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#### Abstract

The first and the second boundary value problems of statics are considered. The dependence of the solutions and of the corresponding eigenfrequencies of these problems on the elastic constants and density is investigated. The same dependence is studied for the total deformation energy and for Green's operators. The following theorem is proved: among anisotropic elastic convex bodies of a given volume there exists one for which the first eigenfrequency of the first boundary value problem is minimal.
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1. Dependence of the Solutions and of the Deformation Energy of the First and the Second Boundary Value Problems Both on the Elastic Constants and on the Density of the Medium
1.1. On the Continuous Dependence. Let $\mathbb{R}^{n}(n \geq 2)$ be an $n$-dimensional Euclidean space, $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ be its points and let $D$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with the compact connected boundary $S$. Consider the matrix differential operator of the elasticity theory (see [1])

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(x, \partial)=\left\|A_{j k}(x, \partial)\right\|_{n \times n}, \quad A_{j k}(x, \partial)=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(a_{i j e k}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{e}}\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{i j e k} \in C(\bar{D}, \mathbb{R})$ are elastic constants satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i j e k}(x)=a_{j i e k}(x)=a_{e k i j}(x), \quad \forall x \in \bar{D}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists m>0, \quad \forall \xi_{i j} \in \mathbb{R}^{1}\left(\xi_{i j}=\xi_{j i}\right): a_{i j e k}(x) \xi_{i j} \xi_{e k} \geq m \xi_{i j} \xi_{i j} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here and in what follows, the repetition of an index denotes summation with respect to that index from 1 to $n$.

Introduce an $n^{\prime}$-dimensional vector function $a: \bar{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n^{\prime}}\left(n^{\prime}=\frac{1}{8} n(n+1) \times\right.$ $\left.\times\left(n^{2}+n+2\right)\right)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall x \in \bar{D}: a(x)=\left(a_{1111}(x), a_{1112}(x), \ldots, a_{n n n n}(x)\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and assume

$$
\forall a \in C\left(\bar{D} ; \mathbb{R}^{n^{\prime}}\right):\|a\|_{C\left(\bar{D} ; \mathbb{R}^{n^{\prime}}\right)}=\sup _{x \in \bar{D}}\|a(x)\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n^{\prime}}}
$$

where

$$
\|\xi\|_{\mathbb{R}^{k}}=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_{i}^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2}
$$

Denote by $K$ the set of the vector functions (4) satisfying (2) and (3) and show that this set is an open convex cone in the space $C\left(\bar{D} ; \mathbb{R}^{n^{\prime}}\right)$.

Indeed, let $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $a^{(q)} \in K(q=0,1)$. Then, by (3), there exists $m>0$ such that $\forall x \in \bar{D}$ and $\forall \xi_{i j} \in \mathbb{R}\left(\xi_{i j}=\xi_{j i}\right)$ :

$$
a_{i j e k}^{(q)}(x) \xi_{i j} \xi_{e k} \geq m \xi_{i j} \xi_{i j} \quad(q=0,1)
$$

The vector function $t a^{(0)}+(1-t) a^{(1)}$ obviously satisfies (2) and (3), i.e., $t a^{(0)}+(1-t) a^{(1)} \in K$. Moreover, $\forall t>0: t a \in K$ if $a \in K$.

Let $\varepsilon>0$ and $\|\Delta a\|_{C\left(\bar{D} ; \mathbb{R}^{n^{\prime}}\right)}<\frac{\varepsilon}{n^{2}}$. Then for any $x \in \bar{D}$ and $\xi_{i j} \xi_{i j}=1$, we have $\left|\Delta a_{i j e k}(x) \xi_{i j} \xi_{e k}\right|<\varepsilon$, whence by virtue of (3) $\forall x \in \bar{D}, \xi_{i j} \xi_{i j}=1$ : $\left(a_{i j e k}(x)+\Delta a_{i j e k}(x)\right) \xi_{i j} \xi_{e k} \geq m-\varepsilon$, that is, $a+\Delta a \in K$. Thus the set $K$ is an open, convex cone in $C\left(\bar{D} ; \mathbb{R}^{n^{\prime}}\right)$.

It is known that the elastic medium $(D, \rho, a)$ is the set consisting of a domain $D$ and of functions $\rho$ and $a_{i j e k}$, where $a_{i j e k}$ satisfies (2) and (3) and $\rho$ (the density of the medium) satisfies $\rho(x)>0, \quad \forall x \in \bar{D}$.

Consider the vector function $b=(\rho, a), b: \bar{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}, m=n^{\prime}+1$, and the space $C\left(\bar{D} ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ with the norm $\|b\|_{C\left(\bar{D} ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)}=\sup _{x \in \bar{D}}\|b(x)\|_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}$.

As above, we can show that the set $\mathcal{K}$ of vector functions $b$ determining the elastic medium $(D, \rho, a)$ is an open convex cone in the space $C\left(\bar{D} ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$.

We consider the following boundary value
Problem 1 (see [1]). Find a vector function $u: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$, satisfying

$$
\forall x \in D: A(x, \partial) u(x)+f(x ; \rho, a)=0, \quad \forall x \in S: \lim _{D \in z \rightarrow x} u(z)=0
$$

where $f \in C\left(\mathcal{K} ;\left(H_{0}(D)\right)^{n}\right)$, and $A(x, \partial)$ is defined by (1). It should be noted that the setting of Problem 1 is understood in the generalized variational sense (for the spaces $H_{m}(D)$ and $\stackrel{0}{H}_{m}(D)$, see [2], [3]).

As is well known, if $b \in \mathcal{K}$ and $f(\cdot, b) \in\left(H_{0}(D)^{n}\right)$, then there exists a unique vector function $u(\cdot, b)$ which belongs to the class $\left(H_{1}^{(H}(D)\right)^{n}$ and minimizes the functional $\frac{1}{2} B(v, v, a)-(f(\cdot, b), v)$ on the set $\left(\stackrel{0}{H}_{1}(D)\right)^{n}$ (that is, $u(\cdot, b)$ is a solution of Problem 1 in the generalized variational sense), where

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(u, v, a)=\int_{D} a_{i j e k}(x) \varepsilon_{i j}(u) \varepsilon_{e k}(v) d x, \quad \varepsilon_{i j}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{j}}+\frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $(f, v)$ is the scalar product in $\left(H_{0}(D)\right)^{n}$.
Given $M \subset \mathcal{K}$, we consider the following problem: find a vector function $b^{(0)}=\left(\rho^{(0)}, a^{(0)}\right)$ such that

$$
B\left(u\left(\cdot, b^{(0)}\right), u\left(\cdot, b^{(0)}\right), a^{(0)}\right)=\inf _{b \in M} B(u(\cdot, b), u(\cdot, b), a) .
$$

To investigate this problem, we first show that if $f \in C\left(\mathcal{K},\left(H_{0}(D)\right)^{n}\right)$, then the solution $u(\cdot, b)$ of Problem 1 depends continuously on $b$.

Choose $\Delta b$ such that $b+\Delta b \in \mathcal{K}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\forall v \in\left(\stackrel{0}{H}_{1}(D)\right)^{n}: & B(u(\cdot, b), v, a)=(f(\cdot, b), v) \\
& B(u(\cdot, b+\Delta b), v, a+\Delta a)=(f(\cdot, b+\Delta b), v) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking into account (5), we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\forall v \in\left(\stackrel{0}{H}_{1}(D)\right)^{n}: & B(\Delta u(\cdot, b), v, a)=(\Delta f(\cdot, b), v)- \\
& -\int_{D} \Delta a_{i j e k}(x) \varepsilon_{i j}(u(\cdot, b+\Delta b)) \varepsilon_{e k}(v) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

If instead of $v$ we write $\Delta u(\cdot, b)$, then due to (3), Korn's first inequality (see [2], [4]) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\|\Delta u(\cdot, b)\|_{1} \leq m_{1}\left(\|\Delta f(\cdot, b)\|_{0}+\|\Delta a\|_{C\left(\bar{D} ; \mathbb{R}^{n^{2}}\right.}\right)\|u(\cdot, b+\Delta b)\|_{1}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{1}$ does not depend on $\Delta b$. Moreover, we note that if $\|\Delta b\|_{C\left(\bar{D} ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)}$ is sufficiently small, then $\|u(\cdot, b+\Delta b)\|_{1} \leq m_{2}\|f(\cdot, b+\Delta b)\|_{0}\left(m_{2}\right.$ does not depend on $\Delta b$ ).

Consequently, (6) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\Delta u(\cdot, b)\|_{1} \leq m_{0}\left(\|\Delta f(\cdot, b)\|_{0}+\|\Delta a\|_{C\left(\bar{D} ; \mathbb{R}^{n^{\prime}}\right)}\|f(\cdot, b+\Delta b)\|_{0}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The latter proves that $u(\cdot, b)$ is continuous in $b$, i.e., $u \in C\left(\mathcal{K} ;\left({ }_{H}^{0}(D)\right)^{n}\right)$.
Finally, consider the function $\varphi_{f}: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as follows:

$$
\forall b \in \mathcal{K}: \varphi_{f}(b)=B(u(\cdot, b), u(\cdot, b), a)
$$

Taking into account (5) and the fact that $u \in C\left(\mathcal{K} ;\left(\stackrel{0}{H}_{1}(D)\right)^{n}\right)$, we can easily conclude that $\varphi_{f} \in C(\mathcal{K})$.

Thus the following theorem is valid.
Theorem 1. If $M \subset \mathcal{K}$ is a compact set in the space $C\left(\bar{D} ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$, then there exists at least one vector function $b^{(0)} \in M$ such that

$$
B\left(u\left(\cdot, b^{(0)}\right), u\left(\cdot, b^{(0)}\right), a^{(0)}\right)=\inf _{b \in M} B(u(\cdot, b), u(\cdot, b), a)
$$

Remark 1. The theorem has the following mechanical meaning: of the elastic media $(D, \rho, a),(\rho, a) \in M$, we can choose at least one medium ( $D, \rho^{(0)}, a^{(0)}$ ) for which the total deformation energy $\varphi_{f}\left(\rho^{(0)}, a^{(0)}\right)$ corresponding to the solution $u\left(\cdot, \rho^{(0)}, a^{(0)}\right)$ of Problem 1 is minimal.

We will now proceed to the consideration of the second boundary value
Problem 2 (see [1]). Find a vector function $u: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall x \in D: A(x, \partial) u(x)+f(x, \rho, a)=0 \\
& \forall x \in S: \lim _{D \in z \rightarrow x} T\left(\partial_{z}, \nu(x)\right) u(z)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
T\left(\partial_{z}, \nu\right)=\left\|T_{j k}\left(\partial_{z}, \nu\right)\right\|_{n \times n}, \quad T_{j k}\left(\partial_{z}, \nu\right)=a_{i j e k}(z) \nu_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{e}},
$$

and $\nu(x)$ is the unit normal at the point $x \in S$, exterior with respect to $D$ (the problem is posed in the generalized sense).

For Korn's second inequality to be valid (see [2], [3]), the boundary $S$ is assumed to be sufficiently smooth.

It is known that if $f \in C\left(\mathcal{K} ;\left(H_{0}(D)\right)^{n}\right)$ and the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{D} f(x, b) d x=0, \quad \int_{D}\left(x_{i} f_{j}-x_{j} f_{i}\right) d x=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

are fulfilled, then Problem 2 has a solution $u(\cdot, b)$ of the class $\left(H_{1}(D)\right)^{n}$. Any two solutions of this problem differ by the rigid displacement vector $L x+C$, where $L=\left\|L_{i j}\right\|_{n \times n}, L_{i j}=-L_{j i}$ and $C=\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)$.

Similarly to the previous problem, the following equalities are valid:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\forall v \in\left(H_{1}(D)\right)^{n}: & B(u(\cdot, b), v, a)=(f(\cdot, b), v) \\
& B(u(\cdot, b+\Delta b), v, a+\Delta a)=(f(\cdot, b+\Delta b), v),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $b \in \mathcal{K}$ and $b+\Delta b \in \mathcal{K}$.
This implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\forall v \in\left(H_{1}(D)\right)^{n}: & B(\Delta u(\cdot, b), v, a)=(\Delta f(\cdot, b), v)- \\
& -\int_{D} \Delta a_{i j e k}(x) \varepsilon_{i j}(u(x, b+\Delta b)) \varepsilon_{e k}(v) d x . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

If in (9) instead of $v$ we write $\Delta u(\cdot, b)$, then by virtue of (3), the left-hand side of (9) will admit the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(\Delta u(\cdot, b), \Delta u(\cdot, b), a) \geq m \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \int_{D} \varepsilon_{i j}^{2}(\Delta u(x, b)) d x . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be the set of all rigid displacement vectors and $P$ be the operator of orthogonal projection of $\left(H_{1}(D)\right)^{n}$ onto $\mathcal{R}$ in the sense of $H_{0}(D)$. Then, taking into consideration the conditions (8) (which are valid for the vector function $\Delta f$ ) as well, we obtain

$$
(\Delta f(\cdot, b), \Delta u(\cdot, b))=(\Delta f(\cdot, b), \Delta u(\cdot, b)-P \Delta u(\cdot, b)),
$$

whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
|(\Delta f(\cdot, b), \Delta u(\cdot, b))| \leq\|\Delta f(\cdot, b)\|_{0}\|\Delta u(\cdot, b)-P \Delta u(\cdot, b)\|_{0} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, it is known (see [4]) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall v \in\left(H_{1}(D)\right)^{n}: \sum_{i j=1}^{n} \int_{D} \varepsilon_{i j}^{2}(v) d x \geq c\|v-P v\|_{0}^{2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ does not depend on $v$. Thus from (11) we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|(\Delta f(\cdot, b), \Delta u(\cdot, b))| \leq c_{1}| | \Delta f(\cdot, b) \|_{0}\left(\sum_{i j=1}^{n} \int_{D} \varepsilon_{i j}^{2}(\Delta u(x, b)) d x\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Estimate the last term in the right-hand side of (9):

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{D} \Delta a_{i j e k}(x) \varepsilon_{i j}(u(x, b+\Delta b)) \varepsilon_{e k}(\Delta u(x, b)) d x \leq \\
\leq C_{2} \mid \Delta a\left\|_{C\left(\bar{D} ; R^{n^{\prime}}\right)} \sum_{i j=1}^{n}\right\| \varepsilon_{i j}(u(\cdot, b+\Delta b)) \|_{0}\left\{\sum_{e, k=1}^{n} \int_{D} \varepsilon_{e k}^{2}(\Delta u(\cdot, b)) d x\right\}^{1 / 2} \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since
$B(u(\cdot, b+\Delta b), u(\cdot, b+\Delta b), a+\Delta a)=(f(\cdot, b+\Delta b), u(\cdot, b+\Delta b)-P u(\cdot, b+\Delta b))$,
from (12) we have
$\sum_{i j=1}^{n} \int_{D} \varepsilon_{i j}^{2}(u(x, b+\Delta b)) d x \leq \frac{1}{m \sqrt{c}}\|f(\cdot, b+\Delta b)\|_{0}\left\{\sum_{i j=1}^{n} \int_{D} \varepsilon_{i j}^{2}(u(\cdot, b+\Delta b)) d x\right\}^{1 / 2}$,
that is,

$$
\sum_{i j=1}^{n}\left\|\varepsilon_{i j}(u(\cdot, b+\Delta b))\right\|_{0} \leq \frac{1}{m \sqrt{c}}\|f(\cdot, b+\Delta b)\|_{0}
$$

Hence from (14) we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{D} \Delta a_{i j e k}(x) \varepsilon_{i j}(u(x, b+\Delta b)) \varepsilon_{e k}(\Delta u(x, b)) d x \leq \\
\leq m_{0}\|\Delta a\|_{C\left(\bar{D} ; \mathbb{R}^{n^{\prime}}\right)}\|f(\cdot, b+\Delta b)\|_{0}\left\{\sum_{i j=1}^{n} \int_{D} \varepsilon_{i j}^{2}(\Delta u(x, b)) d x\right\}^{1 / 2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The latter together with (9) and with regard for (10) and (13) finally gives $\left\{\sum_{i j=1}^{n} \int_{D} \varepsilon_{i j}^{2}(\Delta u(x, b)) d x\right\}^{1 / 2} \leq m_{0}\left(\|\Delta f(\cdot, b)\|_{0}+\|\Delta a\|_{C\left(\bar{D} ; \mathbb{R}^{n^{\prime}}\right)}\|f(\cdot, b+\Delta b)\|_{0}\right)$,
where $m_{0}$ does not depend on $\Delta b$. That is, $\varepsilon_{i j}(\underline{\Delta} u(\cdot, b))=\Delta \varepsilon_{i j}(u(\cdot, b)) \rightarrow 0$ in the sense of $H_{0}$ as $\Delta b \rightarrow 0$ in the space $C\left(\bar{D} ; \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$. Consequently, $\varphi_{f} \in$ $C(\mathcal{K})$, and we can conclude that Theorem 1 is valid for the second boundary value problem as well.

Remark 2. Let $f$ in the first boundary value problem be a homogeneous function of order $\alpha$ with respect to $b$. Then it can be easily shown that

$$
\forall t>0: u(\cdot, t b)=t^{\alpha-1} u(\cdot, b), \quad \varphi_{f}(t b)=t^{2 \alpha-1} \varphi_{f}(b)
$$

Clearly

1) if $\alpha<\frac{1}{2}$, then $\quad \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{f}(t b)=0, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi_{f}(t b)=\infty$;
2) if $\alpha>\frac{1}{2}$, then $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{f}(t b)=\infty, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi_{f}(t b)=0$;
3) if $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}$, then $\varphi_{f}(t b)=\varphi(b)$.

Remark 3. Let $f$ be independent of $b$. Then

$$
\forall t>0: u(\cdot, t b)=\frac{1}{t} u(\cdot, b), \quad \varphi_{f}(t b)=\frac{1}{t} \varphi_{f}(b)
$$

Analogous remarks are valid for the second boundary value problem.

### 1.2. Differentiability of the Total Deformation Energy with Respect to the

Parameters $\rho$ and $a$. Let the elastic constants $a_{i j e k}$ and the density $\rho$ be independent of $x, x \in \bar{D}$, i.e., the elastic medium is homogeneous and anisotropic. Denote the above introduced cones $K$ and $\mathcal{K}$ by $K_{0}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{0}$, respectively. Moreover, assume that $f \in C^{1}\left(\mathcal{K}_{0} ;\left(H_{0}(D)\right)^{n}\right)$.

Consider Problem 1. If $u(\cdot, b)$ is a solution of this problem, then

$$
\forall v \in\left(\stackrel{0}{H}_{1}(D)\right)^{n}: \int_{D} a_{i j e k} \varepsilon_{i j}(u(x, b)) \varepsilon_{e k}(v) d x=(f, v) .
$$

Let $t^{\prime}=\left(0, \ldots, 0, \Delta t^{\prime}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$ be an $m$-dimensional vector ( $\Delta t^{\prime}$ is the $(s+1)$-component of the vector $\left.t^{\prime}\right)$. Then
$\forall v \in\left(\stackrel{0}{H}_{1}(D)\right)^{n}: \int_{D}\left(a_{i j e k}+t_{i j e k}^{\prime}\right) \varepsilon_{i j}\left(u\left(x, b+t^{\prime}\right)\right) \varepsilon_{e k}(v) d x=\left(f\left(\cdot, b+t^{\prime}\right), v\right)$,
where the set of the numbers $t_{i j e k}^{\prime}$ is obtained from $t^{\prime}$ (see the definition of the space $\left.C\left(\bar{D} ; \mathbb{R}^{n^{\prime}}\right)\right)$.

From these two equalities we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \forall v \in\left(\stackrel{0}{H}_{1}(D)\right)^{n}: \int_{D} a_{i j e k} \varepsilon_{i j}\left(\Delta^{\prime} u(x, b) \varepsilon_{e k}(v) d x=\right. \\
& =\left(\Delta^{\prime} f(\cdot, b), v\right)-\Delta t^{\prime} \int_{D} \varepsilon_{i_{0} j_{0}}\left(u\left(x, b+t^{\prime}\right)\right) \varepsilon_{e_{0} k_{0}}(v) d x . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that here the summation takes place only for those indices $i_{0}, j_{0}, k_{0}$, $l_{0}$ for which $t_{i j e k}^{\prime}=\Delta t^{\prime}$. Similarly, for the vector $t^{\prime \prime}=\left(0, \ldots, 0, \Delta t^{\prime \prime}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \forall v \in\left(H_{1}(D)\right)^{n}: \int_{D} a_{i j e k} \varepsilon_{i j}\left(\Delta^{\prime \prime} u(x, b) \varepsilon_{e k}(v) d x=\right. \\
= & \left(\Delta^{\prime \prime} f(\cdot, b), v\right)-\Delta t^{\prime \prime} \int_{D} \varepsilon_{i_{0} j_{0}}\left(u\left(x, b+t^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) \varepsilon_{e_{0} k_{0}}(v) d x . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (15) and (16) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{D} a_{i j e k} \varepsilon_{i j} & \left(\frac{\Delta^{\prime \prime} u(x, b)}{\Delta t^{\prime \prime}}-\frac{\Delta^{\prime} u(x, b)}{\Delta t^{\prime}}\right) \varepsilon_{e k}(v) d x=\left(\frac{\Delta^{\prime \prime} f(\cdot, b)}{\Delta t^{\prime \prime}}-\frac{\Delta^{\prime} f(\cdot, b)}{\Delta t^{\prime}}, v\right)+ \\
& +\int_{D}\left[\varepsilon_{i_{0} j_{0}}\left(u\left(x, b+t^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)-\varepsilon_{i_{0} j_{0}}\left(u\left(x, b+t^{\prime}\right)\right)\right] \varepsilon_{e_{0} k_{0}}(v) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting here $\frac{\Delta^{\prime \prime} u}{\Delta t^{\prime \prime}}-\frac{\Delta^{\prime} u}{\Delta t^{\prime}}$ instead of $v$ and taking into account (3), from Korn's first inequality we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i j=1}^{n} & \left\|\frac{\Delta^{\prime \prime} \varepsilon_{i j}(u)}{\Delta t^{\prime \prime}}-\frac{\Delta^{\prime} \varepsilon_{i j}(u)}{\Delta t^{\prime}}\right\|_{0} \leq C\left(\left\|\frac{\Delta^{\prime \prime} f}{\Delta t^{\prime \prime}}-\frac{\Delta^{\prime} f}{\Delta t^{\prime}}\right\|_{0}+\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{i j=1}^{n}\left\|\varepsilon_{i j}\left(u\left(\cdot, b+t^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)-\varepsilon_{i j}\left(u\left(\cdot, b+t^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\|_{0}\right) \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

From the above inequality it is clear that there exists

$$
\lim _{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta \varepsilon_{i j}(u)}{\Delta t}=\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{i j}(u)}{\partial a_{s}}
$$

in the sense of $H_{0}$.
We can also show that the function $\varphi_{f}$ has all partial derivatives with respect to $a_{s}, s=1, \ldots, n^{\prime}$ (the differentiability of $\varphi_{f}$ with respect to $\rho$ is easily proved).

Suppose now that $u(\cdot, b) \in\left(H_{1}(D)\right)^{n}$ is a solution of the second boundary value problem of elasticity. Then, analogously to the previous case,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\forall v \in\left(H_{1}(D)\right)^{n}: & \int_{D} a_{i j e k} \varepsilon_{i j}(W) \varepsilon_{e k}(v) d x=(F, v)+ \\
& +\int_{D} \varepsilon_{i_{0} j_{0}}\left(u\left(x, b+t^{\prime \prime}\right)-u\left(x, b+t^{\prime}\right) \varepsilon_{e_{0} k_{0}}(v) d x\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
W(\cdot, b)=\frac{\Delta^{\prime \prime} u(\cdot, b)}{\Delta t^{\prime \prime}}-\frac{\Delta^{\prime} u(\cdot, b)}{\Delta t^{\prime}}, \quad F(\cdot, b)=\frac{\Delta^{\prime \prime} f(\cdot, b)}{\Delta t^{\prime \prime}}-\frac{\Delta^{\prime} f(\cdot, b)}{\Delta t^{\prime}}
$$

and the summation takes place only for those indices $i_{0}, j_{0}, l_{0}, k_{0}$ for which $t_{i_{0} j_{0} e_{0} k_{0}}^{\prime}=\Delta t^{\prime}$ or $t_{i_{0} j_{0} e_{0} k_{0}}^{\prime}=\Delta t^{\prime \prime}$.

If in the latter inequality we substitute $W$ instead of $v$ and apply the operator of orthogonal projection $P$, then we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
m \sum_{i j=1}^{n} \int_{D} \varepsilon_{i j}^{2}(W(x, b)) d x \leq \\
\leq(F, W-P W)+\int_{D} \varepsilon_{i_{0} j_{0}}\left(u\left(x, b+t^{\prime \prime}\right)-u\left(x, b+t^{\prime}\right)\right) \varepsilon_{p_{0} k_{0}}(W) d x
\end{gathered}
$$

which together with (12) yields

$$
\sum_{i j=1}^{n}\left\|\frac{\Delta^{\prime \prime} \varepsilon_{i j}(u)}{\Delta t^{\prime \prime}}-\frac{\Delta^{\prime} \varepsilon_{i j}(u)}{\Delta t^{\prime}}\right\|_{0} \leq
$$

$$
\leq c\left(\left\|\frac{\Delta^{\prime \prime} f}{\Delta t^{\prime \prime}}-\frac{\Delta^{\prime} f}{\Delta t^{\prime}}\right\|_{0}+\sum_{i j=1}^{n}\left\|\varepsilon_{i j}\left(u\left(\cdot, b+t^{\prime \prime}\right)-u\left(\cdot, b+t^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\|_{0}\right)
$$

Consequently, $\varepsilon_{i j}(u)$ is differentiable in the sense of $H_{0}$, and the total deformation energy $\varphi_{t}$ is differentiable with respect to $b$.
1.3. The Continuity of Green's Operator of the First and the Second Boundary Value Problems with Respect to the Parameter. Let $G^{(1)}(a):\left(H_{0}(D)\right)^{n}$ $\rightarrow\left(\stackrel{0}{H}_{1}(D)\right)^{n}$ be Green's operator of the first boundary value problem for the corresponding medium ( $D, \rho, a$ ) (from the definition of Green's operator it follows that it depends only on $a$ ).

Estimate the norm of the operator $G^{(1)}(a+\Delta a)-G^{(1)}(a)$, where $a$, $a+\Delta a \in K$.

Using the estimate (7), we can write

$$
\begin{gathered}
\forall v \in\left(H_{0}(D)\right)^{n}:\left\|\left(G^{(1)}(a+\Delta a)-G^{(1)}(a)\right) v\right\|_{1}=\| u(\cdot, a+\Delta a)- \\
-u(\cdot, a)\left\|_{1}=\right\| \Delta u(\cdot, a)\left\|_{1} \leq m_{0}\right\| \Delta a\left\|_{C\left(\bar{D}, \mathbb{R}^{n^{\prime}}\right)}\right\| v \|_{0},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $u(\cdot, a)=G^{(1)}(a) v, \forall a \in K$. This implies that

$$
\sup _{\substack{v \in\left(H_{0}(D)\right)^{n} \\ v \neq 0}} \frac{\left\|\left(G^{(1)}(a+\Delta a)-G^{(1)}(a)\right) v\right\|_{1}}{\|v\|_{0}} \leq m_{0}\|\Delta\|_{C\left(\overline{;} ; \mathbb{R}^{n^{\prime}}\right)}
$$

that is, the operator $G^{(1)}$ depends continuously on $a$.
Consider the problem of differentiability of the operator $G^{(1)}$ with respect to the parameter $a, a \in K_{0}$.

Using Korn's first inequality, from (17) and (7) we obtain

$$
\left\|\frac{\left(\Delta^{\prime \prime} G^{(1)}\right) v}{\Delta t^{\prime \prime}}-\frac{\left(\Delta^{\prime} G^{(1)}\right) v}{\Delta t^{\prime}}\right\|_{1} \leq C\left\|u\left(\cdot, a+t^{\prime \prime}\right)-u\left(\cdot, a+t^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{1} \leq C\left\|t^{\prime}-t^{\prime \prime}\right\|\|v\|_{0}
$$

It is clear that the operator $G^{(1)}$ is differentiable with respect to the parameter $a$. Moreover, we can show that

$$
G^{(1)} \in C^{\infty}\left(K_{0} ; L\left(\left(H_{0}(D)\right)^{n},\left(\stackrel{0}{H}_{1}(D)\right)^{n}\right)\right)
$$

Let as before $\mathcal{R}=\{L x+C\}$, where $L=\left\|L_{i j}\right\|_{n \times n}, L_{i j}=-L_{j i}$ and $C=\left(C_{1}, \ldots, C_{n}\right)$.

By the definition,

$$
\mathcal{R}^{\perp}=\left\{f \in\left(H_{0}(D)\right)^{n}:(f, B)=0, \forall B \in \mathcal{R}\right\}
$$

Introduce a norm in the space $\left(H_{1}(D)\right)^{n}$ as follows:

$$
\forall u \in\left(H_{1}(D)\right)^{n}:\|u\|_{1}^{2}=\sum_{i j=1}^{n} \int_{D} \varepsilon_{i j}^{2}(u) d x+\|u\|_{0}^{2}
$$

By virtue of Korn's second inequality, the above introduced norm is equivalent to the standard norm of the space $\left(H_{1}(D)\right)^{n}$.

Consider the quotient space $\mathcal{H}(D)=\left(H_{1}(D)\right)^{n} / \mathcal{R}$ and define the norm by

$$
\forall \widetilde{u} \in \mathcal{H}(D):\|\widetilde{u}\|_{\mathcal{H}}=\inf _{B \in \mathcal{R}}\|u+B\|_{1},
$$

i.e.,

$$
\|\widetilde{u}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}=\inf _{B \in \mathcal{R}}\left(\|u+B\|_{0}^{2}+\sum_{i j=1}^{n} \int_{D} \varepsilon_{i j}^{2}(u+B) d x\right)=\inf _{B \in \mathcal{R}}\|u+B\|_{0}^{2}+\varepsilon(\widetilde{u})
$$

where $\varepsilon(\widetilde{u})=\varepsilon(u), \forall u \in \widetilde{u}$.
Therefore

$$
\|\widetilde{u}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}=\|\widetilde{u}-P \widetilde{u}\|_{0}^{2}+\varepsilon(\widetilde{u}),
$$

where $P$ is the operator of orthogonal projection of $\left(\mathcal{H}_{1}(D)\right)^{n}$ onto $\mathcal{R}$ in the sense of $H_{0}$, and

$$
\|\widetilde{u}-P \widetilde{u}\|_{0}=\|u-P u\|_{0}, \quad \forall u \in \widetilde{u} .
$$

For $\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v} \in \mathcal{H}$ we define

$$
B(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v})=B(u, v), \quad u \in \widetilde{u}, \quad v \in \widetilde{v} .
$$

The following inequality is valid: there is $C_{1}>0$ such that $\forall \widetilde{u} \ni \mathcal{H}(D)$ : $\varepsilon(\widetilde{u}) \geq C_{1}\|\widetilde{u}-P \widetilde{u}\|_{0}^{2}$ (see [4]), which implies that

$$
\exists C>0: B(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{u}) \geq C \| \widetilde{u}_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}, \quad \forall \widetilde{u} \in \mathcal{H}(D)
$$

Consider the functional equation

$$
\forall \tilde{v} \in \mathcal{H}(D): B(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v})=(f, \widetilde{v}), \quad f \in \mathcal{R}^{\perp}
$$

By Lax-Milgram's theorem, the functional equation has a unique solution $\tilde{u}$ of the class $\mathcal{H}$, and

$$
\|\tilde{u}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq C_{1}^{-1}\|f\|_{0} .
$$

Below we will denote the elements of the quotient space $\mathcal{H}$ by $u, v, w, \ldots$
Define now Green's operator for the second boundary value problem.
Let ( $D, \rho, a$ ) be an elastic medium. Consider the functional equation

$$
\forall v \in \mathcal{H}(D): B(u(\cdot, a), v, a)=(g, v),
$$

where $g \in \mathcal{R}^{\perp}$ and $a \in K$.
Denote the unique solution $u(\cdot, a)$ of this equation by $G^{(2)}(a) g$, and consider the mapping

$$
G^{(2)}(a): \mathcal{R}^{\perp} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(D)
$$

defined by

$$
\forall g \in \mathcal{R}^{\perp}: G^{(2)}(a) g=u(\cdot, a)
$$

Show that $G^{(2)} \in C\left(K ; L\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp} ; \mathcal{H}(D)\right)\right)$. Indeed,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\left\|G^{(2)}(a+\Delta a) g-G^{(2)}(a) g\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}}{\|g\|_{0}^{2}}=\frac{\|u(\cdot, a+\Delta a)-u(\cdot, a)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}}{\|g\|_{0}^{2}}= \\
=\frac{\|\Delta u(\cdot, a)-P \Delta u(\cdot, a)\|_{0}^{2}+\varepsilon(\Delta u(\cdot, a))}{\|g\|_{0}^{2}} \leq \frac{C \varepsilon(\mid \Delta u(\cdot, a))}{\|g\|_{0}^{2}} \leq m_{0}^{2}\|\Delta a\|_{C\left(\bar{D} ; \mathbb{R}^{n^{\prime}}\right)},
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\varepsilon(v)=\int_{D} \varepsilon_{i j}(v) \varepsilon_{i j}(v) d x
$$

Thus

$$
\left\|G^{(2)}(a+\Delta a)-G^{(2)}(a)\right\| \leq m_{0}\|\Delta a\|_{C\left(\bar{D} ; \mathbb{R}^{n^{\prime}}\right)}
$$

i.e.,

$$
G^{(2)} \in C\left(K ; L\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp} ; \mathcal{H}(D)\right)\right) .
$$

Show now that the operator $G^{(2)}$ is differentiable with respect to the parameter $a, a \in K_{0}$.

Indeed,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\frac{\Delta^{\prime \prime} G^{(2)}(a)}{\Delta t^{\prime \prime}}-\frac{\Delta^{\prime} G^{(2)}(a)}{\Delta t^{\prime}}\right\|_{L\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp} ; \mathcal{H}\right)}= \\
=\sup _{g \in \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, g \neq 0} \frac{\left\|\left(\frac{\Delta^{\prime \prime} G^{(2)}(a)}{\Delta t^{\prime \prime}}-\frac{\Delta^{\prime} G^{(2)}(a)}{\Delta t^{\prime}}\right) g\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}}{\|g\|_{0}} \sup _{g \in \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, g \neq 0} \frac{\left\|\frac{\Delta^{\prime \prime} u}{\Delta t^{\prime \prime}}-\frac{\Delta^{\prime} u}{\Delta t^{\prime}}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}}{\|g\|_{0}} \leq \\
\leq \sup _{g \in \mathcal{R}^{\perp}, g \neq 0} \frac{C\left[\sum_{i j=1}^{n} \int_{D} \varepsilon_{i j}^{2}\left(u\left(\cdot, a+t^{\prime \prime}\right)-u\left(\cdot, a+t^{\prime}\right)\right) d x\right]^{1 / 2}}{\|g\|_{0}} \leq C\left|t^{\prime}-t^{\prime \prime}\right| .
\end{gathered}
$$

From the above we can conclude that there exists

$$
\lim _{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta G^{(2)}(a)}{\Delta t}=\frac{\partial G^{(2)}}{\partial a_{s}}, \quad s=1, \ldots, n^{\prime}
$$

We can easily show that $G^{(2)} \in C^{\infty}\left(K_{0} ; L\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp} ; \mathcal{H}(D)\right)\right)$.
From the definition of Green's operator

$$
\forall t>0, \quad \forall a \in K: G^{(2)}(a t)=\frac{1}{t} G^{(2)}(a)
$$

Moreover, if $f \in C^{2}\left(\mathcal{K}_{0}, \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)$, then $\varphi_{f}(b)=\left(f(\cdot, b), G^{(2)}(a) f(\cdot, b)\right)$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial \varphi_{f}(b)}{\partial a_{i}}=2\left(\frac{\partial f(\cdot, b)}{\partial a_{i}}, G^{(2)}(a) f(\cdot, b)\right)+\left(f(\cdot, b), \frac{\partial G^{(2)}(a)}{\partial a_{i}} f(\cdot, b)\right) ; \\
\frac{\partial \varphi_{f}(b)}{\partial \rho}=2\left(\frac{\partial f(\cdot, b)}{\partial \rho}, G^{(2)}(a) f(\cdot, b)\right), \\
\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{f}(b)}{\partial a_{i} \partial a_{j}}=\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f(\cdot, b)}{\partial a_{i} \partial a_{j}}, G^{(2)}(a) f(\cdot, b)\right)+2\left(\frac{\partial f(\cdot, b)}{\partial a_{i}}, G^{(2)}(a) \frac{\partial f(\cdot, b)}{\partial a_{j}}\right)+
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
+2\left(\frac{\partial f(\cdot, b)}{\partial a_{i}}, \frac{\partial G^{(2)}(a)}{\partial a_{j}} f(\cdot, b)\right)+2\left(\frac{\partial f(\cdot, b)}{\partial a_{j}}, \frac{\partial G^{(2)}(a)}{\partial a_{i}} f(\cdot, b)\right)+ \\
+\left(f(\cdot, b), \frac{\partial^{2} G^{(2)}(a)}{\partial a_{i} \partial a_{j}} f(\cdot, b)\right), \\
\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{f}(b)}{\partial a_{i} \partial \rho}=\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f(\cdot, b)}{\partial a_{i} \partial \rho}, G^{(2)}(a) f(\cdot, b)\right)+2\left(\frac{\partial f(\cdot, b)}{\partial a_{i}}, G^{(2)}(a) \frac{\partial f(\cdot, b)}{\partial \rho}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

1.4. About Equivalent Forces. Let $f, g \in C\left(\mathcal{K}_{0} ;\left(H_{0}(D)\right)^{n}\right)$ in the case of Problem 1 and $f, g \in C\left(\mathcal{K}_{0} ; \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)$ in the case of Problem 2.

Definition 1. The vector functions $f$ and $g$ are said to be weakly equivalent if the sets of local minimum points of the functions $\varphi_{f}^{(i)}$ and $\varphi_{g}^{(i)}$, $i=1,2$, coincide.

Definition 2. The vector functions $f$ and $g$ are said to be equivalent on the compact $M \subset \mathcal{K}$ if for any $i \in\{1,2\}$, the sets of the minimum points of the functions $\varphi_{f}^{(i)}$ and $\varphi_{g}^{(i)}, i=1,2$, coincide.

Theorem 2. If the functions $f, g \in C^{1}\left(\mathcal{K}_{0} ;\left(H_{0}(D)\right)^{n}\right)$ are homogeneous with respect to $b$ of order $\alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta \neq \frac{1}{2}$, respectively, and moreover

$$
\left\{b \in \mathcal{K}_{0}: f(\cdot, b)=0\right\}=\left\{b \in \mathcal{K}_{0}: g(\cdot, b)=0\right\}
$$

then $f$ and $g$ are weakly equivalent vector functions.
Proof. Indeed, since $\varphi_{f}^{(1)}$ and $\varphi_{g}^{(1)}$ are differentiable, at a point of local minimum we have

$$
\frac{\partial \varphi_{f}^{(1)}(b)}{\partial b_{i}}=0, \quad i=1, \ldots, m
$$

Moreover, using Euler's formula, we obtain

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\partial \varphi_{f}^{(1)}(b)}{\partial b_{i}} b_{i}=(2 \alpha-1) \varphi_{f}(b)
$$

because $\varphi_{f}^{(1)}$ is homogeneous of order $2 \alpha-1$.
This implies that $\varphi_{f}^{(1)}(b)=0 \Rightarrow f(\cdot, b)=0$. Thus, the set of the local minimum points of $\varphi_{f}^{(1)}$ coincides with the set of zeros of $f$. This means that the vector functions $f$ and $g$ are weakly equivalent.

Theorem 3. If $f, g \in C^{1}\left(\mathcal{K}_{0} ; \mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)$ are homogeneous vector functions with respect to $b$ of order $\alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta \neq 12$, respectively, and moreover

$$
\left\{b \in \mathcal{K}_{0}: f(\cdot, b)=0\right\}=\left\{b \in \mathcal{K}_{0}: g(\cdot, b)=0\right\}
$$

then $f$ and $g$ are weakly equivalent vector functions.
1.5. On the Equivalence of Radial Forces in the Case of a Sphere for an Isotropic Homogeneous Elastic Medium. Let $B(0, r)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} ;|x|<r\right\}$ and $f: B(0, r) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Definition 3. We call $f$ a radial vector function (radial force) if

$$
\forall Q \in O(n) \text { and } \forall x \in B(0, r): f(Q x)=Q f(x)
$$

where $O(n)$ is the group of orthogonal matrices.
Consider the differential system of equations of statics of the theory of elasticity for an anisotropic homogeneous elastic medium:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall x \in B(0, r): a_{i j e k} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{k}(x)}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{e}}=f_{j}(x) . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that if $u$ is a solution of the system (18) and $Q \in O(n)$, then the vector function $v(x)=Q^{\prime} u(Q x)$ is a solution of the system

$$
\forall x \in B(0, r): a_{s m h p}^{\prime} \frac{\partial^{2} v_{p}}{\partial x_{s} \partial x_{h}}=\alpha_{p m} f_{p}(Q x)
$$

where $Q=\left\|\alpha_{i j}\right\|_{n \times n}, Q^{\prime}$ is the transpose to $Q$ and

$$
a_{s m h p}^{\prime}=a_{i j e k} \alpha_{i s} \alpha_{j m} \alpha_{e h} \alpha_{k p}
$$

If the medium is isotropic and homogeneous, that is, if

$$
a_{i j e k}=\lambda \delta_{i j} \delta_{e k}+\mu\left(\delta_{i e} \delta_{j k}+\delta_{i k} \delta_{j e}\right),
$$

then $a_{s m h p}^{\prime}=a_{s m h p}$.
Note that $\lambda$ and $\mu$ satisfy

$$
n \lambda+2 \mu>0, \quad \mu>0
$$

Consider the first boundary value problem for a sphere:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\forall x \in B(0, r): \mu \Delta u(x)+(\lambda+\mu) \operatorname{grad} \operatorname{div} u(x)+f(x)=0 ; \\
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow r} u(x)=0 . \tag{19}
\end{gather*}
$$

Theorem 4. If $u: B(0, r) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a solution of the problem (19) for the radial vector function $f \in\left(H_{0}(D)\right)^{n}$, then $u$ is a radial vector function.

Note that the general form of the radial vector function is

$$
\forall x \in B(0, r): f(x)=\rho x g(|x|)
$$

Consequently, if $f(x)=x g(|x|)$ is a solid force, then by Theorem 4, $u(x)=x v(|x|)$ and it satisfies the ordinary differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall s \in(0 ; r): \frac{d^{2} v(s)}{d s^{2}}+\frac{n+1}{s} \frac{d v(s)}{d s}=\frac{\rho}{\lambda+2 \mu} g(s) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(r)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{d v(s)}{d s}=0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

After elementary calculations, we obtain that if $v$ is a solution of the problem (20), (21), then

$$
B(u, u)=\frac{\omega_{n}}{\lambda+2 \mu} \int_{0}^{r} s^{n+1} \psi^{2}(s) d s
$$

where $\omega_{n}$ is the volume of the unit sphere, and

$$
\psi(s)=-\frac{1}{s^{n+1}} \int_{0}^{s} g(t) t^{n+1} d t
$$

Hence the total energy of deformation is of the form

$$
\varphi_{f}(\rho, \lambda, \mu)=\frac{c \rho^{2}}{\lambda+2 \mu} .
$$

It is easily seen that given a compact subset $M$ of the cone $K_{1}=$ $\{(\rho, \lambda, \mu): \rho>0, n \lambda+2 \mu>0, \mu>0\}$, then for any two radial forces $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ of the form $f_{i}=\rho x g_{i}(|x|), i=1,2$, the set of minimum points of the functions $\varphi_{f_{1}}$ and $\varphi_{f_{2}}$ coincide, i.e., the radial forces are equivalent on every compact $M, M \subset K_{1}$.

Let $G$ be an arbitrary compact in the cone $\{\lambda+2 \mu>0, \mu>0\}$ and $M=\left[\rho_{0}, \rho_{1}\right] \times G$. Then the minimum points of the function $\varphi_{f}(\rho, \lambda, \mu)$ are such $\left(\rho_{0}, \lambda_{0}, \mu_{0}\right)$ for which $\left(\lambda_{0}, \mu_{0}\right)$ satisfy the equation $\lambda+2 \mu=t_{0}$, where $t_{0}=\max \{t ;\{(\lambda, \mu): \lambda+2 \mu=t\} \cap G \neq \varnothing\}$.

## 2. On an Isoperimetric Problem in the Elasticity Theory

In this section, we study the following isoperimetric problem: from the set of elastic bodies of a given volume find the one for which the first fundamental frequency of the first boundary value problem is minimal. In mathematical physics, many works have been devoted to isoperimetric problems. Among them we should point out [5].

As above, let $\mathbb{R}^{n}(n \geq 2)$ be the Euclidean $n$-dimensional space. A subset $T$ of the space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is said to be convex if together with any two of its points, it contains the linear segment connecting them. A closed convex bounded set containing interior points is called a convex $n$-dimensional body.

Let $T$ be a closed convex set. Consider the mapping $d(\cdot, T): \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: d(x, T)=\inf _{y \in T}\|x-y\|_{R^{n}}
$$

We can easily see that $d(\cdot, T)$ is a convex function satisfying the Lipschitz condition (with the Lipschitz constant 1).

Denote by $\mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ the set of convex bodies in the space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and define the mapping $\Omega: \mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{L i p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ which with $\forall T \in \mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ associates the function $\Omega_{T}(x)$ defined by

$$
\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \Omega_{T}(x)=d(x, T)
$$

As is easily seen, this mapping is injective. Indeed, if $\Omega_{T_{1}}=\Omega_{T_{2}}$, then $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: d\left(x, T_{1}\right)=d\left(x, T_{2}\right)$. Let $x \in T_{1}$. Then $d\left(x, T_{1}\right)=0$, and therefore $d\left(x, T_{2}\right)=0$, i.e., $x \in T_{2}$. Hence $T_{1} \subset T_{2}$. The contrary can be proved analogously, and therefore $T_{1}=T_{2}$.

Define $\forall T_{1} \in \mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\forall T_{2} \in \mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right): \rho\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right)=\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \mid \Omega_{T_{1}}(x)-$ $\Omega_{T_{2}}(x) \mid$.

Clearly, $\rho$ is a metric (well-known as the Hausdorff distance). Denote by $\mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; \rho\right)$ the metric space defined by the above-introduced metric.

It is easy to show that

$$
\rho\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right)=\max \left\{\sup _{x \in T_{1}} d\left(x, T_{2}\right) ; \sup _{x \in T_{2}} d\left(x, T_{1}\right)\right\} .
$$

The metric space $\mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; \rho\right)$ is complete (see [6]).
Let $\mu$ be a Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. To every $T \in \mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; \rho\right), \mu(T)>0$, we put into correspondence a Hilbert space $H(T)$ so that the following conditions are fulfilled:
if $T_{1} \subset T_{2}$, then there exists $I_{T_{1} T_{2}} \in L\left(H\left(T_{1}\right) ; H\left(T_{2}\right)\right)$ such that

$$
\left\|I_{T_{1} T_{2}} u\right\|_{H\left(T_{2}\right)}=\|u\|_{H\left(T_{1}\right)}, \quad \forall u \in H\left(T_{1}\right)
$$

Introduce the continuous bilinear forms

$$
\forall T \in \mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; \rho\right), \quad B_{j}(T): H(T) \times H(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad j=1,2,
$$

and the functional

$$
\forall u \in H(T): F(T)(u)=\frac{\operatorname{Re} B_{1}(T)(u, u)}{\operatorname{Re} B_{2}(T)(u, u)}
$$

where $\mathbb{C}$ is the set of complex numbers.
Suppose that the bilinear forms satisfy the following conditions:

1. $\forall T \in \mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; \rho\right): B_{1}(T)$ is coercive, i.e., there exists $M_{T}>0$ such that $\forall u \in H(T): \operatorname{Re} B_{1}(T)(u, u) \geq M_{T}\|u\|^{2} ;$
2. $\forall u \in H(T) \backslash 0: \operatorname{Re} B_{2}(T)(u, u)>0$;
3. $\forall(u, v) \in H\left(T_{2}\right) \times H\left(T_{2}\right): B_{j}\left(T_{2}\right)(u, v)=B_{j}\left(T_{1}\right)\left(I_{T_{2} T_{1}} u, I_{T_{2} T_{1}} v\right)$, if $T_{2} \subset T_{1}$;
4. Let $\mathcal{H}_{0}^{k}$ be the homothety with the center 0 and the coefficient $k$, and let 0 be an interior point of the convex body $T, \mu(T)>0$. There exists an isomorphism $J_{0}^{k}$ of the space $H(T)$ onto the space $H\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}^{k}(T)\right)$ such that

$$
B_{j}\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}^{k}(T)\right)\left(J_{0}^{k} u, J_{0}^{k} v\right)=k^{\alpha_{j}} B_{j}(T)(u, v), \quad \alpha_{1} \leq \alpha_{2}, \quad \forall(u, v) \in H(T) \times H(T) .
$$

## Definition 4.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall T \in \mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; \rho\right): \Lambda(T)=\inf _{u \in H(T) \backslash\{0\}} F(T)(u) . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Establish some properties of $\Lambda(T)$.
(I). If $T_{2} \subset T_{1}$, then $\Lambda\left(T_{1}\right) \leq \Lambda\left(T_{2}\right)$.

Indeed,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Lambda\left(T_{2}\right)=\inf _{\substack{u \in H\left(T_{2}\right) \\
u \neq 0}} \frac{\operatorname{Re} B_{1}\left(T_{2}\right)(u, u)}{\operatorname{Re} B_{2}\left(T_{2}\right)(u, u)}=\inf _{\substack{u \in H\left(T_{2}\right) \\
u \neq 0}} \frac{\operatorname{Re} B_{1}\left(T_{1}\right)\left(I_{T_{2} T_{1}} u, I_{T_{2} T_{1}} u\right)}{\left(\operatorname{Re} B_{2}\left(T_{1}\right)\left(I_{T_{2} T_{1}} u, I_{T_{2} T_{1}} u\right)\right.} \geq \\
\geq \inf _{\substack{v \in H\left(T_{1}\right) \\
v \neq 0}} \frac{\operatorname{Re} B_{1}\left(T_{1}\right)(v, v)}{\operatorname{Re} B_{2}\left(T_{1}\right)(v, v)}=\Lambda\left(T_{1}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

(II). $\forall T \in \mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; \rho\right): \Lambda\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}^{k}(T)\right)=k^{\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}} \Lambda(T)$.

Taking into account the fourth property of the bilinear forms, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Lambda\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}^{k}(T)\right)= \\
& \inf _{\substack{u \in H(T) \\
u \neq 0}} \frac{\operatorname{Re} B_{1}\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}^{k}(T)\right)\left(J_{0}^{k} u, J_{0}^{k} u\right)}{\operatorname{Re} B_{2}\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}^{k}(T)\left(J_{0}^{k} u, J_{0}^{k} u\right)\right.}= \\
&= k^{\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}} \inf _{u \in H(T) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\operatorname{Re} B_{1}(T)(u, u)}{\operatorname{Re} B_{2}(T)(u, u)}=k^{\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}} \Lambda(T) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that if $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}$, then $\forall T_{j} \in \mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; \rho\right)$ :

$$
\mu\left(T_{j}\right)>0, \quad j=1,2: \Lambda\left(T_{1}\right)=\Lambda\left(T_{2}\right)
$$

(III). If $T_{0} \in \mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; \rho\right), \mu\left(T_{0}\right)>0$ and

$$
\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} T_{p}=T_{0}, \quad T_{p} \in \mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; \rho\right), \quad \text { then } \quad \lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda\left(T_{p}\right)=\Lambda\left(T_{0}\right) .
$$

By virtue of (II), $\forall \varepsilon>0$ there exist numbers $k_{1}>1$ and $0<k_{2}<1$ such that

$$
\left|\Lambda\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}^{k_{j}}\left(T_{0}\right)\right)-\Lambda\left(T_{0}\right)\right|<\varepsilon / 2, \quad j=1,2
$$

whence

$$
\left|\Lambda\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}^{k_{2}}\left(T_{0}\right)\right)-\Lambda\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}^{k_{1}}\left(T_{0}\right)\right)\right|<\varepsilon
$$

Let $\delta=\min \left\{d\left(\partial \mathcal{H}_{0}^{k_{1}}\left(T_{0}\right), \partial T_{0}\right), d\left(\partial \mathcal{H}_{0}^{k_{2}}\left(T_{0}\right), \partial T_{0}\right)\right\}$.
For $\delta>0$, there exists $p_{0}$ (natural) such that $\rho\left(T_{p}, T_{0}\right)<\frac{\delta}{3}, \forall p \geq$ $p_{0}$. Denote $B\left(T_{0} ; \frac{\delta}{2}\right)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: d\left(x, T_{0}\right)<\frac{\delta}{2}\right\}$. Then $\forall p \geq p_{0}: T_{p} \subset$ $B\left(T_{0} ; \frac{\delta}{2}\right) \subset \mathcal{H}_{0}^{k_{1}}\left(T_{0}\right)$. Therefore $\forall p \geq p_{0}: \Lambda\left(T_{p}\right) \geq \Lambda\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}^{k_{1}}\left(T_{0}\right)\right)$.

Let $\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \stackrel{\circ}{T}_{0}=C \stackrel{\circ}{T}_{0}$ and consider the set

$$
B\left(C \stackrel{\circ}{T}_{0} ; \delta / 2\right)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: d\left(x, C \stackrel{\circ}{T}_{0}\right)<\delta / 2\right\}
$$

Since $\partial T_{p} \subset B\left(C \stackrel{\circ}{T}_{0} T_{0} ; \frac{\delta}{2}\right)$, we have $T_{p} \supset B\left(C \stackrel{\circ}{T}_{0} ; \frac{\delta}{2}\right) \supset \mathcal{H}_{0}^{k_{2}}\left(T_{0}\right), \forall p>p_{0}$, i.e.,

$$
\Lambda\left(T_{0}\right) \leq \Lambda\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}^{k_{2}}\left(T_{0}\right)\right)
$$

Hence we finally get

$$
\Lambda\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}^{k_{1}}\left(T_{0}\right)\right) \leq \Lambda\left(T_{p}\right) \leq \Lambda\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}^{k_{2}}\left(T_{0}\right)\right), \quad \forall p \geq p_{0}
$$

Clearly the above inequality is valid for $\Lambda\left(T_{0}\right)$ as well. Therefore

$$
\left|\Lambda\left(T_{p}\right)-\Lambda\left(T_{0}\right)\right|<\varepsilon, \quad \forall p \geq p_{0}
$$

Thus the property (III) is proved.
Suppose $\forall(u, v) \in\left(\stackrel{\circ}{H}_{1}(\stackrel{\circ}{T})\right)^{n} \times\left(\stackrel{\circ}{H}_{1}(\stackrel{\circ}{T})\right)^{n}$ :

$$
B_{1}(T)(u, v)=\int_{\substack{\circ \\ T}} a_{i j e k} \varepsilon_{i j}(u) \varepsilon_{e k}(v) d x, \quad B_{2}(T)(u, v)=\int_{\substack{\circ \\ T}} u \cdot v d x
$$

Let us prove that $\Lambda(T)$ defined by the formula (22) is the first fundamental frequency for the first boundary value oscillation problem of the theory of elasticity.

Consider the following boundary value problem: find a vector function $u: \stackrel{\circ}{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ satisfying

$$
\forall x \in \stackrel{\circ}{T}: A(\partial x) u(x)+\omega^{2} u(x)=0, \quad \forall x \in \partial \stackrel{\circ}{T}: \lim _{\underset{T}{ })} u(z)=0
$$

where

$$
A(\partial x)=\left\|a_{i j e k} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{e}}\right\|_{n \times n} .
$$

It is known that this problem has a countable set of fundamental frequencies $\left\{\omega_{1}^{2}, \ldots, \omega_{p}^{2}, \ldots\right\}$ such that $\omega_{1}^{2} \leq \omega_{2}^{2} \leq \ldots \leq \omega_{p}^{2} \leq \ldots$ (see [1], [2]).

Let $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \ldots, \varphi_{p}, \ldots$ be the corresponding sequence of fundamental vector functions which form a complete orthonormal system in $\left(H_{0}(T)\right)^{n}$.

The Fourier expansion of $u$ and $A(\partial x) u$ in terms of eigenfunctions shows that

$$
\int_{\substack{\circ \\ T}} a_{i j e k} \varepsilon_{i j}(u) \varepsilon_{e k}(u) d x=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \omega_{m}^{2} C_{m}^{2},
$$

where

$$
C_{m}=\int_{\substack{\circ \\ T}} u \cdot \varphi_{m} d x
$$

Since

$$
\frac{B_{1}(T)(u, u)}{B_{2}(T)(u, u)}=\frac{\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \omega_{m}^{2} c_{m}^{2}}{\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} C_{m}^{2}} \geq \omega_{1}^{2}
$$

we obtain

$$
\Lambda(T) \geq \omega_{1}^{2}
$$

Taking into account the fact that in the above inequality the equality occurs for $u=\varphi_{1}$, we have

$$
\Lambda(T)=\omega_{1}^{2}
$$

To obtain the final result, we have to estimate $\Lambda(T)$ as $\operatorname{diam} T \rightarrow \infty$.
Lemma 1. Let $T \in \mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; \rho\right), \mu(T)>0$ and let $E$ be the ellipsoid of minimal volume with the center $O$, containing the convex body $T$. Then $\mathcal{H}_{0}^{1 / n}(E) \subset T($ see $[7])$.

Lemma 2. Let $E$ be an ellipsoid with the major axis $2 a$. Then there exists a parallelepiped $\Pi, E \subset \Pi$, whose one edge is $2 a$ and

$$
\mu(\Pi) \leq C(n) \mu(E) .
$$

From these lemmas it follows that $\forall T \in \mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; \rho\right)$ there exists a parallelepiped $\Pi, T \subset \Pi$, such that $\frac{2 a}{n} \leq \operatorname{diam} T \leq 2 a$, where $2 a$ is the major edge of $\Pi$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(\Pi) \leq n^{n} C(n) \mu(T) . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the function $\Lambda: \mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; \rho\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is invariant with respect to a parallel translation. Therefore we may suppose that the middle point of a diameter of the convex body $T$ coincides with the origin of coordinates. Consider a new coordinate system $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ in which the coordinate axes are parallel to the edges of the parallelepiped $\Pi$ and the $O y$-axis is parallel to the edge equal to $2 a$.

Let $Q=\left\|\alpha_{i j}\right\|_{n \times n}$ be the corresponding orthogonal transformation and let in the new coordinate system the parallelepiped $\Pi$ have the form

$$
\Pi=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: a_{i} \leq y_{i} \leq b_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n\right\}, \quad b_{1}-a_{1}=2 a .
$$

It can be easily shown that under such a transformation the coefficients of the equation are transformed by the formulas

$$
a_{m p s h}^{\prime}=a_{i j e k} \alpha_{i m} \alpha_{j p} \alpha_{e s} \alpha_{k h}
$$

If we denote $Q^{\prime} u(Q y)=v(y)$, then

$$
\Lambda(\Pi)=\inf _{\substack{\circ \\ v \in H_{1}(\Pi) \\ v \neq 0}} \frac{\int_{a_{1}}^{b_{1}} \cdots \int_{a_{n}}^{b_{n}} a_{m p s h}^{\prime} \varepsilon_{m p}(v) \varepsilon_{s h}(v) d y}{\int_{a_{1}}^{b_{1}} \cdots \int_{a_{n}}^{b_{n}}|v(y)|^{2} d y} .
$$

The condition (3) and Korn's first inequality result in

$$
\int_{a_{1}}^{b_{1}} \ldots \int_{a_{n}}^{b_{n}} a_{m p s h}^{\prime} \varepsilon_{m p}(v) \varepsilon_{s h}(v) d y \geq C_{1} \int_{a_{1}}^{b_{1}} \ldots \int_{a_{n}}^{b_{n}} \frac{\partial v_{e}}{\partial y_{j}} \frac{\partial v_{e}}{\partial y_{j}} d y
$$

where $C_{1}$ does not depend on $a_{1}, b_{1} \ldots, a_{n}, b_{n}$.
By virtue of (23), $2 a\left(b_{2}-a_{2}\right) \ldots\left(b_{n}-a_{n}\right)<C(n) n^{n} \mu(T)$. This implies that the estimate

$$
b_{j_{0}}-a_{j_{0}} \leq \sqrt[n-1]{\frac{c(n) n^{n} \mu(T)}{2 a}}
$$

holds for some $j_{0}, 1<j_{0} \leq n$.

From the obvious identity

$$
v(y)=\int_{a_{j_{0}}}^{y_{j_{0}}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial z_{j_{0}}} d z_{j_{0}}, \quad \forall y \in \Pi
$$

which is valid for every $v \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left({ }^{\circ} \Pi\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
|v(y)|^{2} \leq\left(b_{j_{0}}-a_{j_{0}}\right) \int_{a_{j_{0}}}^{b_{j_{0}}}\left|\frac{\partial v(y)}{\partial y_{j_{0}}}\right|^{2} d y_{j_{0}} \\
\int_{a_{1}}^{b_{1}} \int_{a_{n}}^{b_{n}}|v(y)|^{2} d y \leq\left(b_{j_{0}}-a_{j_{0}}\right)^{2} \int_{a_{1}}^{b_{1}} \ldots \int_{a_{n}}^{b_{n}}\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial y_{j_{0}}}\right|^{2} d y \leq\left(b_{j_{0}}-a_{j_{0}}\right)^{2} \int_{a_{1}}^{b_{1}} \cdots \int_{a_{n}}^{b_{n}} \frac{\partial v_{e} \partial v_{e}}{\partial y_{j} \partial y_{j}} d y .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{gathered}
\forall v \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Pi): \frac{\int_{a_{1}}^{b_{1}} \cdots \int_{a_{n}}^{b_{n}} a_{m p s h}^{\prime} \varepsilon_{m p}(v) \varepsilon_{s h}(v) d y}{\int_{a_{1}}^{b_{1}} \cdots \int_{a_{n}}^{b_{n}}|v(y)|^{2} d y} \geq \\
\geq \frac{c}{\left(b_{j_{0}}-a_{j_{0}}\right)^{2}} \geq C^{n-1} \sqrt{\frac{4 a^{2}}{n^{2 n} C(n) \mu^{2}(T)}}
\end{gathered}
$$

and consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda(T) \geq C^{n-1} \sqrt{\frac{4 R^{2}}{n^{2 n} C(n) \mu^{2}(T)}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $2 R=\operatorname{diam} T$.
From the above we can see that $\Lambda(T) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\operatorname{diam} T \rightarrow \infty$.
Theorem 5. Let $\mathcal{F}=\left\{T \in \mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; \rho\right), \quad \mu(T)=V\right\}, V>0$. Then there exists $T_{0} \in \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$
\Lambda\left(T_{0}\right)=\inf _{T \in \mathcal{F}} \Lambda(T)
$$

Proof. Consider the sphere $\bar{B}(0, r)$ with $\mu(\bar{B}(0, r))>V$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\max _{T \subset \bar{B}(0, r) \cap \mathcal{F}} \Lambda(T) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\Lambda$ is continuous at every point $T \in \mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; \rho\right), \mu(T)>0$, and the set $\left\{T \in \mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; \rho\right)\right\}$ due to Blaschke's theorem (see [8]) is compact, there exists $M$ satisfying (25).

It follows from (25) that there exists $R_{0}>0$ such that $\Lambda(T)>M$, if only $R \geq R_{0}$ and $\operatorname{diam} T=2 R$.

Consider the sphere $\bar{B}\left(0 ; 2 R_{0}\right)$. Then for $\forall T \in \mathcal{F}$, with $\operatorname{diam} T<2 R_{0}$, there exists $T_{1} \in \mathcal{F}$ congruent to $T$ and obtained by a parallel tranlation
such that the middle point of a diameter of $T_{1}$ coincides with the origin coordinates, and $T_{1} \subset \bar{B}\left(0 ; 2 R_{0}\right)$. Then there exists $T_{0} \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $T_{0} \subset \bar{B}\left(0 ; 2 R_{0}\right)$ and

$$
\Lambda\left(T_{0}\right)=\inf _{T \in \mathcal{F}} \Lambda(T)
$$

Consider the mapping $J: \mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; \rho\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; \rho\right)$, where for $\forall T \in \mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; \rho\right)$, $J(T)$ is the convex body obtained from $T$ by the parallel translation and whose middle point of a diameter coincides with the origin of coordinates. We have the following

Theorem 6. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; \rho\right), \forall T \in \mathcal{F}: \mu(T)=V$, and let $J(\mathcal{F})$ be a closed set. Then there exists $T_{0} \in \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$
\Lambda\left(T_{0}\right)=\inf _{T \in \mathcal{F}} \Lambda(T)
$$

Denote by $\Lambda(T, a)$ the fundamental frequency of the first boundary value problem for the elastic body ( $T ; \rho ; a$ ) and show that it is continuous with respect to the parameter $a$. The use will be made of the well-known theorem due to Weyl and Courant (see [9]): if $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are completely symmetric operators in a Hilbert space and if $A=A_{1}+A_{2}$, then the eigenvalues of the operators $A_{1}$ and $A$ with the same numbers differ not more than by $\left\|A_{2}\right\|$.

Let $\widetilde{G}^{(1)}(a):\left(H_{0}(D)\right)^{n} \rightarrow\left(H_{0}(D)\right)^{n}, a \in \mathcal{K}$, where $\widetilde{G}^{(1)}(a)=I G^{(1)}(a)$ and $I$ is the embedding operator, $\left(\stackrel{0}{H}_{1}(D)\right)^{n} \rightarrow\left(H_{0}(D)\right)^{n}$. Then $\widetilde{G}^{(1)}(a)$ is completely continuous and the eigenvalues of the operator $\widetilde{G}^{(1)}(a)$ coincide with the inverse values of the corresponding fundamental frequencies of the first boundary value problem. It follows from the continuity of the operator $\widetilde{G}^{(1)}$ and from the above -mentioned theorem that all fundamental frequencies of the first boundary value problem are continuous. Analogous conclusion is valid for the second boundary value problem.

Theorem 7. $\Lambda(T ; a)$ is continuous at every point $\left(T_{0} ; a^{0}\right) \in \mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; \rho\right) \times K_{0}$, $\mu\left(T_{0}\right)>0$.

Proof. Let $0 \in \stackrel{\circ}{T}_{0}, k>1$ and suppose that $\mathcal{H}_{0}^{k}\left(T_{0}\right)=T_{2}, \mathcal{H}_{0}^{1 / k}\left(T_{0}\right)=T_{1}$.
Then, owing to the property (II), $\forall a \in K_{0}$ :

$$
\Lambda\left(T_{1} ; a\right)=k^{\alpha} \Lambda\left(T_{0} ; a\right) \text { and } \Lambda\left(T_{2}, a\right)=k^{-\alpha} \Lambda\left(T_{0} ; a\right) .
$$

Therefore $\forall T, T_{1} \subset T \subset T_{2}$ and $\forall a \in K_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{-\alpha} \Lambda\left(T_{0} ; a\right) \leq \Lambda(T ; a) \leq k^{\alpha} \Lambda\left(T_{0} ; a\right) . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{-\alpha} \Lambda\left(T_{0} ; a^{0}\right) \leq \Lambda\left(T_{0} ; a^{0}\right) \leq k^{\alpha} \Lambda\left(T_{0} ; a^{0}\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\Lambda\left(T_{0} ; a\right)$ is continuous at the point $\stackrel{0}{a} \in K_{0}$, for $\forall \varepsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that $\Lambda\left(T_{0} ; a^{0}\right)-\varepsilon<\Lambda\left(T_{0} ; a\right)<\Lambda\left(T_{0} ; a^{0}\right)+\varepsilon$, if only $\left\|a-a^{0}\right\|_{R^{n^{\prime}}}<\delta$.

From the above arguments and from the formulas (26) and (27) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k^{-\alpha} \Lambda\left(T_{0} ; a^{0}\right)-k^{-\alpha} \varepsilon \leq \Lambda(T ; a) \leq k^{\alpha} \Lambda\left(T_{0} ; a^{0}\right)+k^{\alpha} \varepsilon \\
& k^{-\alpha} \Lambda\left(T_{0} ; a\right)-k^{-\alpha} \varepsilon \leq \Lambda\left(T_{0} ; a^{0}\right) \leq k^{\alpha} \Lambda\left(T_{0} ; a^{0}\right)+k^{\alpha} \varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

Estimating the difference
$k^{\alpha} \Lambda\left(T_{0} ; a^{0}\right)+k^{\alpha} \varepsilon-k^{-\alpha} \Lambda\left(T_{0} ; a^{0}\right)+k^{-\alpha} \varepsilon=\left(k^{\alpha}-\frac{1}{k^{\alpha}}\right) \Lambda\left(T_{0} ; a^{0}\right)+\left(k^{\alpha}+\frac{1}{k^{\alpha}}\right) \varepsilon$, we can conclude that it may become arbitrarily small as $k \rightarrow 1$ and $\varepsilon$ is sufficiently small.

Theorem 8. Let $M$ be a compactum from $K_{0}$ and let $\mathcal{F}=\left\{T \in \mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; \rho\right)\right.$ : $\mu(T)=V\}$. Then there exists $\left(T_{0} ; a^{0}\right) \in \mathcal{F} \times M$ such that

$$
\Lambda\left(T_{0} ; a^{0}\right)=\inf _{(T, a) \in \mathcal{F} \times M} \Lambda(T ; a) .
$$
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