

ON $(n - 1, n)$ - ϕ -PRIME IDEALS IN SEMIRINGS

Manish Kant Dubey and Poonam Sarohe

Abstract. Let S be a commutative semiring and $T(S)$ be the set of all ideals of S . Let $\phi: T(S) \rightarrow T(S) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function. A proper ideal I of a semiring S is called an $(n - 1, n)$ - ϕ -prime ideal of S if $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in I \setminus \phi(I)$, $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in S$ implies that $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_n \in I$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. In this paper, we prove several results concerning $(n - 1, n)$ - ϕ -prime ideals in a commutative semiring S with non-zero identity connected with those in commutative ring theory.

1. Introduction

Anderson and Bataineh [3] have introduced the concept of ϕ -prime ideals in a commutative ring as a generalization of weakly prime ideals in a commutative ring introduced by Anderson and Smith [4]. After that several authors [2,6–11,16], etc. explored this concept in different ways either in commutative ring or semiring theory. Ebrahimpour and Nekooei [13] generalized the concept of ϕ -prime ideals in terms of $(n - 1, n)$ - ϕ -prime ideals in commutative rings with non-zero identity and extended several results connected to [3]. In this paper, we introduce the notion of $(n - 1, n)$ - ϕ -prime ideals in a commutative semiring and prove several results connected with ring theory. Most of the results are inspired by [3,9,13,14].

A commutative semiring is a commutative semigroup (S, \cdot) and a commutative monoid $(S, +, 0_S)$ in which the multiplication is distributive with respect to the addition both from the left and from the right and 0_S is the additive identity of S and also $0_S \cdot x = x \cdot 0_S = 0_S$ for all $x \in S$. A non-empty subset I of a semiring S is called an ideal of S if $a, b \in I$ and $s \in S$ imply $a + b \in I$ and $sa, as \in I$. An ideal I of a semiring S is said to be proper if $I \neq S$. An ideal I of a semiring S is called subtractive (also, a k -ideal) if $a, a + b \in I$, $b \in S$ imply $b \in I$. An ideal I of a semiring S is called prime (weakly prime, almost prime, n -almost prime) if $ab \in I$ (respectively, $ab \in I \setminus \{0\}$, $ab \in I \setminus I^2$, $ab \in I \setminus I^n$) implies that either $a \in I$ or $b \in I$. A non-zero element $a \in S$ is said to be a semi-unit in S if there exist $r, s \in S$ such that $1 + ra = sa$. A proper ideal I of a semiring S is called

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16Y30, 16Y60

Keywords and phrases: Semiring; $(n - 1, n)$ - ϕ -prime ideal; ϕ -subtractive ideal; Q -ideal.

2-absorbing (respectively, weakly 2-absorbing) if $abc \in I$ (respectively, $0 \neq abc \in I$) implies $ab \in I$ or $ac \in I$ or $bc \in I$. For the rest of the concepts and terminologies used in semiring theory, we refer to [15]. Throughout this paper, S will always denote a commutative semiring with identity $1 \neq 0$.

2. $(n - 1, n)$ - ϕ -prime ideals

In this section, we introduce the notion of $(n - 1, n)$ - ϕ -prime ideals of a semiring S and analyse some properties related to them.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let S be a semiring and $T(S)$ be the set of all ideals of S . Let $\phi: T(S) \rightarrow T(S) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function. A proper ideal I of a semiring S is called an $(n - 1, n)$ - ϕ -prime ideal of S , if $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in I \setminus \phi(I)$, $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in S$ implies that $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_n \in I$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Note that for $n \geq 2$, $(n - 1, n)$ -prime ideal denotes $(n - 1)$ -absorbing ideal I (analogous to [2]) of S , that is, a proper ideal I of S is called an n -absorbing ideal if whenever $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n+1} \in I$ for $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1} \in S$, then there are n of the a_i 's whose product is in I . Thus, a $(1, 2)$ -prime ideal is just a prime ideal, a $(2, 3)$ -prime ideal is a 2-absorbing ideal and an $(n - 1, n)$ -prime ideal is an $(n - 1)$ -absorbing ideal of S . Similarly, a proper ideal I of a semiring S is called an $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime ideal of S if $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in I \setminus \{0\}$, $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in S$ implies $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_n \in I$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. It is clear that every $(n - 1, n)$ -prime ideal is an $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime ideal of a semiring S but the converse need not be true. For example, by definition $\{0\}$ is an $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime ideal but it is not an $(n - 1, n)$ -prime ideal of S . Some non-trivial examples of $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime ideals that are not $(n - 1, n)$ -prime are given as follows.

(i). Let $S = Z_{2^n}$ ($n \geq 2$), where Z is the set of all positive integers. Consider $I = \{0, 2^{n-1}\}$. Clearly, I is an ideal of S . Now, let $S_1 = S \times I$ and $N = \{(0, 0), (0, 2^{n-1})\}$. Then N is a non-zero $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime ideal of S_1 but it is not an $(n - 1, n)$ -prime ideal of S_1 , since $(2, 0)^n \in N$ but $(2, 0)^{n-1} \notin N$.

(ii). Let $S = Z_{2^{np}}$ ($n \geq 2$), where Z is the set of all positive integers and p is any prime number. Let $I = \{0, 2^{n-1}p\}$. Let $S_1 = S \times I$ and $N = \{(0, 0), (0, 2^{n-1}p)\}$. Clearly, N is a non-zero $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime ideal of S_1 but it is not an $(n - 1, n)$ -prime ideal of S_1 , since $(2, 0)^n(p, 0) \in N$ but neither $(2, 0)^n \in N$ nor $(2, 0)^{n-1}(p, 0) \in N$.

Similarly, an $(n - 1, n)$ - ϕ -prime ideal I of S can be elucidated as follows:

If $\phi_0(I) = \{0\}$, then an $(n - 1, n)$ - ϕ_0 -prime ideal is called an $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime ideal. Similarly, if $\phi_2(I) = I^2$, then an $(n - 1, n)$ - ϕ_2 -prime ideal is called an $(n - 1, n)$ -almost prime ideal, $(1, 2)$ - ϕ_0 -prime ideal means weakly prime ideal and $(2, 3)$ - ϕ_0 -prime ideal means weakly 2-absorbing ideal of a commutative semiring.

Since $I \setminus \phi(I) = I \setminus (I \cap \phi(I))$, so without loss of generality, we assume, throughout the paper that $\phi(I) \subseteq I$. Let S be a semiring and $T(S)$ be the set of all ideals of S . Define the following functions $\phi_\alpha: T(S) \rightarrow T(S) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ and their corresponding ϕ_α -prime ideals as follows: $\phi_\emptyset(I) = \{\emptyset\}$; $\phi_0(I) = \{0\}$; $\phi_1(I) = I$;

$\phi_2(I) = I^2$; $\phi_n(I) = I^n, n \geq 2$; $\phi_\omega(I) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n$ for all $I \in T(S)$. Clearly, ϕ_0, ϕ_2 and ϕ_n are prime, weakly prime, almost prime and n -almost prime ideals respectively.

DEFINITION 2.2. [12, Definition 2.2] Let S be a semiring and $\phi: T(S) \rightarrow T(S) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function, where $T(S)$ is the set of all ideals of S and let I be an ideal of S . Then I is said to be a ϕ -subtractive ideal of S if I and $\phi(I)$ are subtractive ideals of S . Similarly, we define the following functions $\phi_\alpha: T(S) \rightarrow T(S) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ and their corresponding ϕ_α -prime ideals such that $\phi_\emptyset(I) = \{\emptyset\}$; $\phi_0(I) = \{0\}$; $\phi_1(I) = I$; $\phi_2(I) = I^2$; $\phi_n(I) = I^n, n \geq 2$ for all $I \in T(S)$. Then I is said to be a ϕ_i -subtractive ideal of S if I and $\phi_i(I)$ are subtractive ideals of S , where $2 \leq i \leq n$.

Several examples have been studied in [12]. For the sake of completeness, we consider the set $S = Z_8 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$. Then S forms a semiring under addition and multiplication modulo 8. If we take the set $I = \{0, 2, 4, 6\}$, then it is easy to check that I and $\phi_i(I)$ (as defined above) are subtractive ideals of S and therefore I is a ϕ_i -subtractive ideal of S , where $2 \leq i \leq n$.

PROPOSITION 2.3. Let S be a semiring and I be an ideal of S . Let $x \in S$. Then $(I : x)$ and $(0 : x)$ are also subtractive ideals of S , where $(I : x) = \{r \in S : rx \in I\}$ and $(0 : x) = \{r \in S : rx = 0\}$.

Proof. The proof is straightforward. ■

RESULT 2.4. [16] If I and J are two subtractive ideals of S , then $I \cup J$ is a subtractive ideal of S if and only if $I \cup J = I$ or $I \cup J = J$.

THEOREM 2.5. Let $\phi: T(S) \rightarrow T(S) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function and I be a subtractive proper ideal of S . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) I is $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime;
- (ii) for $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1} \in S \setminus I$, $(I : a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} (I : a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_{n-1}) \cup (\phi(I) : a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1})$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1} \in S \setminus I$ and let $x \in (I : a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1})$. Then $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1} x \in I$. If $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1} x \notin \phi(I)$, then $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1} x \in I \setminus \phi(I)$. Since I is $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime, then we have $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_{n-1} x \in I$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$. Hence $x \in (I : a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_{n-1})$. If $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1} x \in \phi(I)$, then $x \in (\phi(I) : a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1})$. Thus, $(I : a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1}) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} (I : a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_{n-1}) \cup (\phi(I) : a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1})$. Clearly, $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} (I : a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_{n-1}) \cup (\phi(I) : a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1}) \subseteq (I : a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1})$, since $\phi(I) \subseteq I$. Therefore, $(I : a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} (I : a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_{n-1}) \cup (\phi(I) : a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1})$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Let $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in I \setminus \phi(I)$. If $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1} \in I$, then we are done. So assume that $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1} \notin I$. Therefore, we have $(I : a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} (I : a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_{n-1}) \cup (\phi(I) : a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1})$. Since $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in I$, we have $a_n \in (I : a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1})$. Also $a_n \notin (\phi(I) : a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1})$. Therefore, $(I :$

$a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1}) \neq (\phi(I) : a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1})$. Thus $a_n \in (I : a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_{n-1})$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}$. Hence $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_{n-1} a_n \in I$ gives I is $(n - 1, n)$ - ϕ -prime. ■

COROLLARY 2.6. *Let I be a proper subtractive ideal of S . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) I is $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime;
- (ii) for $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1} \in S \setminus I$, $(I : a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} (I : a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_{n-1}) \cup (0 : a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1})$.

THEOREM 2.7. *Let S be a semiring, I be a proper ϕ -subtractive ideal of S and $\phi : T(S) \rightarrow T(S) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function. If I is an $(n - 1, n)$ - ϕ -prime ideal of S with $I^n \not\subseteq \phi(I)$, then I is an $(n - 1, n)$ -prime ideal of S .*

Proof. Let I be an $(n - 1, n)$ - ϕ -prime ideal of S with $I^n \not\subseteq \phi(I)$ and let $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in I$ for some $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in S$. If $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \notin \phi(I)$, then $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in I \setminus \phi(I)$, which gives $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_n \in I$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, since I is an $(n - 1, n)$ - ϕ -prime ideal of S . So assume that $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in \phi(I)$. First, suppose that $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-m} I^m \not\subseteq \phi(I)$ for all $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}$. Therefore there exists $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m \in I$ such that $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-m} i_1 i_2 \cdots i_m \notin \phi(I)$. Then $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-m} (a_{n-m+1} + i_1)(a_{n-m+2} + i_2) \cdots (a_n + i_m) \in I \setminus \phi(I)$, since I is a ϕ -subtractive ideal of S . So $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_n \in I$, since I is ϕ -subtractive $(n - 1, n)$ - ϕ -prime ideal. Now, suppose that $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-m} I^m \subseteq \phi(I)$ for all $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}$. Similarly, we can assume that for all l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{n-m} from $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $a_{l_1} a_{l_2} \cdots a_{l_{n-m}} I^m \subseteq \phi(I)$, $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$. Since $I^n \not\subseteq \phi(I)$, there exist $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n \in I$ such that $m_1 m_2 \cdots m_n \notin \phi(I)$. Then $(a_1 + m_1)(a_2 + m_2) \cdots (a_n + m_n) \in I \setminus \phi(I)$, since I is ϕ -subtractive. Thus, $(a_1 + m_1)(a_2 + m_2) \cdots (a_{i-1} + m_{i-1})(a_{i+1} + m_{i+1}) \cdots (a_n + m_n) \in I$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Therefore, $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_n \in I$, since I is a ϕ -subtractive $(n - 1, n)$ - ϕ -prime ideal. Hence I is an $(n - 1, n)$ -prime ideal of S . ■

COROLLARY 2.8. *Let S be a semiring and I be a proper subtractive ideal of S . If I is an $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime ideal of S that is not an $(n - 1, n)$ -prime ideal of S , then $I^n = 0$.*

COROLLARY 2.9. *Let S be a semiring and I be a proper subtractive ideal of S . If I is an $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime ideal of S that is not an $(n - 1, n)$ -prime ideal of S , then $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{0}$.*

Proof. Clearly, $\sqrt{0} \subseteq \sqrt{I}$. Also by Corollary 2.8, we have $I^n = 0$, which gives $I = \sqrt{0}$ and hence $\sqrt{I} \subseteq \sqrt{0}$. Thus we have $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{0}$. ■

If I is a proper ideal of a semiring S such that $I^n = \{0\}$, then it need not be an $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime ideal of S ($n \geq 2$). For example, let $S = Z_{2^{n+1}3}$ be a semiring, where Z is the set of positive integers. If we take an ideal $I = \{0, 2^n 3\}$, then $I^n = \{0\}$ but it is not an $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime ideal of S , since $0 \neq 2^n 3 \in I$ but neither $2^n \in I$ nor $2^{n-1} 3 \in I$.

COROLLARY 2.10. *If I is a proper ϕ -subtractive $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime ideal of S with $\phi \leq \phi_{n+1}$, then I is $(n-1, n)$ - ω -prime, where $n \geq 2$.*

Proof. Let I be $(n-1, n)$ -prime. Then I is $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime for each ϕ and hence I is $(n-1, n)$ - ω -prime. So, assume that I is not $(n-1, n)$ -prime. Therefore, by Theorem 2.7, $I^n \subseteq \phi(I) \subseteq I^{n+1}$. Thus, $\phi(I) = I^m$ for each $m \geq n$. Hence I is $(n-1, n)$ - ω -prime. ■

DEFINITION 2.11. [5, Definition 1(i)] A proper ideal I of a semiring S is said to be a strong ideal if for each $a \in I$ there exists $b \in I$ such that $a + b = 0$.

THEOREM 2.12. *Let S and S' be semirings, $f: S \rightarrow S'$ be an epimorphism such that $f(0) = 0$ and I be a ϕ -subtractive strong ideal of S . If I is an $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime ideal of S with $I^n \not\subseteq \phi(I)$ and $\ker f \subseteq I$, then $f(I)$ is an $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime ideal of S' .*

Proof. Let I be an $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime ideal of S with $I^n \not\subseteq \phi(I)$ and $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in f(I) \setminus \phi(f(I))$ for some $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in S'$. Since $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in f(I)$, therefore there exists an element $m \in I$ such that $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n = f(m)$. Since f is an epimorphism and $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in S'$, then there exist $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n \in S$ such that $a_1 = f(p_1)$, $a_2 = f(p_2)$, \dots , $a_n = f(p_n)$. As $m \in I$ and I is a strong ideal of S , there exists $l \in I$ such that $m + l = 0$, which implies $f(m + l) = 0$. This gives that $f(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n + l) = 0$ implies $p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n + l \in \ker f \subseteq I$. This implies $p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n \in I$, since I is subtractive. Since I is an $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime ideal with $I^n \not\subseteq \phi(I)$, we have that I is an $(n-1, n)$ -prime ideal by Theorem 2.7. Therefore, we have $p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{i-1} p_{i+1} \cdots p_n \in I$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Thus $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_n \in f(I)$, for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Hence $f(I)$ is an $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime ideal of S' . ■

DEFINITION 2.13. A semiring S is said to be cancellative, if whenever $xc = yc$ and $cx = cy$ in S , then $x = y$.

THEOREM 2.14. *Let S be a cancellative semiring and $x \in S$. Let Sx be a ϕ_2 -subtractive ideal of S . Then Sx is $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ_2 -prime if and only if Sx is an $(n-1, n)$ -prime ideal of S .*

Proof. First, suppose that Sx is $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ_2 -prime and $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in S$ are such that $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in Sx$. If $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \notin \phi_2(Sx)$, then $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in Sx \setminus \phi_2(Sx)$, which gives $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_n \in Sx$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, since Sx is $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ_2 -prime. Let $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in \phi_2(Sx)$. Also, $a_1 \cdots a_{n-1} (a_n + x) \in Sx$. If $a_1 \cdots a_{n-1} (a_n + x) \notin \phi_2(Sx)$, then $a_1 \cdots a_{n-1} (a_n + x) \in Sx \setminus \phi_2(Sx)$. This gives $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_n \in Sx$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, since Sx is ϕ_2 -subtractive $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ_2 -prime. If $a_1 \cdots a_{n-1} (a_n + x) \in \phi_2(Sx)$, then we have $a_1 \cdots a_{n-1} x \in \phi_2(Sx) = (Sx)^2$. Therefore, $a_1 \cdots a_{n-1} x = (s_1 x)(s_2 x) = (s_1 s_2) x^2$ for some $s_1, s_2 \in S$. This gives $a_1 \cdots a_{n-1} = s_1 s_2 x$, as S is cancellative. Thus, $a_1 \cdots a_{n-1} \in Sx$ and hence Sx is an $(n-1, n)$ -prime ideal of S .

The converse is obvious. ■

THEOREM 2.15. *Let S be a cancellative semiring and $a \in S$ be a non-unit. Let $\langle a \rangle$ be a ϕ_2 -subtractive ideal of S . Then $\langle a \rangle$ is an $(n - 1, n)$ - ϕ_2 -prime ideal if and only if $\langle a \rangle$ is an $(n - 1, n)$ -prime ideal ($n \geq 2$).*

Proof. Let $\langle a \rangle$ be an $(n - 1, n)$ - ϕ_2 -prime ideal of S and $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in \langle a \rangle$ for some $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in S$. If $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \notin \langle a \rangle^2$, then $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_n \in \langle a \rangle$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. So assume that $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in \langle a \rangle^2$. Also $(a_1 + a) a_2 \cdots a_n \in \langle a \rangle$. If $(a_1 + a) a_2 \cdots a_n \notin \langle a \rangle^2$, then $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_n \in \langle a \rangle$, for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, since $\langle a \rangle$ is a ϕ_2 -subtractive $(n - 1, n)$ - ϕ_2 -prime ideal of S . So assume that $(a_1 + a) a_2 \cdots a_n \in \langle a \rangle^2$. Then $aa_2 \cdots a_n \in \langle a \rangle^2$, as $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in \langle a \rangle^2$ and $\langle a \rangle$ is ϕ_2 -subtractive. Hence $a_2 \cdots a_n \in \langle a \rangle$, since S is cancellative. Thus, $\langle a \rangle$ is an $(n - 1, n)$ -prime ideal.

The converse is obvious. ■

COROLLARY 2.16. *Let S be a cancellative semiring and $a \in S$ be non-unit. Let $\langle a \rangle$ be a subtractive ideal of S . Then $\langle a \rangle$ is an $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime ideal if and only if $\langle a \rangle$ is an $(n - 1, n)$ -prime ideal ($n \geq 2$).*

Let S_1 and S_2 be commutative semirings. We know that the prime ideals of $S_1 \times S_2$ have the form $I_1 \times S_2$ or $S_1 \times I_2$ where I_1 is a prime ideal of S_1 and I_2 is a prime ideal of S_2 . Define multiplication on $S_1 \times S_2$ as $(a_1, a_2)(b_1, b_2) = (a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2)$ for all $a_1, b_1 \in S_1$ and $a_2, b_2 \in S_2$. Now, we prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.17. *Let S_1 and S_2 be commutative semirings and I_1 be an $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime ideal of S_1 . Then $I = I_1 \times S_2$ is an $(n - 1, n)$ - ϕ -prime ideal of $S = S_1 \times S_2$ for each ϕ with $\phi_\omega \leq \phi \leq \phi_1$.*

Proof. Let I_1 be an $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime ideal of S_1 . First, suppose that I_1 be an $(n - 1, n)$ -prime ideal of S . Then I is also an $(n - 1, n)$ -prime ideal and hence is an $(n - 1, n)$ - ϕ -prime ideal of S for all ϕ . So, suppose that I_1 is not $(n - 1, n)$ -prime. Then $I_1^n = 0$. Therefore, we have $I^n = 0^n \times S_2$ and hence $\phi_\omega(I) = \{0\} \times S_2$. Now, $I \setminus \phi_\omega(I) = (I_1 \times S_2) \setminus (\{0\} \times S_2)$. Thus, $(a_1, b_1)(a_2, b_2) \cdots (a_n, b_n) \in I \setminus \phi_\omega(I)$. This gives $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in I_1 \setminus \{0\}$. Thus, $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_n \in I_1$, for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ which implies $(a_1, b_1)(a_2, b_2) \cdots (a_{i-1}, b_{i-1})(a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}) \cdots (a_n, b_n) \in I$. Hence I is an $(n - 1, n)$ - ϕ_ω -prime and hence $(n - 1, n)$ - ϕ -prime. ■

THEOREM 2.18. *Let I be a proper ϕ -subtractive ideal of a semiring S . Suppose that I is $(n - 1, n)$ - ϕ -prime with $\phi \leq \phi_{n+1}$. Then, either I is $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime or I^n is an idempotent.*

Proof. If I is $(n - 1, n)$ -prime, then I is $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime. So, there is nothing to prove. Now, assume that I is not $(n - 1, n)$ -prime. Therefore, by Theorem 2.7, $I^n \subseteq \phi(I)$. Since, $\phi \leq \phi_{n+1}$, therefore $I^n \subseteq \phi_{n+1}(I) = I^{n+1}$, which gives $I^n = I^{n+1}$. Hence $I^n = I^{2n}$. Thus, I^n is idempotent. ■

3. $(n-1, n)$ -weakly prime ideals

In this section, we study the concept of $(n-1, n)$ -weakly prime ideals of a commutative semiring S which is a particular case of $(n-1, n)$ - ϕ -prime ideals and prove some results related to them.

Let I be an $(n-1, n)$ -weakly prime ideal of a semiring S and $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in S$. Then (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) is an n -zero of I , if $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n = 0$ and $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_n \notin I$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ($n \geq 2$).

THEOREM 3.1. *Let I be a subtractive $(n-1, n)$ -weakly prime ideal of a semiring S and (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) is an n -zero of I for some $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in S$. Then $a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_{n-k}} I^k = 0$ for all $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n-k} \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. In particular, $I^n = 0$.*

Proof. We prove it by induction on k . For $k = 1$, suppose that $a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_{n-1}} I \neq 0$. Then, there exists an element $x \in I$ such that $a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_{n-1}} x \neq 0$. So, $0 \neq a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_{n-1}} (a_{i_n} + x)$. This gives $a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_{n-1}} (a_{i_n} + x) \in I \setminus \{0\}$. Since I is subtractive $(n-1, n)$ -weakly prime, we have $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_n \in I$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, a contradiction. Thus $a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_{n-k}} I = 0$. Now, let $a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_{n-(k-1)}} I^{k-1} = 0$ for some $k \geq 2$ and for all possible $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n-(k-1)} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Assume that $a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_{n-k}} I^k \neq 0$. Then $a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_{n-k}} p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k \neq 0$ for some $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k \in I$. Thus, $a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_{n-k}} (a_{i_{n-k+1}} + p_1) (a_{i_{n-k+2}} + p_2) \cdots (a_{i_n} + p_k) \in I \setminus \{0\}$. Consequently, $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_n \in I$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ (since I is subtractive $(n-1, n)$ -weakly prime), a contradiction. Hence $a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_{n-k}} I^k = 0$. In particular, $I^n = 0$. ■

THEOREM 3.2. *Let I be a subtractive $(n-1, n)$ -weakly prime ideal of a semiring S that is not an $(n-1, n)$ -prime ideal. If $a \in Nil(S)$, then either $a^{n-1} \in I$ or $a^{n-k} I^k = 0$ for all $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$.*

Proof. We prove it by induction on k . Suppose $k = 1$. Let $a \in Nil(S)$ and $a^{n-1} I \neq 0$. Then there exists $y \in I$ such that $a^{n-1} y \neq 0$ and let m be the least positive integer such that $a^m = 0$. Then $m \geq n$ and $0 \neq a^{n-1} y = a^{n-1} (y + a^{m-n+1}) \in I$. Therefore, either $a^{n-1} \in I$ or $a^{m-1} \in I$. If $a^{n-1} \in I$, then there is nothing to prove. So, assume that $0 \neq a^{m-1} \in I$. Thus, $a^{n-1} \in I$ (since $m \geq n$ and I is subtractive $(n-1, n)$ -weakly prime). Hence for each $a \in Nil(S)$, we have $a^{n-1} \in I$ or $a^{n-1} I = 0$. Next, assume that $v^{n-1} \notin I$, where $v \in Nil(S)$. Therefore $v^{n-1} I = 0$. Suppose that it is true for $n = k$ that $v^{n-k} I^k = 0$ for all $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$. Suppose that $v^{n-k} I^k \neq 0$. Then there exist $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \in I$ such that $v^{n-k} i_1 i_2 \cdots i_k \neq 0$. Since $v \in Nil(S)$, we have $v^m = 0$ where m is the least positive integer. If $m < n$, then $v^{n-1} = 0 \in I$, a contradiction. So, $m \geq n$. Now, $0 \neq v^{n-k} i_1 i_2 \cdots i_k = v^{n-k} (v + i_1) (v + i_2) \cdots (v + i_{k-1}) (v^{m-n+1} + i_k) \in I$. This gives $v^{n-1} \in I$ or $v^{m-1} \in I$ and hence $v^{n-1} \in I$, (since $m \geq n$, $v^{m-1} \neq 0$ and I is an $(n-1, n)$ -weakly prime). Hence $v^{n-1} \in I$, a contradiction. Thus, $v^{n-k} I^k = 0$. ■

THEOREM 3.3. *Let S be a semiring and $\{I_i\}_{i \in \Delta}$ be a family of subtractive*

$(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime ideals of S that are not $(n - 1, n)$ -prime ideals of S . Then $I = \bigcap_{i \in \Delta} I_i$ is an $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime ideal of S .

Proof. Let $\{I_i\}_{i \in \Delta}$ be a family of $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime ideals of S that are not $(n - 1, n)$ -prime ideals of S and $I = \bigcap_{i \in \Delta} I_i$. Therefore by Corollary 2.9, we have $\sqrt{I_i} = \sqrt{0}$ for all $i \in \Delta$. This gives $\bigcap_{i \in \Delta} \sqrt{I_i} = \sqrt{0}$. Thus, we have $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{0}$, since $\bigcap_{i \in \Delta} \sqrt{I_i} = \sqrt{I}$. Next, let $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in I \setminus \{0\}$ for some $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in S$. If $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1} \in I$, then there is nothing to prove. So, let $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1} \notin I$. Then there exists $i \in \Delta$ such that $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1} \notin I_i$ and we also have $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in I_i \setminus \{0\}$. This gives $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_n \in I_i$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}$, since I_i is an $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime ideal of S and $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1} \notin I_i$. Thus, $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_n \in I_i \subseteq \sqrt{I_i} = \sqrt{0} = \sqrt{I}$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}$. Hence I is an $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime ideal of S . ■

THEOREM 3.4. *Let $S = S_1 \times S_2 \times \cdots \times S_n$, where S_i is a commutative semiring for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. If I is an $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime ideal of S , then either $I = 0$ or $I = I_1 \times I_2 \times \cdots \times I_{i-1} \times S_i \times I_{i+1} \times \cdots \times I_n$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and if $I_j \neq S_j$ for $j \neq i$, then I_j is an $(n - 1, n)$ -prime ideal in S_j .*

Proof. Let $I = I_1 \times I_2 \times \cdots \times I_n$ be an $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime ideal of S and let $I \neq 0$. Then $(0, 0, \dots, 0) \neq (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in I$. Therefore, $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = (a_1, 1, \dots, 1)(1, a_2, \dots, 1) \cdots (1, 1, \dots, a_n) \in I$. Since I is $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime, we have $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{i-1}, 1, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n) \in I$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Thus $(0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0) \in I$. Hence $I = I_1 \times I_2 \times \cdots \times I_{i-1} \times S_i \times I_{i+1} \times \cdots \times I_n$. Next, suppose $I_j \neq S_j$ for $i \neq j$. Let $i < j$ and $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n \in I_j$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\neq (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, \dots, x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n, 0, \dots, 0) \\ &= (0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0, x_1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)(0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0, x_2, 0, \dots, 0) \\ &\quad \cdots (0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0, 0, x_n, 0, \dots, 0) \in I. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have $(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{l-1} x_{l+1} \cdots x_n, 0, \dots, 0) \in I$ for some $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Hence $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{l-1} x_{l+1} \cdots x_n \in I_j$. Consequently, I_j is an $(n - 1, n)$ -prime ideal of S_j . The other cases for $j < i$ are similar. ■

A semiring S is said to be a local semiring denoted by (S, M) if and only if S has a unique maximal subtractive ideal, say M . Darani [9] proved that the semiring S is a local semiring if and only if the set of non-semi-unit elements of S forms a subtractive ideal. It is also proved that if S is a local semiring then the unique maximal subtractive ideal of S is precisely the set of non-semi-units of S .

THEOREM 3.5. *Let (S, M) be a local semiring with $M^n = 0$. Then every proper subtractive ideal of S is $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime.*

Proof. Suppose that $M^n = 0$, and let I be a proper subtractive ideal of S and $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in S$. Suppose that $0 \neq a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in I$. Since (S, M) is a local semiring then we have $a_i \in M$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Since each a_i , $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ does not belong to M , at the same time because in

this case $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in M^n = 0$, this gives a contradiction. So a_i for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ must be a semi-unit. Assume that a_i is a semi-unit. Then there exist $r, s \in S$ such that $1 + ra_i = sa_i$. So $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_n + ra_1 a_2 \cdots a_n = (1 + ra_i) a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_n = sa_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in I$ and $ra_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in I$ imply that $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_n \in I$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Thus I is an $(n-1, n)$ -weakly prime. ■

DEFINITION 3.6. [1, Definition (4)] An ideal I of a semiring S is called a Q -ideal (partitioning ideal) if there exists a subset Q of S such that

- (i) $S = \cup\{q + I : q \in Q\}$
- (ii) If $q_1, q_2 \in Q$, then $(q_1 + I) \cap (q_2 + I) \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow q_1 = q_2$.

Let I be a Q -ideal of a semiring S . Then $S/I_{(Q)} = \{q + I : q \in Q\}$ forms a semiring under the following addition ' \oplus ' and multiplication ' \odot ', $(q_1 + I) \oplus (q_2 + I) = q_3 + I$ where $q_3 \in Q$ is unique such that $q_1 + q_2 + I \subseteq q_3 + I$, and $(q_1 + I) \odot (q_2 + I) = q_4 + I$ where $q_4 \in Q$ is unique such that $q_1 q_2 + I \subseteq q_4 + I$. This semiring $S/I_{(Q)}$ is called the quotient semiring of S by I and denoted by $(S/I_{(Q)}, \oplus, \odot)$ or just $S/I_{(Q)}$. By definition of a Q -ideal, there exists a unique $q_0 \in Q$ such that $0 + I \subseteq q_0 + I$. Then $q_0 + I$ is a zero element of $S/I_{(Q)}$. Clearly, if S is commutative then so is $S/I_{(Q)}$.

THEOREM 3.7. Let S be a semiring, I be a Q -ideal of S and P a subtractive ideal of S such that $I \subseteq P$. Then P is an $(n-1, n)$ -prime ideal of S if and only if $P/I_{(Q \cap P)}$ is an $(n-1, n)$ -prime ideal of $S/I_{(Q)}$.

Proof. Let P be an $(n-1, n)$ -prime ideal of S . Suppose that $q_1 + I, q_2 + I, \dots, q_n + I \in S/I_{(Q)}$ are such that $(q_1 + I) \odot (q_2 + I) \odot \cdots \odot (q_n + I) = q_r + I \in P/I_{(Q \cap P)}$ where $q_r \in Q \cap P$ is a unique element such that $q_1 q_2 \cdots q_n + I \subseteq q_r + I \in P/I_{(Q \cap P)}$. So $q_1 q_2 \cdots q_n = q_r + i$, for some $i \in I$. Since P is a subtractive $(n-1, n)$ -prime ideal of S and $I \subseteq P$, therefore $q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{i-1} q_{i+1} \cdots q_n \in P$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Now $(q_1 + I) \odot (q_2 + I) \odot \cdots \odot (q_{i-1} + I) \odot (q_{i+1} + I) \odot \cdots \odot (q_n + I) = i_1 + I$ where $i_1 \in Q$ is a unique element such that $q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{i-1} q_{i+1} \cdots q_n + I \subseteq i_1 + I$. So $i_1 + f = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{i-1} q_{i+1} \cdots q_n + e$ for some $e, f \in I$. Since P is a subtractive ideal of S and $I \subseteq P$, we have $i_1 \in P$, therefore $i_1 \in Q \cap P$. Hence $P/I_{(Q \cap P)}$ is an $(n-1, n)$ -prime ideal of $S/I_{(Q)}$.

Conversely, let $P/I_{(Q \cap P)}$ is an $(n-1, n)$ -prime ideal of $S/I_{(Q)}$. Let $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in P$ for some $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in S$. Since I is a Q -ideal of S , therefore there exist $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n \in Q$ such that $a_1 \in q_1 + I, a_2 \in q_2 + I, \dots, a_n \in q_n + I$ and $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in (q_1 + I) \odot (q_2 + I) \odot \cdots \odot (q_n + I) = q_k + I$, for some $q_k \in Q$. So, $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n = q_k + i_2 \in P$ for some $i_2 \in I$. Since P is a subtractive ideal of S and $I \subseteq P$, we have $q_k \in P$. So, $(q_1 + I) \odot (q_2 + I) \odot \cdots \odot (q_n + I) = q_k + I \in P/I_{(Q \cap P)}$ which gives $(q_1 + I) \odot (q_2 + I) \odot \cdots \odot (q_{i-1} + I) \odot (q_{i+1} + I) \odot \cdots \odot (q_n + I) \in P/I_{(Q \cap P)}$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, since $P/I_{(Q \cap P)}$ is an $(n-1, n)$ -prime ideal of $S/I_{(Q)}$. Now for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, we have $(q_1 + I) \odot (q_2 + I) \odot \cdots \odot (q_{i-1} + I) \odot (q_{i+1} + I) \odot \cdots \odot (q_n + I) \in P/I_{(Q \cap P)}$. Then there exists $q_h \in Q \cap P$ such that

$a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_n \in (q_1 + I) \odot (q_2 + I) \odot \cdots \odot (q_{i-1} + I) \odot (q_{i+1} + I) \odot \cdots \odot (q_n + I) = q_h + I$. This gives $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_n = q_h + i_3$ for some $i_3 \in I$. This implies $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_n \in P$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Thus P is an $(n - 1, n)$ -prime ideal of S . ■

THEOREM 3.8. *Let S be a semiring, I a Q -ideal of S and P a subtractive ideal of S such that $I \subseteq P$. Then*

- (i) *if P is an $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime ideal of S , then $P/I_{(Q \cap P)}$ is an $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime ideal of $S/I_{(Q)}$;*
- (ii) *if I and $P/I_{(Q \cap P)}$ are $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime ideals of S and $S/I_{(Q)}$ respectively, then P is an $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime ideal of S .*

Proof. (i) If $(q_1 + I) \odot (q_2 + I) \odot \cdots \odot (q_n + I) \neq 0$ in S/I_Q , then $q_1 q_2 \cdots q_n \neq 0$ in S and hence the proof follows from the above theorem.

(ii) Let $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in S$ be such that $0 \neq a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in P$. If $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in I$ then $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_n \in I \subseteq P$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, since I is an $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime ideal of S . So, assume that $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \notin I$. Then there are elements $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n \in Q$ such that $a_1 \in q_1 + I, a_2 \in q_2 + I, \dots, a_n \in q_n + I$. Therefore for some $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \in I, a_1 = q_1 + i_1, a_2 = q_2 + i_2, \dots, a_n = q_n + i_n$. As $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_n + q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{n-1} i_n + \cdots + q_n i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{n-1} + i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n \in P$ and since P is subtractive, we have $q_1 q_2 \cdots q_n \in P$. Consider, $(q_1 + I) \odot (q_2 + I) \odot \cdots \odot (q_n + I) = q_k + I$ where $q_k \in Q$ is the unique element such that $q_1 q_2 \cdots q_n + I \subseteq q_k + I$. Since P is subtractive, we have $q_k \in P \cap Q$. Hence $q_1 q_2 \cdots q_n + I \subseteq q_k + I \in P/I_{Q \cap P}$, that is, $(q_1 + I) \odot (q_2 + I) \odot \cdots \odot (q_n + I) \in P/I_{Q \cap P}$. Let $q \in Q$ be the unique element such that $q + I$ is the zero element in S/I_Q . If $(q_1 + I) \odot (q_2 + I) \odot \cdots \odot (q_n + I) = 0_{S/I_Q} = q + I$, then there exist $r, s \in I$ such that $q_1 q_2 \cdots q_n + r = q + s \in I$. Therefore, $q_1 q_2 \cdots q_n \in I$, since I is a Q -ideal of S therefore it is subtractive by [15, Corollary 8.23]. This gives $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in I$, a contradiction. Hence, $0_{S/I_Q} \neq (q_1 + I) \odot (q_2 + I) \odot \cdots \odot (q_n + I) \in P/I_{Q \cap P}$. This gives $(q_1 + I) \odot (q_2 + I) \odot \cdots \odot (q_{i-1} + I) \odot (q_{i+1} + I) \odot \cdots \odot (q_n + I) \in P/I_{Q \cap P}$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, since $P/I_{Q \cap P}$ is an $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime ideal of S/I_Q . Thus, we have $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_n \in P$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Hence, P is an $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime ideal of S . ■

REFERENCES

- [1] P. J. Allen, *A fundamental theorem of homomorphism for semirings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **21** (1969), 412–416.
- [2] D. F. Anderson and A. Badawi, *On n -absorbing ideals of commutative rings*, Comm. Algebra, **39** (2011), 1646–1672.
- [3] D. D. Anderson and M. Bataineh, *Generalizations of prime ideals*, Comm. Algebra, **36** (2008), 686–696.
- [4] D. D. Anderson and E. Smith, *Weakly prime ideals*, Houston J. Math., **29** (2003), 831–840.
- [5] R. E. Atani and S. E. Atani, *Spectra of semimodules*, Bul. Acad. De Stiinte, A Republicii Moldova. Math., **3(67)** (2011), 15–28.
- [6] A. Badawi, *On 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., **75** (2007), 417–429.

- [7] A. Badawi and A. Darani, *On weakly 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings*, Houston J. Math., **39(2)** (2013), 441–452.
- [8] S. M. Bhatwadekar and P. K. Sharma, *Unique factorization and birth of almost primes*, Comm. Algebra, **33** (2005), 43–49.
- [9] A. Y. Darani, *On 2-absorbing and weakly 2-absorbing ideals of commutative semirings*, Kyungpook Math. J., **52(1)** (2012), 91–97.
- [10] M. K. Dubey, *Prime and weakly prime ideals in semirings*, Quasigroups & Related Systems **20** (2012), 151–156.
- [11] M. K. Dubey and P. Sarohe, *Generalizations of prime subsemimodules*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math., to appear.
- [12] M. K. Dubey and P. Sarohe, *Generalizations of prime and primary ideals in commutative semirings*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math., to appear.
- [13] M. Ebrahimpour and R. Nekooei, *On generalizations of prime ideals*, Comm. Algebra, **40(4)** (2012), 1268–1279.
- [14] M. Ebrahimpour, *On generalisations of almost prime and weakly prime ideals*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc., **40(2)** (2014), 531–540.
- [15] J. S. Golan, *Semirings and Their Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1999.
- [16] V. Gupta and J. N. Chaudhari, *Characterization of weakly prime subtractive ideals in semirings*, Bull. Inst. Math. Sinica, **3** (2008), 347–352.

(received 06.09.2014; in revised form 17.01.2015; available online 03.03.2015)

M.K.D.: SAG, DRDO, Metcalf House, Delhi 110054, India

E-mail: kantmanish@yahoo.com

P.S.: Department of Mathematics, Lakshmibai College, University of Delhi, Delhi 110052, India

E-mail: poonamsarohe@gmail.com