

*Niovi Kehayopulu and Michael Tsingelis*

**A NOTE ON SEMI-PSEUDOORDERS IN SEMIGROUPS**

(submitted by M. M. Arslanov)

ABSTRACT. An important problem for studying the structure of an ordered semigroup  $S$  is to know conditions under which for a given congruence  $\rho$  on  $S$  the set  $S/\rho$  is an ordered semigroup. In [1] we introduced the concept of pseudoorder in ordered semigroups and we proved that each pseudoorder on an ordered semigroup  $S$  induces a congruence  $\sigma$  on  $S$  such that  $S/\sigma$  is an ordered semigroup. In [3] we introduced the concept of semi-pseudoorder (also called pseudocongruence) in semigroups and we proved that each semi-pseudoorder on a semigroup  $S$  induces a congruence  $\sigma$  on  $S$  such that  $S/\sigma$  is an ordered semigroup. In this note we prove that the converse of the last statement also holds. That is each congruence  $\sigma$  on a semigroup  $(S, \cdot)$  such that  $S/\sigma$  is an ordered semigroup induces a semi-pseudoorder on  $S$ .

For a given ordered semigroup  $(S, \cdot, \leq)$  is essential to know if there exists a congruence  $\rho$  on  $S$  such that  $S/\rho$  be an ordered semigroup. This plays an important role for studying the structure of ordered semigroups. If  $S$  is a semigroup (resp. an ordered semigroup), by a congruence on  $S$  we mean an equivalence relation  $\sigma$  on  $S$  such that  $(a, b) \in \sigma$  implies  $(ac, bc) \in \sigma$  and  $(ca, cb) \in \sigma$  for all  $c \in S$ . If  $S$  is a semigroup and  $\sigma$  a congruence on  $S$ , then the set  $S/\sigma := \{(a)_\sigma \mid a \in S\}$  ( $(a)_\sigma$  is the  $\sigma$ -class of  $S$  containing  $a$  ( $a \in S$ )) is a semigroup and the operation on  $S/\sigma$  is defined via the operation on  $S$ . The following question is natural: If  $(S, \cdot, \leq)$  is an ordered semigroup and  $\sigma$  a congruence on  $S$ , then is the set  $S/\sigma$  an ordered semigroup? A probable order on  $S/\sigma$  could be the relation " $\preceq$ " on  $S/\sigma$  defined by means of the order " $\leq$ " on  $S$ , that is

$$\begin{aligned} \preceq &:= \{(t, z) \in S/\sigma \times S/\sigma \mid \exists (a, b) \in \leq \text{ such that } t = (a)_\sigma, z = (b)_\sigma\} \\ &= \{((x)_\sigma, (y)_\sigma) \mid \exists a \in (x)_\sigma, b \in (y)_\sigma \text{ such that } (a, b) \in \leq\}. \end{aligned}$$

---

*Key words and phrases.* Pseudoorder, pseudocongruence, semi-pseudoorder.  
*2000 Mathematical Subject Classification.* 06F05, 20M10.

But this relation is not an order, in general. An example can be found in [1]. The following question arises: Is there a congruence  $\sigma$  on  $S$  for which  $S/\sigma$  is an ordered semigroup? This led to the concept of pseudoorder introduced by the same authors in [1]. Let  $(S, \cdot, \leq)$  be an ordered semigroup. A relation  $\rho$  on  $S$  is called pseudoorder if

- (1)  $\leq \subseteq \rho$
- (2)  $(a, b) \in \rho$  and  $(b, c) \in \rho$  imply  $(a, c) \in \rho$ .
- (3)  $(a, b) \in \rho$  implies  $(ac, bc) \in \rho$  and  $(ca, cb) \in \rho$  for each  $c \in S$ .

According to Lemma 1 in [1], if  $(S, \cdot, \leq)$  is an ordered semigroup and  $\sigma$  a pseudoorder on  $S$ , then the relation  $\bar{\sigma}$  on  $S$  defined by

$$\bar{\sigma} := \{(a, b) \in S \times S \mid (a, b) \in \sigma \text{ and } (b, a) \in \sigma\}$$

is a congruence on  $S$  and the set

$S/\bar{\sigma}$  is an ordered semigroup. So according to [1],

each pseudoorder on an ordered semigroup  $S$  induces a congruence  $\bar{\sigma}$  on  $S$  such that  $S/\bar{\sigma}$  is an ordered semigroup. For a further study of pseudoorders in ordered semigroups we refer to [2]. On the other hand, the concept of pseudocongruences in semigroups has been introduced by the same authors in [3]. If  $(S, \cdot)$  is a semigroup, by a pseudocongruence on  $S$  we mean a relation  $\rho$  on  $S$  such that

- (1)  $(a, a) \in \rho \quad \forall a \in S$
- (2)  $(a, b) \in \rho$  and  $(b, c) \in \rho$  imply  $(a, c) \in \rho$ .
- (3)  $(a, b) \in \rho$  implies  $(ac, bc) \in \rho$  and  $(ca, cb) \in \rho$  for each  $c \in S$ .

If  $(S, \cdot, \leq)$  is an ordered semigroup, then each pseudoorder on

$S$  is a pseudocongruence on  $S$ . Indeed, if  $\rho$  is a

pseudoorder on  $S$  and  $a \in S$ , then  $(a, a) \in \rho$ . Pseudocongruences can be also called semi-pseudoorders, and from now on we will keep that terminology of semi-pseudoorders. We have seen in [3], that each semi-pseudoorder on a semigroup  $S$  induces a congruence  $\rho$  on  $S$  such that  $S/\rho$  is an ordered semigroup. In this paper we prove that the converse of this statement also holds. For a semigroup  $(S, \cdot)$  we define a multiplication " $*$ " on  $S/\rho$  defined by  $(a)_\rho * (b)_\rho := (ab)_\rho$ . If  $(S, \cdot)$  is a semigroup and  $\rho$  a congruence on  $S$  and if there exists an order relation " $\nabla$ " on  $S/\rho$  such that the  $(S/\rho, *, \nabla)$  is an ordered semigroup, then there exists a semi-pseudoorder  $\sigma$  on  $S$  such that  $\rho = \bar{\sigma}$ . So each congruence  $\rho$  on a semigroup  $(S, \cdot)$  such that  $S/\rho$  is an ordered semigroup induces a semi-pseudoorder on  $S$ .

If  $(S, \cdot)$  is a semigroup and  $\sigma$  a semi-pseudoorder on  $S$ , we define

$$\bar{\sigma} := \sigma \cap \sigma^{-1}.$$

The relation  $\bar{\sigma}$  is a congruence on  $S$ . Indeed: If  $a \in S$ , then  $(a, a) \in \sigma$ , then  $(a, a) \in \sigma^{-1}$ , so  $(a, a) \in \sigma \cap \sigma^{-1} := \bar{\sigma}$ . If  $(a, b) \in \bar{\sigma}$ , then  $(a, b) \in \sigma$  and  $(a, b) \in \sigma^{-1}$ , then  $(b, a) \in \sigma^{-1}$  and  $(b, a) \in \sigma$ , so  $(b, a) \in \sigma^{-1} \cap \sigma := \bar{\sigma}$ . If  $(a, b) \in \bar{\sigma}$  and  $(b, c) \in \bar{\sigma}$ , then  $(a, b) \in \sigma$ ,  $(a, b) \in \sigma^{-1}$ ,  $(b, c) \in \sigma$ ,  $(b, c) \in \sigma^{-1}$ , then  $(a, c) \in \sigma$  and  $(a, c) \in \sigma^{-1}$ , thus  $(a, c) \in \sigma \cap \sigma^{-1} := \bar{\sigma}$ . Let  $(a, b) \in \bar{\sigma}$  and  $c \in S$ . We have  $(a, b) \in \sigma$  and  $(a, b) \in \sigma^{-1}$ . Since  $(a, b) \in \sigma$ ,  $c \in S$ , we

have  $(ac, bc) \in \sigma$ ,  $(ca, cb) \in \sigma$ . Since  $(a, b) \in \sigma^{-1}$ , we have  $(b, a) \in \sigma$ , then  $(bc, ac) \in \sigma$ ,  $(ca, cb) \in \sigma$ , hence  $(ac, bc) \in \sigma^{-1}$ ,  $(ca, cb) \in \sigma^{-1}$ . Then we have  $(ac, bc) \in \sigma \cap \sigma^{-1} := \bar{\sigma}$  and  $(ca, cb) \in \sigma \cap \sigma^{-1} := \bar{\sigma}$ .

[It might be also noted that  $\bar{\sigma} = \{(a, b) \in S \times S \mid (a, b) \in \sigma \text{ and } (b, a) \in \sigma\}$ . Hence  $\bar{\sigma}$  is a congruence on  $S$  (cf. [3]). Since  $\bar{\sigma}$  is a congruence on  $S$ , the set  $S/\bar{\sigma}$  with the operation "  $*$  " on  $S/\bar{\sigma}$  defined by  $(a)_{\bar{\sigma}} * (b)_{\bar{\sigma}} := (ab)_{\bar{\sigma}}$  is a semigroup (It is known).

If  $(S, \cdot)$  is a semigroup and  $\sigma$  a

semi-pseudoorder on  $S$ , we define a relation "  $\nabla$  " on  $S/\bar{\sigma}$  as follows:  $(a)_{\bar{\sigma}} \nabla (b)_{\bar{\sigma}}$  if and only if  $(a, b) \in \sigma$ . The relation "  $\nabla$  " on  $S/\bar{\sigma}$  is well defined. Indeed: Let  $(a)_{\bar{\sigma}} = (c)_{\bar{\sigma}}$ ,  $(b)_{\bar{\sigma}} = (d)_{\bar{\sigma}}$  and  $(a)_{\bar{\sigma}} \nabla (b)_{\bar{\sigma}}$ . Since  $(a)_{\bar{\sigma}} \nabla (b)_{\bar{\sigma}}$ , we have  $(a, b) \in \sigma$ . Since  $(a)_{\bar{\sigma}} = (c)_{\bar{\sigma}}$ , we have  $(a, c) \in \bar{\sigma} := \sigma \cap \sigma^{-1} \subseteq \sigma^{-1}$ , then  $(c, a) \in \sigma$ . Since  $(b)_{\bar{\sigma}} = (d)_{\bar{\sigma}}$ , we have  $(b, d) \in \bar{\sigma} := \sigma \cap \sigma^{-1} \subseteq \sigma$ , then  $(b, d) \in \sigma$ . Then  $(c, d) \in \sigma$ , and  $(c)_{\bar{\sigma}} \nabla (d)_{\bar{\sigma}}$ . (Cf. also [3]).

**Theorem.** *Let  $(S, \cdot)$  be a semigroup. If  $\sigma$  is a semi-pseudoorder on  $S$ , then the set  $(S/\bar{\sigma}, *, \nabla)$  is an ordered semigroup. Let  $\rho$  be a congruence on  $S$  and suppose there exists an order relation "  $\preceq$  " on  $S/\rho$  such that  $(S/\rho, *, \preceq)$  be an ordered semigroup. Then there exists a semi-pseudoorder  $\sigma$  on  $S$  such that*

$$\rho = \bar{\sigma} \text{ and } \preceq = \nabla.$$

*Proof.* For the first part of the Theorem we refer to the Theorem in [3]. Let now  $\rho$  be a congruence on  $S$  and "  $\preceq$  " an order on  $S/\rho$  such that  $(S/\rho, *, \preceq)$  be an ordered semigroup. Let  $\sigma$  be the relation on  $S$  defined by

$$\sigma := \{(a, b) \in S \times S \mid (a)_{\rho} \preceq (b)_{\rho}\}.$$

1)  $\sigma$  is a semi-pseudoorder on  $S$ . In fact:

Let  $a \in S$ . Since  $(a)_{\rho} \preceq (a)_{\rho}$ , we have  $(a, a) \in \sigma$ . Let  $(a, b) \in \sigma$ ,  $(b, c) \in \sigma$ . Then  $(a)_{\rho} \preceq (b)_{\rho}$ ,  $(b)_{\rho} \preceq (c)_{\rho}$ , then  $(a)_{\rho} \preceq (c)_{\rho}$ , and  $(a, c) \in \sigma$ . Let  $(a, b) \in \sigma$  and  $c \in S$ . Then  $(a)_{\rho} \preceq (b)_{\rho}$  and  $(c)_{\rho} \in S/\rho$ . Since  $(S/\rho, *, \preceq)$  is an ordered semigroup, we have  $(a)_{\rho} * (c)_{\rho} \preceq (b)_{\rho} * (c)_{\rho}$ , then  $(ac)_{\rho} \preceq (bc)_{\rho}$ , and  $(ac, bc) \in \sigma$ . Similarly  $(a, b) \in \sigma$  and  $c \in S$ , imply  $(ca, cb) \in \sigma$ .

2)  $\rho = \bar{\sigma}$ . Indeed: We have

$$\begin{aligned} (a, b) \in \rho &\Leftrightarrow (a)_{\rho} = (b)_{\rho} \\ &\Leftrightarrow (a)_{\rho} \preceq (b)_{\rho} \text{ and } (b)_{\rho} \preceq (a)_{\rho} \\ &\Leftrightarrow (a, b) \in \sigma \text{ and } (b, a) \in \sigma \\ &\Leftrightarrow (a, b) \in \bar{\sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

3)  $\preceq = \nabla$ . Indeed:

Let  $(a)_{\rho} \preceq (b)_{\rho}$ . Since  $(a, b) \in \sigma$ , we have  $(a)_{\bar{\sigma}} \nabla (b)_{\bar{\sigma}}$ . By 2),  $\rho = \bar{\sigma}$ . So  $(a)_{\bar{\sigma}} = (a)_{\rho}$  and  $(b)_{\bar{\sigma}} = (b)_{\rho}$ . Then  $(a)_{\rho} \nabla (b)_{\rho}$ .

Let  $(a)_\rho \nabla (b)_\rho$ . Since  $\rho = \bar{\sigma}$ , we have  $(a)_\rho = (a)_{\bar{\sigma}}$  and  $(b)_\rho = (b)_{\bar{\sigma}}$ . Then  $(a)_{\bar{\sigma}} \nabla (b)_{\bar{\sigma}}$ , hence  $(a, b) \in \sigma$ , and  $(a)_\rho \preceq (b)_\rho$ .

**Remark 1.** If  $(S, \cdot, \leq)$  is an ordered semigroup and  $\rho$  a pseudoorder on  $S$ , then the mapping

$$f(S, \cdot, \leq) \rightarrow (S/\bar{\rho}, *, \nabla) \mid a \rightarrow (a)_{\bar{\rho}}$$

is a homomorphism. In fact, if  $a, b \in S$ , then

$$f(ab) := (ab)_{\bar{\rho}} := (a)_{\bar{\rho}} * (b)_{\bar{\rho}} = f(a) * f(b).$$

Let now  $a \leq b$ . Since  $(a, b) \in \leq \subseteq \rho$ , we have  $(a, b) \in \rho$ . Then, since  $\rho$  is a semipseudoorder on  $S$ , we have  $(a)_{\bar{\rho}} \nabla f(b)$ , and  $f(a) \nabla f(b)$ .  $\square$

For a semigroup  $S$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{SP}(S)$  the set of semi-pseudoorders on  $S$  and by  $\mathcal{C}(S)$  the set of congruences on  $S$ . Let " $\approx$ " be the equivalence relation on  $S$  defined as follows:

$$\rho \approx \sigma \text{ if and only if } \bar{\rho} = \bar{\sigma}.$$

**Remark 2.** If  $S$  is a semigroup and  $\rho$  a semi-pseudoorder on  $S$ , then the mapping

$$f : \mathcal{SP}(S)/\approx \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S) \mid (\rho)_{\approx} \rightarrow \bar{\rho}$$

is (1-1) and onto. In fact: The mapping  $f$  is well defined: If  $\rho$  is a semi-pseudoorder on  $S$ , then  $\bar{\rho}$  is a congruence on  $S$ . Let  $\rho, \sigma \in \mathcal{SP}(S)$  and  $(\rho)_{\approx} = (\sigma)_{\approx}$ . Then we have  $\rho \approx \sigma$ , and  $\bar{\rho} = \bar{\sigma}$ .

$f$  is (1-1): Let  $\rho, \sigma \in \mathcal{SP}(S)$  such that  $\bar{\rho} = \bar{\sigma}$ . Then  $\rho \approx \sigma$ , and  $(\rho)_{\approx} = (\sigma)_{\approx}$ .

$f$  is onto: Let  $\rho \in \mathcal{C}(S)$ . Then  $\rho = \rho^{-1}$  and  $\rho$  is a semi-pseudoorder on  $S$ . Thus  $\rho \in \mathcal{SP}(S)$  and

$$f((\rho)_{\approx}) := \bar{\rho} := \rho \cap \rho^{-1} = \rho \cap \rho = \rho.$$

$\square$

For a semigroup  $S$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{OC}(S)$  the set of all congruences  $\rho$  on  $S$  for which there exists an order relation " $\nabla$ " on  $S/\rho$  such that  $(S/\rho, *, \nabla)$  is an ordered semigroup.

**Remark 3.** If  $S$  is a semigroup, then the mapping

$$f : \mathcal{SP}(S)/\approx \rightarrow \mathcal{OC}(S) \mid (\rho)_{\approx} \rightarrow \bar{\rho}$$

is (1-1) and onto. In fact: The mapping  $f$  is well defined: If  $\rho$  is a semi-pseudoorder on  $S$ , then  $\bar{\rho}$  is a congruence on  $S$ . Then, by the Theorem, the set  $(S/\bar{\rho}, *, \nabla)$  is an ordered semigroup. Which means that  $\bar{\rho} \in \mathcal{OC}(S)$ .

Let  $\rho, \sigma \in \mathcal{SP}(S)$  and  $(\rho)_{\approx} = (\sigma)_{\approx}$ . Then we have  $\rho \approx \sigma$ , and  $\bar{\rho} = \bar{\sigma}$ .

$f$  is (1-1): Let  $\rho, \sigma \in \mathcal{SP}(S)$  and  $\bar{\rho} = \bar{\sigma}$ . Then  $\rho \approx \sigma$ , and  $(\rho)_{\approx} = (\sigma)_{\approx}$ .

$f$  is onto: Let  $\rho \in \mathcal{OC}(S)$ . By the Theorem, there exists a semi-pseudoorder  $\sigma$  on  $S$  such that  $\rho = \bar{\sigma}$ . Then  $\sigma \in \mathcal{SP}(S)$ , and  $f((\sigma)_{\approx}) := \bar{\sigma} = \rho$ .

**Acknowledgment.** This research was supported by the Special Research Account of the University of Athens (Grant No. 70/4/5630).

## REFERENCES

- [1] N. Kehayopulu, M. Tsingelis, *On subdirectly irreducible ordered semigroups*, Semigroup Forum **50** (1995), 161-177.
- [2] N. Kehayopulu, M. Tsingelis, *Pseudoorder in ordered semigroups*, Semigroup Forum **50** (1995), 389-392.
- [3] N. Kehayopulu, M. Tsingelis *A note on pseudocongruences in semigroups*, Lobachevskii J. Math. **11** (2002), 19-21.

UNIV. OF ATHENS, DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS HOME: NIOVI KEHAYOPULU, NIKO-MIDIAS 18, 161 22 KESARIANI, GREECE

*E-mail address:* nkehayop@cc.uoa.gr

Received September 30, 2003