# ON s-CLOSEDNESS AND S-CLOSEDNESS IN TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

### Zbigniew Duszyński

Abstract. Some properties of sets s-closed or S-closed relative to a space, and s-closed or S-closed subspaces, are obtained. Relationships between some of them are indicated. New characterizations of Hausdorff spaces in terms of s-closedness and  $\alpha$ -compactness relative to a space, are obtained.

### 1. Preliminaries

Throughout the paper  $(X, \tau)$  (or  $(Y, \sigma)$ ) denotes a topological space. For a subset S of  $(X,\tau)$ , int (S) (or int<sub>X</sub>(S)), cl(S) (or cl<sub>X</sub>(S), or cl<sub> $\tau$ </sub>(X)) stand for the interior of S and the closure of S, respectively. If  $X_0 \subset X$ , then  $(X_0, \tau_{X_0})$ denotes a subspace of  $(X, \tau)$ , and  $\operatorname{int}_{X_0}(.)$ ,  $\operatorname{cl}_{X_0}(.)$  are interior and closure operators (respectively) in  $(X_0, \tau_{X_0})$ . CO $(X, \tau)$  is the intersection of  $\tau$  and  $\{X \setminus S : S \in$  $\tau$ }. A subset S of  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be regular open (resp. regular closed) if S = int (cl(S)) (resp. S = cl(int(S))). A set S is said to be  $\alpha$ -open [28] (resp. semiopen [22], semi-closed [8], preopen [25], semi-preopen (or  $\beta$ -open) [2,1]) in  $(X, \tau)$ , if  $S \subset \operatorname{int} (\operatorname{cl} (\operatorname{int} (S)))$  (resp.  $S \subset \operatorname{cl} (\operatorname{int} (S)), S \supset \operatorname{int} (\operatorname{cl} (S)), S \subset \operatorname{int} (\operatorname{cl} (S)), S \subset$ cl (int (cl (S)))). A subset S of  $(X, \tau)$  is semi-open if and only if there exists a  $U \in \tau$ such that  $U \subset S \subset \operatorname{cl}(U)$  [22]. The collection of all regular open (resp. regular closed,  $\alpha$ -open, semi-open, semi-closed, preopen, semi-preopen) subsets of  $(X, \tau)$ is denoted by RO  $(X, \tau)$  (resp. RC  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $\tau^{\alpha}$ , SO  $(X, \tau)$ , SC  $(X, \tau)$ , PO  $(X, \tau)$ , SPO  $(X, \tau)$ ). The family  $\tau^{\alpha}$  forms a topology on X such that  $\tau \subset \tau^{\alpha}$ . An S is said to be *semi-regular* [10] (see also [5] and [41]) if it is both semi-closed and semi-open in  $(X,\tau)$ . We denote SO  $(X,\tau) \cap$  SC  $(X,\tau) =$  SR  $(X,\tau)$ . We have in each  $(X,\tau)$ ,  $\operatorname{RO}(X,\tau) \cup \operatorname{RC}(X,\tau) \subset \operatorname{SR}(X,\tau)$  [41, Lemma 2.3], and  $\operatorname{RO}(X,\tau) \cap \operatorname{RC}(X,\tau) =$  $CO(X,\tau)$  (see for instance [11, ]). The semi-closure [8] (resp. the semi-interior [8]) of an  $S \subset X$  is the intersection of all semi-closed subsets of  $(X, \tau)$  containing S (resp. the union of all semi-open subsets of  $(X, \tau)$  contained in S), and is denoted

<sup>2010</sup> AMS Subject Classification: 54G99.

Keywords and phrases:  $\alpha$ -open, semi-open, semi-preopen, semi-regular sets; s-closed, S-closed.

<sup>199</sup> 

respectively by  $\operatorname{scl}(S)$  (or  $\operatorname{scl}_X(S)$ ) and  $\operatorname{sint}_X(S)$ . The union of any family of semiopen subsets of  $(X, \tau)$  is semi-open as well [22].

A space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be *extremally disconnected* (briefly *e.d.*) if  $cl(S) \in \tau$  for any  $S \in \tau$ .

A subset A of a space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be *s*-closed [10] (resp. *S*-closed [32], *N*-closed [7], quasi-*H*-closed [38]) relative to  $(X, \tau)$ , if every cover  $\{V_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\nabla} \subset$ SO  $(X, \tau)$  (resp.  $\{V_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\nabla} \subset$  SO  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $\{V_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\nabla} \subset \tau$ ,  $\{V_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\nabla} \subset \tau$ ) of A admits a finite subfamily  $\nabla_0 \subset \nabla$  such that  $A \subset \bigcup_{\alpha\in\nabla_0} \operatorname{scl}(V_{\alpha})$  (resp.  $A \subset \bigcup_{\alpha\in\nabla_0} \operatorname{cl}(V_{\alpha})$ ,  $A \subset \bigcup_{\alpha\in\nabla_0} \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cl}(V_{\alpha}))$ ,  $A \subset \bigcup_{\alpha\in\nabla_0} \operatorname{cl}(V_{\alpha})$ ). In the case A = X,  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be *s*-closed [10] (resp. *S*-closed [42]).  $(X_0, \tau_{X_0})$  is called an *s*-closed (resp. *S*-closed) subspace of  $(X, \tau)$  if it is *s*-closed (resp. *S*-closed) as a space.

The following results are useful in the sequel:

- 1. Let  $S \subset A \in SO(X, \tau)$ . Then  $S \in SO(X, \tau)$  if and only if  $S \in SO(A, \tau_A)$  [29, Theorem 5].
- 2. In any space  $(X, \tau)$ ,

$$\operatorname{scl}(S) = S \cup \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cl}(S)) \qquad [2, \text{ Theorem 1.5(a)}],$$
  
$$\operatorname{cl}_{\tau^{\alpha}}(S) = S \cup \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cl}(S))) \qquad [2, \text{ Theorem 1.5(c)}]$$

- 3. In any space  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $cl_{\tau^{\alpha}}(V) = cl_{\tau}(V)$  for each  $V \in SO(X, \tau)$  [17, Lemma 1(i)].
- 4. In any e.d. space  $(X, \tau), \tau^{\alpha} = SO(X, \tau)$  [19, Theorem 2.9].

#### 2. s-closedness

In [4] the following two results have been stated.

THEOREM 1. [4, Theorem 1] Let  $A \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$ . Then  $(A, \tau_A)$  is s-closed if and only if A is s-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ .

THEOREM 2. [4, Theorem 2] Let  $A \subset B \subset X$ , where  $B \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$ . Then, the set A is s-closed relative to  $(B, \tau_B)$  if and only if it is s-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ .

Proofs for these theorems are based on [12, Theorem 2.7], which states that  $\operatorname{SR}(A, \tau_A) = \operatorname{SR}(X, \tau) \cap A$  (i.e.,  $\operatorname{SR}(A, \tau_A) = \{S \cap A : S \in \operatorname{SR}(X, \tau)\}$ ) for any space  $(X, \tau)$  and any  $A \in \operatorname{PO}(X, \tau)$ . Unfortunately, the proof for  $\operatorname{SR}(A, \tau_A) \subset \operatorname{SR}(X, \tau) \cap A$  given in [12] is far from clear (it is worth to see [20, Lemma 3]). We shall give a proof for [12, Theorem 2.7]. It will make use of the subsequent lemmas.

LEMMA 1. [37, Teorema 3.2] Let  $X_0$  be an arbitrary subset of a space  $(X, \tau)$ . If  $A \in SO(X_0, \tau_{X_0})$ , then  $A = X_0 \cap B$  for some  $B \in SO(X, \tau)$ .

LEMMA 2. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a space and  $X_0 \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$ .

- (a) [34, Lemma 2.2] One has  $B \cap X_0 \in SO(X_0, \tau_{X_0})$  for every  $B \in SO(X, \tau)$ .
- (b) [34, Lemma 2.3] One has  $B \cap X_0 \in SC(X_0, \tau_{X_0})$  for every  $B \in SC(X, \tau)$ .

COROLLARY 1. If  $A \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$  then  $\text{SR}(X, \tau) \cap A \subset \text{SR}(A, \tau_A)$ .

LEMMA 3. [34, Theorem 2.4]. If  $A \subset X_0 \in \text{PO}(X,\tau)$  then  $X_0 \cap \text{scl}_X(A) = \text{scl}_{X_0}(A)$ .

LEMMA 4. [33, Lemma 3.5] If either  $A \in SO(X, \tau)$  or  $B \in SO(X, \tau)$  then

 $\operatorname{int} \left( \operatorname{cl} \left( A \cap B \right) \right) = \operatorname{int} \left( \operatorname{cl} \left( A \right) \right) \cap \operatorname{int} \left( \operatorname{cl} \left( B \right) \right).$ 

LEMMA 5. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be any space. The following statements are equivalent: (a)  $S \in SR(X, \tau)$ .

- (b) [10, Proposition 2.1(c)] There exists a set  $U \in \operatorname{RO}(X, \tau)$  such that  $U \subset S \subset \operatorname{cl}_X(U)$ .
- (c) [41, Lemma 2.2(iii)]  $S = \operatorname{scl}_X(\operatorname{sint}_X(S)).$

LEMMA 6. (compare [10, Proposition 2.2]) If  $S \in \text{SPO}(X, \tau)$  then  $\text{scl}(S) \in \text{SR}(X, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* By the use of [2, Theorem 1.5(a)] we obtain

int  $(\operatorname{cl}(S)) \subset \operatorname{scl}(S) = S \cup \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cl}(S)) \subset \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cl}(S))) \cup \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cl}(S)) = \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cl}(S))).$ Thus, by Lemma 5(b), scl(S)  $\in$  SR(X,  $\tau$ ).

THEOREM 3. [12, Theorem 2.7] For any space  $(X, \tau)$ , if  $X_0 \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$  then

$$\operatorname{SR}(X_0, \tau_{X_0}) = \operatorname{SR}(X, \tau) \cap X_0.$$

*Proof.* In view of Corollary 1 only the inclusion  $\operatorname{SR}(X_0, \tau_{X_0}) \subset \operatorname{SR}(X, \tau) \cap X_0$ requires a proof. Let  $S \in \operatorname{SR}(X_0, \tau_{X_0})$  be arbitrarily chosen. By Lemmas 5(c) and 3 we have  $\operatorname{scl}_{X_0}(\operatorname{sint}_{X_0}(S)) = X_0 \cap \operatorname{scl}_X(\operatorname{sint}_{X_0}(S))$ .

Obviously  $\operatorname{sint}_{X_0}(S) \in \operatorname{SO}(X_0, \tau_{X_0})$ , so by Lemma 1,  $\operatorname{sint}_{X_0}(S) = X_0 \cap B$  for some set  $B \in \operatorname{SO}(X, \tau)$ . We are to show that  $X_0 \cap B \in \operatorname{SPO}(X, \tau)$ . Indeed, by Lemma 4 we have the following inclusions:

$$X_0 \cap B \subset \operatorname{int} (\operatorname{cl} (X_0)) \cap \operatorname{cl} (\operatorname{int} (B)) \subset \subset \operatorname{cl} (\operatorname{int} (\operatorname{cl} (X_0)) \cap \operatorname{int} (\operatorname{cl} (B))) = \operatorname{cl} (\operatorname{int} (\operatorname{cl} (X_0 \cap B))).$$

Finally,  $\operatorname{scl}_X(X_0 \cap B) \in \operatorname{SR}(X, \tau)$ , by Lemma 6, and the proof is complete.

REMARK 1. Theorems 1 and 2 may be proved independently of Theorem 3 by using Lemmas 1, 2(a), 3, and Lemma 7 below. Details are omitted (it is worth to see for instance [32, Theorems 3.1 and 3.2] and left to the reader.

LEMMA 7. Let  $B \in \text{PO}(X,\tau)$  and  $V \in \text{SO}(X,\tau)$ . Then  $B \cap \text{scl}(V) \subset \text{scl}(B \cap V)$ .

*Proof.* By [2, Theorem 1.5(a)] and Lemma 4 we have  $B \cap \operatorname{scl}(V) = B \cap (V \cup \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cl}(V))) = (B \cap V) \cup (B \cap \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cl}(V))) \subset (B \cap V) \cup (\operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cl}(B)) \cap \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cl}(V))) = \operatorname{scl}(B \cap V). \blacksquare$ 

REMARK 2. It is interesting to recall that if  $B \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$  and  $V \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$ , then  $B \cap \text{cl}(V) \subset \text{cl}(B \cap V)$  [35, Lemma 2.1]. The latter inclusion is equivalent the following:  $B \cap \text{cl}_{\tau^{\alpha}}(V) \subset \text{cl}_{\tau^{\alpha}}(B \cap V)$  for every  $B \in \text{PO}(X, \tau^{\alpha})$  and  $V \in \text{SO}(X, \tau^{\alpha})$ . It is so since SO  $(X, \tau^{\alpha}) = \text{SO}(X, \tau)$  [28, Proposition 3], PO  $(X, \tau^{\alpha}) = \text{PO}(X, \tau)$ [20, Corollary 2.5(a)],  $\text{cl}_{\tau^{\alpha}}(V) = \text{cl}_{\tau}(V)$  [17, Lemma 1(i)], and  $\text{cl}_{\tau^{\alpha}}(B \cap V) \supset$  $\text{cl}_{\tau}(B \cap V)$  (to prove this one use Lemma 4 and [2, Theorem 1.5(c)]).

We omit details in the proofs of the next three corollaries.

COROLLARY 2. Let  $A \subset X_0 \subset X_1 \subset X$  and  $X_0, X_1 \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$ . Then A is s-closed relative to  $(X_0, \tau_{X_0})$  if and only if A is s-closed relative to  $(X_1, \tau_{X_1})$ .

*Proof.* Theorem 2.  $\blacksquare$ 

COROLLARY 3. Let  $A \in \text{PO}(X_0, \tau_{X_0})$  and  $X_0 \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$ . Then A is an s-closed subspace of  $(X_0, \tau_{X_0})$  if and only if A is an s-closed subspace of  $(X, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* This follows from Theorems 1–2 and [26, Lemma 2.2]: if  $A \in \text{PO}(X_0, \tau_{X_0})$  and  $X_0 \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$  then  $A \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$ .

Corollary 3 improves [4, Corollary 1].

COROLLARY 4. Let  $A \in \text{PO}(X_0, \tau_{X_0})$ ,  $X_0 \in \text{PO}(X_1, \tau_{X_1})$ , and  $X_1 \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$ . Then A is an s-closed subspace of  $(X_0, \tau_{X_0})$  if and only if it is an s-closed subspace of  $(X_1, \tau_{X_1})$ .

*Proof.* By Corollary 2 and [26, Lemma 2.2]. ■

DEFINITION 1. A subset S of a space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be *sspo-closed relative* to  $(X, \tau)$  if, for every cover  $\{V_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \nabla\} \subset \text{SPO}(X, \tau)$  of S there is a finite set of indices  $\nabla_0 \subset \nabla$  such that  $S \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_0} \operatorname{scl}_X(V_{\alpha})$ . If S = X, then  $(X, \tau)$  is called an *sspo-closed space*.

THEOREM 4. In any space  $(X, \tau)$  and for any subset S of it, the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) S is sspo-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ ,
- (b) S is s-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b). Obvious, since SO  $(X, \tau) \subset$  SPO  $(X, \tau)$ .

(a) $\Leftarrow$ (b). Let  $\{V_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \nabla\} \subset \text{SPO}(X,\tau)$  cover a set S. Then,  $S \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla} \operatorname{scl}_X(V_{\alpha})$ . Since S is *s*-closed relative to  $(X,\tau)$  if and only if each semiregular cover of S admits a finite subcover [10, Proposition 4.1], application of Lemma 6 completes the proof.

LEMMA 8. Let A be an arbitrary subset of a space  $(X, \tau)$ . If  $U \in \text{SPO}(A, \tau_A)$  then

$$\operatorname{int}_X(A) \cap U \subset \operatorname{cl}_X(\operatorname{int}_X(\operatorname{cl}_X(U))).$$

*Proof.* Using the equality  $\operatorname{int}_X(E) = \operatorname{int}_A(E) \cap \operatorname{int}_X(A)$  that holds for any subset  $E \subset A$  [36, Exercise 7(vi)], we calculate as follows:

$$\operatorname{int}_{X}(A) \cap U \subset \operatorname{int}_{X}(A) \cap \operatorname{cl}_{A}\left(\operatorname{int}_{A}\left(\operatorname{cl}_{A}(U)\right)\right) \subset \operatorname{int}_{X}(A) \cap \operatorname{cl}_{X}\left(\operatorname{int}_{A}\left(\operatorname{cl}_{A}(U)\right)\right) \subset \operatorname{cl}_{X}\left(\operatorname{int}_{X}(A) \cap \operatorname{int}_{A}\left(\operatorname{cl}_{A}(U)\right)\right) = \operatorname{cl}_{X}\left(\operatorname{int}_{X}\left(\operatorname{cl}_{A}(U)\right)\right) \subset \operatorname{cl}_{X}\left(\operatorname{int}_{X}\left(\operatorname{cl}_{X}(U)\right)\right). \quad \blacksquare$$

COROLLARY 5. If  $A \in \tau$  and  $U \in \text{SPO}(A, \tau_A)$ , then  $U \in \text{SPO}(X, \tau)$ . COROLLARY 6. If  $A \in \tau$  and  $U \in \text{SPO}(A, \tau_A)$ , then  $\text{cl}_A(U) \in \text{SPO}(X, \tau)$ .

LEMMA 9. If  $A \in \tau$  and  $V \in \text{SPO}(X, \tau)$ , then  $A \cap V \in \text{SPO}(A, \tau_A)$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$A \cap V \subset A \cap \operatorname{cl}_X(\operatorname{int}_X(\operatorname{cl}_X(V))) \subset \operatorname{cl}_A(A \cap \operatorname{int}_X(\operatorname{cl}_X(V))) =$$
  
=  $\operatorname{cl}_A(\operatorname{int}_A(A \cap \operatorname{cl}_X(V))) \subset \operatorname{cl}_A(\operatorname{int}_A(\operatorname{cl}_A(A \cap V))).$ 

THEOREM 5. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a space and  $A \in \tau$ . The following are equivalent:

- (a)  $(A, \tau_A)$  is sspo-closed,
- (b)  $(A, \tau_A)$  is s-closed.

*Proof.* (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b). Making use of Theorems 1 and 4 we will show A is *sspo*closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ . Suppose  $\{V_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \nabla\} \subset \text{SPO}(X, \tau)$  is a cover of A. By Lemma 9,  $\{A \cap V_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \nabla\} \subset \text{SPO}(A, \tau_A)$  covers A and hence we get  $A = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_0} \operatorname{scl}_A(A \cap V_{\alpha})$  for some finite  $\nabla_0 \subset \nabla$ . It is easy to see that by Lemma 3,  $A \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_0} \operatorname{scl}_X(V_{\alpha})$ . Thus  $(A, \tau_A)$  is *s*-closed.

(a) (b). Suppose A is s-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$  (utilize Theorem 1). Let  $\{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \nabla\} \subset \text{SPO}(A, \tau_A)$  be a cover of A. We have  $\{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \nabla\} \subset \text{SPO}(X, \tau)$  (Corollary 2) and  $A \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla} \operatorname{scl}_X(U_{\alpha})$ , where  $\{\operatorname{scl}_X(U_{\alpha}) : \alpha \in \nabla\} \subset \operatorname{SR}(X, \tau)$ . By [10, Proposition 4.1],  $A \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_0} \operatorname{scl}_X(U_{\alpha})$  for some finite  $\nabla_0 \subset \nabla$ . Hence, using Lemma 3 we get that  $A = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_0} \operatorname{scl}_A(U_{\alpha})$ . This completes the proof.

LEMMA 10. [12] (compare also [24, Example 3.3(ii)]). If  $V \in SO(X, \tau)$  and  $W \subset X$ , the following holds:

$$V \cap \operatorname{scl}(W) \subset \operatorname{cl}(\operatorname{scl}(V \cap W)).$$

THEOREM 6. Let  $A, B \in SC(X, \tau)$  and  $A \cap B \in SO(X, \tau)$ . If A and B are both s-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $A \cap B$  is also s-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A \cap B \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla} V_{\alpha}$  where  $V_{\alpha} \in \mathrm{SO}(X, \tau)$  for each  $\alpha \in \nabla$ . We have  $A \subset (X \setminus B) \cup \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla} V_{\alpha}$  and  $B \subset (X \setminus A) \cup \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla} V_{\alpha}$ , where  $X \setminus A, X \setminus B \in \mathrm{SO}(X, \tau)$ . By hypothesis there are finite subfamilies  $\nabla_1, \nabla_2 \subset \nabla$  with

$$A \subset \operatorname{scl} (X \setminus B) \cup \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_1} \operatorname{scl} (V_{\alpha}) \quad \text{and}$$
$$B \subset \operatorname{scl} (X \setminus A) \cup \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_2} \operatorname{scl} (V_{\alpha}).$$

It follows easily from Lemma 10 that

$$A \cap B = (A \cap B) \cap (A \cup B) \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_1} \operatorname{scl}(V_\alpha) \cup \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_2} \operatorname{scl}(V_\alpha).$$

Thus,  $A \cap B$  is s-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ .

COROLLARY 7. If  $A, B \in SC(X, \tau)$ ,  $A \cap B \in SO(X, \tau)$ , and A, B are both s-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $A \cap B$  is an s-closed subspace of  $(X, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* Follows from Theorem 6 and [20, Theorem 4]. ■

It is of worth to compare Corollary 7 with [14, Theorem 2.2].

THEOREM 7. Let  $A, B \in SO(X, \tau)$  and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . If a set  $A \cup B$  is s-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ , then B and A are s-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* Similar to that of Theorem 28 below—one uses Lemma 10. ■

The notion of S-connectedness has been introduced by Pipitone and Russo in [37]:  $(X, \tau)$  is S-connected if there are no two nonempty sets  $A_1, A_2 \in SO(X, \tau)$ such that  $X = A_1 \cup A_2$  and  $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$ . A space that is not S-connected is said to be S-disconnected.

COROLLARY 8. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an S-disconnected and s-closed space. Then there exists a nonempty set  $B \in SO(X, \tau)$  which is s-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$  and is an s-closed subspace of  $(X, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 7 and [21, Theorem 4].  $\blacksquare$ 

THEOREM 8. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be s-closed and  $A \in SR(X, \tau)$ . Then  $X \setminus A$  is an s-closed subspace of  $(X, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $X \setminus A \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla} V_{\alpha}$  where  $\{V_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \nabla\} \subset \operatorname{SR}(X, \tau)$ . Then  $X = A \cup \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla} V_{\alpha}$ , and by [10, Proposition 3.1] there exists some finite  $\nabla_0 \subset \nabla$  with  $X = A \cup \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_0} V_{\alpha}$ . So,  $X \setminus A$  is s-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$  and by [21, Theorem 4] it is an s-closed subspace.

THEOREM 9. Let  $A \in CO(X, \tau)$  be a set s-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ . Then  $(X, \tau)$  is s-closed if and only if  $X \setminus A$  is an s-closed subspace of it.

*Proof. Necessity.* Theorem 8. Sufficiency. By Theorem 1,  $X \setminus A$  is s-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ . Hence  $X = A \cup (X \setminus A)$  is s-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$  [4, Theorem 4]; i.e.,  $(X, \tau)$  is s-closed.

LEMMA 11. Let  $B \in SR(X, \tau)$ ,  $A \subset X$ , and  $A \cup B$  be s-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ . Then,  $A \setminus B$  is s-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* Follows easily from [10, Proposition 4.1] and the identity  $A \setminus B = (A \cup B) \cap (X \setminus B)$ .

THEOREM 10. Let, in a space  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $(A, \tau_A)$  and  $(B, \tau_B)$  be s-closed subspaces. If  $A \in \tau^{\alpha}$  and  $B \in CO(X, \tau)$ , then  $(A \setminus B, \tau_{A \setminus B})$  is an s-closed subspace of  $(X, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 1, A and B are s-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ . Using [4, Theorem 4] and Lemma 11 we get that  $A \setminus B$  is s-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ . It is enough now to recall that  $CO(X, \tau) = CO(X, \tau^{\alpha})$ 

REMARK 3. The above Theorems 7 to 10 should be compared with respective Theorems 28 to 31 in the sequel (Section 4).

Recall the following notions [10, p.227]: a point x of a space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be a *semi*  $\theta$ -adherent point of a subset  $S \subset X$  if  $S \cap \operatorname{scl}_X(U) \neq \emptyset$  for every set  $U \in \operatorname{SO}(X, \tau)$  with  $x \in U$ . The set of all semi  $\theta$ -adherent points of an S is called the *semi*  $\theta$ -closure of S in  $(X, \tau)$ . A set  $S \subset X$  is called *semi*  $\theta$ -closed if the semi  $\theta$ -closure of S is S.

THEOREM 11. Let  $A \in \text{SPO}(X, \tau)$ . If  $A \cup (X \setminus \text{scl}_X(A))$  is s-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ , then A is s-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla} V_{\alpha}$  where  $\{V_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \nabla\} \subset \mathrm{SR}(X,\tau)$ . By Lemma 6,  $\mathrm{scl}_X(A) \in \mathrm{SR}(X,\tau)$  and hence  $\mathrm{scl}_X(A)$  is semi  $\theta$ -closed [12, Proposition 2.3(b)]. Thus, for each  $x \in X \setminus \mathrm{scl}_X(A)$  there exists  $V_x \in \mathrm{SO}(X,\tau)$  with  $x \in V_x$ , such that  $\mathrm{scl}_X(V_x) \subset X \setminus \mathrm{scl}_X(A)$ . The family  $\{\mathrm{scl}_X(V_x) : x \in X \setminus \mathrm{scl}_X(A)\} \cup \{V_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  covers the set  $A \cup (X \setminus \mathrm{scl}_X(A))$ . Thus, by hypothesis, there exists a finite  $\nabla_0 \subset \nabla$ with  $A \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_0} V_{\alpha}$ .

COROLLARY 9. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an s-closed space and  $A \in \text{SPO}(X, \tau)$ . If  $\operatorname{scl}_X(A) \setminus A \in \operatorname{SR}(X, \tau)$  then A is s-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* By the proof of Theorem 8 the set  $X \setminus (\operatorname{scl}_X(A) \setminus A)$  is s-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ . Apply now Theorem 11.

A space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be *weakly*- $\mathcal{T}_2$  [40], if each point of X can be expressed as an intersection of regular closed subsets of  $(X, \tau)$ . In [10, Proposition 4.3] the following is proved: if K is s-closed relative to a weakly- $\mathcal{T}_2$  space, then K is semi  $\theta$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ .

THEOREM 12. Let  $A \subseteq X$  be a set s-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ . Assume that

for each 
$$x \in X \setminus A$$
 and  $y \in A$ , there exist sets  
 $V_x \in \tau^{\alpha}, V_y \in \text{SO}(X, \tau), V_x \ni x, V_y \ni y$ , with  $V_x \cap V_y = \emptyset$ . (1)

Then, A is semi  $\theta$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* Pick an arbitrary  $x_0 \in X \setminus A$ . For each  $y \in A$ , there exist sets  $V_{x_0,y} \in \tau^{\alpha}$ ,  $V_{x_0,y} \ni x_0$ , and  $V_y \in SO(X,\tau)$ ,  $V_y \ni y$ , with  $V_{x_0,y} \cap V_y = \emptyset$ . Thus,  $\{V_y : y \in A\}$  covers A and, as A is s-closed relative to  $(X,\tau)$ , we have  $A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \operatorname{scl}(V_{y_i})$  for some  $y_1, \ldots, y_n \in A$ . Making use of Lemma 7 (or Lemma 10) we get  $V_{x_0,y_i} \cap$ 

 $\operatorname{scl}(V_{y_i}) = \emptyset$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ . We have also  $A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \operatorname{scl}(V_{y_i}) = V \in \operatorname{SO}(X, \tau)$  and  $x_0 \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n V_{x_0, y_i} = B \in \tau^{\alpha}$ . So, by [17, Lemma 1(i)],

$$B \cap \operatorname{cl}_{\tau}(V) = B \cap \operatorname{cl}_{\tau^{\alpha}}(V) \subset \operatorname{cl}_{\tau^{\alpha}}(B \cap V) = \emptyset,$$

where  $\operatorname{cl}_{\tau}(V) \in \operatorname{SR}(X, \tau)$ . This implies that  $x_0 \in X \setminus \operatorname{cl}_{\tau}(V) \in \operatorname{SR}(X, \tau)$ ; i.e., there is a  $U \in \operatorname{SO}(X, \tau)$  containing  $x_0$  such that  $\operatorname{scl}_X(U) \cap A = \emptyset$ . Thus,  $x_0$  is not a semi  $\theta$ -adherent point of A and hence A is semi  $\theta$ -closed.

EXAMPLE 1. There exist a space  $(X, \tau)$  which is not weakly- $\mathcal{T}_2$ , and a subset  $A \subsetneq X$  such that (1) of Theorem T12 holds. Indeed, if  $X = \{a, b, c, d, e\}, \tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}, \{e\}\}$ , then consider  $A = \{c, d, e\}$ .

REMARK 4. Recall that  $(X, \tau)$  is called a *semi-T*<sub>2</sub>-*space* [23], if for any distinct points  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  there exist disjoint  $V_1, V_2 \in SO(X, \tau)$  with  $V_1 \ni x_1$  and  $V_2 \ni x_2$ . Using [19, Theorem 2.9] and the fact that  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\mathcal{T}_2$  if and only if  $(X, \tau^{\alpha})$  is  $\mathcal{T}_2$ [11, Theorem 3], we obtain that every e.d. semi- $\mathcal{T}_2$  space is  $\mathcal{T}_2$ . So, directly from [10, Proposition 4.3] we infer what follows: in any e.d. semi- $\mathcal{T}_2$  space  $(X, \tau)$ , every subset *s*-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$  is semi  $\theta$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ .

A function  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is said to be *semi-continuous* [22] (resp. *s-open* [6]) if  $f^{-1}(V) \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$  (resp.  $f(U) \in \sigma$ ) for every  $V \in \sigma$  (resp.  $U \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$ ). An f is semi-continuous if and only if for every  $S \subset X$ ,  $f(\operatorname{scl}_X(S)) \subset \operatorname{cl}_Y(f(S))$  [9, Theorem 1.16].

THEOREM 13. Consider a function  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  and a subset G s-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ .

(a) If f is semi-continuous and s-open then f(G) is  $\mathcal{N}$ -closed relative to  $(Y, \sigma)$ .

(b) If f is semi-continuous then f(G) is quasi  $\mathcal{H}$ -closed relative to  $(Y, \sigma)$ .

*Proof.* (a) Let  $\{V_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \nabla\} \subset \sigma$  be a cover of f(G). Then  $\{f^{-1}(V_{\alpha}) : \alpha \in \nabla\} \subset SO(X, \tau)$  is a cover of G. There is a finite  $\nabla_0 \subset \nabla$  such that  $G \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_0} \operatorname{scl}_X(f^{-1}(V_{\alpha}))$ . As f is semi-continuous and s-open, we obtain

$$f(G) \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_0} f\left(\operatorname{scl}_X\left(f^{-1}(V_\alpha)\right)\right) \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_0} \operatorname{int}_Y\left(\operatorname{cl}_Y\left(f\left(f^{-1}(V_\alpha)\right)\right)\right)$$
$$\subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_0} \operatorname{int}_Y\left(\operatorname{cl}_Y(V_\alpha)\right).$$

Thus, f(G) is  $\mathcal{N}$ -closed relative to  $(Y, \sigma)$ .

(b) Similar to the case (a).  $\blacksquare$ 

Semi-continuity and s-openness are independent notions, as seen by the example below.

EXAMPLE 2. (a). Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}, \{a\}\}, Y = \{a, b, c, d\},$ and  $\sigma = \{\emptyset, Y, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$ . Define  $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  as the identity on X. One checks that f is semi-continuous. But, f is not s-open since  $f(\{a, b\}) \notin \sigma$ .

(b). Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}\}$ , and  $\sigma = \{\emptyset, X, \{c\}, \{a, b\}\}$ . Let again  $f : (X, \tau) \to (X, \sigma)$  be the identity on X. Then f is s-open not being semi-continuous as  $f^{-1}(\{c\}) \notin SO(X, \tau)$ .

DEFINITION 2. A function  $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is said to be SR-*open* (resp. R-*open*), if  $f(U) \in SR(Y, \sigma)$  (resp.  $f(U) \in RO(Y, \sigma)$ ) for every  $U \in SR(X, \tau)$  (resp.  $U \in RO(X, \tau)$ ).

THEOREM 14. Let a set B be s-closed relative to  $(Y, \sigma)$ . If a bijection  $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is SR-open then  $f^{-1}(B)$  is s-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* Use [10, Proposition 4.1]. ■

A function  $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is called *a.c.H.* ([18, 25] and [39, Theorem 4]) if  $f^{-1}(V) \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$  for every  $V \in \sigma$ .

THEOREM 15. If a function  $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is a.c.H. and R-open, then it is SR-open.

*Proof.* Let  $A \in \text{SR}(X, \tau)$ . There exists a set  $U \in \text{RO}(X, \tau)$  such that  $U \subset A \subset \text{cl}_X(U)$  [10, Proposition 2.1]. Since f is a.c.H.,  $f(\text{cl}_X(S)) \subset \text{cl}_Y(f(S))$  for every  $S \in \tau$  [39, Theorem 6]. Thus, by R-openness of f and, again, by [10, Proposition 2.1] we obtain that f is SR-open. ■

### 3. Hausdorffness of spaces

In this section we offer some characterizations of  $\mathcal{T}_2$  and semi- $\mathcal{T}_2$  spaces.

THEOREM 16. A space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\mathcal{T}_2$  if and only if, for each  $A \subsetneq X$  s-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$  and each point  $x \in X \setminus A$  there exist disjoint sets  $U_1, U_2 \in$ RO  $(X, \tau)$  with  $U_1 \ni x$  and  $U_2 \supset A$ .

*Proof. Necessity.* Let  $x_0 \in X \setminus A$  be arbitrary. By Hausdorffness of  $(X, \tau)$ , for each  $y \in A$  there are disjoint  $V_{x_0,y}, V_y \in \tau^{\alpha}$  with  $V_{x_0,y} \ni x_0$  and  $V_y \ni y$  [17, Theorem 3]. Since A is s-closed relative to  $(X, \tau), A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \operatorname{scl}(V_{y_i})$  for certain  $y_1, \ldots, y_n \in A$ . It is enough to show that

$$\operatorname{scl}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} V_{x_{0},y_{i}}\right) \cap \operatorname{scl}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{scl}\left(V_{y_{i}}\right)\right) = \emptyset$$

because  $\operatorname{scl}(S) = \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{cl}(S))$  for any  $S \in \tau^{\alpha} \subset \operatorname{PO}(X, \tau)$  [20, Proposition 2.7(a)]. Indeed, we get by Lemma 7 (for instance), [8, Theorem 1.7(4)], and Lemma 4:

$$\operatorname{scl}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} V_{x_{0}, y_{i}}\right) \cap \operatorname{scl}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{scl}\left(V_{y_{i}}\right)\right) \subset \operatorname{scl}\left(\operatorname{scl}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} V_{x_{0}, y_{i}}\right) \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{scl}\left(V_{y_{i}}\right)\right)$$
$$\subset \operatorname{scl}\left(\operatorname{scl}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} V_{x_{0}, y_{i}}\right) \cap \operatorname{scl}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} V_{y_{i}}\right)\right)$$
$$= \operatorname{scl}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(\operatorname{cl}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} V_{x_{0}, y_{i}} \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} V_{y_{i}}\right)\right)\right) = \operatorname{scl}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(\operatorname{cl}\left(\emptyset\right)\right)\right) = \emptyset.$$

Thus, if we put

$$U_1 = \operatorname{scl}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n V_{x_0, y_i}\right) \in \operatorname{RO}(X, \tau), \quad U_2 = \operatorname{scl}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \operatorname{scl}(V_{y_i})\right) \in \operatorname{RO}(X, \tau),$$

then

$$x_0 \in U_1, \quad A \subset U_2, \quad \text{and } U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset.$$

Sufficiency. This is clear as every singleton is s-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$  (compare [10, Proposition 4.1]).

Recall that a subset A of a space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -compact relative to  $(X, \tau)$  [3], if every  $\tau^{\alpha}$ -cover of A admits a finite subcover.

THEOREM 17. A space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\mathcal{T}_2$  if and only if, for each  $A \subsetneq X$ ,  $\alpha$ -compact relative to  $(X, \tau)$  and each point  $x \in X \setminus A$ , there exist disjoint sets  $U_1, U_2 \in$ RO  $(X, \tau)$  with  $U_1 \ni x$  and  $U_2 \supset A$ .

*Proof.* Very similar to that of Theorem 16 (after few modifications—details left to the reader).  $\blacksquare$ 

In [15] the author has proved that a space  $(X, \tau)$  is semi- $\mathcal{T}_2$  if and only if, for any distinct  $x, y \in X$ , there are sets  $U_x, U_y \in \mathrm{SR}(X, \tau)$  such that  $x \in U_x$ ,  $y \in U_y, U_x \cap U_y = \emptyset$ . So, since every singleton is s-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$  [10, Proposition 4.1], we get as a corollary

THEOREM 18. Assume that for each subset  $A \subsetneq X$ , s-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ , and for each point  $x \in X \setminus A$ , there exist disjoint  $U_1, U_2 \in \text{SR}(X, \tau)$  with  $U_1 \ni x$ and  $U_2 \supset A$ . Then  $(X, \tau)$  is semi- $\mathcal{T}_2$ .

Combining Theorem 18 with [21, Theorem 6] we obtain the following characterization of e.d. semi- $\mathcal{T}_2$  spaces.

THEOREM 19. An e.d. space  $(X, \tau)$  is semi- $\mathcal{T}_2$  if and only if, for any  $A \subsetneq X$ , s-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ , and each  $x \in X \setminus A$ , there exist disjoint semi-regular subsets U and V with  $U \ni x$  and  $V \supset A$ .

## 4. S-closedness

The following result has been stated by Khan, Ahmad, and Noiri [21, Theorem 5]: if every semi-regular subset of an e.d. space  $(X, \tau)$  is an s-closed subspace of  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $(X, \tau)$  is s-closed. In this theorem  $'(X, \tau)$  is s-closed' may be replaced by  $'(X, \tau)$  is S-closed' since in e.d. spaces these two notions coincide [27, Theorem 14]. Moreover, the next result we state shows that after this replacement, the assumption  $'(X, \tau)$  is e.d.' becomes superfluous.

THEOREM 20. If every semi-regular subset of  $(X, \tau)$  is an s-closed subspace of  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $(X, \tau)$  is S-closed.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\{V_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \nabla\} \subset \text{SO}(X,\tau)$  is a cover of  $(X,\tau)$ . Take into consideration a set  $\operatorname{cl}_X(V_{\beta}) \neq X$  with  $V_{\beta} \neq \emptyset$ . Obviously,  $\operatorname{cl}_X(V_{\beta}) \in \operatorname{SR}(X,\tau)$  and

hence  $X \setminus \operatorname{cl}_X(V_\beta) \in \operatorname{SR}(X,\tau)$  as well. By hypothesis  $X \setminus \operatorname{cl}_X(V_\beta)$  is an *s*-closed subspace of  $(X,\tau)$ , and since it is open in  $(X,\tau)$ , we infer from Theorem 1 that  $X \setminus \operatorname{cl}_X(V_\beta)$  is *s*-closed relative to  $(X,\tau)$ . We have  $X \setminus \operatorname{cl}_X(V_\beta) \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla} V_\alpha$  and there is a finite  $\nabla_0 \subset \nabla$  such that

$$X \setminus \operatorname{cl}_X(V_\beta) \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_0} \operatorname{scl}_X(V_\alpha)$$

Thus, one gets  $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_0 \cup \{\beta\}} \operatorname{cl}_X(V_\alpha)$ . This shows that  $(X, \tau)$  is S-closed.

In [32, Theorem 3.1] Noiri proved that if  $A \in \tau^{\alpha}$ , then the subspace  $(A, \tau_A)$  is  $\mathcal{S}$ -closed if and only if it is  $\mathcal{S}$ -closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ . Combining this result with Theorem 1, it is easy to show that for  $A \in \tau^{\alpha}$ , if  $(A, \tau_A)$  is *s*-closed then it is  $\mathcal{S}$ -closed. The theorem below is a strong improvement of this corollary.

THEOREM 21. Let A be an arbitrary subset of  $(X, \tau)$ . If  $(A, \tau_A)$  is s-closed then it is S-closed.

*Proof.* Let  $\{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \nabla\} \subset \text{SO}(A, \tau_A)$  be a cover of A. By assumption, there is a finite  $\nabla_0 \subset \nabla$  such that  $A = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_0} \operatorname{scl}_A(U_{\alpha})$ . So,  $A = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_0} \operatorname{cl}_A(U_{\alpha})$ .

THEOREM 22. Let  $A \in \tau^{\alpha}$  be a subset of an e.d. space  $(X, \tau)$ . Then,  $(A, \tau_A)$  is S-closed if and only if it is s-closed.

*Proof.* Let  $(A, \tau_A)$  be S-closed. By [32, Theorem 3.1] it is equivalent A being S-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ . By means of [27, Theorem 14] and Theorem 1, the latter is equivalent  $(A, \tau_A)$  being s-closed.

REMARK 5. The following is an interesting consequence of [27, Theorem 14]: for any subset A of  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $(A, \tau_A)$  is e.d.,  $(A, \tau_A)$  is S-closed if and only if A is s-closed.

In [14, Theorem 2.7] the author proved that if  $A \in \tau^{\alpha}$  is an  $\mathcal{S}$ -closed subspace of  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $(\operatorname{scl}_X(A), \tau_{\operatorname{scl}_X(A)})$  is also  $\mathcal{S}$ -closed. Since  $\operatorname{scl}_X(A) = \operatorname{int}_X(\operatorname{cl}_X(A))$ for any  $A \in \operatorname{PO}(X, \tau)$  [20, Proposition 2.7(a)], by the use of Theorem 22 it follows that if  $A \in \tau^{\alpha}$  is an *s*-closed subspace of an e.d.  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $(\operatorname{scl}_X(A), \tau_{\operatorname{scl}_X(A)})$ is *s*-closed too. This result shall be extended to  $A \in \operatorname{PO}(X, \tau)$  (in e.d. spaces) in Theorem 23 below.

LEMMA 12. For any  $(X, \tau)$  and  $S_1, S_2 \subset X$ ,

$$\operatorname{int} \left( \operatorname{cl} \left( S_1 \cup S_2 \right) \right) = \operatorname{int} \left( \operatorname{cl} \left( \operatorname{int} \left( \operatorname{cl} \left( S_1 \right) \right) \cup \operatorname{int} \left( \operatorname{cl} \left( S_2 \right) \right) \right) \right)$$

*Proof.* Clearly, int  $(cl(S_1)) \cup int (cl(S_2)) \subset int (cl(S_1 \cup S_2))$ . Next, we calculate as follows: int  $(cl(S_1 \cup S_2)) \subset cl(int (cl(S_1 \cup S_2))) = cl(int (cl(S_1) \cup cl(S_2)))$ =  $cl(int (cl(S_1))) \cup cl(int (cl(S_2)))$  by the dual to Lemma 4. So,

 $\operatorname{int}\left(\operatorname{cl}\left(S_{1}\cup S_{2}\right)\right)\subset\operatorname{cl}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(\operatorname{cl}\left(S_{1}\right)\right)\cup\operatorname{int}\left(\operatorname{cl}\left(S_{2}\right)\right)\right)\subset\operatorname{cl}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(\operatorname{cl}\left(S_{1}\cup S_{2}\right)\right)\right),$ 

and this concludes the proof.  $\blacksquare$ 

LEMMA 13. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be e.d. Then for every  $S_1, S_2 \subset X$ ,

$$\operatorname{int} \left( \operatorname{cl} \left( S_1 \cup S_2 \right) \right) = \operatorname{int} \left( \operatorname{cl} \left( S_1 \right) \right) \cup \operatorname{int} \left( \operatorname{cl} \left( S_2 \right) \right).$$

*Proof.* Follows easily from Lemma 12. ■

LEMMA 14. In any  $(X, \tau)$ , if  $A \subset X$  and  $U \in SO(scl_X(A), \tau_{scl_X(A)})$  then  $U \cap A \in SO(A, \tau_A)$ .

*Proof.* For a certain  $O \in \tau$ ,  $V = O \cap \operatorname{scl}_X(A) \subset U \subset \operatorname{cl}_{\operatorname{scl}_X(A)}(V)$ . Then  $V \subset U \subset \operatorname{cl}_X(V) \cap \operatorname{scl}_X(A) \subset \operatorname{cl}_X(O \cap \operatorname{cl}_X(A)) \cap \operatorname{scl}_X(A) \subset \operatorname{cl}_X(O \cap A) \cap \operatorname{scl}_X(A) \subset \operatorname{cl}_X(O \cap A)$ . Therefore we obtain

$$O \cap A \subset U \cap A \subset \operatorname{cl}_X(O \cap A) \cap A = \operatorname{cl}_A(O \cap A). \quad \blacksquare$$

THEOREM 23. Let  $(A, \tau_A)$  be an s-closed subspace of e.d.  $(X, \tau)$ , where  $A \in$  PO $(X, \tau)$ . Then the subspace  $(\operatorname{scl}_X(A), \tau_{\operatorname{scl}_X(A)})$  is s-closed.

Proof. Let  $\{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \nabla\} \subset \text{SO}\left(\operatorname{scl}_{X}(A), \tau_{\operatorname{scl}_{X}(A)}\right)$  cover  $\operatorname{scl}_{X}(A)$ . By Lemma 14 the family  $\{U_{\alpha} \cap A : \alpha \in \nabla\} \subset \text{SO}\left(A, \tau_{A}\right)$  forms a cover of A. Since  $(A, \tau_{A})$  is *s*-closed,  $A = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_{0}} \operatorname{scl}_{A}(U_{\alpha} \cap A)$  for some finite  $\nabla_{0} \subset \nabla$ . Hence by Lemma 3 and by [20, Proposition 2.7(a)] we get  $A \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_{0}} (\operatorname{int}_{X}(\operatorname{cl}_{X}(A)) \cap \operatorname{scl}_{X}(U_{\alpha}))$ , and since  $(X, \tau)$  is e.d. we have by Lemmas 13 and 4

$$\operatorname{scl}_X(A) \subset \operatorname{int}_X \left( \operatorname{cl}_X \left( \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_0} \left( \operatorname{int}_X (\operatorname{cl}_X(A)) \cap \operatorname{scl}_X(U_\alpha) \right) \right) \right) \right)$$
$$= \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_0} \left( \operatorname{int}_X (\operatorname{cl}_X(A)) \cap \operatorname{int}_X (\operatorname{cl}_X (\operatorname{scl}_X(U_\alpha))) \right).$$

So, as  $\operatorname{scl}_X(U_\alpha) \in \operatorname{SC}(X,\tau)$ ,  $\alpha \in \nabla_0$ , we obtain  $\operatorname{scl}_X(A) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_0} \operatorname{scl}_{\operatorname{scl}_X(A)}(U_\alpha)$ . Thus  $\operatorname{scl}_X(A)$  is *s*-closed.

LEMMA 15. Let  $A \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$ . If  $(\text{int}_X(A), \tau_{\text{int}_X(A)})$  is s-closed, then for any cover  $\{V_i : i \in \nabla\} \subset \text{SPO}(X, \tau)$  of A there is some finite  $\nabla_0 \subset \nabla$  such that  $A \subset \bigcup_{i \in \nabla_0} \text{cl}_{\tau^{\alpha}}(V_i)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\emptyset \neq \operatorname{int}_X(A) \subset A \subset \bigcup_{i \in \nabla} V_i$ , where  $V_i \in \operatorname{SPO}(X, \tau)$  for each  $i \in \nabla$ . Then  $\operatorname{int}_X(A) = \bigcup_{i \in \nabla} (\operatorname{int}_X(A) \cap V_i)$  and by Lemma 9 we have

$$\operatorname{int}_X(A) \cap V_i \in \operatorname{SPO}\left(\operatorname{int}_X(A), \tau_{\operatorname{int}_X(A)}\right)$$

for  $i \in \nabla$ . By hypothesis there exists a finite  $\nabla_0 \subset \nabla$  with

$$\operatorname{int}_X(A) = \bigcup_{i \in \nabla_0} \operatorname{scl}_{\operatorname{int}_X(A)} \left( \operatorname{int}_X(A) \cap V_i \right)$$

(see Theorem 5). Making use of Lemmas 3 and 8 we get

 $\operatorname{int}_X(A) \subset \bigcup_{i \in \nabla_0} \operatorname{scl}_X \left( \operatorname{int}_X(A) \cap \left( \operatorname{int}_X(A) \cap V_i \right) \right) \subset \bigcup_{i \in \nabla_0} \operatorname{cl}_X \left( \operatorname{int}_X \left( \operatorname{cl}_X(V_i) \right) \right).$ 

On the other hand, by [2, Theorem 1.5(c)],  $cl_{\tau^{\alpha}}(V) = cl_X(int_X(cl_X(V)))$  for each  $V \in SPO(X, \tau)$ . Therefore, since  $A \in SO(X, \tau)$ ,

$$A \subset \bigcup_{i \in \nabla_0} \mathrm{cl}_{\tau^{\alpha}}(V_i). \quad \blacksquare$$

THEOREM 24. Let  $A \in SO(X, \tau)$ . If the subspace  $(int_X(A), \tau_{int_X(A)})$  is sclosed then  $(A, \tau_A)$  is S-closed.

Proof. Let  $A = \bigcup_{i \in \nabla} U_i$  where  $U_i \in SO(A, \tau_A)$  for each  $i \in \nabla$ . By [29, Theorem 5],  $U_i \in SO(X, \tau)$ . Since  $SO(X, \tau) \subset SPO(X, \tau)$ , from Lemma 15 we infer that for some finite  $\nabla_0 \subset \nabla$ ,  $A \subset \bigcup_{i \in \nabla_0} cl_{\tau^{\alpha}}(U_i) = \bigcup_{i \in \nabla_0} cl_{\tau}(U_i)$  [17, Lemma 1(i)]. Consequently,  $A = \bigcup_{i \in \nabla_0} cl_A(U_i)$ .

By [19, Theorem 2.9] we have for each subset S of X that  $cl_{\tau^{\alpha}}(S) = scl_X(S)$ . Thus, by [17, Lemma 1(i)], it leads to the following theorem.

THEOREM 25. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an e.d. space. Any of the two conditions: 'for every semi-open (or open) cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $A \subset X$  there is a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{U}_0$  with  $A \subset \operatorname{scl}_X(\bigcup \mathcal{U}_0)$ ', coincides with any of the properties: 'A is S-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ ', 'A is s-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ ', 'A is N-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ ', 'A is quasi  $\mathcal{H}$ -closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ '.

*Proof.* We use [27, Theorem 14] (the reader is advised to compare [27, Theorem 2].  $\blacksquare$ 

The following result has been stated in [5, Theorem 2]: a space  $(X, \tau)$  is Sclosed if and only if every cover  $\{V_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \nabla\} \subset \operatorname{RC}(X, \tau)$  of X admits a finite subcover. This fact is a particular case of our next theorem.

THEOREM 26. A subset A of  $(X, \tau)$  is S-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$  if and only if every cover  $\{V_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \nabla\} \subset \operatorname{RC}(X, \tau)$  of A admits a finite subcover.

*Proof. Necessity.* Let  $A \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla} V_{\alpha}$  where  $V_{\alpha} \in \operatorname{RC}(X, \tau) \subset \operatorname{SO}(X, \tau)$  for each  $\alpha \in \nabla$ . So, by our assumption,  $A \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_0} \operatorname{cl}(V_{\alpha}) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_0} V_{\alpha}$  for some finite  $\nabla_0 \subset \nabla$ .

Sufficiency. Let  $A \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla} V_{\alpha}$  where  $V_{\alpha} \in \mathrm{SO}(X, \tau)$  for each  $\alpha \in \nabla$ . Obviously  $A \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla} \mathrm{cl}(V_{\alpha})$  and since  $\mathrm{cl}(S) = \mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{int}(S))$  for every  $S \in \mathrm{SO}(X, \tau)$  [30, Lemma 2], we get by hypothesis that there exists a finite  $\nabla_0 \subset \nabla$  with  $A \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_0} \mathrm{cl}(V_{\alpha})$ .

LEMMA 16. Let  $A \in \text{RO}(X, \tau)$ . Then for each  $G \subset A$ ,  $G \in \text{RO}(X, \tau)$  if and only if  $G \in \text{RO}(A, \tau_A)$ .

*Proof. Strong necessity.* Let  $A \in \tau$ . We have

 $G = A \cap \operatorname{int}_X(\operatorname{cl}_X(G)) = \operatorname{int}_X(A \cap \operatorname{cl}_X(G)) = \operatorname{int}_X(\operatorname{cl}_A(G)) = \operatorname{int}_A(\operatorname{cl}_A(G)).$ 

Sufficiency. This has been shown in the proof of [4, Theorem 6].  $\blacksquare$ 

In [31, Theorem 1.3] the following was proved:  $(X, \tau)$  is S-closed if and only if its every proper subset  $S \in \text{RO}(X, \tau)$  is S-closed.

THEOREM 27. Let  $A \in \text{RO}(X, \tau)$ . Then, the subspace  $(A, \tau_A)$  is S-closed if and only if every proper subset  $G \subset A$  with  $G \in \text{RO}(X, \tau)$  is S-closed.

THEOREM 28. Let  $A \in SO(X, \tau)$ ,  $B \in PO(X, \tau)$ ,  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . If the union  $A \cup B$  is S-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ , then B is S-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* Let a family  $\mathcal{F} \subset \text{SO}(X, \tau)$  be a cover of B. Then, the family  $\mathcal{F} \cup \{A\}$  covers  $A \cup B$ . There exist  $V_1, \ldots, V_n \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $A \cup B \subset \operatorname{cl}(A) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^n \operatorname{cl}(V_i)$ . So, by [35, Lemma 2.1] (see Remark 2) we obtain  $B \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \operatorname{cl}(V_i)$ . This completes the proof. ■

By [13, Theorem 1] the author has proved that a space  $(X, \tau)$  is S-disconnected if and only if there exists nonempty  $U_1 \in SO(X, \tau)$ ,  $U_2 \in \tau^{\alpha}$  such that  $X = U_1 \cup U_2$ and  $\emptyset = U_1 \cap U_2$ . Directly from this result together with Theorem 28, follows

COROLLARY 10. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an S-disconnected and S-closed space. Then there exists a nonempty set  $B \in \tau^{\alpha}$  which is S-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$  (hence it is also such a subspace of  $(X, \tau)$  [32, Theorem 3.1]).

THEOREM 29. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be S-closed and  $A \in CO(X, \tau)$ . Then  $X \setminus A$  is an S-closed subspace of  $(X, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $X \setminus A \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla} V_{\alpha}$  where  $\{V_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \nabla\} \subset \operatorname{RC}(X, \tau)$ . By [5, Theorem 2] there is a finite  $\nabla_0 \subset \nabla$  such that  $X \subseteq A \cup \bigcup_{\alpha \in \nabla_0} V_{\alpha}$ . From Theorem 26 we infer that  $X \setminus A$  is S-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ . Therefore, in view of [32, Theorem 3.1],  $X \setminus A$  is S-closed as a subspace.

THEOREM 30. Let  $A \in CO(X, \tau)$  be an S-closed subspace of  $(X, \tau)$ . Then,  $(X, \tau)$  is S-closed if and only if  $X \setminus A$  is an S-closed subspace of  $(X, \tau)$ .

Proof. Necessity. Theorem 29.

Sufficiency. By [32, Theorem 3.1], the set  $X \setminus A$  is S-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ . Thus, by [32, Theorem 3.6],  $X = A \cup (X \setminus A)$  is S-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ ; i.e.,  $(X, \tau)$  is S-closed.

LEMMA 17. Let  $A \subset X$  be arbitrary,  $B \in \text{RC}(X, \tau)$ , an let  $A \cup B$  be S-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ . Then  $A \setminus B$  is S-closed relative to  $(X, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* This follows from Theorem 26.

THEOREM 31. Let  $(A, \tau_A)$  and  $(B, \tau_B)$  be S-closed subspaces of  $(X, \tau)$ . If  $A, B \in CO(X, \tau)$  then  $(A \setminus B, \tau_{A \setminus B})$  is S-closed too.

*Proof.* Use [32, Theorems 3.1 and 3.6] and Lemma 17.  $\blacksquare$ 

REFERENCES

- M. E. Abd El-Monsef, S. N. El-Deeb, R. A. Mahmoud, β-open sets and β-continuous mappings, Bull. Fac. Sci. Assiut Univ. 12 (1983), 77–90.
- [2] D. Andrijević, Semi-preopen sets, Mat. Vesnik 38 (1986), 24–32.
- [3] R. H. Atia, S. N. El-Deeb, A. S. Mashhour, *a-compactness and a-homeomorphism*, preprint.
- [4] C. K. Basu, On locally s-closed spaces, Inter. J. Math. Math. Sci. 19 (1996), 67–74.
- [5] D. E. Cameron, Properties of S-closed spaces, Proc. Amer. Math Soc. 72 (1978), 581–586.
- [6] D. E. Cameron, G. Woods, s-continuous and s-open mappings, preprint.
- [7] D. Carnahan, Locally nearly-compact spaces, Bolletino U.M.I. (4)6 (1972), 146–153.
- [8] C. G. Crossley, S. K. Hildebrand, Semi-closure, Texas J. Sci. 22 (1971), 99–112.
- C. G. Crossley, S. K. Hildebrand, Semi-closed sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, Texas J. Sci. 22 (1971), 123–126.
- [10] G. Di Maio, T. Noiri, On s-closed spaces, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 18 (1987), 226–233.
- [11] K. Dlaska, N. Ergun, M. Ganster, On the topology generated by semi-regular sets, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 25 (1994), 1163–1170.
- [12] Ch. Dorsett, Semiregularization spaces and the semi closure operator, s-closed spaces, and quasi-irresolute functions, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 21 (1990), 416–422.
- [13] Z. Duszyński, On some concepts of weak connectedness of topological spaces, Acta Math. Hungar. 110 (2006), 81–90.
- [14] Z. Duszyński, Remarks on S-closedness in topological spaces, Bolletino U.M.I. (8)10-B (2007), 469–483.
- [15] Z. Duszyński, A note on sets s- or S-closed relative to a space and some separation axioms, Ann. Univ. Bucuresti, Seria Mat. 57 (2008), 31–38.
- [16] Z. Duszyński, On pre-semi-open mappings, Inter. J. Math. Game Th. Algebra 17 (2009), 255–267.
- [17] G. L. Garg, D. Sivaraj, Semitopological properties, Mat. Vesnik 36 (1984), 137–142.
- [18] T. Husain, Almost continuous mappings, Prace Mat. 10 (1966), 1–7.
- [19] D. S. Janković, On locally irreducible spaces, Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles 97 (1983), 59-72.
- [20] D. S. Janković, A note on mappings of extremally disconnected spaces, Acta Math. Hungar. 46 (1985), 83–92.
- [21] M. Khan, B. Ahmad, T. Noiri, On s-closed subspaces, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 28 (1997), 175–179.
- [22] N. Levine, Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly 70 (1963), 36–41.
- [23] S. N. Maheshwari, R. Prasad, Some new separation axioms, Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles 89 (1975), 395-402.
- [24] H. Maki, K. Chandrasekhara Rao, M. Nagoor Gani, On generalizing semi-open sets and preopen sets, Pure Appl. Math. Sci. 49 (1999), 17–29.
- [25] A. S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd El-Monsef, S. N. El-Deeb, On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings, Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt 53 (1982), 47–53.
- [26] A. S. Mashhour, I. A. Hasanein, S. N. El-Deeb, A note on semi-continuity and precontinuity, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 13 (1982), 1119–1123.
- [27] M. N. Mukherjee, C. K. Basu, On S-closed and s-closed spaces, Bull. Malaysian Math. Sci. (S.S.) 15 (1992), 1–7.
- [28] O. Njåstad, On some classes of nearly open sets, Pacific J. Math. 15 (1965), 961-970.
- [29] T. Noiri, Remarks on semi-open mappings, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. 65 (1973), 197-201.
- [30] T. Noiri, On semi-continuous mappings, Lincei-Rend. Sc. fis. mat. e nat. 54 (1973), 210-214.
- [31] T. Noiri, On S-closed spaces, Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles 91 (1977), 189–194.

- [32] T. Noiri, On S-closed subspaces, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. 64 (1978), 157–162.
- [33] T. Noiri, On α-continuous functions, Čas. pěst. mat. 109 (1984), 118–126.
- [34] T. Noiri, B. Ahmad, A note on semi-open functions, Math. Sem. Notes Kobe Univ. 10 (1982), 437–441.
- [35] T. Noiri, A. S. Mashhour, I. A. Hasanein, S. N. El-Deeb, A note on S-closed subspaces, Math. Sem. Notes Kobe Univ. 10 (1982), 431–435.
- [36] W. J. Pervin, Foundations of general topology, Academic Press, New York-London, 1964.
- [37] V. Pipitone, G. Russo, Spazi semiconnessi a spazi semiaperti, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 24 (1975), 273–285.
- [38] J. R. Porter, J. Thomas, On H-closed and minimal Haussdorff spaces (1), Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 138 (1969), 159–170.
- [39] D. A. Rose, Weak continuity and almost continuity, Inter. J. Math. Math. Sci. 7 (1984), 311–318.
- [40] T. Soundararajan, Weakly Hausdorff spaces and cardinality of topological spaces, General Topology and its Application to Modern Analysis and Algebra III, Proc. Conf. Kampur 1968, Academia, Prague, (1971), 301–306.
- [41] S. F. Tadros, A. B. Khalaf, On regular semi-open sets and s<sup>\*</sup>-closed spaces, Tamkang J. Math. 23 (1992), 337–348.
- [42] T. Thompson, S-closed spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 60 (1976), 335–338.

(received 25.05.2009; in revised form 03.11.2009)

Institute of Mathematics, Casimirus the Great University, Pl. Weyssenhoffa 11, 85-072 Bydgoszcz, Poland

E-mail: imathQukw.edu.pl