right\} \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

El Bachraoui [6] extends Theorem 1 to the union of two sets of consecutive integers, to obtain Theorem 3.
Theorem 3. For nonnegative integers $\ell_{1}<m_{1}<\ell_{2}<m_{2}$ and for $k \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\left[\ell_{1}, m_{1}\right]_{\mathbb{Z}} \cup\left[\ell_{2}, m_{2}\right]_{\mathbb{Z}}\right)=\sum_{d=1}^{m_{2}} \mu(d)\left(2^{\left\lfloor\frac{m_{1}}{d}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{m_{2}}{d}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{\ell_{1}-1}{d}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{\ell_{2}-1}{d}\right\rfloor}-1\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{k}\left(\left[\ell_{1}, m_{1}\right]_{\mathbb{Z}} \cup\left[\ell_{2}, m_{2}\right]_{\mathbb{Z}}\right)=\sum_{d=1}^{m_{2}} \mu(d)\binom{\left\lfloor\frac{m_{1}}{d}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{m_{2}}{d}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{\ell_{1}-1}{d}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{\ell_{2}-1}{d}\right\rfloor}{ k} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now switch our attention to analogous results for functions $\Phi$ and $\Phi_{k}$. For the consecutive integers case, El Bachraoui [4] and Nathanson and Orosz [11] prove Theorem 4.
Theorem 4. For non-negative integers $\ell<m$ and $k \geq 1$, using the notation $\Phi(\ell, m)=$ $\Phi\left([\ell, m]_{\mathbb{Z}}, m\right)$ and $\Phi_{k}(\ell, m)=\Phi_{k}\left([\ell, m]_{\mathbb{Z}}, m\right)$ of El Bachraoui [4] and Nathanson and Orosz [11] we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(\ell, m)=\sum_{d \mid m} \mu(d) 2^{\left(\frac{m}{d}-\left\lfloor\frac{\ell}{d}\right\rfloor\right)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{k}(\ell, m)=\sum_{d \mid m} \mu(d)\binom{\frac{m}{d}-\left\lfloor\frac{\ell}{d}\right\rfloor}{ k} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Ayad and Kihel [1] generalize Theorem 4 to obtain Theorem 5.
Theorem 5. For nonnegative integers $a, b$, and $n$, with $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=1$, using the notation $\Phi^{(a, b)}(n)=\Phi\left(\mathcal{A}_{n}^{(a, b)}, n\right)$ and $\Phi_{k}^{(a, b)}(n)=\Phi_{k}\left(\mathcal{A}_{n}^{(a, b)}, n\right)$ of Ayad and Kihel [1] we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{(a, b)}(n)=\sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ \operatorname{gcd}(b, d)=1}} \mu(d)\left(2^{\frac{n}{d}}-1\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{k}^{(a, b)}(n)=\sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ \operatorname{gcd}(b, d)=1}} \mu(d)\binom{\frac{n}{d}}{k} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

El Bachraoui and Salim [9] extend Theorem 4 to the union of two sets of consecutive integers, to obtain Theorem 6.

Theorem 6. For nonnegative integers $\ell_{1}<m_{1}<\ell_{2}<m_{2}$ and for $k \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(\left[\ell_{1}, m_{1}\right]_{\mathbb{Z}} \cup\left[\ell_{2}, m_{2}\right]_{\mathbb{Z}}, n\right)=\sum_{d \mid n} \mu(d) 2^{\left\lfloor\frac{m_{1}}{d}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{m_{2}}{d}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{\ell_{1}-1}{d}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{\ell_{2}-1}{d}\right\rfloor} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{k}\left(\left[\ell_{1}, m_{1}\right]_{\mathbb{Z}} \cup\left[\ell_{2}, m_{2}\right]_{\mathbb{Z}}, n\right)=\sum_{d \mid n} \mu(d)\binom{\left\lfloor\frac{m_{1}}{d}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{m_{2}}{d}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{\ell_{1}-1}{d}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{\ell_{2}-1}{d}\right\rfloor}{ k} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Section 2, we extend Theorems 3 and 6 to the union of any finite number of disjoint sets of consecutive integers. The approach we take is simple and much different from the approach of El Bachraoui [6] and El Bachraoui and Salim [9] for the union of two sets. Several authors $[2,3,12,7,13,14,5]$ discuss other properties and generalizations.

## 2 Finite union of disjoint sets of consecutive integers

For positive integers $\ell_{i} \leq m_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$, denote $A^{(i)}=\left[\ell_{i}, m_{i}\right]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ for brevity and assume $A^{(i)} \cap A^{(j)}=\emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. Consider the union

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} A^{(i)} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

El Bachraoui [6] derives equations for $f(A)$ and $f_{k}(A)$ for $r=2$, as in Theorem 3. We extend this to any $r \in \mathbb{N}$ in Theorem 8, but first we need Lemma 7. Also, throughout this section, for a set of integers $S$ we denote $\mathcal{P}(S)=\{H \subseteq S: H \neq \emptyset\}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{k}(S)=\{H \subseteq S:|H|=k\}$.

Lemma 7. Let $A_{d}=\{x \in A: d \mid x\}$ be all the multiples of $d$ found in $A$, where $A$ is defined in equation (14). Then,

$$
\left|A_{d}\right|=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{m_{i}}{d}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{\ell_{i}-1}{d}\right\rfloor\right)
$$

Proof. For $i=1, \ldots, r$, let $A_{d}^{(i)}=\left\{x \in A^{(i)}: d \mid x\right\}, M_{d}^{(i)}=\left\{x \in\left[0, m_{i}\right]_{\mathbb{Z}}: d \mid x\right\}$, and $L_{d}^{(i)}=$ $\left\{x \in\left[0, \ell_{i}-1\right]_{\mathbb{Z}}: d \mid x\right\}$. Clearly, we have $\left|A_{d}^{(i)}\right|=\left|M_{d}^{(i)}\right|-\left|L_{d}^{(i)}\right|$. But, we simply have $\left|M_{d}^{(i)}\right|=\left\lfloor\frac{m_{i}}{d}\right\rfloor$ and $\left|L_{d}^{(i)}\right|=\left\lfloor\frac{\ell_{i}-1}{d}\right\rfloor$. So,

$$
\left|A_{d}^{(i)}\right|=\left\lfloor\frac{m_{i}}{d}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{\ell_{i}-1}{d}\right\rfloor .
$$

Now, since $A_{d}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} A_{d}^{(i)}$ and since $A_{d}^{(i)} \cap A_{d}^{(j)}=\emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, we have $\left|A_{d}\right|=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left|A_{d}^{(i)}\right|$ which completes the proof.

Theorem 8. For $A$ defined in equation (14), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(A)=\sum_{d=1}^{\max \left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right\}} \mu(d)\left(2^{\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{m_{i}}{d}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{\ell_{i}-1}{d}\right\rfloor\right.}-1\right) ;  \tag{15}\\
& f_{k}(A)=\sum_{d=1}^{\max \left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right\}} \mu(d)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{m_{i}}{d}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{\ell_{i}-1}{d}\right\rfloor\right) .\right. \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We begin by proving equation (15). From the total amount of nonempty subsets of $A$, remove those subsets that are not relatively prime:

$$
f(A)=|\mathcal{P}(A)|-\left|\bigcup_{p \text { prime }} \mathcal{P}\left(A_{p}\right)\right|
$$

Using inclusion-exclusion and the same principle as in the proof of Ayad and Kihel [1, Theorem 5], we obtain

$$
f(A)=\sum_{d=1}^{\max \left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right\}} \mu(d)\left(2^{\left|A_{d}\right|}-1\right) .
$$

Applying Lemma (7), we obtain equation (15).
To prove equation (16), from the total amount of subsets of $A$ with cardinality $k$, remove those subsets that are not relatively prime:

$$
f_{k}(A)=\left|\mathcal{P}_{k}(A)\right|-\left|\bigcup_{p \text { prime }} \mathcal{P}_{k}\left(A_{p}\right)\right|
$$

Using inclusion-exclusion and the same principle as in the proof of Ayad and Kihel [1, Theorem 5], we obtain

$$
f_{k}(A)=\sum_{d=1}^{\max \left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right\}} \mu(d)\binom{\left|A_{d}\right|}{k} .
$$

Applying Lemma (7), we obtain equation (16).
Similarly, we now extend Theorem 6.
Theorem 9. Define $A$ as in equation (14). Then for any integer $k \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Phi(A, n)=\sum_{d \mid n} \mu(d)\left(2^{\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{m_{i}}{d}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{\ell_{i}-1}{d}\right\rfloor\right)}-1\right)  \tag{17}\\
\Phi_{k}(A, n)=\sum_{d \mid n} \mu(d)\binom{\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{m_{i}}{d}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{\ell_{i}-1}{d}\right\rfloor\right)}{k} \tag{18}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. We begin by proving equation (17). Notice that

$$
\Phi(A, n)=|\mathcal{P}(A)|-\left|\bigcup_{\substack{p \text { prime } \\ p \mid n}} \mathcal{P}\left(A_{p}\right)\right|
$$

As in the proof of Theorem 8, we have

$$
\Phi(A, n)=\sum_{d \mid n} \mu(d)\left(2^{\left|A_{d}\right|}-1\right)
$$

Applying Lemma 7 proves equation (17).
To prove equation (18), notice that

$$
\Phi_{k}(A, n)=\left|\mathcal{P}_{k}(A)\right|-\left|\bigcup_{\substack{\text { prime } \\ p \mid n}} \mathcal{P}_{k}\left(A_{p}\right)\right|
$$

As in the proof of Theorem 8, we have

$$
\Phi_{k}(A, n)=\sum_{d \mid n} \mu(d)\binom{\left|A_{d}\right|}{k}
$$

Applying Lemma 7 proves equation (18).
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