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#### Abstract

The main purpose of the paper is to obtain complete asymptotic expansion of solutions to boundary value problems of elasticity of Dirichlet, Neumann and mixed type for an $n$-dimensional ( $n \geq 2$ ) composed body in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. The body is composed of two anisotropic bodies with smooth boundaries stick together along parts of their boundaries. Therefore the body has a closed smooth cuspidal edge, along which the Dirichlet and Neumann conditions in the mixed problem collide. Asymptotics of solutions are obtained near the cuspidal edge ( $L_{p}$-theory), with precise description of exponents and of logarithmic terms of the expansion.
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## Introduction

In the present paper, we study asymptotics of solutions of the classical (Dirichlet, Neumann and mixed) boundary value problems of anisotropic elasticity in an $n$-dimensional domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ composed of two subdomains $\Omega=\Omega_{1} \cup \Omega_{2}$ with smooth boundaries $\partial \Omega_{1}$ and $\partial \Omega_{2} . \bar{S}_{0}=\partial \Omega_{1} \cap \partial \Omega_{2}$ is assumed to be a smooth surface. Thus, the domain $\Omega$ has a smooth, closed cuspidal edge with the angle $2 \pi$ viewed from $\Omega$. For a mixed problem, the cuspidal edge is the place where the Dirichlet and Neumann conditions collide. In the case of the plane $n=2$ the cuspidal edge degenerates into cuspidal points, the so-called interior peaks (any finite number of interior peaks can be treated).

Interior and exterior Dirichlet and Neumann boundary value problems for the Laplace equation as well as for the Lamé equation of isotropic elasticity in plane domains ( $n=2$ ) were studied by V. Maz'ya and A. Solov'yev [2225]. They obtained conditions for unique solvability (which is non-trivial for exterior peaks with the angle $\gamma=0$ ) and established asymptotics of solutions near these peaks.

In [6] the existence and uniqueness of solutions of the above-mentioned problems were investigated in the Bessel potential and Besov spaces on the basis of the potential method and the Wiener-Hopf method for pseudodifferential equations on open manifolds. The obtained results enable one to establish a priori smoothness of solutions which is restricted due to the presence of a cuspidal edge even for the Dirichlet and Neumann problem, although the solutions are $C^{\alpha}$-smooth, where $\alpha<\frac{1}{2}$ for the Dirichlet and Neumann problems, and $\alpha<\frac{1}{4}$ for the mixed problem.
G. Eskin and J. Bennish applied the Wiener-Hopf method and obtained complete asymptotic expansion of solutions for elliptic pseudodifferential equation on a manifold with a smooth boundary (the $L_{2}$-theory) (see [1], [15]). In [7] we have developed this techniques and obtained more precise asymptotics (the $L_{p}$-theory). Particular results in this direction can be found in [13] and [14].

Having in hand asymptotics of solutions for the boundary pseudodifferential equation on the boundary surface (such as a crack surface or the interface between two anisotropic materials), we still need spatial asymptotics of solutions for the original boundary value problem which is representable, as usual, by layer potentials with densities being solutions of boundary pseudodifferential equations and thus having definite asymptotic expansion near crack fronts or other geometric peculiarities of boundary manifolds. These investigations were carried out in [8] in the most general form: spatial asymptotics was established for functions representable by layer potentials with prescribed asymptotics of density; exact formulae were found relating the coefficients of spatial asymptotics and asymptotics on the corresponding boundary surface. These formulae simplify substantially the calculation of coefficients of spatial asymptotics and allow one to
express them by coefficients of asymptotics on the surface. The latter can be found from the boundary pseudodifferential equation with less dimension than that of the corresponding boundary value problem.

The obtained results can be successfully applied in calculating stress intensity factors (SIF) which play an important role in crack propagation criteria.

In the present paper we demonstrate the results obtained in [7] and [8] for the above-mentioned boundary value problems of elasticity and write a complete asymptotic expansion of solutions near the cuspidal edge. Formulae relating to the SIF-coefficients (coefficients of the leading term of asymptotics) of spatial and surface asymptotics are written out.

For different applications of the results dealing with asymptotics from [7] and [8], the reader can be referred to [13], [11] and [4], [5].

A quite different approach to the problem of asymptotics, based on the Mellin transform as well as on the calculus of boundary value problems (a direct approach to spatial asymptotics) has been initiated by a pilot paper of V. Kondrat'yev [18]. This method was developed in many outstanding papers and monographs (see, e.g., [9], [10], [17], [19], [21], [27], [28]) and encompass boundary value problems in domains with sophisticated singularities occuring on the boundary (edges, wedges, conical singularities and their arbitrary combinations). Although the Wiener-Hopf method cannot (so far) be applied to the above-mentioned cases with singularities, in crack and mixed type problems it demonstrates more precise asymptotics and provides us with formulae for the exponents and coefficients.

## 1. Formulation of the Problems

Let a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 2$, be either finite or infinite but have a compact boundary $S=\partial \Omega$, and let there exist a surface $\bar{S}_{0}$ of the class $C^{\infty}$ of dimension $n-1$ which divides the domain $\Omega$ into two subdomains $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ with $C^{\infty}$-boundaries $\partial \Omega_{1}$ and $\partial \Omega_{2}\left(\Omega_{1} \cap \Omega_{2}=\varnothing, \bar{\Omega}_{1} \cap \bar{\Omega}_{2}=\bar{S}_{0}\right)$. Then $\partial S_{0}$, the boundary of the surface $S_{0}\left(\partial S_{0} \subset \partial \Omega\right)$, represents an ( $n-2$ )dimensional closed cuspidal edge and $\partial \Omega_{1}=S_{1} \cup \bar{S}_{0}, \partial \Omega_{2}=S_{2} \cup \bar{S}_{0}$.

Assume $\Omega$ is filled with anisotropic homogeneous elastic material.
The basic static equations of elasticity for anisotropic homogeneous elastic media written in terms of displacement components are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}\left(D_{x}\right) u+F=0 \text { in } \Omega \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

([20], [16], [3]), where $u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ is the displacement vector, $F=$ ( $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n}$ ) is the volume force acting on $\Omega$ and $\mathbf{A}\left(D_{x}\right)$ is an $n \times n$-matrix differential operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}\left(D_{x}\right)=\left\|\sum_{i, l=1}^{n} a_{i j l k} \partial_{i} \partial_{l}\right\|_{n \times n}, \quad \partial_{l}:=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}}, \quad D_{l}:=-i \partial_{l}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$a_{i j l k}$ being elastic constants satisfying $a_{i j l k}=a_{l k i j}=a_{i j k l}$.

The quadratic form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i, j, l, k=1}^{n} a_{i j l k} \xi_{i j} \xi_{l k}, \quad \xi_{i j}=\xi_{j i} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is assumed to be positive-definite with respect to the variables $\xi_{i j}$.
We introduce the differential stress operator

$$
\mathcal{T}\left(D_{z}, n(z)\right)=\left\|\mathcal{T}_{j k}\left(D_{z}, n(z)\right)\right\|_{n \times n}, \quad \mathcal{T}_{j k}\left(D_{z}, n(z)\right)=\sum_{i, l=1}^{n} a_{i j l k} n_{i}(z) \partial_{l}
$$

where $n(z)=\left(n_{1}(z), \ldots, n_{n}(z)\right)$ is the unit normal vector to the manifold $S_{1} \cup S_{2}$ at the point $z \in S_{1} \cup S_{2}$, exterior to the domain $\Omega$. For convenience in the sequel we will use the short notation $\mathcal{T}=\mathcal{T}\left(D_{z}, n(z)\right)$.

From the symmetry of the coefficients $a_{i j l k}$ and the positive definiteness of the quadratic form (1.1) it follows (the operator $\mathbf{A}\left(D_{x}\right)$ is a strongly elliptic formally self-adjoint differential operator [16]) that the symbol

$$
\mathcal{A}(\xi)=\left\|\sum_{i, l=1}^{n} a_{i j l k} \xi_{i} \xi_{l}\right\|_{n \times n}, \quad \xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

is positive definite, i.e. the inequality

$$
(\mathcal{A}(\xi) \eta, \eta)=(\mathcal{A}(\xi) \eta, \eta) \geq P_{0}|\xi|^{2}|\eta|^{2} \quad \text { for all } \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \quad \text { and } \quad \eta \in \mathbb{C}^{n}
$$

holds with $P_{0}=$ const $>0$ depending only on the elastic constants.
For the spaces we follow the notation suggested in [29] and in the case of an infinite domain $\Omega$ we will invoke the spaces $\mathbb{H}_{p, l o c}^{s}(\Omega), \mathbb{P}_{p, t, l o c}^{s}(\Omega)$, $\mathbb{H}_{p, \text { comp }}^{s}(\Omega), \mathbb{R}_{p, t, \text { comp }}^{s}(\Omega)$.

Let $u \in W_{p}^{1}(\Omega)=\mathbb{H}_{p}^{1}(\Omega)\left(W_{p, l o c}^{1}(\Omega)=\mathbb{H}_{p, l o c}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$. Then $r_{i} u \in W_{p}^{1}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)$ $\left(r_{i} u \in W_{p, l o c}^{1}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)\right)$, where $r_{i}$ is the operator of restriction to $\Omega_{i}, \quad i=$ 1,2. From the theorem on traces (see [29]) there follows that the trace of the function $\gamma_{i} u$ on $\partial \Omega_{i}$ exists and $\gamma_{i} u \in \mathbb{R}_{p, p}^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left(\partial \Omega_{i}\right), i=1,2, p^{\prime}=$ $p /(p-1)$. Let $u \in W_{p, l o c}^{1}(\Omega)$ be such that $\mathbf{A}\left(D_{x}\right) u \in L_{p, c o m p}(\Omega)$ (if $\Omega$ is compact, we simply ignore the subscripts "loc" and "comp"). Then the trace $\gamma_{i}\left\{\mathcal{T}\left(r_{i} u\right)\right\}^{+}$is correctly defined by the following Green formula (see [12], [26])

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\Omega_{i}}\left[\bar{v}^{(i)} \mathbf{A}\left(D_{x}\right)\left(r_{i} u\right)+E\left(r_{i} u, v^{(i)}\right)\right] d x=\left\langle\gamma_{i} \mathcal{T}\left(r_{i} u\right), \gamma_{i} v^{(i)}\right\rangle_{\partial \Omega_{i}} \\
\text { for all } \quad v^{(i)} \in W_{p^{\prime}}^{1}\left(\Omega_{i}\right) \quad\left(v^{(i)} \in W_{p^{\prime}, c o m p}^{1}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)\right), \quad i=1,2
\end{gathered}
$$

here

$$
E\left(r_{i} u, v^{(i)}\right)=\sum_{m, j, l, k=1}^{n} a_{m j k l} \partial_{m}\left(r_{i} u\right)_{j} \partial_{l} \bar{v}_{k}^{(i)}
$$

the symbol $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denotes the duality between the spaces $\mathbb{B}_{p, p}^{-1 / p}\left(\partial \Omega_{i}\right)$, $\mathbb{R}_{p^{\prime}, p^{\prime}}^{1 / p}\left(\partial \Omega_{i}\right)$ and

$$
\langle\psi, \varphi\rangle_{\partial \Omega_{i}}=\int_{\partial \Omega_{i}} \psi \bar{\varphi} d S \quad \text { for } \quad \psi, \varphi \in C^{1}\left(\partial \Omega_{i}\right), \quad i=1,2 .
$$

If $u \in W_{p, l o c}^{1}(\Omega)$ is a solution (in the sense of distributions) of (1.1) with $F \in L_{q, \text { comp }}(\Omega)$, then $\mathbf{A}\left(D_{x}\right) u \in L_{q, \text { comp }}(\Omega), q \geq \frac{n p}{n+p}$. It is easy to ascertain that the functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{S_{i}} u=\pi_{i}\left\{\gamma_{i}\left(r_{i} u\right)\right\} \quad \text { on } \quad S_{i}, \\
& \gamma_{S_{i}} \mathcal{T} u=\pi_{i}\left\{\gamma_{i} \mathcal{T}\left(r_{i} u\right)\right\} \quad \text { on } \quad S_{i}, \quad i=1,2,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\pi_{i}$ denotes the restriction from $\partial \Omega_{i}$ to $S_{i}, \quad i=1,2$, are all correctly defined.

In the case of an infinite domain $\Omega$, we require that a solution of (1.1) satisfies the following condition

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(x)=o(1) \text { for }|x| \rightarrow \infty \text { if } n>2  \tag{1.4}\\
& u(x)=O(1) \text { for }|x| \rightarrow \infty \text { if } n=2
\end{align*}
$$

It is known (see [2]), that for any solution of (1.1) under condition (1.4) has the following asymptotics at infinity

$$
\partial^{\mu} u(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
O\left(|x|^{2-n-|\mu|}\right) & \text { for } & |x| \rightarrow \infty \\
\text { if } n>2, \\
O\left(|x|^{-|\mu|-1}\right) & \text { for } & |x| \rightarrow \infty
\end{array} \text { if } n=2,\right.
$$

with an arbitrary multi-index $\mu \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$.
We will study the asymptotics of a function $u \in W_{p, l o c}^{1}(\Omega)$, which vanishes at infinity (see condition (1.4)) and solves one of the following boundary value problems:

Dirichlet Problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{A}\left(D_{x}\right) u=0 & \text { in } \quad \Omega, \\
\gamma_{S_{i}} u=\varphi_{i} & \text { on } \quad S_{i},
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

where $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}_{p, p}^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left(S_{i}\right), \quad i=1,2, \quad 1<p<\infty, \quad p^{\prime}:=\frac{p}{p-1}$.
Neumann Problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{A}\left(D_{x}\right) u=0 & \text { in } \quad \Omega \\
\gamma_{S_{i}} \mathcal{T} u=\psi_{i} & \text { on } \quad S_{i}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

where $\psi_{i} \in \mathbb{B}_{p, p}^{-1 / p}\left(S_{i}\right), \quad i=1,2, \quad 1<p<\infty$.

## Mixed Problem:

$$
\begin{cases}\mathbf{A}\left(D_{x}\right) u=0 & \text { in } \Omega \\ \gamma_{S_{1}} u=\varphi_{1} & \text { on } S_{1}, \\ \gamma_{S_{2}} \mathcal{T} u=\varphi_{2} & \text { on } S_{2},\end{cases}
$$

where $\varphi_{1} \in \mathbb{B}_{p, p}^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left(S_{1}\right), \quad \varphi_{2} \in \mathbb{B}_{p, p}^{-1 / p}\left(S_{2}\right), \quad 1<p<\infty$.

## 2. Asymptotics of Solutions to the Dirichlet Boundary Value Problem

The simple layer potential

$$
\mathbf{V}^{(i)}(g)(x)=\int_{\partial \Omega_{i}} H(x-y) g(y) d_{y} S, \quad x \in \Omega_{i}, \quad i=1,2,
$$

where $H(x)$ is the fundamental solution of (1.1), and the composition $\left(\mathcal{T} \mathbf{V}^{(i)}\right)(g)(x)$ have the following traces on the surface

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_{i} \mathbf{V}^{(i)}(g)(z)=\int_{\partial \Omega_{i}} H(z-y) g(y) d_{y} S \\
& \left.\gamma_{i}\left(\mathcal{T} \mathbf{V}^{(i)}\right)(g)(z)=-\frac{1}{2} g(z)+\int_{\partial \Omega_{i}} \mathcal{T}\left(\partial_{z}, n(z)\right) H(z-y)\right) g(y) d_{y} S \\
& z \in \partial \Omega_{i}, \quad i=1,2
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us use the notation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(i)}(g)(z)=\int_{\partial \Omega_{i}} H(z-y) g(y) d_{y} S \\
& \stackrel{*}{\mathbf{V}}_{0}^{(i)}(g)(z)=\int_{\partial \Omega_{i}} \mathcal{T}\left(\partial_{z}, n(z)\right) H(z-y) g(y) d_{y} S, \quad z \in \partial \Omega_{i}, \quad i=1,2,
\end{aligned}
$$

for the direct values of the corresponding potential operators.
In [6] a solution to the Dirichlet boundary value problem is represented by the simple layer potential

$$
r_{i} u=\mathbf{V}^{(i)} g_{i} \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega_{i}, \quad i=1,2 .
$$

Let $\Phi_{0}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{B}_{p, p}^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left(\partial \Omega_{i}\right)$ be some fixed continuation of the function $\varphi_{i} \in$ $\mathbb{R}_{p, p}^{1 / p^{i}}\left(S_{i}\right)$ to $\partial \Omega_{i}=S_{i} \cup \bar{S}_{0}, i=1,2$. Then any continuation $\Phi_{(i)}$ of the function $\varphi_{i}$ to $\partial \Omega_{i}$ has the form $\Phi^{(i)}=\Phi_{0}^{(i)}+\varphi_{0}^{(i)}$, where $\varphi_{0}^{(i)} \in \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_{p, p}^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left(S_{0}\right)$, $i=1,2$.

The Dirichlet boundary value problem can be reduced to the following system of pseudodifferential equations on the manifold with boundary $S_{0}$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\varphi_{0}^{(1)}-\varphi_{0}^{(2)}=g,  \tag{2.1}\\
\pi_{0}\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{V}}_{0}^{(1)}\right)\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(1)}\right)^{-1} \varphi_{0}^{(1)}+\pi_{0}\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+\stackrel{*}{\mathbf{V}}_{0}^{(2)}\right)\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(1)}\right)^{-1} \varphi_{0}^{(2)}=f,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
g=\pi_{0} \Phi_{0}^{(2)}-\pi_{0} \Phi_{0}^{(1)} \\
f=-\pi_{0}\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+\stackrel{*}{\mathbf{V}}_{0}^{(2)}\right)\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)}\right)^{-1} \Phi_{0}^{(2)}-\pi_{0}\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+\stackrel{*}{\mathbf{V}_{0}^{(1)}}\right)\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(1)}\right)^{-1} \Phi_{0}^{(1)} ;
\end{gathered}
$$

here $\pi_{0}$ is the operator of restriction to $S_{0}$ and $\mathcal{I}$ is the identity.
For the system (2.1) with $\varphi_{i} \in \mathbb{B}_{p, r}^{s}\left(S_{i}\right), g \in \mathbb{B}_{p, r}^{s}\left(S_{0}\right), i=1,2,1 / p-1 / 2<$ $s<1 / p+1 / 2$ (in particular, for $s=1 / p^{\prime}, r=p$ ) to be solvable we require the compatibility condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists \Phi_{0}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{B}_{p, r}^{s}\left(\partial \Omega_{i}\right) \quad i=1,2,: g \in \widetilde{\mathbb{B}}_{p, r}^{s}\left(S_{0}\right) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that when $1 / p-1<s<1 / p$, this condition is fulfilled automatically (see [29, Theorem 2.10.3(c)]).

In the case $1 / p<s<1 / p+1$ the compatibility condition acquires the form

$$
\gamma_{S_{0}} \varphi_{2}=\gamma_{S_{0}} \varphi_{1} .
$$

In the case where $s=1 / p$ the compatibility condition looks rather cumbersome (see [6, Remark 5.7]).

The system (2.1) is reduced to a pseudodifferential equation on an open manifold $S_{0}$

$$
\pi_{0} \mathbf{A} \varphi_{0}^{(1)}=\Psi
$$

where

$$
\Psi \in \mathbb{H}_{p}^{s-1}\left(S_{0}\right) \quad\left(\mathbb{B}_{p, r}^{s-1}\left(S_{0}\right)\right),
$$

$$
\mathbf{A}=\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+\stackrel{*}{\mathbf{V}}_{0}^{(1)}\right)\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(1)}\right)^{-1}+\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+\mathbf{V}_{0}^{*}(2)\right)\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)}\right)^{-1}
$$

The pseudodifferential operator $\pi_{0} \mathbf{A}$ is positive definite and the following proposition holds for it.

Theorem 2.1 (see [6, Theorem 4.2]). Let $1<p<\infty, 1 \leq r \leq \infty$. Then the operator

$$
\pi_{0} \mathbf{A}: \tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{p}^{s}\left(S_{0}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{p}^{s-1}\left(S_{0}\right)
$$

is Fredholm if and only if the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}<s<\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds.
If (2.3) is the case, then the operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{0} \mathbf{A} & : \quad \widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_{p}^{s}\left(S_{0}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{p}^{s-1}\left(S_{0}\right) \\
& : \quad \widetilde{\mathbb{B}}_{p, r}^{s}\left(S_{0}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{p, r}^{s-1}\left(S_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

is invertible in both cases.
It is worth noting that $\operatorname{PsDO} \pi_{0} \mathbf{A}$ is invertible in the anisotropic Bessel potential spaces with weight $\widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_{p}^{(\mu, s), k}\left(S_{0}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{p}^{(\mu, s-1), k}\left(S_{0}\right)$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{R}, k \in$ $\mathbb{N}_{0}$ (see [7]) provided the conditions (2.3) hold.

Now let us formulate the main theorems about uniqueness, existence and smoothness for solutions to the Dirichlet problem (see [6, Theorems 4.3, 4.4, 4.5 and Remark 5.7]).

Theorem 2.2. Let $4 / 3<p<4$ and the compatibility conditions (2.2) hold for $s=1-1 / p$. Then the Dirichlet boundary value problem has a unique solution of the class $W_{p, \text { loc }}^{1}(\Omega)$, (with the condition (1.4) at infinity); this solution is given by the formula

$$
\left.r_{i} u=\mathbf{V}^{(i)}\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(i)}\right)^{-1}\left(\Phi_{0}^{(i)}+\varphi_{0}^{(i)}\right)\right), \quad q=1,2
$$

where $\Phi_{0}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{P}_{p, p}^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left(\partial \Omega_{i}\right)$ is a fixed continuation of the function $\varphi_{i}$ to $\partial \Omega_{i}$, satisfying condition (2.2) and $\varphi_{0}^{(i)} \in \widetilde{\mathbb{B}}_{p, p}^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left(S_{0}\right), i=1,2$, is a solution to the system (2.1).

Theorem 2.3. Let $4 / 3<p<4,1<t<\infty, 1 \leq r \leq \infty, 1 / t-1 / 2<s<$ $1 / t+1 / 2$, the compatibility condition (2.2) with $t$ instead of $p$ be fulfilled. Let $u \in W_{p}^{1}(\Omega)\left(u \in W_{p, l o c}^{1}(\Omega)\right.$ with the condition (1.4) at infinity) be a solution of the Dirichlet problem. In that case:

- If $\varphi_{i} \in \mathbb{B}_{t, t}^{s}\left(S_{i}\right), i=1,2$, then $u \in \mathbb{H}_{t}^{s+1 / t}(\Omega)\left(\mathbb{H}_{t, \text { loc }}^{s+1 / t}(\Omega)\right)$;
- If $\varphi_{i} \in \mathbb{B}_{t, r}^{s}\left(S_{i}\right), i=1,2$, then $u \in \mathbb{B}_{t, r}^{s+1 / t}(\Omega),\left(\mathbb{B}_{t, r, l o c}^{s+1 / t}(\Omega)\right)$;
- If $\left.\left.\varphi_{i} \in C^{\alpha}\left(\bar{S}_{i}\right), i=1,2, \alpha \in\right] 0,1 / 2\right]$, then $u \in \bigcap_{\alpha^{\prime}<\alpha} C^{\alpha^{\prime}}(\bar{\Omega})$.

Now we will write the asymptotics of the Dirichlet boundary value problem. It will be assumed below that the boundary data of the Dirichlet problem are sufficiently smooth, namely, $\varphi_{i} \in \mathbb{H}_{p}^{(\infty, s+2 M+1), \infty}\left(S_{i}\right), \quad i=1,2$, (see [7]).

The following equalities hold for the symbols of the operators $\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(i)}$ and $\stackrel{*}{\mathbf{V}}{ }_{0}^{(i)}$ (see [6]):

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\sigma_{\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(1)}}\left(z, \xi^{\prime}\right)=\sigma_{\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)}}\left(z, \xi^{\prime}\right) & \text { for } & z \in \bar{S}_{0} \\
\sigma_{\mathbf{V}_{0}^{*}}^{(1)}  \tag{2.4}\\
\left(z, \xi^{\prime}\right)=-\sigma_{\mathbf{V}_{0}^{(2)}}\left(z, \xi^{\prime}\right) & \text { for } & z \in \bar{S}_{0}
\end{array}
$$

The symbol $\sigma_{\mathrm{A}}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)$ of the pseudodifferential operator $\mathbf{A}$ has the form

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{A}}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)=\sigma_{-\mathrm{V}_{-1}^{(1)}}^{-1}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)=\sigma_{-\mathrm{V}_{-1}^{(2)}}^{-1}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)
$$

The symbol $\sigma_{-\mathbf{v}_{-1}^{(i)}}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)(i=1,2)$ is an even matrix-function with respect to $\xi^{\prime}$ and therefore all eigenvalues of the matrix $\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{A}}\left(x^{\prime}, 0,+1\right)\right)^{-1}$ $\sigma_{\mathrm{A}}\left(x^{\prime}, 0,-1\right)=I$ are trivial $\lambda_{j}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=1, j=1, \ldots, n$.

Let us consider a local system of coordinates $\left(x^{\prime \prime}, x_{n-1}\right) \in S_{0}$, where $x^{\prime \prime} \in \partial S_{0}$ is a parameter which ranges along the cuspidal edge, while $x_{n,+}=$ $\operatorname{dist}\left(x, \partial S_{0}\right)$ denotes the distance to the edge along the surface $S_{0}$.

Applying a result on strongly elliptic pseudodifferential equation (see [7, Theorem 2.1]) and taking into account the first equation in (2.1), we obtain the following asymptotic expansion for the function $\varphi_{0}^{(i)}, i=1,2$ :

$$
\varphi_{0}^{(i)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, x_{n-1,+}\right)=c_{0}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) x_{n-1,+}^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\sum_{k=1}^{M} x_{n-1,+}^{\frac{1}{2}+k} B_{k}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, \log x_{n-1,+}\right)+\varphi_{M+1}^{(i)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, x_{n-1,+}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{0} \in C^{\infty}\left(\partial S_{0}\right)$ and the remainder $\varphi_{M+1}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{H}_{p}^{(\infty, s+M+1), \infty}\left(S_{\varepsilon}^{+}\right), \quad i=$ $1,2, M \in \mathbb{N}, S_{\varepsilon}^{+}=\partial S_{0} \times[0, \varepsilon]$.
$B_{k}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, t\right)$ in (2.5) is a polynomial of degree k with respect to the variable $t$ and has $C^{\infty}\left(\partial S_{0}\right)$-smooth vector coefficients on the cuspidal edge $x^{\prime \prime} \in \partial S_{0}$.

Thus, recalling that solutions of the Dirichlet boundary value problem are represented by a potential-type function (see Theorem 2.2) and using asymptotic expansion of such functions from [8, Theorem 2.2 and 2.3]), assuming $\Phi_{0}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{H}_{p}^{(\infty, s+2 M+1), \infty}\left(S_{i}\right), i=1,2$, we obtain the following asymptotic expansion of the solution to the Dirichlet boundary value problem:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(r_{i} u\right)\left(x^{\prime \prime}, x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{s=1}^{l(n)} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\sum _ { j = 0 } ^ { n _ { s } - 1 } \left[d_{s j}^{(i)}\left(x^{\prime \prime},+1\right) x_{n}^{j} z_{s,+1}^{1 / 2-j}-\right.\right. \\
\left.-d_{s, j}^{(i)}\left(x^{\prime \prime},-1\right) x_{n}^{j} z_{s,-1}^{1 / 2-j}\right]+\sum_{\vartheta= \pm 1} \sum_{\substack{l, k=0 \\
l+k+j+p \neq 0}}^{M+2} \sum_{\substack{j+p=1 \\
M+2-l}}^{\left.x_{n-1}^{l} x_{n}^{j} z_{s, \vartheta}^{\frac{1}{2}+p+k} B_{s l k j p}^{(i)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, \log z_{s, \vartheta}\right)\right\}+} \\
+u_{M+1}^{(i)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) \text { for } \quad M>\frac{n-1}{p}-\min \{[s-1], 0\}, \quad i=1,2,
\end{gathered}
$$

with the coefficients $d_{s j}^{(i)}(\cdot, \pm 1) \in C^{\infty}\left(\partial S_{0}\right)$ and $u_{M+1}^{(i)} \in C^{M+1}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{i}\right), i=1,2$. Here

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_{s,+1} & =-x_{n-1}-x_{n} \tau_{s,+1}, \quad z_{s,-1}=x_{n-1}-x_{n} \tau_{s,-1}, \\
& -\pi<\operatorname{Arg} z_{s, \pm 1}<\pi, \quad \tau_{s, \pm 1} \in C^{\infty}\left(\partial S_{0}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left\{\tau_{s, \pm 1}\right\}_{s=1}^{l(n)}$ are all different roots of the polynomial $\operatorname{det} \mathbf{A}\left(J_{x}^{\top}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0\right)(0, \pm 1, \tau)\right)$ of multiplicity $n_{s}, s=1, \ldots l(n)$, in the complex lower half-plane; $J_{\varkappa}$ is the Jacoby matrix of the mapping $\varkappa$ (see [8]). Again, $x^{\prime \prime} \in \partial S_{0}, x_{n-1}=$ $\operatorname{dist}\left(x_{S_{0}}, \partial S_{0}\right), x_{n}=\operatorname{dist}\left(x, S_{0}\right)$, where $x_{S_{0}}$ is the projection of $x \in \Omega$ onto the hyperplane containing $S_{0}$.
$B_{\text {slkjp }}^{(i)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, t\right)$ is a polynomial of order $\nu_{k j p}=k+p+j$ with respect to $t$, with vector coefficients depending on the variable $x^{\prime \prime}$. The coefficients $d_{s j}^{(i)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, \pm 1\right)$ have the following form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{s j}^{(1)}\left(x^{\prime \prime},+1\right)=\mathcal{G}_{\varkappa}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0\right) V_{-1, j}^{(s)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0,0,+1\right) \sigma_{V_{-1}^{(1)}}^{-1}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0,0,+1\right) c^{(j)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right), \\
& d_{s j}^{(1)}\left(x^{\prime \prime},-1\right)=-i \mathcal{G}_{\varkappa}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0\right) V_{-1, j}^{(s)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0,0,-1\right) \sigma_{V_{-1}^{(1)}}^{-1}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0,0,+1\right) c^{(j)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right), \\
& d_{s j}^{(2)}\left(x^{\prime \prime},+1\right)=\mathcal{G}_{\varkappa}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0\right) V_{-1, j}^{(s)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0,0,+1\right) \sigma_{V_{-1}^{(2)}}^{-1}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0,0,+1\right) c^{(j)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right), \\
& d_{s j}^{(2)}\left(x^{\prime \prime},-1\right)=i \mathcal{G}_{\varkappa}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0\right) V_{-1, j}^{(s)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0,0,-1\right) \sigma_{V_{-1}^{(2)}}^{-1}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0,0,+1\right) c^{(j)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
s=1, \ldots, l(n), \quad j=0, \ldots n_{s}-1
$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{\varkappa}$ is the square root from the Gramm determinant of the diffeomorphisms $\varkappa$ (see [8]);

$$
\begin{gathered}
V_{-1, j}^{(s)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0,0, \pm 1\right)= \\
=-\left.\frac{i^{j+1}}{j!\left(n_{s}-1-j\right)!} \frac{d^{n_{s}-1-j}}{d \tau^{n_{s}-1-j}}\left(\tau-\tau_{s, \pm 1}\right)^{n_{s}} A^{-1}\left(J_{\varkappa}^{\top}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0\right)(0, \pm 1, \tau)\right)\right|_{\tau=\tau_{s, \pm 1}} \\
c^{(j)}(x)=\frac{i^{j+1}}{4 \sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(j-\frac{1}{2}\right) c_{0}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and $c_{0}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is the first coefficient of the asymptotic expansion in (2.5).

## 3. Asymptotics of Solutions to the Neumann Boundary Value Problem

Let $\Psi_{0}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{B}_{p, p}^{-1 / p}\left(\partial \Omega_{i}\right)$ be some fixed continuation of a function $\psi_{i} \in$ $\mathbb{R}_{p, p}^{-1 / p}\left(S_{i}\right)$ on $\partial \Omega_{i}=S_{i} \cup \bar{S}_{0}$. Then any continuation $\Phi^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}_{p, p}^{-1 / p}\left(\partial \Omega_{i}\right)$ of $\psi_{i}$ on $\partial \Omega_{i}$ has the form

$$
\Psi^{(i)}=\Psi_{0}^{(i)}+\psi_{0}^{(i)},
$$

where $\psi_{0}^{(i)} \in \widetilde{\mathbb{B}}_{p, p}^{-1 / p}\left(S_{0}\right), i=1,2$.
In [6], a solution to the Neumann boundary value problem is sought in the form of a simple-layer potential

$$
r_{i} v=\mathbf{V}^{(i)}\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(i)}\right)^{-1} g_{i} \quad \text { in } \Omega_{i}, \quad i=1,2
$$

For unknown densities $g_{1}, g_{2}$ and functions $\psi_{0}^{(1)}, \psi_{0}^{(2)}$ the following system of boundary pseudodifferential equations was obtained (see [6]):

$$
\mathbf{N}\left(\begin{array}{c}
g_{1}  \tag{3.1}\\
g_{2} \\
\psi_{0}^{(1)} \\
\psi_{0}^{(2)}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\Psi_{0}^{(1)} \\
\Psi_{0}^{(2)} \\
0 \\
-\pi_{0} \Psi_{0}^{(2)}-\pi_{0} \Psi_{0}^{(1)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{N}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{V}}_{0}^{(1)}\right)\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(1)}\right)^{-1} & 0 & -\mathcal{I} & 0 \\
0 & \left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+\stackrel{\mathbf{V}}{0}_{(2)}^{*}\right)\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)}\right)^{-1} & 0 & -\mathcal{I} \\
\pi_{0} \mathcal{I} & -\pi_{0} \mathcal{I} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mathcal{I} & \mathcal{I}
\end{array}\right)
$$

It is almost obvious that the system (3.1) has a solution if and only if the following compatibility conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists \Psi_{0}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{B}_{p, r}^{s-1}\left(\partial \Omega_{i}\right) i=1,2: \pi_{0} \Psi_{0}^{(2)}+\pi_{0} \Psi_{0}^{(1)} \in \widetilde{\mathbb{B}}_{p, r}^{s-1}\left(S_{0}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold for $\psi_{i} \in \mathbb{P}_{p, r}^{s-1}\left(S_{i}\right), \pi_{0} \Psi_{0}^{(2)}+\pi_{0} \Psi_{0}^{(1)} \in \mathbb{B}_{p, r}^{s-1}\left(S_{0}\right), i=1,2,1 \leq r \leq \infty$, $1 / p-1 / 2<s<1 / p+1 / 2$ (cf. [6]).

Note that the compatibility conditions hold automatically provided $1 / p-$ $1 / 2<s<1 / p$ or $1 / p<s<1 / p+1 / 2$ (cf. [29]). Unfortunately, when $s=1 / p$ we can not provide the compatibility condition in explicit form.

Consider the operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{N}_{M}= & \left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathbf{B}_{M}^{(1)} & 0 & -\mathcal{I} & 0 \\
0 & \mathbf{B}_{M}^{(2)} & 0 & -\mathcal{I} \\
\pi_{0} \mathcal{I} & -\pi_{0} \mathcal{I} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mathcal{I} & \mathcal{I}
\end{array}\right), \\
\mathbf{B}_{M}^{(i)}:= & \left(-\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(i)}\right)^{M}+\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+\stackrel{\mathbf{V}}{0}_{(i)}^{(i)}\right)\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(i)}\right)^{-1}, \\
& i=1.2 . \quad M=0.1 .2 \ldots .
\end{aligned}
$$

which differs from $\mathbf{N}_{M}$ by a compact operator.
The system of equations corresponding to the operator $\mathbf{N}_{M}$ has the form

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
{\left[\left(-\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(1)}\right)^{M}+\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+\stackrel{*}{V}_{0}^{(1)}\right)\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(1)}\right)^{-1}\right] \widetilde{g}_{1}-\widetilde{\psi}_{0}^{(1)} } & =\widetilde{\Psi}_{0}^{(1)}  \tag{3.3}\\
{\left[\left(-\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)}\right)^{M}+\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{V}_{0}^{(2)}\right)\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)}\right)^{-1}\right] \widetilde{g}_{2}-\widetilde{\psi}_{0}^{(2)} } & =\widetilde{\Psi}_{0}^{(2)} \\
\pi_{0} \widetilde{g}_{1}-\pi_{0} \widetilde{g}_{2} & =G_{1}, \\
\widetilde{\psi}_{0}^{(1)}+\widetilde{\psi}_{0}^{(2)} & =G_{2},
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\widetilde{\Psi}_{0}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{H}_{p}^{s-1}\left(\partial \Omega_{i}\right) \quad\left(\mathbb{R}_{p, r}^{s-1}\left(\partial \Omega_{i}\right)\right), \quad i=1,2, \\
G_{1} \in \mathbb{H}_{p}^{s}\left(S_{0}\right)\left(\mathbb{R}_{p, r}^{s}\left(S_{0}\right)\right), \quad G_{2} \in \widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_{p}^{s-1}\left(S_{0}\right)\left(\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_{p, r}^{s-1}\left(S_{0}\right)\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that while studying the Neumann problem in [6], the system (3.1) was reduced to the system of equations corresponding to the operator $\mathbf{N}_{0}$, i.e., only the case $M=0$ was considered. Here we have introduced the operator $\mathbf{N}_{M}$ to obtain a complete asymptotics both for solutions to the system (3.1) and for solutions to the Neumann problem.

We need the following auxiliary proposition which is proved similarly to [6, Lemmata 5.2, 6.2].

Lemma 3.1. Let $1<p<\infty, 1 \leq r \leq \infty, s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the pseudodifferential operators

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(-\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(i)}\right)^{M}+\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+\stackrel{*}{\mathbf{V}}_{0}^{(i)}\right)\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(i)}\right)^{-1} & : \mathbb{H}_{p}^{s}\left(\partial \Omega_{i}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{p}^{s-1}\left(\partial \Omega_{i}\right) \\
& : \mathbb{B}_{p, r}^{s}\left(\partial \Omega_{i}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{p, r}^{s-1}\left(\partial \Omega_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

are invertible for $i=1,2$ and for $M=0,1,2, \ldots$.

Therefore, after defining $\widetilde{g}_{1}, \widetilde{g}_{2}$ from the first and the second equation of the system (3.3) and inserting them into the third and the fourth equation in (3.3), we obtain the system of pseudodifferential equations on the open manifold $S_{0}$ with unknown functions $\widetilde{\psi}_{0}^{(1)}$ and $\widetilde{\psi}^{(2)}$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\pi_{0}\left[\left(-\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(1)}\right)^{M}+\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+\mathbf{V}_{0}^{(1)}\right)\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(1)}\right)^{-1}\right]^{-1} \widetilde{\psi}_{0}^{(1)} & -  \tag{3.4}\\
-\pi_{0}\left[\left(-\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)}\right)^{M}+\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+\stackrel{\mathbf{V}}{0}_{(2)}^{(2)}\right)\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)}\right)^{-1}\right]^{-1} \widetilde{\psi}_{0}^{(2)} & =\widetilde{G}_{1} \\
\widetilde{\psi}_{0}^{(1)}+\widetilde{\psi}_{0}^{(2)} & =G_{2}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\widetilde{G}_{1}=G_{1}-\pi_{0}\left[\left(-\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(1)}\right)^{M}+\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{V}}_{0}^{(1)}\right)\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(1)}\right)^{-1}\right]^{-1} \widetilde{\Psi}_{0}^{(1)}+ \\
+\pi_{0}\left[\left(-\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)}\right)^{M}+\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+\mathbf{V}_{0}^{*(2)}\right)\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)}\right)^{-1}\right]^{-1} \widetilde{\Psi}_{0}^{(2)}
\end{gathered}
$$

Th system (3.4) yields a pseudodifferential equation with respect to $\widetilde{\psi}_{0}^{(1)}$ :

$$
\pi_{0} \mathbf{B} \widetilde{\psi}_{0}^{(1)}=G^{*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{B} & =\left[\left(-\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(1)}\right)^{M}+\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+\stackrel{\mathbf{V}}{0}_{*}^{(1)}\right)\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(1)}\right)^{-1}\right]^{-1}+ \\
& +\left[\left(-\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)}\right)^{M}+\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+\mathbf{V}_{0}^{*}\right)\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)}\right)^{-1}\right]^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

The pseudodifferential operator $\pi_{0} \mathbf{B}$ is positive definite and the following proposition is proved in [6, Theorem 5.3].

Theorem 3.2. Let $1<p<\infty, 1 \leq r \leq \infty, 1 / p-1 / 2<s<1 / p+1 / 2$. Then the pseudodifferential operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{0} \mathbf{B} & : \quad \tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{p}^{s-1}\left(S_{0}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{p}^{s}\left(S_{0}\right) \\
& : \quad \widetilde{\mathbb{B}}_{p, r}^{s-1}\left(S_{0}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{p, r}^{s}\left(S_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

is invertible in both cases.

It is worth noting that $\operatorname{PsDO} \pi_{0} \mathbf{B}$ is invertible in the anisotropic Bessel potential spaces with weight $\widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_{p}^{(\mu, s-1), k}\left(S_{0}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{p}^{(\mu, s), k}\left(S_{0}\right)$ (see [7]).

Theorem 3.2 implies the following (see [6, Theorem 5.4])

Theorem 3.3. Let $1<p<\infty, 1 \leq r \leq \infty, 1 / p-1 / 2<s<1 / p+1 / 2$. Then the operator

is Fredholm and has index zero: Ind $\mathbf{N}=0$.
Now we will formulate theorems about uniqueness, existence and smoothness of solutions to the Neumann problem (see [6, Theorems 5.5, 5.6 and Remark 5.7]).

Theorem 3.4. Let $4 / 3<p<4$ and the compatibility condition (3.2) be fulfilled. Then the Neumann boundary value problem has solutions of the class $W_{p}^{1}(\Omega)$ in the bounded domain $\Omega$ if and only if the condition

$$
\int_{\partial \Omega} \psi \cdot(a z+b) d s=0
$$

holds for any constant antisymmetric $n \times n$ matrix $a$ and any constant $n$ dimensional vector $b$.

If $\Omega$ is an infinite domain and $n>2$, then the Neumann boundary value problem has a unique solution of the class $W_{p, l o c}^{1}(\Omega)$, provided the solution vanishes at infinity (see the first condition in (1.4)).

If $\Omega$ is an infinite domain and $n=2$, then the Neumann boundary value problem has a unique solution of the class $W_{p, l o c}^{1}(\Omega)$, provided the solution has a finite limit at infinity (see the second condition in (1.4)) and the condition

$$
\int_{\partial \Omega} \psi d s=0
$$

holds.
Solutions, if they exist, are given by the formulae

$$
r_{i} u=\mathbf{V}^{(i)}\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(i)}\right)^{-1} g_{i} \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega_{i}, \quad i=1,2
$$

where $g_{i} \in \mathbb{H}_{p}^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left(\partial \Omega_{i}\right), i=1,2$, are found from the system (3.1).
Theorem 3.5. Let $4 / 3<p<4,1<t<\infty, 1 \leq r \leq \infty, 1 / t-1 / 2<s<$ $1 / t+1 / 2$, the compatibility condition (3.2) with $t$ instead of $p$ be fulfilled, $u \in W_{p}^{1}(\Omega)\left(W_{p, l o c}^{1}(\Omega)\right.$ and conditions (1.4) hold at infinity). If we solve the Neumann problem, then:

- $\psi_{i} \in \mathbb{B}_{t, t}^{s-1}\left(S_{i}\right), i=1,2, \quad$ ensures $\quad u \in \mathbb{H}_{t}^{s+1 / t}(\Omega)\left(\mathbb{H}_{t, l o c}^{s+1 / t}(\Omega)\right)$;
- $\psi_{i} \in \mathbb{B}_{t, r}^{s-1}\left(S_{i}\right), i=1,2, \quad$ ensures $\quad u \in \mathbb{B}_{t, r}^{s+1 / t}(\Omega),\left(\mathbb{B}_{t, r, \text { loc }}^{s+1 / t}(\Omega)\right)$;
- $\left.\left.\psi_{i} \in \mathbb{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{\alpha-1}\left(\bar{S}_{i}\right), i=1,2, \alpha \in\right] 0,1 / 2\right] \quad$ ensures $\quad u \in \bigcap_{\alpha^{\prime}<\alpha} C^{\alpha^{\prime}}(\bar{\Omega})$.

Now let us investigate asymptotics of the Neumann boundary value problem. The boundary data of the Neumann problem are sufficiently smooth, i.e., $\psi_{i} \in \mathbb{H}_{p}^{(\infty, s+2 M), \infty}\left(S_{i}\right), \quad i=1,2$.

In view of the equality (2.5), we can write the symbol $\sigma_{\mathbf{B}}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)$ of the pseudodifferential operator $\mathbf{B}$ as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{\mathbf{B}}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)= & {\left[\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+\sigma_{\mathbf{V}_{0}^{(1)}}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)\right)\left(\sigma_{\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(1)}}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)\right)^{-1}\right]^{-1}+} \\
& +\left[\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}-\sigma_{\mathbf{V}_{0}^{*}(1)}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)\right)\left(\sigma_{\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(1)}}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)\right)^{-1}\right]^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the symbol $\sigma_{\mathbf{V}_{o}^{* 1)}}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)$ is an odd matrix-function with respect to $\xi^{\prime}$, while the symbol $\sigma_{\mathbf{V}^{(1)}}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)$ is an even matrix-function. Therefore one can easily ascertain that the symbol $\sigma_{\mathbf{B}}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)$ is even with respect to the variable $\xi^{\prime}$, i.e.

$$
\sigma_{\mathbf{B}}\left(x^{\prime},-\xi^{\prime}\right)=\sigma_{\mathbf{B}}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)
$$

and all eigenvalues of the matrix $\left(\sigma_{\mathbf{B}}\left(x^{\prime}, 0,-1\right)\right)^{-1} \sigma_{\mathbf{B}}\left(x^{\prime}, 0,-1\right)=\mathcal{I}$ are $\operatorname{trivial} \lambda_{\mathbf{B}}^{(i)}=1, j=1, \ldots, n$.

Let us consider a local system of coordinates $\left(x^{\prime \prime}, x_{n-1,+}\right) \in S_{0}$ (see (2.5)). Applying a result on strongly elliptic pseudodifferential equations (see [7, Theorem 2.1]) and taking into account the second equation in (3.4), we obtain the following result on asymptotic expansion of the function $\widetilde{\psi}_{0}^{(i)}$, $i=1,2$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{\psi}_{0}^{(i)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, x_{n-1}\right) & =(-1)^{i+1} c_{0}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) x_{n-1,+}^{-1 / 2}+ \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{M} x_{n-1,+}^{-1 / 2+k} B_{k}^{(i)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, \log x_{n-1,+}\right)+\widetilde{\psi}_{M+1}^{(i)}, \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{0} \in C^{\infty}\left(\partial S_{0}\right)$, and the remainder $\tilde{\psi}_{M+1}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{H}_{p}^{(\infty, s+M+1), \infty}\left(S_{\varepsilon}^{+}\right), \quad i=$ $1,2, M \in \mathbb{N}$.
$B_{k}^{(i)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, t\right)$ in (3.5) is a polynomial of degree k with respect to the variable $t$ and has $C^{\infty}\left(\partial S_{0}\right)$-smooth vector coefficients on the cuspidal edge $x^{\prime \prime} \in$ $\partial S_{0}$.

Let $\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, \psi_{0}^{(1)}, \psi_{0}^{(2)}\right)$ be a solution of system (3.1), i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{N}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, \psi_{0}^{(1)}, \psi_{0}^{(2)}\right)=\Psi \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Psi=\left(\Psi_{0}^{(1)}, \Psi_{0}^{(2)}, 0,-\left(\pi_{0} \Phi_{0}^{(1)}+\pi_{0} \Phi_{0}^{(2)}\right)\right)$.

By adding to both parts of the system (3.6) the expression

$$
\mathbf{T}_{2 M}\left(\begin{array}{c}
g_{1} \\
g_{2} \\
\psi_{0}^{(1)} \\
\psi_{0}^{(2)}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(1)}\right)^{2 M} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)}\right)^{2 M} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
g_{1} \\
g_{2} \\
\psi_{0}^{(1)} \\
\psi_{0}^{(2)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

we obtain the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{N}_{2 M}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, \psi_{0}^{(1)}, \psi_{0}^{(2)}\right)=\Psi^{*} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\stackrel{*}{\Psi}=\left(\Psi_{0}^{(1)}+\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(1)}\right)^{2 M} g_{1}, \Psi_{0}^{(2)}+\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)}\right)^{2 M} g_{2}, 0,-\left(\pi_{0} \Phi_{0}^{(1)}+\pi_{0} \Phi_{0}^{(2)}\right)\right)$. The system (3.7) takes the form

$$
\begin{cases}\mathbf{B}_{2 M}^{(i)} g_{i}-\psi_{0}^{(i)}=\Psi_{0}^{(i)}+\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{i)}\right)^{2 M} g_{i} & \text { on } \partial \Omega_{i}, \quad i=1,2,  \tag{3.8}\\ \pi_{0} g_{1}-\pi_{0} g_{2}=0 & \text { on } S_{0}, \\ \psi_{0}^{(1)}+\psi_{0}^{(2)}=0 & \text { on } S_{0},\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{B}_{2 M}^{(i)}=\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(i)}\right)^{2 M}+\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{V}}_{0}^{(i)}\right)\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(i)}\right)^{-1}, \quad i=1,2
$$

As it is clear from the foregoing arguments, the system can be reduced to a pseudodifferential equation with the positive definite operator.

From the first two equations of the system (3.8) we find that

$$
g_{i}=\left(\mathbf{B}_{2 M}^{(i)}\right)^{-1} \psi_{0}^{(i)}+F_{i}, \quad i=1,2,
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{i}=\left(\mathbf{B}_{2 M}^{(i)}\right)^{-1} \Psi_{0}^{(i)}+\left(\mathbf{B}_{2 M}^{(i)}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(i)}\right)^{2 M} g_{i}, \\
& F_{i} \in \mathbb{H}_{p}^{(\infty, s+2 M+1), \infty}\left(\partial \Omega_{i}\right), \quad i=1,2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we can write

$$
r_{i} u=\mathbf{V}^{(i)}\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(i)}\right)^{-1}\left(B_{2 M}^{(i)}\right)^{-1} \psi_{0}^{(i)}+G_{i}, \quad i=1,2 ;
$$

here $G_{i}=\mathbf{V}^{(i)}\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(i)}\right)^{-1} F_{i}, \quad G_{i} \in C^{M+1}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{i}\right)$.
Thus by the asymptotic expansion of the functions $\psi_{0}^{(i)}, i=1,2$, (see (3.5)) and the asymptotic expansion of functions represented by potentials (see [8, Theorems 2.2 and 2.3]) and $\Psi_{0}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{H}_{p}^{(\infty, s+2 M), \infty}\left(\partial \Omega_{i}\right), i=1,2$, we obtain the following asymptotics of the solutions of the Neumann boundary value problems in the local coordinates

$$
\left(r_{i} u\right)\left(x^{\prime \prime}, x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{s=1}^{l(n)} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\sum _ { j = 0 } ^ { n _ { s } - 1 } \left[d_{s j}^{(i)}\left(x^{\prime \prime},+1\right) x_{n}^{j} z_{s,+1}^{1 / 2-j}-d_{s j}^{(i)}\left(x^{\prime \prime},-1\right) \times\right.\right.
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left.\times x_{n}^{j} z_{s,-1}^{1 / 2-j}\right]+\sum_{\vartheta= \pm 1} \sum_{\substack{l, k=0}}^{M+1} \sum_{\substack{j+p=1 \\
l+k+j+p \neq 1}}^{M+2-l} x_{n-1}^{l} x_{n}^{j} z_{s, \vartheta}^{-\frac{1}{2}+p+k} B_{s l k j p}^{(i)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, \log z_{s, \vartheta}\right)\right\}+ \\
& \quad+u_{M+1}^{(i)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) \text { for } M>\frac{n-1}{p}-\min \{[s], 0\}, \quad i=1,2
\end{aligned}
$$

with the coefficients $d_{s, j}^{(i)}(\cdot, \pm 1) \in C^{\infty}\left(\partial S_{0}\right)$ and the remainder $u_{M+1}^{(i)} \in$ $C^{M+1}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{i}\right), i=1,2$. Here

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_{s,+1}= & -x_{n-1}-x_{n} \tau_{s,+1}, \quad z_{s,-1}=x_{n-1}-x_{n} \tau_{s,-1} \\
& -\pi<\operatorname{Arg} z_{s, \pm 1}<\pi, \quad \tau_{s, \pm 1} \in C\left(\partial S_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left\{\tau_{s, \pm 1}\right\}_{s=1}^{l(n)}$ are all different roots of the polynomial $\operatorname{det} \mathbf{A}\left(J_{\varkappa}^{\top}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0\right)(0, \pm 1, \tau)\right)$ of multiplicity $n_{s}, s=1, \ldots l(n)$, in the complex lower half-plane;
$B_{s l k j p}^{(i)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, t\right)$ is a polynomial of order $\nu_{k j p}=k+p+j-1$ with respect to $t$, with vector coefficients depending on the variable $x^{\prime \prime} \in \partial S_{0}$. The coefficients $d_{s j}^{(i)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, \pm 1\right)$ have the form (see [8, Theorem 2.3])

$$
\begin{gathered}
d_{s j}^{(1)}\left(x^{\prime \prime},+1\right)=\mathcal{G}_{\varkappa}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0\right) V_{-1, j}^{(s)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0,0,+1\right) \sigma_{-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+V_{0}^{(1)}}^{-1}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0,0,+1\right) c^{(j)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right), \\
d_{s j}^{(1)}\left(x^{\prime \prime},-1\right)=i \mathcal{G}_{\varkappa}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0\right) V_{-1, j}^{(s)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0,0,-1\right) \sigma_{-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+V_{0}^{(1)}}^{-1}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0,0,-1\right) c^{(j)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right), \\
d_{s j}^{(2)}\left(x^{\prime \prime},+1\right)=-\mathcal{G}_{\varkappa}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0\right) V_{-1, j}^{(s)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0,0,+1\right) \sigma_{-1}^{-1}\left(x^{\prime \prime} \mathcal{I}+0,0,-1\right) c_{0}^{(j)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right), \\
d_{s j}^{(2)}\left(x^{\prime \prime},-1\right)=i \mathcal{G}_{\varkappa}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0\right) V_{-1, j}^{(s)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0,0,-1\right) \sigma_{-1}^{-1}\left(*^{*}(2)\right. \\
\left.s=1, \ldots, l(n), \quad j=0, \ldots, n^{\prime \prime}, 0,0,+1\right) c^{(j)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{\varkappa}$ is the square root from the Gramm determinant of the diffeomorphisms $\varkappa$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
V_{-1, j}^{(s)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0,0, \pm 1\right)= \\
=-\frac{i^{j+1}}{j!\left(n_{s}-1-j\right)!} \frac{d^{n_{s}-1-j}}{d \tau^{n_{s}-1-j}}\left(\tau-\tau_{s, \pm 1}\right)^{n_{s}}\left(\left.A^{-1}\left(J_{\varkappa}^{\top}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0\right)(0, \pm 1, \tau)\right)\right|_{\tau=\tau_{s, \pm 1}},\right. \\
c^{(j)}(x)=\frac{i^{j}}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(j-\frac{1}{2}\right) c_{0}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and $c_{0}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is the first coefficient of the asymptotic expansion in (3.5).

## 4. Asymptotics of Solutions for the Mixed Boundary Value Problems

In [6], a solution of the mixed boundary value problem is sought in the form of a simple layer potential

$$
r_{i} u=\mathbf{V}^{(i)} g_{i} \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega_{i}, \quad i=1,2
$$

Any continuation $\Phi^{(1)} \in \mathbb{B}_{p, p}^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left(\partial \Omega_{1}\right)$ of the function $\varphi_{1}$ onto the entire boundary $\partial \Omega_{1}=S_{1} \cup \bar{S}_{0}$ has the form

$$
\Phi^{(1)}=\Phi_{0}^{(1)}+\varphi_{0}^{(1)},
$$

where $\Phi_{0}^{(1)}$ is a fixed continuation of the function $\varphi_{1}$, and $\varphi_{0}^{(1)} \in \widetilde{\mathbb{B}}_{p, p}^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left(S_{0}\right)$.
Similarly, any extension $\Phi_{0}^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}_{p, p}^{-1 / p}\left(\partial \Omega_{2}\right)$ of the function $\varphi_{2}$ onto the entire boundary $\partial \Omega_{2}=S_{2} \cup \bar{S}_{0}$ has the form

$$
\Phi^{(2)}=\Phi_{0}^{(2)}+\varphi_{0}^{(2)},
$$

where $\Phi^{(2)}$ is a fixed continuation of the function $\varphi_{2}$, and $\varphi_{0}^{(2)} \in \widetilde{\mathbb{B}}_{, p}^{-1 / p}\left(S_{0}\right)$.
The mixed boundary value problem can be reduced to the following system of equations (see [6]):

$$
\mathbf{N}\left(\begin{array}{c}
g_{1}  \tag{4.1}\\
g_{2} \\
\varphi_{0}^{(1)} \\
\varphi_{0}^{(2)}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\Phi_{0}^{(1)} \\
\Phi_{0}^{(2)} \\
-\pi_{0} \Phi_{0}^{(1)} \\
-\pi_{0} \Phi_{0}^{(2)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{N}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(1)} & 0 & -\mathcal{I} & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{V}}_{0}^{(2)} & 0 & -\mathcal{I} \\
0 & -\pi_{0} \mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)} & \mathcal{I} & 0 \\
\pi_{0}\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+\stackrel{\mathbf{V}}{0}_{(1)}^{(1)}\right) & 0 & 0 & \mathcal{I}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Consider the combination

$$
\mathbf{D} \circ \mathbf{N}
$$

where $\mathbf{D}$ is an invertible operator of the form

$$
\mathbf{D}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathcal{I} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \mathcal{I} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\mathcal{I}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Now consider the operator

$$
\mathbf{N}_{M}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(1)} & 0 & -\mathcal{I} & 0 \\
0 & \left(-\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)}\right)^{M}+\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)}\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+\mathbf{V}_{0}^{(2)}\right) & 0 & -\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)} \\
0 & -\pi_{0} \mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)} & \mathcal{I} & 0 \\
\pi_{0}\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+\stackrel{\mathbf{V}}{0}_{(1)}^{*}\right) & 0 & 0 & \mathcal{I}
\end{array}\right)
$$

which differs from the composition $\mathbf{D} \circ \mathbf{N}$ by a compact operator.

A system of equations corresponding to $\mathbf{N}_{M}$ has the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(1)} h_{1}-\psi_{0}^{(1)}=\Psi_{0}^{(1)}  \tag{4.2}\\
{\left[\left(-\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)}\right)^{M}+\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)}\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+\stackrel{\mathbf{V}}{0}_{(2)}^{(2)}\right) h_{2}-\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)} \psi_{0}^{(2)}=\Psi_{0}^{(2)},\right.} \\
-\pi_{0} \mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)} h_{2}+\psi_{0}^{(1)}=F_{1}, \\
\pi_{0}\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{V}}_{0}^{(1)}\right) h_{1}-\psi_{0}^{(2)}=F_{2},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{0}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{H}_{p}^{s}\left(\partial \Omega_{i}\right) \quad\left(\Psi_{0}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{B}_{p, r}^{s}\left(\partial \Omega_{i}\right)\right), \quad i=1,2, \\
& F_{1} \in \mathbb{H}_{p}^{s}\left(S_{0}\right) \quad\left(F_{1} \in \mathbb{B}_{p, r}^{s}\left(S_{0}\right)\right), \quad F_{2} \in \mathbb{H}_{p}^{s-1}\left(S_{0}\right) \quad\left(F_{2} \in \mathbb{R}_{p, r}^{s-1}\left(S_{0}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the system (4.1) emerged in [6] while studying the mixed problem in the case $M=2$.

We have the following auxiliary proposition which is proved similarly to that Lemma 6.2 from [6].

Lemma 4.1. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}, 1<p<\infty, 1 \leq r \leq \infty$. Then the pseudodifferential operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(-\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)}\right)^{M}+\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)}\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{V}}_{0}^{(2)}\right) & : \\
& \mathbb{H}_{p}^{s-1}\left(\partial \Omega_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{p}^{s}\left(\partial \Omega_{2}\right) \\
& : \mathbb{B}_{p, r}^{s-1}\left(\partial \Omega_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{p, r}^{s}\left(\partial \Omega_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

is invertible for any $M=0,1,2, \ldots$.
Defining $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ by the first and the second equations of the system (4.2), substituting them into the third and the fourth equations of system (4.2), we obtain a system of pseudodifferential equations on the open manifold $S_{0}$

$$
\mathbf{Q}\binom{\psi_{0}^{(1)}}{\psi_{0}^{(2)}}=\binom{G^{*}}{F^{*}}
$$

with unknown $\psi_{0}^{(1)}$ and $\psi_{0}^{(2)}$, where

$$
\mathbf{Q}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathcal{I} & -\pi_{0} \mathbf{A}_{2} \\
\pi_{0} \mathbf{A}_{1} & \mathcal{I}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{A}_{1}=\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{V}}_{0}^{(1)}\right)\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(1)}\right)^{-1}, \\
& \mathbf{A}_{2}=\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)}\left[\left(-\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)}\right)^{M}+\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)}\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+\stackrel{*}{\left.\left.\mathbf{V}_{0}^{(2)}\right)\right]^{-1} \mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)},}\right.\right. \\
& G^{*}=F_{1}+\pi_{0} \mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)}\left[\left(-\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)}\right)^{M}+\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)}\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+\stackrel{\mathbf{V}}{0}_{(2)}^{(2)}\right)\right]^{-1} \Psi_{0}^{(2)}, \\
& F^{*}=F_{2}-\pi_{0}\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+\stackrel{*}{\mathbf{V}}{ }_{0}^{(1)}\right)\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(1)}\right)^{-1} \Psi_{0}^{(1)},
\end{aligned}
$$

The operator $\mathbf{A}_{2}$ can be written in a more simple form

$$
\mathbf{A}_{2}=\left[\left(-\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)}\right)^{M-2}+\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+\stackrel{\mathbf{V}}{0}_{(2)}^{(2)}\right)\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)}\right)^{-1}\right]^{-1}, \quad M=2,3, \ldots
$$

Consider the operator

$$
\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{Q} \circ\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \mathcal{I} \\
-\mathcal{I} & 0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\pi_{0} \mathbf{A}_{2} & \mathcal{I} \\
-\mathcal{I} & \pi_{0} \mathbf{A}_{1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Since the operators $\pi_{0} \mathbf{A}_{i}, i=1,2$ (see [6]) are positive definite, we obtain a strong Gårding inequality for the operator $\mathbf{P}$, i.e., we have

Lemma 4.2 (see [6, Lemma 6.3]). For the pseudodifferential operator $\mathbf{P}$ there exists a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Re}\langle\mathbf{P} \chi, \chi\rangle S_{S_{\mathrm{o}}} \geq c\|\chi\|_{\widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_{2}^{-1 / 2}\left(S_{0}\right) \oplus \widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_{2}^{1 / 2}\left(S_{0}\right)}^{2}, \quad \forall \chi \in \widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_{2}^{1 / 2}\left(S_{0}\right) \oplus \widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_{2}^{1 / 2}\left(S_{0}\right)
$$

where the symbol $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denotes the duality between the spaces $\mathbb{H}_{2}^{1 / 2}\left(S_{0}\right) \oplus$ $\mathbb{H}_{2}^{1 / 2}\left(S_{0}\right)$ and $\widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_{2}^{1 / 2}\left(S_{0}\right) \oplus \widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_{2}^{1 / 2}\left(S_{0}\right)$.

From now on the investigation of the operator $\mathbf{P}$ is continued by using a local rectification of the manifold and by "freezing" the coefficients. There arises a matrix operator with components of different orders. Therefore it is convenient first to reduce the orders.

Let $\mathbf{P}\left(x^{\prime}, D^{\prime}\right)$ be a pseudodifferential operator with the symbol $\sigma_{\mathbf{P}}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)$ $\left(\xi^{\prime}=\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n-1}\right)\right)$, "frozen" at the point and written in some local coordinate system of the manifold $S_{0}$.

Let $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{ \pm}$be pseudodifferential operators (Bessel potentials) whose symbols in the local coordinate system have the form

$$
\Lambda_{ \pm}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)=\xi_{n-1} \pm i \pm i\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|, \quad \xi^{\prime}=\left(\xi^{\prime \prime}, \xi_{n-1}\right)
$$

Now we reduce the orders, i.e.,

$$
\mathbf{R}\left(x^{\prime}, D^{\prime}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{L}_{-} & 0 \\
0 & \mathcal{I}
\end{array}\right) \circ \mathbf{P}\left(x^{\prime}, D\right) \circ\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{L}_{+} & 0 \\
0 & \mathcal{I}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\mathbf{L}_{+}=\operatorname{diag} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{+}, \mathbf{L}_{-}=\operatorname{diag} \pi_{+} \mathbf{\Lambda}_{-}$are $n \times n$ matrix operators, $\pi_{+}$is the operator of restriction onto $\mathbb{R}_{n-1}^{+}$, and $\ell$ is the continuation operator.

The operators

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{L}_{ \pm} & 0 \\
0 & \mathcal{I}
\end{array}\right)
$$

are invertible in the respective spaces [15], [29].
Now we will formulate the statements whose proofs are given in $[6$, Lemma 6.7, Theorems 6.8-6.12].

Lemma 4.3. Let $1<p<\infty, 1 \leq r \leq \infty, 1 / p-1 / 4<s<1 / p+1 / 4$. Then the operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{R}\left(x^{\prime}, D^{\prime}\right): & \widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_{p}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{n-1}^{+}\right) \oplus \widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_{p}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{n-1}^{+}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{p}^{s-1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{n-1}^{+}\right) \oplus \mathbb{H}_{p}^{s-1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{n-1}^{+}\right) \\
& \left(\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{p, r}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{n-1}^{+}\right) \oplus \widetilde{\mathbb{B}}_{p, r}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{n-1}^{+}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{p, r}^{s-1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{n-1}^{+}\right) \oplus \mathbb{B}_{p, r}^{s-1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{n-1}^{+}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

is Fredholm with the zero index.
It is worth noticing that $\operatorname{PsDO} \mathbf{R}\left(x^{\prime}, D^{\prime}\right)$ is Fredholm in the anisotropic Bessel potential spaces with the weight

$$
\widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_{p}^{(\mu, s), k}\left(\mathbb{R}_{n-1}^{+}\right) \oplus \widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_{p}^{(\mu, s), k}\left(\mathbb{R}_{n-1}^{+}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{p}^{(\mu, s-1), k}\left(\mathbb{R}_{n-1}^{+}\right) \oplus \mathbb{H}_{p}^{(\mu, s-1), k}\left(\mathbb{R}_{n-1}^{+}\right)
$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k=0,1, \ldots$ (see [7]).
Lemma 4.4. Let $1<p<\infty, 1 \leq r \leq \infty, 1 / p-1 / 4<s<1 / p+1 / 4$. Then the operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Q}: & \tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{p}^{s}\left(S_{0}\right) \oplus \widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_{p}^{s-1}\left(S_{0}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{p}^{s}\left(S_{0}\right) \oplus H_{p}^{s-1}\left(S_{0}\right) \\
& \left(\widetilde{\mathbb{B}}_{p, r}^{s}\left(S_{0}\right) \oplus \widetilde{\mathbb{B}}_{p, r}^{s-1}\left(S_{0}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{p, r}^{s}\left(S_{0}\right) \oplus \mathbb{P}_{p, r}^{s-1}\left(S_{0}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

is invertible.
Theorem 4.5. Let $1<p<\infty, 1 \leq r \leq \infty, 1 / p-1 / 4<s<1 / p+1 / 4$, $M=2,3, \ldots$. Then the operator
is invertible.
Theorem 4.6. Let $1<p<\infty, 1 \leq r \leq \infty, 1 / p-1 / 4<s<1 / p+1 / 4$. Then the operator

is invertible.

Theorem 4.7. Let $8 / 5<p<8 / 3$. Then the mixed problem has a unique solution in the class $W_{p}^{1}(\Omega)$ (in $W_{p, l o c}^{1}(\Omega)$, provided the condition (1.4) is satisfied at infinity); the solution is given by the formula

$$
r_{i} u=\mathbf{V}^{(i)} g_{i} \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega_{i} \quad i=1,2
$$

where $g_{i}, i=1,2$, are defined from the system (4.1).
Theorem 4.8. Let $8 / 5<p<8 / 3,1<t<\infty, 1 \leq r \leq \infty, 1 / t-1 / 4<$ $s<1 / t+1 / 4, u \in W_{p}^{1}(\Omega)$ (in $W_{p, l o c}^{1}(\Omega)$, provided the condition (1.4) is satisfied at infinity) be a solution of the mixed problem. Then:

- If $\varphi_{1} \in \mathbb{R}_{t, t}^{s}\left(S_{1}\right), \varphi_{2} \in \mathbb{B}_{t, t}^{s-1}\left(S_{2}\right)$, we have $u \in \mathbb{H}_{t}^{s+1 / t}(\Omega)\left(\mathbb{H}_{t, l o c}^{s+1 / t}(\Omega)\right)$;
- If $\varphi_{1} \in \mathbb{B}_{t, r}^{s}\left(S_{1}\right), \varphi_{2} \in \mathbb{R}_{t, r}^{s-1}\left(S_{2}\right)$, we have $u \in \mathbb{R}_{t, r}^{s+1 / t}(\Omega)$, $\left(\mathbb{R}_{t, r, l o c}^{s+1 / t}(\Omega)\right)$;
- if $\left.\left.\varphi_{1} \in C^{\alpha}\left(\bar{S}_{1}\right), \varphi_{2} \in \mathbb{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{\alpha-1}\left(\bar{S}_{2}\right), \alpha \in\right] 0,1 / 2\right]$, we have $u \in \bigcap_{\alpha^{\prime}<\alpha} C^{\alpha^{\prime}}(\bar{\Omega})$.

Theorem 4.7 implies that a solution of the mixed problem belongs to the class $C^{\alpha}$ for arbitrary $\alpha<\frac{1}{4}$, provided the problem data are sufficiently smooth.

The principal homogeneous symbol of the pseudodifferential operator $\mathbf{R}\left(x^{\prime}, D^{\prime}\right)$ is written as

$$
\sigma_{\mathbf{R}}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(\xi_{n-1}-i\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right) \sigma_{\mathbf{A}_{2}}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)\left(\xi_{n-1}+i\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right) & \left(\xi_{n-1}-i\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right) \mathcal{I} \\
-\left(\xi_{n-1}+i\left|\xi^{\prime \prime}\right|\right) \mathcal{I} & \sigma_{\mathbf{A}_{1}}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\sigma_{\mathbf{A}_{1}}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)$ and $\sigma_{\mathbf{A}_{2}}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)$ are the principal homogeneous symbols of the pseudodifferential operators $\mathbf{A}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{A}_{2}$, respectively written in the given local coordinate system, and $\mathcal{I}$ is the identity matrix.

Let $\lambda_{k}\left(x^{\prime}\right), k=1, \ldots, 2 n$, be the eigenvalues of the matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{R}}\left(x^{\prime}, 0,+1\right)\right)^{-1} \sigma_{\mathrm{R}}\left(x^{\prime}, 0,-1\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{\mathbf{R}}\left(x^{\prime}, 0,-1\right) & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma_{\mathbf{A}_{2}}\left(x^{\prime}, 0,-1\right) & -\mathcal{I} \\
\mathcal{I} & \sigma_{\mathbf{A}_{1}}\left(x^{\prime}, 0,-1\right)
\end{array}\right) \\
\sigma_{\mathbf{R}}\left(x^{\prime}, 0,+1\right) & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma_{\mathbf{A}_{2}}\left(x^{\prime}, 0,+1\right) & \mathcal{I} \\
-\mathcal{I} & \sigma_{\mathbf{A}_{1}}\left(x^{\prime}, 0,+1\right)
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The following propositions are valid.
Lemma 4.9 (see [6, Lemma 6.5]). Let $\beta_{k}, k=1, \ldots, n$, be the eigenvalues of the matrix $\sigma_{\mathbf{V}_{0}}=\sigma_{\mathbf{V}_{0}}\left(x^{\prime}, 0,+1\right)$. Then $\left.\beta_{k} \in\right]-1 / 2 ; 1 / 2[, k=1, \ldots, n$, and for $n=2 l$ we have $\beta_{k}=b_{k}, \beta_{k+1}=-b_{k}, k=1, \ldots, l$, while for $n=2 l+1$ we have $\beta_{1}=0, \beta_{k}=b_{k}, b_{k+1}=-b_{k}, k=1, \ldots, l$, where $b_{1}>0, \ldots, b_{l}>0$.

Theorem 4.10 (see [6, Theorem 6.6]). Let $\lambda_{k}\left(x^{\prime}\right), k=1, \ldots, 2 n$, be the eigenvalues of the matrix (4.3). Then

$$
\lambda_{k}\left(x^{\prime}\right)= \begin{cases}i \sqrt{\frac{1-2 \beta_{k}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{1+2 \beta_{k}\left(x^{\prime}\right)},} & \text { if } k=1, \ldots, n, \\ -i \sqrt{\frac{1-2 \beta_{k-n}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{1+2 \beta_{k-n}\left(x^{\prime}\right)},} & \text { if } k=n+1, \ldots, 2 n, \quad x^{\prime} \in \bar{S}_{0},\end{cases}
$$

where $\left.\beta_{k} \in\right]-\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{1}{2}\left[\right.$ are the eigenvalues of the matrix $\sigma_{\hat{V}_{0}}$.
Note that Theorem 4.10 plays an important role in proving Lemmata 4.3, 4.4 and Theorems 4.5-4.8. Let $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{2 \ell}$ be algebraic multiplicities of the eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{2 \ell}, \sum_{j=1}^{2 \ell} \lambda_{j}=2 n$.

We introduce the notation

$$
b_{\mathbf{R}}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(\sigma_{\mathbf{R}}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0,+1\right)\right)^{-1} \sigma_{\mathbf{R}}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0,-1,\right)
$$

Let

$$
b_{0 \mathbf{R}}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=\mathcal{K}^{-1}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) b_{\mathbf{R}}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) \circ \mathcal{K}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right), \quad x^{\prime \prime} \in \partial S_{0},
$$

be a canonical Jordan form, where $\mathcal{K}$ is some non-degenerate matrix function, $\operatorname{det} \mathcal{K}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) \neq 0, x^{\prime \prime} \in \partial S_{0}$ and $\mathcal{K} \in C^{\infty}\left(\partial S_{0}\right)$.

Asymptotics of the solutions for a strongly elliptic pseudodifferential equation (see [7]) implies that the solution $\chi=\left(\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}\right)^{\top}$ of the pseudodifferential equation

$$
\mathbf{R}\left(x^{\prime}, D^{\prime}\right) \chi=\Psi, \quad \Psi \in \mathbb{H}_{p}^{(\infty, s+M), \infty}\left(S_{\varepsilon}^{+}\right)
$$

has the following asymptotic expansion:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \chi\left(x^{\prime \prime}, x_{n-1,+}\right)=\mathcal{K}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) x_{n-1,+}^{\frac{1}{4}+\Delta\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)} \mathbb{B}_{a_{p r}}^{0}\left(-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \log x_{n-1,+}\right) \mathcal{K}^{-1}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) c_{0}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)+ \\
& \quad+\sum_{k=1}^{M} \mathcal{K}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) x_{n-1,+}^{\frac{1}{4}+\Delta\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)+k} \mathbb{B}_{k}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, \log x_{n-1,+}\right)+\chi_{M+1}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, x_{n-1,+}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

for all sufficiently small $x_{n-1,+}>0$; here $c_{0} \in C^{\infty}\left(\partial S_{0}\right)$ and $\chi_{M+1} \in$ $\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_{p}^{(\infty, s+M+1), \infty}\left(S_{\varepsilon}^{+}\right)$; exact expansion for $\mathbb{R}_{a_{p r}}^{0}(t)=\operatorname{diag}\left\{B_{a_{p r}}^{0}(t), B_{a_{p r}}^{0}(t)\right\}$, where $B_{a_{p r}}^{0}(t)$ is a triangular block-diagonal matrix function defined in [7]; the vector function $\mathbb{B}_{k}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, t\right)$ is a polynomial of order $\nu_{k}=k\left(2 m_{0}-1\right)+$ $m_{0}-1, m_{0}=\max \left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{2 \ell}\right\}$ with respect to the variable $t$ with $2 n$ dimensional vector coefficients which depend on the variable $x^{\prime \prime}$, and

$$
\Delta\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(\Delta_{1}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right), \Delta_{2}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) ;
$$

here

$$
\Delta_{j}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=(\underbrace{\delta_{1}^{(j)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right), \ldots, \delta_{1}^{(j)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)}_{m_{1} \text {-times }}, \ldots, \underbrace{\delta_{\ell}^{(j)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right), \ldots, \delta_{\ell}^{(j)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)}_{m_{\ell} \text {-times }}), \quad j=1,2
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta_{k}^{(1)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=i \alpha_{k}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right), \quad \delta_{k}^{(2)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=\frac{1}{2}+i \alpha_{k}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right), \\
\alpha_{k}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \log \left|\lambda_{k}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|, \quad k=1, \ldots, \ell .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence one can write asymptotic expansion for the functions $\chi_{1}$ and $\chi_{2}$ separately. In fact, let

$$
\mathcal{K}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{K}_{11}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) & \mathcal{K}_{12}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
\mathcal{K}_{21}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) & \mathcal{K}_{22}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{array}\right)_{2 n \times 2 n}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}^{-1}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) c_{0}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(c_{0}^{(1)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right), c_{0}^{(2)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)^{\top}, \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{K}_{i j}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right), i, j=1,2$, are $n \times n$-matrices; $c_{0}^{(i)}, i=1,2$, are $n$-dimensional vector functions. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi_{i}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, x_{n-1,+}\right) & =\sum_{j=1}^{2} \mathcal{K}_{i j}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) x_{n-1,+}^{\frac{1}{4}+\Delta_{j}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)} B_{a_{p r}}^{0}\left(-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \log x_{n-1,+}\right) c_{0}^{(i)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)+ \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{M} \mathcal{K}_{i j}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) x_{n-1,+}^{\frac{1}{4}+\Delta_{j}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)+k} B_{k j}^{(i)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, \log x_{n-1,+}\right)+ \\
& +\chi_{M+1}^{(i)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, x_{n-1,+}\right), \quad i=1,2 \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $B_{k j}^{(i)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, t\right)$ is a polynomial of order $\nu_{k}=k\left(2 m_{0}-1\right)+m_{0}-1$ with respect to the variable $t$ with $n$-dimensional vector coefficients which depend on the variable $\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime \prime}$.

Note that the boundary data of the mixed problem are assumed to be sufficiently smooth, i.e., $\varphi_{1} \in \mathbb{H}_{p}^{(\infty, s+2 M+1), \infty}\left(S_{1}\right), \varphi_{2} \in \mathbb{H}_{p}^{(\infty, s+2 M), \infty}\left(S_{2}\right)$.

Let $\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, \varphi_{0}^{(1)}, \varphi_{0}^{(2)}\right)$ be a solution of the system (4.1), i.e.,

$$
\mathbf{N}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, \varphi_{0}^{(1)}, \varphi_{0}^{(2)}\right)=\Phi,
$$

where $\Phi=\left(\Phi_{0}^{(1)}, \Phi_{0}^{(2)},-\pi_{0} \Phi_{0}^{(1)},-\pi_{0} \Phi_{0}^{(2)}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{D} \circ \mathbf{N}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, \varphi_{0}^{(1)}, \varphi_{0}^{(2)}\right)=\Psi \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

here $\Psi=\left(\Phi_{0}^{(1)}, \mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)} \Phi_{0}^{(2)},-\pi_{0} \Phi_{0}^{(1)},-\pi_{0} \Phi_{0}^{(2)}\right)$.
Adding the expression

$$
\mathbf{T}_{2 M+1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
g_{1} \\
g_{2} \\
\varphi_{0}^{(1)} \\
\varphi_{0}^{(2)}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)}\right)^{2 M+1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
g_{1} \\
g_{2} \\
\varphi_{0}^{(1)} \\
\varphi_{0}^{(2)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

to the both parts of the system (4.7), we obtain the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{N}_{2 M+1}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, \varphi_{0}^{(1)}, \varphi_{0}^{(2)}\right)=\widetilde{\Psi}, \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{\Psi}=\left(\Phi_{0}^{(1)}, \mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)} \Phi_{0}^{(2)}-\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)}\right)^{2 M+1} g_{2},-\pi_{0} \Phi_{0}^{(1)},-\pi_{0} \Phi_{0}^{(2)}\right)$.
Thus we can obtain $\left(\left(-\mathbf{L}_{+}\right)^{-1} \varphi_{0}^{(2)}, \varphi_{0}^{(1)}\right)^{\top}$ which in some local coordinate system would satisfy the pseudodifferential equation

$$
\mathbf{R}\left(x^{\prime}, D^{\prime}\right)\binom{\chi_{1}}{\chi_{2}}=F
$$

where $F=\left(\mathbf{L}_{-} F_{1}, F_{2}\right)^{\top}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{1}=-\pi_{0} \Phi_{0}^{(1)}+\pi_{0} \mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)}\left(\mathbf{B}_{2 M+1}^{(2)}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)} \Phi_{0}^{(2)}- \\
&-\pi_{0} \mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)}\left(\mathbf{B}_{2 M+1}^{(2)}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)}\right)^{2 M+1} g_{2}, \\
& F_{2}=\pi_{0} \Phi_{0}^{(2)}-\pi_{0}\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}_{0}^{(1)}\right)\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(1)}\right)^{-1} \Phi_{0}^{(1)}, \\
& F_{i} \in \mathbb{H}_{p}^{(\infty, s+2 M), \infty}\left(S_{\varepsilon}^{+}\right), \quad i=1,2, \quad \text { and } \\
& \mathbf{B}_{2 M+1}^{(2)}=-\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)}\right)^{2 M+1}+\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)}\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+\stackrel{V}{V}_{0}^{(2)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, we can obtain the asymptotic expansions (4.6) for the functions $\left(\mathbf{L}_{+}\right)^{-1} \varphi_{0}^{(2)}$ and $\varphi_{0}^{(1)}$.

Using the first two equations of the system (4.8), we can define $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{1}=\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(1)}\right)^{-1} \varphi_{0}^{(1)}+\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(1)}\right)^{-1} \Phi_{0}^{(1)},  \tag{4.9}\\
& g_{2}=\left(\mathbf{B}_{2 M+1}^{(2)}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)} \varphi_{0}^{(2)}+\left(\mathbf{B}_{2 M+1}^{(2)}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)} \Phi_{0}^{(2)}+G, \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $G=-\left(\mathbf{B}_{2 M+1}^{(2)}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)}\right)^{2 M+1} g_{2}, \quad G \in \mathbb{H}_{p}^{(\infty, s+2 M), \infty}\left(\partial \Omega_{2}\right)$.
Expressions (4.9) and (4.10) result in the following representations: the solutions of the mixed boundary value problems can be expressed by the potential type functions

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{1} u=\mathbf{V}^{(1)}\left(\mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(1)}\right)^{-1} \varphi_{0}^{(1)}+R_{1},  \tag{4.11}\\
& r_{2} u=\mathbf{V}^{(2)}\left(\mathbf{B}_{2 M+1}^{(2)}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{V}_{-1}^{(2)}\left(-\mathbf{L}_{+}\right)\left[\left(-\mathbf{L}_{+}\right)^{-1} \varphi_{0}^{(2)}\right]+R_{2}, \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R_{i} \in C^{M+1}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{i}\right), i=1,2$.
Thus, taking into account (4.11), (4.12), invoking the asymptotic expansions of the functions $\left(-\mathbf{L}_{+}\right)^{-1} \varphi_{0}^{(2)}$ and $\varphi_{0}^{(1)}$ (see (4.6)) and also that of the functions represented by the potentials (see [8, Theorems 2.2 and 2.3]), keeping in mind that $\Phi_{0}^{(1)} \in \mathbb{H}_{p}^{(\infty, s+2 M+1), \infty}\left(\partial \Omega_{1}\right), \Phi_{0}^{(2)} \in \mathbb{H}_{p}^{(\infty, s+2 M), \infty}\left(\partial \Omega_{2}\right)$, we derive the following asymptotics of solutions of the mixed boundary value problem under consideration:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(r_{i} u\right)\left(x^{\prime \prime}, x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{2} \sum_{s=1}^{l(n)} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\sum _ { m = 0 } ^ { n _ { s } - 1 } x _ { n } ^ { m } \left[d_{s j m}^{(i)}\left(x^{\prime \prime},+1\right) z_{s,+1}^{1 / 4+\Delta_{j}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)-m} \times\right.\right. \\
\times B_{a_{p r}}^{0}\left(-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \log \left[(-1)^{i+1} z_{s,+1}\right]\right)-d_{s j m}^{(i)}\left(x^{\prime \prime},-1\right) z_{s,-1}^{1 / 4+\Delta_{j}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)-m} \times
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.\quad \times B_{a_{p r}}^{0}\left(-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \log \left[(-1)^{i+1} z_{s,-1}\right]\right)\right] c_{i j m}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)+ \\
+\sum_{\vartheta= \pm 1} \sum_{\substack{l, k=0 \\
l+p+m+k=0}}^{M+2} \sum_{\substack{M+2-l}} x_{n-1}^{l} x_{n}^{m} d_{s l m p j}^{(i)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, \vartheta\right) z_{s, \vartheta}^{\frac{1}{4}+\Delta_{j}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)+p+k} \times \\
\left.\times B_{s k m p j}^{(i)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, \log z_{s, \vartheta}\right)\right\}+u_{M+1}^{(i)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) \\
\quad \text { for } \quad M>\frac{n-1}{p}-\min \{[s-1], 0\}, \quad i=1,2, \tag{4.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

with the coefficients $d_{s j m}^{(i)}(\cdot, \pm 1), c_{i j m}, d_{s l m p j}^{(i)}(\cdot, \pm 1) \in C^{\infty}\left(\partial S_{0}\right)$ and the remainder $u_{M+1}^{(i)} \in C^{M+1}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{i}\right)$; here

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_{s,+1} & =-x_{n-1}-x_{n} \tau_{s,+1}, \quad z_{s,-1}=x_{n-1}-x_{n} \tau_{s,-1}, \\
& -\pi<\operatorname{Arg} z_{s, \pm 1}<\pi, \quad \tau_{s, \pm 1} \in C^{\infty}\left(\partial S_{0}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left\{\tau_{s, \pm 1}\right\}_{s=1}^{l(n)}$ are all different roots of the polynomial $\operatorname{det} \mathbf{A}\left(J_{x}^{\top}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0\right)(0, \pm 1, \tau)\right)$ of multiplicity $n_{s}, s=1, \ldots, l(n)$, in the complex lower half-plane.

In choosing the corresponding branches, we assume here that the equalities $\left(-z_{s, \pm 1}\right)^{1 / 4+\Delta_{j}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)-m}=e^{i \pi\left(1 / 4+\Delta_{j}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)-m\right)} z_{s, \pm 1}^{1 / 4+\Delta_{j}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)-m}$ are fulfilled.
$B_{s k m p j}^{(i)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, t\right)$ is a polynomial of order $\nu_{k m p}=\nu_{k}+p+m, \nu_{k}=k\left(2 m_{0}-\right.$ 1) $+m_{0}, m_{0}=\max \left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{\ell}\right\}, \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} m_{j}=n$, with respect to the variable $t$ with vector coefficients depending on the variable $x^{\prime \prime}$.

The following relation between the leading (first) coefficients of the asymptotic expansions (4.13) and (4.6) holds (see [8, Theorem 2.3]):

$$
\begin{align*}
& d_{s j m}^{(1)}\left(x^{\prime \prime},+1\right)= \frac{1}{2 \pi} \mathcal{G}_{\varkappa}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0\right) V_{-1, m}^{(s)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0,0,+1\right) \sigma_{V_{-1}^{(1)}}^{-1}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0,0,+1\right) \mathcal{K}_{2 j}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right), \\
& d_{s j m}^{(1)}\left(x^{\prime \prime},-1\right)= \frac{1}{2 \pi} \mathcal{G}_{\varkappa}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0\right) V_{-1, m}^{(s)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0,0,-1\right) \sigma_{V_{-1}^{(1)}}^{-1}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0,0,+1\right) \times \\
& \times \mathcal{K}_{2 j}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) e^{i \pi\left(-\frac{1}{4}-\Delta_{j}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)}, \\
& d_{s j m}^{(2)}\left(x^{\prime \prime},+1\right)=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \mathcal{G}_{\varkappa}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0\right) V_{-1, m}^{(s)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0,0,+1\right) \times \\
& \times \sigma^{-1}  \tag{4.14}\\
&-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+V_{0}^{*(2)} \\
&\left.d^{\prime \prime}, 0,0,-1\right) \mathcal{K}_{1 j}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right), \\
& d_{s j m}^{(2)}\left(x^{\prime \prime},-1\right)= \frac{1}{2 \pi} \mathcal{G}_{\varkappa}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0\right) V_{-1, m}^{(s)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0,0,-1\right) \times \\
& \times \sigma^{-1} \\
&-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{I}+V_{0}^{(2)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0,0,+1\right) \mathcal{K}_{1 j}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) e^{i \pi\left(\frac{1}{4}+\Delta_{j}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)}, \\
& j=1,2, \quad s=1, \ldots, l(n), \quad m=0, \ldots, n_{s}-1 ;
\end{align*}
$$

here $\mathcal{G}_{\varkappa}$ is the square root from the Gramm determinant, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
V_{-1, m}^{(s)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0,0, \pm 1\right)= \\
=-\frac{i^{m+1}}{m!\left(n_{s}-1-m\right)!} \frac{d^{n_{s}-1-m}}{d \tau^{n_{s}-1-m}}\left(\tau-\tau_{s, \pm 1}\right)^{n_{s}}\left(\left.A\left(J_{\varkappa}^{\top}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0\right)(0, \pm 1, \tau)\right)\right|_{\tau=\tau_{s, \pm 1}} ^{-1}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

The coefficients $c_{i j m}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)$ in (4.13) are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1 j m}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=a_{j m}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) b_{j}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) c_{0}^{(2)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right), \\
& c_{2 j m}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=a_{j m}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) b_{j}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) c_{0}^{(1)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right), \quad j=1,2,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{1}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=\operatorname{diag}\left\{b^{m_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{4}+i \alpha_{1}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)\right), \ldots, b^{m_{\ell}}\left(\frac{1}{4}+i \alpha_{l}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)\right\}, \\
& b_{2}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=\operatorname{diag}\left\{b^{m_{1}}\left(\frac{3}{4}+i \alpha_{1}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)\right), \ldots, b^{m_{\ell}}\left(\frac{3}{4}+i \alpha_{l}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)\right\}, \\
& b^{m_{r}}(t)=\left\|b_{k p}^{m_{r}}(t)\right\|_{m_{r} \times m_{r}}, \\
& b_{k_{p}}^{m_{r}}(t)= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\right)^{p-k} \frac{(-1)^{p+k}}{(p-k)!} \frac{d^{p-k}}{d t^{p-k}}\left(\Gamma(t+1) e^{\frac{i \pi(t+1)}{2}}\right), & k \leq p, \\
0, & k>p, \\
p=0, \ldots, m_{r}-1, \quad r=1, \ldots, \ell .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Further,

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{j m}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=\operatorname{diag}\left\{a^{m_{1}}\left(\lambda_{1}^{(j)}\right), \ldots, a^{m_{\ell}}\left(\lambda_{\ell}^{(j)}\right)\right\}, \quad j=1,2, \\
\lambda_{r}^{(1)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=-\frac{5}{4}-i \alpha_{r}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)+m, \quad \lambda_{r}^{(2)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=-\frac{7}{4}-i \alpha_{r}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)+m, \\
m=0,1, \ldots, n_{s}-1, \\
\alpha_{r}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \log \left|\lambda_{k}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|, \quad r=1, \ldots, \ell ; \\
a^{m_{r}}\left(\lambda_{r}^{(j)}\right)=\| a_{k_{r}}^{m_{r}}\left(\lambda_{r}^{(j)} \|_{m_{r} \times m_{r}},\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
a_{k p}^{m_{r}}\left(\lambda_{r}^{(j)}\right)= \begin{cases}-i \sum_{l=k}^{p} \frac{(-1)^{p+k}(2 \pi i)^{l-p} b_{k l}^{m_{r}}\left(\mu_{r}^{(j)}\right)}{\left(\lambda_{r}^{(j)}+1\right)^{p-l+1}}, & m=0, k \leq p \\ (-1)^{p+k} b_{k p}^{m_{r}}\left(\lambda_{r}^{(j)}\right), & m=1, \ldots, n_{s}-1, k \leq p \\ 0, & k>p,\end{cases}
$$

$\mathrm{j}=1,2$; here $\lambda_{r}^{(j)}=-1+m+\mu_{r}^{(j)}, \mu_{r}^{(1)}=-\frac{1}{4}-i \alpha_{r}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right), \mu_{r}^{(2)}=-\frac{3}{4}-$ $i \alpha_{r}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right), r=1, \ldots, \ell$, and $c_{0}^{(1)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right), c_{0}^{(2)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)$ are defined by using the first coefficients of the asymptotic expansion of the functions $\left(-\mathbf{L}_{+}\right)^{-1} \varphi_{0}^{(2)}$ and $\varphi_{0}^{(1)}$, respectively (see (4.5)).

Remark 4.11. Lemma 4.9 and Theorem 4.10 readily imply that if $n=$ 2 or $n=3$, then the eigenvalues $\lambda_{k}, k=1, \ldots, 2 n$, of the matrix (4.3) are different; therefore there exists a nondegenerate infinitely differentiable matrix $\mathcal{K}$ such that the matrix $b_{0 \mathcal{R}}$ is diagonal. Then $B_{a_{p r}}^{0}=\mathcal{I}, \nu_{k}=k$, and expansion (4.13) of the solutions of the mixed boundary value problem can be written in a simple form:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(r_{i} u\right)\left(x^{\prime \prime}, x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{2} \sum_{s=1}^{l(n)} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\sum _ { m = 0 } ^ { n _ { s } - 1 } x _ { n } ^ { m } \left[d_{s j m}^{(i)}\left(x^{\prime \prime},+1\right) z_{s,+1}^{1 / 4+\Delta_{j}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)-m}-\right.\right. \\
\left.-d_{s j m}^{(i)}\left(x^{\prime \prime},-1\right) z_{s,-1}^{1 / 4+\Delta_{j}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)-m}\right] c_{i j m}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)+ \\
+\sum_{\vartheta= \pm 1} \sum_{\substack{l, k=0 \\
l+p+m+k \neq 0}}^{M+2} \sum_{p+m=0}^{M+2-l} x_{n-1}^{l} x_{n}^{m} d_{s l m p j}^{(i)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, \vartheta\right) z_{s, \vartheta}^{\frac{1}{4}+\Delta_{j}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)+p+k} \times \\
\left.\times B_{s k m p j}^{(i)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, \log z_{s, \vartheta}\right)\right\}+u_{M+1}^{(i)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right), \\
u_{M+1}^{(i)} \in C^{M+1}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{i}\right), \quad i=1,2, \quad \text { for } M>\frac{n-1}{p}-\min \{[s-1], 0\},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $B_{s k m p j}^{(i)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, t\right)$ is a polynomial of order $\nu_{k m p}=k+p+m$. The coefficients $d_{\text {sjm }}^{(i)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, \pm 1\right)$ have the same form as in (4.14), and

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{1 j m}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) & =\operatorname{diag}\left\{\frac{\Gamma\left(\mu_{r}^{(j)}+1\right) \Gamma\left(-\mu_{r}^{(j)}+1\right)}{\lambda_{r}^{(j)}+1}\right\}_{r=1}^{n} i^{m+1} c_{0}^{(2)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right), \\
c_{2 j m}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) & =\operatorname{diag}\left\{\frac{\Gamma\left(\mu_{r}^{(j)}+1\right) \Gamma\left(-\mu_{r}^{(j)}+1\right)}{\lambda_{r}^{(j)}+1}\right\}_{r=1}^{n} i^{m+1} c_{0}^{(1)}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right), \\
j & =1,2, \quad m=0,1, \ldots, n_{s}-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$
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