

## A Dynamical Approach to Compactify the Three Dimensional Lorentz Group

Marcos Salvai\*

Communicated by S. Gindikin

**Abstract.** The Lorentz group acts on the projectivized light cone in the three dimensional Lorentz space as the group  $G$  of Möbius transformations of the circle. We find the closure of  $G$  in the space of all measurable functions of the circle into itself, obtaining a compactification of it as an open dense subset of the three-sphere, with a dynamical meaning related to generalized flows.

*Mathematics Subject Classification 2000:* 53C22, 57S20, 58D15, 74A05.

*Key words and phrases:* compactification, Lorentz group, Möbius transformation, generalized flow.

The canonical action of the Lorentz group  $O_o(1, 2)$  on the projectivized light cone in the three dimensional Lorentz space is equivalent to the action of the group  $G$  on the circle  $S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$ , where  $G$  consists of the Möbius transformations of the extended plane preserving the circle. The group  $G$  is isomorphic to  $PSU(1, 1)$  and  $PSl(2, \mathbb{R})$ . In this note we compactify  $G$  as an open dense subset of the three-sphere, with a dynamical motivation.

The group  $G$  consists of maps of the form  $uT_\alpha$ , where  $u \in S^1$  and

$$T_\alpha(z) = \frac{z + \alpha}{1 + \bar{\alpha}z}$$

for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $|\alpha| < 1$  and all  $z \in S^1$ . The map  $S^1 \times \Delta \rightarrow G$ ,  $(u, \alpha) \mapsto uT_\alpha$  is a diffeomorphism. Although we are interested in the action of  $G$  on the circle, we recall that if the unit disc  $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < 1\}$  carries the canonical Poincaré metric of constant negative curvature  $-1$  and  $\alpha \neq 0$ , then  $T_\alpha$  is the transvection translating the geodesic with end points  $\pm\alpha/|\alpha|$ , sending  $0$  to  $\alpha$ .

**Dynamical motivation.** If  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|t| < 1$ , then  $T_t$  fixes  $1, -1 \in S^1$  and if  $z \in S^1$ ,  $z \neq -1$ , then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} T_t(z) = 1.$$

One can imagine that all particles of the circle (except  $-1$ ) moving according to  $T_t$  concentrate in the point  $1$  at  $t = 1$ . It is natural to think that a particle coming to the point  $1$  at  $t = 1$  from the upper half of the circle, will continue its way into the lower part of the circle for  $t > 1$  (notice that  $T_t$  does not make sense for  $|t| \geq 1$ ) and similarly for a particle coming to the point  $1$  from the lower part of

---

\* Partially supported by Antorchas, CIEM, CONICET, FONCYT and SECYT (UNC).

the circle. This can be rendered precise with the compactification of  $G$  described in Theorem 1.1 below (see Proposition 1.3).

Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{f : S^1 \rightarrow S^1 \mid f \text{ is measurable}\} / \sim$ , where  $f \sim g$  if and only if  $f$  and  $g$  coincide except on a set of measure zero, equipped with the distance

$$D(f, g) = \int_{S^1} d(f(z), g(z)) ds(z),$$

being  $s$  is an arc length parameter and  $d$  the associated distance on  $S^1$  (we think of each function as representing its equivalence class). Let  $S^3$  be the three dimensional sphere realized as the Lie group of unit vectors in the quaternions  $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{C} + \mathbb{C}j$ . We recall that if  $q$  is an imaginary cuaternion with  $|q| = 1$ , then  $\exp(tq) = \cos t + (\sin t)q$ . For  $v \in S^1$ , let  $c_v$  denote the constant map in  $\mathcal{F}$  with value  $v$ .

### 1. The Main Theorem

**Theorem 1.1.** *The frontier of  $G$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  consists of the constant functions. Moreover, if one considers on the closure  $\overline{G}$  of  $G$  the relative topology from  $\mathcal{F}$ , then the map  $F : \overline{G} \rightarrow S^3$  defined by*

$$F(uT_\alpha) = u \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\alpha j\right), \quad F(c_v) = vj,$$

is a homeomorphism and  $F|_G : G \rightarrow S^3$  determines a submanifold.

**Proof.** Clearly  $G$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{F}$ . If  $u \in S^1$ , let  $m_u$  denote multiplication by  $u$ . By abuse of notation we write  $T_\alpha m_u = T_\alpha u$ . Notice that  $uT_\alpha = T_{u\alpha}u$  for any  $u \in S^1$ ,  $\alpha \in \Delta$ . Let  $\alpha_n$  and  $u_n$  be sequences in  $\Delta$  and  $S^1$ , respectively. Suppose first that  $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha \in S^1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We show that

$$T_{\alpha_n}u_n \rightarrow c_\alpha \text{ in } \mathcal{F} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{1}$$

Indeed, since  $ds$  is invariant by rotations, then  $D(T_{\alpha_n}u_n, c_\alpha) = D(T_{\alpha_n}, c_\alpha)$ . This sequence converges to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  by the Bounded Convergence Theorem, since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_{\alpha_n}(z) = \alpha$  for any  $z \neq -\alpha$  ( $d$  and the euclidean distance are equivalent). In particular constant functions are in the frontier of  $G$ . On the other hand, if  $u_n \rightarrow u$  and  $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha \in \Delta$ , then  $T_{\alpha_n}u_n \rightarrow T_\alpha u$  pointwise, and hence in  $\mathcal{F}$ , again by the Bounded Convergence Theorem. Moreover, by the preceding, if  $T_{\alpha_n}u_n$  converges to  $f$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ , then  $f \in G$  or is constant, since by the compactness of  $\overline{\Delta} \times S^1$  there exists a subsequence of  $(\alpha_n, u_n)$  converging in it. Then the frontier consist only of constant functions. Now,  $F$  is a bijection since a straightforward computation shows that  $F^{-1} : S^3 \rightarrow \overline{G}$  is given by

$$F^{-1}(v + wj) = \begin{cases} c_w & \text{if } v = 0, \\ m_v & \text{if } w = 0 \\ T_\alpha u & \text{if } v \neq 0 \neq w, \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

for  $v, w \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $|v|^2 + |w|^2 = 1$ , where  $u = v/|v|$  and  $\alpha = \frac{2}{\pi} \arccos(|v|) \frac{w}{|w|}$ .

Hence  $F^{-1}$  is smooth at  $v + wj \in S^3$  with  $v \neq 0 \neq w$ . Since  $F|_G$  is smooth and injective, to show that  $F|_G$  is an embedding it suffices to see that  $F^{-1}$  is smooth at  $v \in S^1 \subset S^3$ . This will follow from the Inverse Function Theorem if we check that

$$dF_{m_v} : T_{m_v}G \rightarrow T_vS^3$$

is an isomorphism. We can identify  $T_{m_v}G = T_{(v,0)}(S^1 \times \Delta) = T_vS^1 \oplus T_0\Delta = \mathbb{R}iv \oplus \mathbb{C}$  and also  $T_vS^3 = \mathbb{R}iv \oplus \mathbb{C}j$ , the orthogonal complement of  $v$  in  $\mathbb{H}$ . We compute

$$dF_v(xiv, z) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_0 F(v e^{txi} T_{tz}) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_0 v e^{txi} \exp\left(t \frac{\pi}{2} zj\right) = v \left( xi + \frac{\pi}{2} zj \right).$$

Hence,  $dF_v$  is an isomorphism.

In order to verify that  $F^{-1}$  is continuous at  $wj$  we consider the map  $\bar{F} : G \rightarrow S^3$ ,  $\bar{F} = R_j \circ F$  ( $R_j$  denotes right multiplication by  $j$ ), which, by the preceding, is a diffeomorphism onto its image  $S^3 - S^1$ . We have to show that  $F^{-1} \circ \bar{F}$  is continuous at  $u \in S^1$ . Clearly,  $\bar{F}(m_u) = uj$ . If  $\alpha \neq 0$ , we compute  $\bar{F}(uT_\alpha) = v + wj$ , where  $v = -\frac{u\alpha}{|\alpha|} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} |\alpha|\right)$  and  $w = u \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2} |\alpha|\right)$ . Since  $\cos \theta = \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta\right)$  for all  $\theta$ , we have by (2) that

$$F^{-1}(\bar{F}(uT_\alpha)) = T_{u(1-|\alpha|)}(-u\alpha/|\alpha|), \tag{3}$$

which by (1) converges to  $c_u = (F^{-1} \circ \bar{F})(m_u)$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ . Finally, since  $S^3$  is compact and Hausdorff,  $F^{-1}$  is a homeomorphism. ■

**Remark.** If  $u_n = e^{2\pi x_n i}$  with  $x_n = 1/2, 1/4, 2/4, 3/4, 1/8, 2/8, 3/8, \dots$ , then  $T_{1-1/n}m_{u_n}$  converges to  $c_1$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  but it does not converge pointwise on a dense subset of  $S^1$ . This distinguishes our approach from that of Topological Dynamics.

**Proposition 1.2.** *The canonical action of  $G \times G$  on  $G$ ,  $(g, h).f = gfh^{-1}$ , extends to a continuous action of  $G \times G$  on  $S^3$  via  $F|_G : G \rightarrow S^3$ . If we call  $K = S^1 \subset G$ , the restricted action of  $K \times K$  on  $S^3$  is given by  $A(u, v, z_1 + z_2j) = u(\bar{v}z_1 + z_2j)$ .*

**Proof.** We define an action  $\bar{A}$  of  $G \times G$  on  $\bar{G}$  by

$$\bar{A}(g, h, f) = gfh^{-1}, \quad \bar{A}(g, h, c_v) = c_{gv},$$

for  $g, h, f \in G$ ,  $v \in S^1$ . Since  $F : \bar{G} \rightarrow S^3$  is a homeomorphism, we have to show that  $\bar{A}$  is continuous. Suppose that  $f_n \in G, v_n \in S^1$  are sequences converging to  $c_v \in \bar{G}$ , and  $g_n, h_n$  are sequences in  $G$  converging to  $g, h \in G$ , respectively. By arguments similar to those used in the proof of Theorem 1.1,  $g_n f_n h_n^{-1}$  and  $c_{g_n v_n}$  both converge to  $c_{gv}$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Next we verify the second assertion. We have to show that the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K \times K \times \bar{G} & \xrightarrow{\bar{A}} & \bar{G} \\ \downarrow (\text{id}_{K \times K}, F) & & \downarrow F \\ K \times K \times S^3 & \xrightarrow{A} & S^3 \end{array}$$

For  $u, v, w \in S^1, \alpha \in \Delta$ , we compute

$$(F \circ \bar{A})(u, v, c_w) = F(c_{uw}) = uwj = A(u, v, wj) = A(u, v, F(c_w)).$$

Besides,  $(F \circ \bar{A})(u, v, wT_\alpha) = F(uwT_\alpha\bar{v}) = F(uw\bar{v}T_{v\alpha}) = A(u, v, F(wT_\alpha))$ , since  $\exp(\frac{\pi}{2}\beta j) = \cos(\frac{\pi}{2}|\beta|) + \sin(\frac{\pi}{2}|\beta|)\frac{\beta}{|\beta|}j$  for any  $\beta \in \Delta$ . ■

Next we make precise the comment at the beginning of the article concerning moving particles in the circle.

**Proposition 1.3.** *If  $\bar{G}$  is endowed with the differentiable structure and the Riemannian metric induced from  $S^3$  via the homeomorphism  $F$ , then the curve  $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \bar{G}$  defined by*

$$\gamma(s) = \begin{cases} (-1)^k T_{s-2k} & \text{if } |s-2k| < 1, k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ c_{(-1)^\ell} & \text{if } s = 2\ell + 1, \ell \in \mathbb{Z} \end{cases}$$

is a complete geodesic in  $\bar{G}$ . Moreover, if  $z \neq \pm 1$ , then the curve  $\gamma_z(s) := \gamma(s)(z)$  in  $S^1$ , describing the motion of the particle  $z$  under  $\gamma(s)$ , is continuous with period 4 and runs  $n$  times around the circle in any interval of time of length  $4n$  (clockwise if  $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$  and counterclockwise if  $\operatorname{Re} z < 0$ ).

**Proof.** A straightforward computation shows that  $F(\gamma(s)) = \exp(\frac{\pi}{2}sj)$ . Hence  $\gamma$  is a geodesic. The remaining facts are easily verified. ■

**Remarks.** a) We recall that a Fermi coordinate system  $\phi$  along a geodesic  $\gamma$  in a Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n+1$  is given by

$$\phi(t, t_1, \dots, t_n) = \operatorname{Exp}_{\gamma(t)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n t_i v_i(t) \right),$$

where  $\operatorname{Exp}$  denotes the geodesic exponential map and  $\{v_i\}$  is a parallel orthonormal frame along  $\gamma$  orthogonal to  $\gamma'(t)$  at any  $t$ . Notice that since  $G$  is diffeomorphic to  $S^1 \times \Delta$  via  $uT_\alpha \mapsto (u, \alpha)$ , if one looks just for a compactification of  $G$  as an open dense subset of the three-sphere, without extra properties, the simplest way is by using a slight modification of Fermi coordinates along the geodesic  $s \mapsto e^{sj}$  in  $S^3$ :  $\bar{F}(uT_\alpha) = \operatorname{Exp}_u(\frac{\pi}{2}\alpha j)$ , where  $u \in S^1 \subset S^3$ . The maps  $\bar{F}$  and  $F$  do not coincide on  $G$ , since the mapping  $s \mapsto m_{e^{is}}$  is not a one-parameter subgroup of transvections translating that geodesic (their differentials do not realize the parallel transport along it).

b) The situations of particles concentrating in a point or a point spreading instantaneously onto the whole space, is present in the literature in a *different* context, the study of volume preserving flows by geometric means, with the notions of polymorphisms [8] and generalized flows [3]. An overview of the subject can be found in [1].

For the sake of connectedness of mathematics we cite [4, 9]. Finally, we comment on the compactifications known to us of classical groups whose identity component is isomorphic to  $G$  or its double covering. The classical one is obtained

as follows: Let  $Sl(2, \mathbb{C}) = SU(2)AN$  be an Iwasawa decomposition. Since  $SU(1, 1)$  intersects  $AN$  only at the identity, its projection  $P$  to  $SU(2) \cong S^3$  is an embedding, which is given explicitly by

$$P \begin{pmatrix} u & \bar{v} \\ v & \bar{u} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{u + vj}{|u + vj|}, \quad (u, v \in \mathbb{C}, |u|^2 - |v|^2 = 1).$$

The image of  $P$  is the interior of the solid torus  $\{u + vj \in S^3 \mid |v| \leq |u|\}$ . If one wants  $SU(1, 1)$  to be dense in its compactification, one can consider for instance  $p \circ P$  instead of  $P$ , where  $p: S^3 \rightarrow S^3/\{1, j\}$  is the canonical projection. In this case, the frontier of the image of  $SU(1, 1)$  is a torus.

On the other hand, recently, H. He, based on suggestions of D. Vogan, obtained a general method to compactify the classical simple Lie groups [5, 6] (see also [2, 7]). The groups  $O(1, 2)$  and  $Sl(2, \mathbb{R}) \cong Sp(2, \mathbb{R})$  are embedded as open dense subsets of  $O(3)$  and of a manifold double covered by  $S^2 \times S^1$ , respectively. In both cases the frontier is a surface.

### References

- [1] Arnold V. I., and B. A. Khesin, "Topological methods in hydrodynamics," Applied Math. Sciences 125, New York, Springer, 1998.
- [2] Bertram, W., "The geometry of Jordan and Lie structures," Lecture Notes in Math. **1754**, Berlin, Springer, 2000.
- [3] Brenier, Y., *Minimal geodesics on groups of volume-preserving maps and generalized solutions of the Euler equations*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **52** (1999), 411–452.
- [4] Gol'dshejd, I. Ya., and G. A. Margulis, *Lyapunov indices of a product of random matrices*, Russ. Math. Surv. **44** (1989), 11–71.
- [5] He, H. L., *An analytic compactification of the symplectic group*, J. Diff. Geom. **51** (1999), 375–399.
- [6] —, *Compactification of classical groups*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **10** (2002), 709–740.
- [7] Neretin, Y. A., *Pseudo-Riemannian symmetric spaces: Uniform realizations and open embeddings into Grassmannians*, J. Math. Sci., New York **107** (2001), 4248–4264.
- [8] —, *Spreading maps (polymorphisms), symmetries of Poisson processes, and matching summation*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S. Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov (POMI) **292** (2002), Teor. Predst. Din. Sist. Komb. i Algoritm. Metody. **7**, 62–91, 178–179.
- [9] Oshima, T., and J. Sekiguchi, *Eigenspaces of invariant differential operators on an affine symmetric space*, Invent. Math. **57** (1980), 1–81.

Marcos Salvai  
 FaMAF - CIEM  
 Ciudad Universitaria, 5000 Córdoba,  
 Argentina  
 salvai@mate.uncor.edu

Received May 26, 2004  
 and in final form November 4, 2004