

## On Kazhdan's Property (T) for $\mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbf{k})$

M. B. Bekka and M. Neuhauser\*

Communicated by A. Valette

**Abstract.** The aim of this note is to give a new and elementary proof of Kazhdan's Property (T) for  $\mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbf{k})$ , the symplectic group on 4 variables, for any local field  $\mathbf{k}$ . The crucial step is the proof that the Dirac measure  $\delta_0$  at 0 is the unique mean on the Borel subsets of the second symmetric power  $S^2(\mathbf{k}^2)$  of  $\mathbf{k}^2$  which is invariant under the natural action of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{k})$ . In the case where  $\mathbf{k}$  has characteristic 2, we observe that this is no longer true if  $S^2(\mathbf{k}^2)$  is replaced by its dual, the space of the symmetric bilinear forms on  $\mathbf{k}^2$ .

### 1. Introduction

A locally compact group  $G$  has *Kazhdan's Property (T)* ([5]) if whenever a strongly continuous unitary representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  has almost invariant vectors, then it actually has a nonzero fixed vector. Recall that  $\pi$  has almost invariant vectors if, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any compact subset  $K$  of  $G$ , there exists a unit vector  $\xi$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  with  $\|\pi(g)\xi - \xi\| < \varepsilon$  for all  $g$  in  $K$ . Kazhdan's Property (T) is a powerful tool and has remarkable applications. For an excellent account, see [3].

Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a local field (that is, a non-discrete locally compact field), and let  $\mathbf{G}$  be a connected almost simple algebraic  $\mathbf{k}$ -group with  $\mathbf{k}$ -rank  $\geq 2$ . Then, as is well known,  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{k})$ , the group of the  $\mathbf{k}$ -rational points in  $\mathbf{G}$ , has Property (T). This central result is quickly deduced, using Howe-Moore's theorem and root theory, from the fact that  $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbf{k})$  and  $\mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbf{k})$ , the symplectic group on 4 variables, have Property (T) (see [6, Chap. III, (5.3) Theorem]). The aim of this note is to give an elementary proof of Property (T) for  $\mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbf{k})$ . A proof in this spirit was given in [1] for  $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbf{k})$ . Property (T) for  $\mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbf{k})$  was first established in [2] and [8].

**Theorem 1.1.** ([2], [8])  $\mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbf{k})$  has Property (T), for any local field  $\mathbf{k}$ .

The group  $\mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbf{k})$  contains a copy of the semi-direct product  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{k}) \times S^{2*}(\mathbf{k}^2)$ , for the standard action of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{k})$  on the space  $S^{2*}(\mathbf{k}^2)$  of the symmetric bilinear forms on  $\mathbf{k}^2$  (see Section 4).

Theorem 1.1 is a consequence of the following fact.

---

\*The second author acknowledges the financial support he received from the Bayerisch-Französischen Hochschulzentrum

**Theorem 1.2.** *The pair  $(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{k}) \times S^{2*}(\mathbf{k}^2), S^{2*}(\mathbf{k}^2))$  has the relative Property (T), for any local field  $\mathbf{k}$ .*

Recall that a pair  $(G, H)$  of a locally compact group  $G$  and a closed subgroup  $H$  has the relative Property (T), if whenever a unitary representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  has almost invariant vectors, then it has a nonzero  $H$ -fixed vector.

Our proof of Theorem 1.2 is based on the next result and avoids the usual Mackey type analysis of the irreducible unitary representations of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{k}) \times S^{2*}(\mathbf{k}^2)$ , used in [6, Chap. III, (5.1) Lemma], in [10, 7.4.2 Theorem] or in [2] and [8] (see also [3] for a sketch of proof of Theorem 1.2 based on the so-called Furstenberg Lemma). The dual group of the abelian group  $S^{2*}(\mathbf{k}^2)$  may be identified with the second symmetric power  $S^2(\mathbf{k}^2)$  of  $\mathbf{k}^2$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a local field. The Dirac measure  $\delta_0$  at 0 is the unique mean on the Borel subsets of  $S^2(\mathbf{k}^2)$  which is invariant under the natural action of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{k})$  on  $S^2(\mathbf{k}^2)$ .*

Recall that a *mean* on a ring of subsets of a set  $X$  is a finitely additive positive measure  $m$  on this ring with total mass 1 (that is, with  $m(X) = 1$ ). Theorem 1.3 has a completely elementary proof. Theorem 1.2 is a consequence of Theorem 1.3, via the following general fact which was observed in [7, Theorem 5.5] and for which we give a short and different proof.

**Proposition 1.4.** *([7]) Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and  $N$  a normal abelian subgroup. Let  $\widehat{N}$  be the dual group of  $N$ . Assume that the Dirac measure at the trivial character of  $N$  is the unique mean on the Borel subsets of  $\widehat{N}$  which is invariant under the dual action of  $G$  on  $\widehat{N}$  given by conjugation. Then  $(G, N)$  has the relative Property (T).*

The two natural representations of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{k})$  on  $S^2(\mathbf{k}^2)$  and  $S^{2*}(\mathbf{k}^2)$  (which are contragredient to each other) are equivalent representations, but only if  $\mathrm{char}(\mathbf{k})$ , the characteristic of  $\mathbf{k}$ , is different from 2. In fact, in case  $\mathrm{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 2$ , one has the following somewhat surprising result.

**Theorem 1.5.** *The pair  $(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{k}) \times S^2(\mathbf{k}^2), S^2(\mathbf{k}^2))$  does not have the relative Property (T) if  $\mathbf{k}$  is a local field of characteristic 2.*

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give the proof of Theorem 1.3. Proposition 1.4 and Theorem 1.2 are proved in Section 3 and Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.5 in Section 4.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Denote by  $\rho$  the standard representation of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{k})$  on the second symmetric power  $S^2(\mathbf{k}^2)$  of  $\mathbf{k}^2$ . Fix a basis  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  of  $\mathbf{k}^2$ . Identify  $S^2(\mathbf{k}^2)$  with  $\mathbf{k}^3$  by means of the basis  $\{e_1^2, e_1 \otimes e_2, e_2^2\}$ . The matrix of  $\rho(g)$  for  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{k})$  is then

$$\rho(g) = \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & ab & b^2 \\ 2ac & ad + bc & 2bd \\ c^2 & cd & d^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So, for  $u_b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , one has

$$\rho(u_b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & b^2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall b \in \mathbf{k}.$$

Hence,

$$\rho(u_b) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x + by + b^2z \\ y + 2bz \\ z \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{k}^3.$$

Assume first that  $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) \neq 2$ . For every  $c \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $c > 0$ , consider the following Borel subset of  $\mathbf{k}^3$

$$\Omega_c = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{k}^3 : |z| > c|y| \right\},$$

where  $|\cdot|$  denotes the absolute value of  $\mathbf{k}$ . One has, for every  $b \in \mathbf{k}$  and every  $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \in \Omega_c$ ,

$$\left( |2b| - \frac{1}{c} \right) |z| < |y + 2bz| < \left( |2b| + \frac{1}{c} \right) |z|. \quad (1)$$

Let  $\mu$  be an invariant mean on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{k}^3)$ , the Borel subsets of  $\mathbf{k}^3$ . For every fixed  $c > 0$ , choose a sequence  $(b_n)_n$  in  $\mathbf{k}$  such that

$$|b_{n+1}| > |b_n| + \frac{2}{|2|c}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Then, by (1),

$$\rho(u_{b_n})\Omega_c \cap \rho(u_{b_m})\Omega_c = \emptyset,$$

for all  $n \neq m$ . Since  $\mu(\mathbf{k}^3) < \infty$ , this implies that

$$\mu(\Omega_c) = 0, \quad \forall c > 0.$$

For  $\omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbf{k})$ , one has

$$\rho(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So,

$$\rho(\omega) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z \\ -y \\ x \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{k}^3,$$

and hence,

$$\rho(\omega)\Omega_c = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{k}^3 : |x| > c|y| \right\}$$

Observe that  $\mu(\rho(\omega)\Omega_c) = 0$ , by invariance of  $\mu$ . For  $r = \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1} & 2^{-1} \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{k})$ , one has

$$\rho(r) = \begin{pmatrix} 4^{-1} & 4^{-1} & 4^{-1} \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So,

$$\rho(r) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4^{-1}(x+y+z) \\ -x+z \\ x-y+z \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{k}^3.$$

Let  $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{k}^3$  with  $|y| \geq |x|$  and  $|y| \geq |z|$  and  $(x, z) \neq (0, 0)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |x+y+z| + |x-y+z| &= |x+y+z| + |-x+y-z| \geq |2y| = |2||y| \\ &\geq \frac{|2|}{2} (|x| + |z|) \\ &> \frac{|2|}{4} (|-x+z|). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for  $c_0 = |2|/4(|4|+1)$ , one has

$$\text{either } |4^{-1}(x+y+z)| > c_0(|-x+z|) \text{ or } |x-y+z| > c_0(|-x+z|).$$

Therefore,

$$\rho(r) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \in \Omega_{c_0} \cup \rho(\omega)\Omega_{c_0},$$

for all  $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{k}^3 \setminus (\Omega_1 \cup \rho(\omega)\Omega_1)$  with  $(x, z) \neq (0, 0)$ . Since

$$\rho(r) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ 0 \\ -y \end{pmatrix},$$

this also holds if  $(x, z) = (0, 0)$  and  $y \neq 0$ . The above shows that

$$\mathbf{k}^3 \setminus \{0\} = \Omega_1 \cup \rho(\omega)\Omega_1 \cup \rho(r^{-1})\Omega_{c_0} \cup \rho(r^{-1}\omega)\Omega_{c_0}.$$

Since

$$\mu(\Omega_1) = \mu(\rho(r^{-1})\Omega_{c_0}) = \mu(\rho(r^{-1}\omega)\Omega_{c_0}) = \mu(\rho(\omega)\Omega_1) = 0,$$

this implies that  $\mu(\mathbf{k}^3 \setminus \{0\}) = 0$  and concludes the proof of the theorem in the case where  $\mathrm{char}(\mathbf{k}) \neq 2$ .

Assume now that  $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 2$ . Let

$$\Omega = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{k}^3 \setminus \{0\} : |x| \leq |z| \quad \text{and} \quad |y| \leq |z| \right\}.$$

One has, with the above notation,

$$\rho(u_b) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x + by + b^2z \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{k}^3$$

and  $|x| < |b^2z|$  and  $|by| < |b^2z|$  if  $|b| > 1$ . Choose a sequence  $(b_n)_n$  in  $\mathbf{k}$  with  $|b_{n+1}| > |b_n| > 1$ . Then

$$\rho(u_{b_n})\Omega \cap \rho(u_{b_m})\Omega = \emptyset \quad \forall n \neq m,$$

since  $|x + by + b^2z| = |b^2z|$ , if  $|x| < |b^2z|$  and  $|by| < |b^2z|$ . Indeed, the absolute value on  $\mathbf{k}$  being non-archimedean satisfies

$$|x + by| \leq \max\{|x|, |by|\} < |b^2z|$$

and, hence,  $|x + by + b^2z| \leq |b^2z|$  as well as

$$|b^2z| \leq \max\{|x + by + b^2z|, |x + by|\} \leq |b^2z|$$

Let  $\mu$  be an invariant mean on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{k}^3)$ . By the above,  $\mu(\Omega) = 0$ .

Clearly,

$$\rho(\omega)\Omega = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{k}^3 \setminus \{0\} : |z| \leq |x| \quad \text{and} \quad |y| \leq |x| \right\}.$$

So,  $\mathbf{k}^3 \setminus \{0\} = \Omega \cup \rho(\omega)\Omega \cup \Omega'$ , where

$$\Omega' = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{k}^3 : |x| < |y| \quad \text{and} \quad |z| < |y| \right\}.$$

Moreover,

$$\rho(u_1) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x + y + z \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix},$$

and  $|x + y + z| = |y|$  when  $|x| < |y|$  and  $|z| < |y|$ . Hence,  $\rho(u_1)\Omega'$  is contained in  $\rho(\omega)\Omega$ . Therefore,  $\mu(\Omega') = 0$ . This implies  $\mu(\mathbf{k}^3 \setminus \{0\}) = 0$ . So,  $\mu$  has to be  $\delta_0$ .

### 3. Proofs of Proposition 1.4 and Theorem 1.2

The proof of Proposition 1.4 is based on the following elementary lemma from [1, Lemma 2.1]

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and let  $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$  be a unitary representation of  $G$  with almost invariant vectors. Then there exists an  $\text{Ad } G$ -invariant state on the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  of all bounded operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ , that is, a positive linear form  $\varphi$  on  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  with  $\varphi(\text{Id}) = 1$  and  $\varphi(\pi(x)T\pi(x)^{-1}) = \varphi(T)$  for all  $x \in G$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ .*

**Proof of Proposition 1.4.** Let  $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$  be a unitary representation of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ , with almost invariant vectors. Let

$$P : \mathcal{B}(\widehat{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}), \quad E \mapsto P(E)$$

be the projection valued measure on  $\widehat{N}$  associated with the unitary representation  $\pi|_N$  of the abelian group  $N$ . One has

$$\pi(g)P(E)\pi(g)^{-1} = P(gE), \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{B}(\widehat{N}), g \in G,$$

where

$$g\lambda(n) = \lambda(g^{-1}ng), \quad n \in N, \lambda \in \widehat{N}$$

is the dual action of  $g \in G$  on  $\widehat{N}$ . By the above lemma, there exists an  $\text{Ad } G$ -invariant state  $\varphi$  on the  $C^*$ -algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Define

$$\mu(E) = \varphi(P(E)), \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{B}(\widehat{N}).$$

Then  $\mu$  is a  $G$ -invariant mean on  $\mathcal{B}(\widehat{N})$ . So,  $\mu$  is the Dirac measure at the trivial character  $\lambda_0$  of  $N$ . Hence,  $P(\{\lambda_0\}) \neq 0$ . This shows that  $\pi|_N$  has a non-zero fixed vector and  $(G, N)$  has the relative Property (T). ■

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** The dual vector space of the space  $S^{2*}(\mathbf{k}^2)$  of the bilinear forms on  $\mathbf{k}^2$ , may be identified with the second symmetric power  $S^2(\mathbf{k}^2)$  of  $\mathbf{k}^2$ , by means of the duality formula

$$(B, \sum_i x_i \otimes y_i) \mapsto \sum_i B(x_i, y_i), \quad \forall B \in S^{2*}(\mathbf{k}^2) \text{ and } \sum_i x_i \otimes y_i \in S^2(\mathbf{k}^2).$$

Under this identification, the dual action of the natural action of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbf{k})$  on  $S^{2*}(\mathbf{k}^2)$  corresponds to the inverse transpose of the representation  $\rho$  of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbf{k})$  on  $S^2(\mathbf{k}^2)$  considered in Section 2, that is, to the representation  $\tilde{\rho}$  of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbf{k})$  on  $S^2(\mathbf{k}^2)$  defined by  $\tilde{\rho}(g) = \rho({}^t g^{-1})$ , where  ${}^t g$  is the transpose of  $g$ .

Observe that  $\rho$  and  $\tilde{\rho}$  are equivalent (for any value of  $\text{char}(\mathbf{k})$ ). Indeed, for  $\omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , one has  ${}^t g^{-1} = \omega g \omega^{-1}$  for  $g \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbf{k})$ .

The dual group of  $S^{2*}(\mathbf{k}^2)$  may be identified with  $S^2(\mathbf{k}^2)$  as follows (see [9, Chap. II, section 5, Theorem 3]). Fix a non-trivial character  $\lambda$  of the additive group of  $\mathbf{k}$ . Then, for any  $X \in S^2(\mathbf{k}^2)$ , the formula

$$\lambda_X(Y) = \lambda(Y(X)), \quad \forall Y \in S^{2*}(\mathbf{k}^2)$$

defines a character on  $S^{2*}(\mathbf{k}^2)$  and the mapping  $X \rightarrow \lambda_X$  is an isomorphism between the additive group  $S^2(\mathbf{k}^2)$  and the dual group of  $S^{2*}(\mathbf{k}^2)$ . Theorem 1.2 follows now from Theorem 1.3. ■

#### 4. Proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.5

For the proof of Theorem 1.1, we shall need the two following well-known lemmas, the first of which is called Mautner's lemma (for the elementary proofs, see [6, Chap. II, (3.2) Lemma and (3.4) Lemma]).

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group,  $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$  a unitary representation of  $G$  and  $x, y \in G$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^n y x^{-n} = e$ . If  $\pi(x)\xi = \xi$  for some  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ , then  $\pi(y)\xi = \xi$ .*

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$  be a unitary representation of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{k})$ . Let  $\xi$  be a vector in  $\mathcal{H}$  which is invariant under the subgroup*

$$N = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : x \in \mathbf{k} \right\}.$$

*Then  $\xi$  is invariant under  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{k})$ .*

Recall that  $\mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbf{k})$  is the group of all matrices  $g \in \mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbf{k})$  with  ${}^t g J g = J$ , where  ${}^t g$  is the transpose of  $g$  and

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_2 \\ -I_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Consider the following subgroups of  $\mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbf{k})$ :

$$\begin{aligned} G &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & {}^t A^{-1} \end{pmatrix} : A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{k}), A^t B = B^t A \right\} \\ N &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & B \\ 0 & I_2 \end{pmatrix} : {}^t B = B \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & {}^t A^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & B \\ 0 & I_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & {}^t A^{-1} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & AB^t A \\ 0 & I_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $N \cong S^{2*}(\mathbf{k}^2)$ , the group  $G$  is isomorphic to  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{k}) \times S^{2*}(\mathbf{k}^2)$ .

Let  $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$  be a unitary representation of  $\mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbf{k})$  with almost invariant vectors. Then, by Theorem 1.2, there exists a vector  $\xi \neq 0$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  which is fixed by  $N$ .

Consider the following subgroups of  $\mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbf{k})$ :

$$H_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 & d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : ad - bc = 1 \right\} \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{k})$$

and

$$H_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & c & 0 & d \end{pmatrix} : ad - bc = 1 \right\} \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{k}).$$

Since  $\xi$  is fixed by the subgroups

$$N_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_2 & \end{pmatrix} : b \in \mathbf{k} \right\} \text{ and } N_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b \\ 0 & I_2 & \end{pmatrix} : b \in \mathbf{k} \right\}$$

of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , it is fixed by  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  (by Lemma 4.2). In particular,  $\xi$  is fixed by the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{k}.$$

Now choosing  $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$  with  $|\lambda| < 1$  or  $|\lambda^{-1}| < 1$ , it follows from Mautner's Lemma above that  $\xi$  is fixed by the subgroups

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ B & I_2 \end{pmatrix} : {}^t B = B \right\}, \\ L_2 &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & {}^t A^{-1} \end{pmatrix} : A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{k}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $L_1 \cup L_2 \cup N$  generates  $\mathrm{Sp}_4(\mathbf{k})$  (see [4, Section 6.9]), the vector  $\xi$  is fixed under  $\mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbf{k})$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Proof of Theorem 1.5.** Suppose that the characteristic of  $\mathbf{k}$  is 2. Set  $N = S^2(\mathbf{k}^2)$ . The dual group of  $N$  may be identified with the space  $S^{2*}(\mathbf{k}^2)$  of symmetric bilinear forms on  $\mathbf{k}^2$ , with compatible  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{k})$ -actions (see proof of Theorem 1.2 above). Now,  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{k})$  has non-zero fixed points in  $S^{2*}(\mathbf{k}^2)$ . Indeed, since  $\mathrm{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 2$ ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & s \\ s & 0 \end{pmatrix}^t \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & s \\ s & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{k}),$$

and the bilinear forms  $B_s$  defined by the symmetric matrices  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & s \\ s & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $s \in \mathbf{k}$ , are fixed under  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{k})$ . The characters  $\lambda_s$  of  $N$  corresponding to the  $B_s$ 's extend to characters  $\tilde{\lambda}_s$  of  $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{k}) \ltimes N$  defined by

$$\tilde{\lambda}_s(A, X) = \lambda_s(X), \quad \forall A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{k}), X \in N.$$

Now,  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \tilde{\lambda}_s = 1_G$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $G$  and  $\lambda_s \neq 1_N$  for  $s \neq 0$ . Hence,  $(G, N)$  does not have the relative Property (T).  $\blacksquare$

## References

- [1] Bekka, M. E. B., and M. Mayer, *On Kazhdan's property (T) and Kazhdan constants associated to a Laplacian for  $SL(3, R)$* , J. Lie Theory **10** (2000), 93–105.



- [2] Delaroche, C., and A. Kirillov, *Sur les relations entre l'espace dual d'un groupe et la structure de ses sous-groupes fermés*, Séminaire Bourbaki **343** (1967/68).
- [3] de la Harpe, P., and A. Valette, "La propriété (T) de Kazhdan pour les groupes localement compacts," Astérisque **175**, Soc. Math. de France, Paris, 1989.
- [4] Jacobson, N., "Basic algebra I," Freeman, New York, 1985.
- [5] Kazhdan, D., *Connection of the dual space of a group with the structure of its closed subgroups*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **1** (1967), 63–65.
- [6] Margulis, G. A., "Discrete subgroups of semisimple Lie groups," Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [7] Shalom, Y., *Invariant measures for algebraic actions, Zariski dense subgroups and Kazhdan's property (T)*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **351** (1999), 3387–3412.
- [8] Vaserstein, L. N., *On groups possessing property T*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **2** (1968), 174.
- [9] Weil, A., "Basic number theory," Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.
- [10] Zimmer, R. J., "Ergodic theory and semisimple groups," Birkhäuser, Boston, 1984.

M. B. Bekka  
Université de Metz  
Département de Mathématiques  
Ile du Saulcy  
F- 57045 Metz, France  
bekka@poncelet.univ-metz.fr

M. Neuhauser  
Technische Universität München  
Zentrum Mathematik  
Arcisstr. 21  
D-80290 München, Germany  
neuhausm@mathematik.tu-muenchen.de

Received September 1, 2000  
and in final form October 6, 2000